1.6.6

# **CRFI** Application to Aircraft Performance

Because of the many variables associated with computing accelerate-stop distances and balanced field lengths, it has not been possible to reduce the available data to the point where CRFI corrections can be provided, which would be applicable to all types of operations. Consequently, only corrections for landing distances and crosswinds are included pending further study of the take-off problem.

It should be noted that in all cases the tables are based on corrections to flight manual dry runway data and that the certification criteria does not allow consideration of the extra decelerating forces provided by reverse thrust or propeller reversing. On dry runways, thrust reversers provide only a small portion of the total decelerating forces when compared to wheel braking. However, as wheel braking becomes less effective, the portion of the stopping distance attributable to thrust reversing becomes greater. For this reason, if reversing is employed when a low CRFI is reported, a comparison of the actual stopping distance with that shown in Table 1 will make the estimates appear overly conservative. Nevertheless, there are circumstances, such as crosswind conditions, engine-out situations or reverser malfunctions, that may preclude their use.

Table 1 recommended landing distances are intended to be used for aeroplanes with no discing and/or reverse thrust capability and are based on statistical variation measured during actual flight tests.

Notwithstanding the above comments on the use of discing and/or reverse thrust, Table 2 may be used for aeroplanes with discing and/or reverse thrust capability and is based on the Table 1 recommended landing distances with additional calculations that give credit for discing and/or reverse thrust. In calculating the distances in Table 2, the air distance from the screen height of 50 ft to touchdown and the delay distance from touchdown to the application of full braking remain unchanged from Table 1. The effects of discing and/or reverse thrust were used only to reduce the stopping distance from the application of full braking to a complete stop.

The recommended landing distances stated in Table 2 take into account the reduction in landing distances obtained with the use of discing and/or reverse thrust capability for a turboprop-powered aeroplane and with the use of reverse thrust for a turbojet-powered aeroplane. Representative low values of discing and/or reverse thrust effect have been assumed and; therefore, the data may be conservative for properly executed landings by some aeroplanes with highly effective discing and/or thrust reversing systems.

The crosswind limits for CRFI shown at Table 3 contain a slightly different display range of runway friction index values from those listed for Tables 1 and 2. However, the CRFI values used for Table 3 are exactly the same as used for Tables 1 and 2 and are appropriate for the index value increments indicated.

AIR 1-11

## TABLE 1

#### Canadian Runway Friction Index (CRFI) Recommended Landing Distances (No Discing/Reverse Thrust)

<b>Reported Canadian Runway Friction Index (CRFI)</b>														
Landing Distance (Feet) Bare and Dry	0.60	0.55	0.50	0.45	0.40	0.35	0.30	0.27	0.25	0.22	0.20	0.18	Landing Field Length (Feet) Bare and Dry	Landing Field Length (Feet) Bare and Dry
Unfactored	Recommended Landing Distances (no Discing/Reverse Thrust)											60% Factor	70% Factor	
1 800	3 1 2 0	3 200	3 300	3 4 1 0	3 540	3 700	3 900	4 0 4 0	4 1 5 0	4 3 3 0	4 470	4 6 2 0	3 000	2 571
2 000	3 480	3 580	3 690	3 830	3 980	4 170	4 4 1 0	4 570	4 700	4 910	5 070	5 250	3 333	2 857
2 200	3 7 2 0	3 830	3 960	4 1 1 0	4 280	4 500	4 750	4 940	5 080	5 310	5 490	5 700	3 667	3 143
2 400	4 100	4 2 3 0	4 370	4 540	4 740	4 980	5 260	5 470	5 620	5 880	6 080	6 300	4 000	3 429
2 600	4 4 5 0	4 590	4 750	4 940	5 160	5 4 2 0	5 740	5 960	6 1 3 0	6 4 1 0	6 6 3 0	6 870	4 333	3 714
2 800	4 760	4 910	5 0 9 0	5 290	5 530	5 810	6 1 5 0	6 390	6 570	6 880	7 110	7 360	4 667	4 000
3 000	5 070	5 240	5 4 3 0	5 650	5 910	6 2 2 0	6 590	6 860	7 060	7 390	7 640	7 920	5 000	4 286
3 200	5 4 5 0	5 630	5 840	6 090	6 370	6 720	7 1 3 0	7 420	7 640	8 010	8 290	8 600	5 333	4 571
3 400	5 740	5 940	6 170	6 4 3 0	6 740	7 1 1 0	7 550	7 870	8 100	8 500	8 800	9 1 3 0	5 667	4 857
3 600	6 0 5 0	6 260	6 500	6 780	7 1 2 0	7 510	7 990	8 3 3 0	8 580	9 000	9 320	9 680	6 000	5 143
3 800	6 340	6 570	6 830	7 130	7 480	7 900	8 4 1 0	8 770	9 040	9 4 90	9 840	10 220	6 333	5 429
4 000	6 5 5 0	6 780	7 0 5 0	7 370	7 730	8 170	8 700	9 080	9 360	9 830	10 180	10 580	6 667	5 714

## Application of the Canadian Runway Friction Index (CRFI)

- 1. The recommended landing distances in Table 1 are based on a 95 percent level of confidence. A 95 percent level of confidence means that in more than 19 landings out of 20, the stated distance in Table 1 will be conservative for properly executed landings with all systems serviceable on runway surfaces with the reported CRFI.
- 2. Table 1 will also be conservative for turbojet and turboprop-powered aeroplanes with reverse thrust, and additionally, in the case of turboprop-powered aeroplanes, with the effect obtained from discing.
- 3. The recommended landing distances in the CRFI Table 1 are based on standard pilot techniques for the minimum distance landings from 50 ft, including a stabilized approach at  $V_{ref}$  using a glideslope of 3° to 50 ft or lower, a firm touchdown, minimum delay to nose lowering, minimum delay time to deployment of ground lift dump devices and application of brakes, and sustained maximum antiskid braking until stopped.
- 4. Landing field length is the landing distance divided by 0.6 (turbojets) or 0.7 (turboprops). If the Aeroplane Flight Manual (AFM) expresses landing performance in terms of landing distance, enter the table from the left-hand column. However, if the AFM expresses landing performance in terms of landing field length, enter the table from one of the right-hand columns, after first verifying which factor has been used in the AFM.

# TABLE 2

#### Canadian Runway Friction Index (CRFI) Recommended Landing Distances (Discing/Reverse Thrust)

Reported Canadian Runway Friction Index (CRFI)														
Landing Distance (Feet) Bare and Dry	0.60	0.55	0.50	0.45	0.40	0.35	0.30	0.27	0.25	0.22	0.20	0.18	Landing Field Length (Feet) Bare and Dry	Landing Field Length (Feet) Bare and Dry
Unfactored	Recommended Landing Distances (Discing/Reverse Thrust)											60% Factor	70% Factor	
1 200	2 000	2 040	2 080	2 1 2 0	2 170	2 2 2 2 0	2 280	2 340	2 380	2 4 4 0	2 4 9 0	2 540	2 000	1 714
1 400	2 340	2 390	2 4 4 0	2 500	2 580	2 660	2 7 5 0	2 820	2 870	2 950	3 0 1 0	3 080	2 333	2 000
1 600	2 670	2 7 3 0	2 800	2 880	2 970	3 070	3 190	3 280	3 360	3 460	3 540	3 630	2 667	2 286
1 800	3 010	3 080	3 160	3 250	3 350	3 480	3 630	3 7 3 0	3 810	3 930	4 0 3 0	4 1 3 0	3 000	2 571
2 000	3 340	3 4 2 0	3 520	3 620	3 740	3 880	4 0 5 0	4 170	4 260	4 400	4 510	4 6 3 0	3 333	2 857
2 200	3 570	3 660	3 760	3 880	4 0 2 0	4 170	4 360	4 4 9 0	4 590	4 750	4 870	5 000	3 667	3 143
2 400	3 900	4 000	4 1 1 0	4 2 3 0	4 380	4 550	4 750	4 880	4 980	5 150	5 270	5 410	4 000	3 429
2 600	4 200	4 300	4 4 2 0	4 560	4 710	4 890	5 100	5 240	5 350	5 520	5 650	5 790	4 333	3 714
2 800	4 4 6 0	4 570	4 700	4 840	5 000	5 190	5 4 1 0	5 560	5 670	5 850	5 980	6 1 3 0	4 667	4 000
3 000	4 740	4 860	5 000	5 160	5 340	5 550	5 790	5 950	6 070	6 270	6 4 2 0	6 580	5 000	4 286
3 200	5 080	5 220	5 370	5 550	5 740	5 970	6 240	6 4 2 0	6 560	6 770	6 940	7 110	5 333	4 571
3 400	5 3 5 0	5 500	5 660	5 850	6 0 6 0	6 3 1 0	6 590	6 790	6 9 3 0	7 170	7 340	7 530	5 667	4 857
3 600	5 620	5 780	5 960	6 160	6 390	6 6 5 0	6 960	7 170	7 320	7 570	7 7 50	7 950	6 000	5 143
3 800	5 890	6 060	6 2 5 0	6 460	6 700	6 980	7 310	7 540	7 700	7 970	8 160	8 380	6 3 3 3	5 429
4 000	6 0 7 0	6 2 5 0	6 4 4 0	6 660	6 9 1 0	7 210	7 540	7 780	7 950	8 2 2 0	8 4 3 0	8 650	6 667	5 714

### **Application of the Canadian Runway Friction Index (CRFI)**

- 1. The recommended landing distances in Table 2 are based on a 95% level of confidence. A 95% level of confidence means that in more than 19 landings out of 20, the stated distance in Table 2 will be conservative for properly executed landings with all systems serviceable on runway surfaces with the reported CRFI.
- 2. The recommended landing distances in Table 2 take into account the reduction in landing distances obtained with the use of discing and/or reverse thrust capability for a turboprop-powered aeroplane and with the use of reverse thrust for a turbojet-powered aeroplane. Table 2 is based on the Table 1 recommended landing distances with additional calculations that give credit for discing and/or reverse thrust. Representative low values of discing and/or reverse thrust effect have been assumed, hence the data will be conservative for properly executed landings by some aeroplanes with highly effective discing and/or thrust reversing systems.
- 3. The recommended landing distances in CRFI Table 2 are based on standard pilot techniques for the minimum distance landings from 50 ft, including a stabilized approach at  $V_{ref}$  using a glideslope of three degrees to 50 ft or lower, a firm touchdown, minimum delay to nose lowering, minimum delay time to deployment of ground lift dump devices and application of brakes and discing and/or reverse thrust, and sustained maximum antiskid braking until stopped. In Table 2, the air distance from the screen height of 50 ft to touchdown and the delay distance from touchdown to the application of full braking remain unchanged from Table 1. The effects of discing/reverse thrust were used only to reduce the stopping distance from the application of full braking to a complete stop.
- 4. Landing field length is the landing distance divided by 0.6 (turbojets) or 0.7 (turboprops). If the AFM expresses landing performance in terms of landing distance, enter the table from the left-hand column. However, if the AFM expresses landing performance in terms of landing field length, enter the table from one of the right-hand columns, after first verifying which factor has been used in the AFM.



This chart provides information for calculating headwind and crosswind components and the vertical lines indicate the recommended maximum crosswind component for reported CRFI.

Example: CYOW CRFI RWY 07/25 - 4 .3 9301191200

Tower Wind 110° 20 KT.

The wind is 40° off the runway heading and produces a headwind component of 15 kt. and a crosswind component of 13 kt. The recommended minimum CRFI for a 13-kt crosswind component is .35. A takeoff or landing with a CRFI of .3 could result in uncontrollable drifting and yawing.

## TABLE 4

# RUNWAY SURFACE CONDITION (RSC) AND CRFI EQUIVALENT



This table contains average equivalent values of CRFI produced by typical runway surface condition and may be used as a guide when CRFI numbers are not available.