# An Impact Profile for Assessing Outcomes of Joint Workplace Change Initiatives

Background Document for Lessons Learned on the Innovative Workplace

Prepared by the
Canadian Labour Market and Productivity Centre
For Evaluation and Data Development Branch
Strategic Policy
Human Resources Development Canada
May, 1997

## ORIGINS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE IMPACT PROFILE

Although a great many workplaces have experience with a wide variety of changes, only a minority of innovative workplaces are able to point to quantifiable indicators of success, whether in terms of productivity or cost figures, or in terms of trends in the number of grievances, accidents, etc.

In its earlier work, the Canadian Labour Market and Productivity Centre (CLMPC) noted that for the most part, workplaces appeared to take the success of their processes on faith, or to link it to a general impression that relationships were better following the change process.

The Centre therefore concluded that some form of an assessment tool or "impact profile" by which the workplace parties could use to monitor and track the impact of their change processes on various workplace indicators, would be a valuable tool for workplaces to have at their disposal. In particular, such a tool could be very useful to workplaces in helping them direct and sustain their change processes.

Development of such a tool, furthermore, was seen as timely in view of the increasing number of workplaces facing significant change issues and attempting to address these in innovative ways. The impact profile, which is attached, has been developed as a prototype, for review and preliminary testing in workplace situations.

## Early Test Results

Preliminary review of the Profile with management and labour representatives in two workplaces was well received.

It was very clear from the reaction of both workplaces that further work involving the assessment tool is warranted. Based on workplace responses, it was apparent that this work should follow two separate but related tracks, as follows:

First, it was evident that the impact profile is useful to workplaces as they
initiate and proceed through a joint change process. It is a means for the
workplace parties themselves to monitor and evaluate the impact that process
is having on various workplace aspects, which can help direct and influence
the change process itself. As a tool for use during change processes, then,

- the impact profile was seen to have good potential. Efforts to develop it into such a tool will be well-spent.
- Second, with good planning the impact profile can be used as a vehicle through which workplaces can assess retrospectively the impact of specific change processes on the workplace. This casts the profile as more of a research tool than a day-to-day monitoring tool. Research work using the profile in this way will have to be carefully designed to reflect the realities of doing workplace-based research. In particular, attempting to retrospectively measure the impact of change may involve identifying and recording data on the workplace which are several years old.

### **Further Work**

As it stands, this impact profile is very much a work-in-progress. While it has been revised to reflect the comments from the two test workplaces, CLMPC is also formulating a project which will carry forward its development, concentrating on the following activities:

- Refinement of the impact profile itself, to incorporate further comments received from various sources, and to develop a parallel version for use in service sector workplaces;
- In partnership with a number of individual workplaces, testing the impact profile in workplace change situations, to assess its usefulness as a tool for monitoring the impacts of change processes as these proceed;
- Again in partnership with a number of workplaces, use of the impact profile to assess the impacts of change processes which have been completed, or which have been in progress for sufficient time to warrant an impact assessment.

# AN IMPACT PROFILE FOR ASSESSING OUTCOMES OF JOINT WORKPLACE CHANGE INITIATIVES

## 1. Outcomes Related to Financial Aspects

Aspect to be Measured	Situation in 12 Months Before Change Initiative	Current Situation
Annual Value of Output		
Annual Sales/Revenue		
Annual Profit/Rate of Return on Investment		
Stock Market Valuation		
Average Market Share		
Percentage of employees covered by gainsharing (annual average)		
Average annual increase in compensation – hourly rated		
Average annual increase in compensation – salaried		

Comments (e.g. How strong or direct is the relationship between the chang process and the Financial Aspects, above?)	е

## 2. Employment Impacts

Aspect to be Measured	Situation in 12 Months Before Change Initiative	Current Situation
Overall employment levels (Annual average)		
Full time/Part time employment ratio (Annual average)		
Amount of contracting out (Annual average)		
Amount of overtime worked (Annual average)		
Salaried/hourly rated employee ratio (Annual average)		
Number of layoffs (Total for year)		
Number of recalls (Total for year)		
Turnover rate (Annual average)		
Seniority level (minimum or average number of years workers have been at the workplace)		

(b)	Qualitative Assessments	Significar Worsene	•	No Change	_	nificantly nproved	
(i)	Impact on Job Security Specify:	<u> </u>					
(ii)	Changes in the participation disabled, aboriginals, visible employment, participation in Comments:	minorities	s) in th			, ,	in
(iii)	Changes in working hours, alternative working arrangements, distribution of work time, etc.  Specify:				on		

## 3. Impacts on Productivity and Quality

Aspect to be Measured	Situation in 12 Months Before Change Initiative	Current Situation
Value of Output per Employee (Annual total)		
Unit Cost of Production (Annual average)		
Wastage, Scrap(Annual total)		
Average Cycle Time over the Year		
Average Delivery Time over the Year		
Product/Service Quality (average rejection rates, customer returns, etc.)		
Customer Satisfaction (measures from customer surveys)		
Inventory Level (Annual average)		

(b)	Qualitative Assessmen	ts		
			Yes	No
(i)	Receipt of Quality Award Specify:	s, Environment Awards, etc.		
(ii)	ISO 9000 Certification			
(iii)	ISO 14000 Certification			
(iv)				
(v)	Perceptions of changes in	n productivity		
	Significantly Reduced	No Change	Significan Increase	•
4.		hanges in Work		
(a)	Organization  Quantitative Measures	of Changes in Work Organi	ization	
		of Changes in Work Organi Situation in 12 Months Before Change Initiative	ization Current Situ	ation
	Quantitative Measures	Situation in 12 Months		ation
Numbe	Quantitative Measures  Aspect to be Measured	Situation in 12 Months		ation
Numbe	Quantitative Measures  Aspect to be Measured er of Job Classifications	Situation in 12 Months		ation
Numbe Numbe Numbe	Quantitative Measures  Aspect to be Measured  er of Job Classifications  er of Management Layers	Situation in 12 Months		ation
Number Number Number Percer Self-di	Quantitative Measures  Aspect to be Measured  er of Job Classifications er of Management Layers er of Self-directed Teams  ent of Employees Involved in	Situation in 12 Months		ation

#### (b) Qualitative Assessments of Impacts Significantly No Significantly Reduced Increased Change (i) Change in opportunities for employees to participate in work-related decisions; changes in level of worker discretion/control over aspects of the job Specify:\_\_\_\_ (ii) Possibilities for promotion Specify:\_\_\_\_\_ (iii) Availability of information needed to do the job Specify: Changes in relative roles of supervisors (iv) Yes No and floor workers (e.g. more self-supervision) Specify: Impacts on Morale 5. NOTE: This Section may be completed separately by Senior Managers, Middle/Line Managers, Senior Union Officials, and Rank and File Members Quantitative Measures (a) Aspect to be Measured **Situation in 12 Months Current Situation Before Change Initiative** Absenteeism Rate for this Group of Employees(Annual average) Lateness Rate for this Group of Employees (Annual average) **Employee Satisfaction Survey Results** for this Group of Employees (attitude surveys, etc.)

(b)	Qualitative Assessments					
		Significar Worsene	•	No Change	_	ificantly proved
(i)	Change in overall morale of this Group of Employees due to the change process			٠		
(ii)	Overall comments on impacts on n (These may refer to assessments of the organization, social issues, etc.)	of stress le				
	Comments:-					
/iii)	Percentions of this Croup					
(iii)	Perceptions of this Group of Employees on morale in the wor	kplace as	a wh	ole:		
	\$	Significantly Worsene		No Change		ificantly proved
	Changes in overall workplace mora	ale 🖵				
	Overall comments of this Group of workplace as a whole. (These may sense of commitment to the organic	refer to as	sess	ments of	stress	
	Comments:-					
Resp	oonding Group:					

6.	Impacts on Labour/Management	
	Relations	

Aspect to be Measured	Situation in 12 Months Before Change Initiative	Current Situation
Number/duration of Labour Disputes or work stoppages (Annual total)		
Number of Grievances (Annual or monthly average)		
Number of Arbitrations (Annual or monthly average)		
Number of Consultative or Advisory Joint Committees* set up		
Number of Joint Committees* with Decision-making Powers set up		
Number of Issues Referred to Joint Labour-Management Committees (Annual total)		

<sup>(\*</sup> other than those required by legislation, such as Health and Safety Committees)

		Significantly Worsened	C	No hange	_	ificant iprove
(i)	Quantity/quality of information flowing between the workplace parties.  Specify:	_				
(ii)	State of labour/management rela	ations 🖵		<u> </u>		

## 7. Impacts on Health and Safety

Aspect to be Measured	Situation in 12 Months Before Change Initiative	Current Situation
Number of Accidents (days lost, etc.) (Annual or Monthly average)		
Number of Compensation Claims (Annual or Monthly average)		

		Yes	No
(i)	Awards for Health and Safety, Accident Prevention, etc. Specify:		
(ii)	Have negative impacts been prevented?  Specify	٠	

## 8. Impacts Related to Training/Skill Development

Aspect to be Measured	Situation in 12 Months Before Change Initiative	Current Situation
Size of Annual Training Budget		
Annual Training Expenditures		
Presence of Training Budget		
Presence of Training Plan		
Number/Percent of Workforce receiving training(Annual or Monthly average)		
Number/Percent of Workforce receiving Cross-Training (Annual or Monthly average)		

(b)	Qualitative Assessments of:	_	icantly duced	,	No Change	_	icantly reased
(i)	Changes in skill levels of the workfo						
(ii)	Changes in opportunities for/access to training Specify:						
(iv)	Changes in the amount of training required in the workplace (including changes in the training needed to support the change process itself) Specify:	9				0	

## 9. Impacts on the Role of the Union

NOTE: This Section may be completed separately by Senior Managers, Middle/Line Managers, Senior Union Officials, and Rank and File Employees

Qualitative Assessments:					
	Significar Reduce	•	No Chang	_	nificar ncreas
Union participation in:					
- workplace committees;					
- day-to-day operation of the workp	olace 🖵				
- planning, deciding and implement changes	ting				
Comments on changes in the role management, role in workplace ch		•	_	elations	ship v
	ange proc	esses	etc.)	elations	ship
management, role in workplace ch	ange proc	esses	etc.)		ship

10.	Other	<b>Outcomes</b>
1 <b>O</b> .		Outcomes

(a)	What other outcomes, not listed in preceding pages, are importal this workplace?				