ANNUAL REPORT TO PARLIAMENT



# Report on the Application of the *Alternative Fuels Act*

Fiscal Year 2003-04



© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the President of the Treasury Board, 2004 Catalogue No. BT76-1/2004 ISBN 0-662-68462-1

This document is available in alternative formats and on the Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat's Web site at the following address: www.tbs-sct.gc.ca

## Report on the Application of the *Alternative Fuels Act*

Fiscal Year 2003-04



## President's Message

I am pleased to table in Parliament the annual *Report on the Application of the Alternative Fuels Act*, for the fiscal year 2003–04, pursuant to the *Alternative Fuels Act*.

The paper version was signed by Reg Alcock

President of the Treasury Board and Minister responsible for the Canadian Wheat Board

## **Table of Contents**

Еx	ecuti	ve Summary	. İ
1.	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Alternative Fuels Act	1
2.	Com	pliance with the Alternative Fuels Act	2
	2.1	New vehicle acquisitions	2
	2.2	Use of ATF in the federal fleet	3
	2.3	Examples of progress in the use of ATF and emissions reduction	4
	2.4	Key obstacles to expanding the use of ATF	5
3.	Cond	clusion	7
Ар	pend	ix 1: Terminology	8
Ар	pend	ix 2: New Vehicle Acquisitions	10
Ар	pend	ix 3: Definitions of Table Headings1	13

## **Executive Summary**

#### Annual Report on the Application of the Alternative Fuels Act

The *Alternative Fuels Act* (AFA) requires the President of the Treasury Board to report annually on the application of the AFA in respect of all federal government bodies (departments and agencies) named in schedules I, I.1, and II of the *Financial Administration Act* (FAA).

#### Requirements of the Alternative Fuels Act

The AFA requires that, following a seven-year phase-in period, for the fiscal year commencing April 1, 2004, where it is cost-effective and operationally feasible, 75 per cent of all automobiles, passenger vans, light- and medium-duty trucks, and buses operated by federal government departments and agencies use alternative transportation fuels (ATF).

For the fiscal year that began April 1, 2002, the AFA required that 75 per cent of the portion of newly acquired vehicles for which alternative fuels were deemed to be both cost-effective and operationally feasible be physically capable of using these.

The AFA also requires that, when it is cost-effective and operationally feasible, a federal body shall use ATF in the operation of any motor vehicle capable of using such fuels, which must include ethanol, methanol, propane gas, natural gas, hydrogen, or electricity, and these must be used as a source of direct propulsion energy.

## Compliance with the Alternative Fuels Act

With regard to the acquisition of ATF vehicles, the federal government, through activities of the federal bodies named in schedules I, I.1, and II of the FAA, is in full compliance with the requirements of the AFA for the seventh year in a row.

With regard to the use of ATF, federal organizations have indicated that all vehicles in the federal fleet capable of operating on ATF use these to the maximum extent possible.

i

#### 1. Introduction

The *Alternative Fuels Act* (AFA) requires the President of the Treasury Board to report annually on the application of the AFA in respect of all federal government bodies (departments and agencies) named in schedules I, I.1, and II of the *Financial Administration Act* (FAA).

#### 1.1 Alternative Fuels Act

The AFA received Royal Assent on June 22, 1995, and took effect on April 1, 1997. The purpose of the AFA is to accelerate the use in Canada of alternative transportation fuels (ATF) in motor vehicles in order to reduce the emission of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases (GHG) and to reduce dependence on petroleum-based fuels for transportation. The AFA targets the federal vehicle fleet and helps make the government a leader in the use of ATF.

The AFA stipulates that, following a seven-year phase-in period, for the fiscal year commencing April 1, 2004, where it is cost-effective and operationally feasible, 75 per cent of all automobiles, passenger vans, light- and medium-duty trucks, and buses operated by federal government departments and agencies, in the aggregate, use ATF.

Specifically, the AFA requires that departments and agencies review each new vehicle acquisition in terms of its estimated annual fuel consumption and primary operational tasks and determine whether using ATF would be both cost-effective and operationally feasible. This has been interpreted to mean that, if a new vehicle is less expensive to operate on ATF than on a conventional fuel, and the vehicle can fulfil its operational duties, then it is included in the group of new acquisitions that are capable of operating on ATF.

Under the AFA, for the fiscal year that began on April 1, 1997, 50 per cent of that group of vehicles had to have been able to operate on ATF. For the fiscal year that began on April 1, 1998, the requirement increased to 60 per cent. For the fiscal year that began on April 1, 1999, and for every year thereafter, 75 per cent of the viable vehicles must be capable of operating on ATF.

The AFA also requires that, when it is cost-effective and operationally feasible, a federal body shall use an ATF in the operation of any motor vehicle capable of operating on such a fuel.

In July 1995, the Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat revised the *Motor Vehicle Policy* to ensure effective application of the AFA and its regulations and to provide leadership and assistance to departments and agencies to help them meet the obligations set forth in the AFA.

This is the seventh annual report on the application of the *Alternative Fuels Act*.

Section 2 of the Report assesses the federal government's compliance with the AFA. Section 2.1 summarizes the application of the AFA in relation to vehicles acquired in the 2003–04 fiscal year, and section 2.2 reports on the extent of ATF use in the federal fleet during the 2003–04 fiscal year. Section 2.3 contains examples of progress in the use of ATF and emissions reductions, while section 2.4 presents some of the key obstacles to using ATF in the federal fleet.

Section 3 concludes the report with a discussion of the federal government's commitment to continuing to meet the requirements of the AFA.

## 2. Compliance with the Alternative Fuels Act

Taking into account the acquisition activities of all departments and agencies, the federal government reports that it is in full compliance with the AFA. Section 2.1 provides a summary of the application of the AFA on a government-wide basis.

Furthermore, it is reported that all vehicles in the federal fleet capable of operating on ATF use these fuels to the greatest extent possible.

## 2.1 New vehicle acquisitions

The table below provides a summary of the application of the AFA on a government-wide basis for the 2003–04 fiscal year. This table illustrates that at least 75 per cent of all new vehicle acquisitions for which ATF would be cost-effective and operationally feasible are, in fact, vehicles that are capable of operating on ATF. (Definitions of the table headings are contained in Appendix 3.)

	Total number of	Total number of vehicles for which		
Total number of vehicles acquired	vehicles for which ATF is cost-effective	ATF is cost-effective and operationally feasible	Actual number of ATF vehicles acquired	75% target — required acquisitions as per the AFA
3,431	353	144	293	108

A summary of the application of the AFA by department and agency is contained in Appendix 2.

#### Meeting and exceeding the requirements of the AFA

The federal government, through the acquisition activities of departments and agencies, has surpassed the requirements of the AFA for the 2003–04 fiscal year. This can be attributed to the mandate of certain departments and agencies to demonstrate leadership in the use of ATF and to increase emphasis in general of the federal government to make its fleet more climate-friendly.

From information provided by the departments and agencies and data contained in the Public Works and Government Services Canada Vehicle Statistical Information System (VSIS), it is estimated that all the ATF vehicles purchased in 2003–04 are factory-produced. These are the best estimates available at the time of tabling this report.

#### 2.2 Use of ATF in the federal fleet

With respect to the use of any motor vehicle in the federal fleet already capable of operating on an alternative fuel, the AFA requires that federal bodies use an alternative fuel to the greatest extent possible, where this is cost-effective and operationally feasible.

The following table summarizes the estimated fuel consumption by fuel type for the 2003–04 fiscal year for the departments and agencies with the largest fleets.<sup>1</sup>

	Approximate		Average annual consumption per vehicle	
Fuel type	number of vehicles	Total estimated annual consumption		
Conventional fuels			-	
Gasoline				
(includes hybrid vehicles)	22,986	69,166,580 L	3,203 L	
E10 gasoline		4,449,836 L		
Diesel	1,608	10,681,209 L	1,978 L	
Alternative fuels				
Natural gas	340	261,458 kg	769 kg	
Propane	413	867,116 L	2,100 L	
Ethanol 85	882	196,276 L	223 L	
Electric	4	Data not available	Data not available	
Total	26,233			

\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These departments and agencies are Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Canada Revenue Agency, Canada Border Services Agency, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Citizenship and Immigration Canada, Correctional Service of Canada, Environment Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Health Canada, Human Resources and Skills Development Canada, Social Development Canada, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Industry Canada, National Defence, National Research Council Canada, Natural Resources Canada, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, and Transport Canada.

## 2.3 Examples of progress in the use of ATF and emissions reduction

The items below highlight the use of ATF and progress in emissions reduction by the federal government during the 2003–04 fiscal year:

- 1. In November 2002, the Government of Canada announced that it was leading by example in taking action to further reduce GHG emissions from its fleet by using more energy-efficient vehicles and alternative fuels. For example, E10 fuel is to be purchased whenever available and new purchasing criteria have been added to ensure that more climate-friendly vehicles are acquired and that, beginning with the 2004 model year, GHG emissions have been added to cost and fuel consumption as mandatory criteria when making purchasing decisions.
- 2. The total number of federal E85 stations across Canada rose from seven to thirteen during the 2003–04 fiscal year. Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada and Correctional Service of Canada each operated six ethanol 85 (E85) fuelling stations, and Natural Resources Canada operated one. The number of E85 vehicles in the federal fleet increased during this fiscal year, to a total of 882 vehicles.
- 3. The introduction in Canada of hybrid gasoline-electric vehicles has been well received by the federal government, which finds them to be practical and environmentally friendly. Hybrid gasoline-electric vehicles are not considered as ATF vehicles under the AFA and, as such, are not included in the "Number of Actual ATF Vehicles Acquired" column in the table in section 2.1 of this report. Nevertheless, hybrid gasoline-electric vehicles emit significantly less pollutants than conventional gasoline vehicles. The federal government acquired 84 hybrids during the 2003–04 fiscal year (see table below), and it now operates a total of 216 of these fuel-efficient vehicles.

Department/ Agency	Number of hybrid gas-electric vehicles acquired in 2003–04
Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency	3
Canada Customs and Revenue Agency <sup>2</sup>	5
Citizenship and Immigration Canada	1
Correctional Service of Canada	9
Department of Fisheries and Oceans	1
Human Resources Development Canada <sup>3</sup>	13
Economic Development Agency of Canada for the Regions of Quebec	2
Environment Canada	8
Health Canada	6
Indian and Northern Affairs Canada	2
Industry Canada	2
National Defence	6
National Research Council Canada	1
Natural Resources Canada	3
Parks Canada Agency	3
Public Works and Government Services Canada	7
Royal Canadian Mounted Police	10
Transport Canada	2
Total	84

## 2.4 Key obstacles to expanding the use of ATF

Despite the commitment of the federal government to increase the use of ATF in the federal fleet, a number of external factors continue to limit the cost-effectiveness and operational feasibility of using ATF vehicles. During the past seven fiscal years, the acquisition of ATF vehicles and the use of ATF were curtailed by a limited ATF infrastructure across many parts of the country and a limited selection and availability of appropriate ATF vehicles from manufacturers.

On December 12, 2003, Canada Customs and Revenue Agency was divided into Canada Border Services Agency and Canada Revenue Agency.

On December 12, 2003, Human Resources Development Canada was divided into Human Resources and Skills Development Canada and Social Development Canada.

The items below summarize some of the key obstacles.

- 1. During the 2003–04 fiscal year, there continued to be a limited supply of factory-produced ATF vehicles, compared to the number of gasoline models, suitable for federal government operations. For example, propane vehicles are no longer produced, and natural gas vehicles are available only in classes that only a small number of federal organizations require. Vehicle manufacturers are still not producing ATF vehicles in the numbers originally anticipated, and the availability of ATF vehicles in Canada still remains limited, as it is dictated largely by the production needs of the bigger US marketplace.
- 2. Compared to similar classes of gasoline vehicles, premiums for the acquisition of factory-produced ATF vehicles range from \$2,466 to \$13,881 for propane and natural gas vehicles and up to \$3,799 for E85 vehicles. The cost of after-market conversion kits ranges from \$4,550 to \$5,950 for propane and natural gas applications. These factors, combined with the price of gasoline and the relatively low average annual kilometres travelled by federal vehicles, make it less likely that ATF use will be cost-effective.
- 3. The effectiveness and quality of vehicle conversion kits remain questionable, considering that emissions-testing analysis of converted vehicles sometimes reveals higher emissions than those from a gasoline vehicle. The highly sophisticated on-board diagnostic computers in new vehicles have severely curtailed the after-market conversion industry's ability to convert engines properly, and only a limited number of authorized conversion service centres were available in 2003–04 (20 locations in three provinces). The federal government therefore clearly favours factory-produced ATF vehicles and, as a result, 100 per cent of the ATF vehicles acquired in 2003–04 were in fact, factory-produced.
- 4. The natural gas and propane infrastructure is still limited; supply of these fuel types is unreliable outside of urban areas, and supplier hours of operation are often restricted. During the 2003–04 fiscal year, E85 was not available commercially, and federal departments are installing E85 fuelling tanks on government sites in order to expand the use and availability of this ATF.
- 5. The availability and operating hours of approved warranty service facilities offering routine maintenance and repair services for factory-produced or converted ATF vehicles are limited, thereby restricting the use of an ATF vehicle in many locations. Some departments have also noted that the limited number of approved warranty service facilities has resulted in higher maintenance and repair costs.
- 6. Some jurisdictions still restrict the use of propane vehicles in certain areas; for example, in underground parking garages and on some airport tarmacs.
- 7. The addition of an ATF tank sometimes affects the operational capabilities of vehicles. For example, available cargo space is often reduced.

#### 3. Conclusion

During the 2003–04 fiscal year, the federal government, through the activities of the federal bodies named in schedules I, I.1, and II of the FAA, has fully complied with the requirements of the AFA. It should be noted that the federal government has complied with the AFA every year since it came into force in April 1997.

The federal government is committed to continuing to comply with the requirements of the AFA and to demonstrating leadership in attaining all federal environmental objectives. Expanding the current use of ATF and ATF vehicles remains a priority with departments and agencies as long as the use of such products is cost-effective and operationally feasible for their specific requirements.

The federal government finds that the availability of ATF vehicles and associated ATF infrastructure has not yet materialized to the extent first envisaged when the AFA was passed in June 1995. To date, the federal government has encountered many obstacles that place practical limitations on the use of ATF and ATF vehicles. In time, as these obstacles are overcome, there will be greater opportunity to acquire more ATF vehicles for the federal fleet and make greater use of alternative fuels across the federal government.

Expanding the use of ATF and ATF vehicles is but one of many measures taken by the federal government to reduce harmful emissions from its fleet. For example, fuel consumption and GHG emissions are included in the evaluation criteria of bids for federal vehicles, providing an advantage to fuel-efficient vehicles.

The modernization of the federal fleet, where newer, cleaner, and more fuel-efficient vehicles are replacing older technology vehicles, has led to a significant reduction in GHG and harmful ground-level emissions. A recent report on the federal fleet commissioned by Natural Resources Canada as part of the Federal Vehicles Initiative to measure federal fleet energy improvements since 1995–96 provided encouraging findings, which indicated an overall reduction in the federal fleet of over 35 per cent in emissions levels for pollutants such as total hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen oxides. The report also notes an 11 per cent reduction in tailpipe GHG (carbon dioxide) emissions during the same time period.

Sound fleet management practices, in accordance with a life-cycle approach, complement the AFA to ensure that the federal government acquires and operates its vehicles in an environmentally responsible manner.

## Appendix 1: Terminology

The following section provides definitions of the terms frequently used in this report.

#### Motor vehicle

For the purposes of reporting on the AFA, *motor vehicle* is defined to include automobiles, passenger vans, light- or medium-duty trucks, and buses.

## Alternative transportation fuel

Under the AFA, *alternative transportation fuel*, or ATF, must include, but is not limited to, ethanol, methanol, propane gas, natural gas, hydrogen, or electricity, and these must be used as a sole source of direct propulsion energy.

For the purpose of acquiring motor vehicles, the *Alternative Fuels Regulations* expand the definition of ATF given above to include blended fuels when an ATF (as defined above) makes up at least 50 per cent of the blend. Flex-fuel and bi-fuel vehicles are also considered to be ATF vehicles for the purposes of acquiring motor vehicles.

For the purposes of using ATF, the *Alternative Fuels Regulations* also expand the definition of ATF given above to include bio-diesel and blended fuels that include any amount of the approved ATF.

#### Bi-fuel vehicle

A *bi-fuel vehicle* is one with two separate fuel systems that operates on either fuel (e.g. a bi-fuel gasoline-propane vehicle can operate on either gasoline or propane).

#### Flex-fuel vehicle

A *flex-fuel vehicle* is one with a single fuel system that operates on one of two different fuels alone or on a blend of the two (e.g. an E85 vehicle can operate on gasoline alone or on any blend of gasoline and ethanol to a maximum of 85 per cent ethanol).

#### Cost-effective

A vehicle is considered cost-effective for ATF use if it can be demonstrated that the additional cost of either converting a vehicle to use ATF or acquiring a factory-produced ATF vehicle will be recovered in the form of fuel savings over the life of the vehicle.

Where net savings are greater than \$1, a vehicle is considered cost-effective for ATF use.

## Operationally feasible

ATF use is considered to be operationally feasible when it can be demonstrated that the vehicle will be able to fulfill its primary operational tasks.

The definition of operational feasibility will vary across departments and agencies according to a wide range of variables, including the following: the specific travel patterns of each vehicle, the mandate of the department or agency, the availability of alternative fuels wherever the vehicle will travel, the local laws (or by-laws), the vehicle performance requirements, and the vehicle availability or the availability of a suitable conversion kit.

## Appendix 2: New Vehicle Acquisitions

The table below summarizes the application of the AFA for the 2003–04 fiscal year by department or agency. The information reported is the result of consultations with each department and agency.

Departments and agencies included in schedules I, I.1, and II of the FAA but not listed below *did not* acquire any new vehicles during the 2003–04 fiscal year. Nonetheless, they are in compliance with the AFA, as their required ATF vehicle acquisitions would be zero.

Department or Agency	Number of vehicles acquired	Vehicles cost-effective for ATF use	Vehicles cost-effective and operationally feasible for ATF use	Actual number of ATF vehicles acquired
SCHEDULE 1				
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada	98	55	27	73
Citizenship and Immigration Canada	16	3	2	4
Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade	1	0	0	1
Environment Canada	85	17	2	5
Fisheries and Oceans Canada	100	15	7	5
Health Canada	88	9	3	4
Human Resources Development Canada <sup>4</sup>	24	1	0	2
Indian and Northern Affairs Canada	14	1	0	6
Industry Canada	30	2	1	5
National Defence	682	18	0	0
Natural Resources Canada	21	5	2	7
Public Works and Government Services Canada	35	1	0	10
Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness Canada	1	0	0	0
Transport Canada	26	2	1	9
Veterans Affairs Canada	5	0	0	5
Western Economic Diversification Canada	1	0	0	0
Totals for Schedule I	1,227	129	45	136

-

On December 12, 2003, Human Resources Development Canada was divided into Human Resources and Skills Development Canada and Social Development Canada.

Department or Agency	Number of vehicles acquired	Vehicles cost-effective for ATF use	Vehicles cost-effective and operationally feasible for ATF use	Actual number of ATF vehicles acquired
SCHEDULE I.1				
Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency	4	0	0	0
Canada Economic Development for Quebec Regions	3	0	0	0
Canadian Grain Commission	1	0	0	0
Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission	1	1	0	0
Correctional Service of Canada	164	32	27	30
National Parole Board	2	0	0	0
Office of the Chief Electoral Officer	1	0	0	0
Public Service Commission	1	0	0	0
Registrar of the Supreme Court of Canada and that portion of the Public Service of Canada appointed under subsection 12(2) of the Supreme Court Act	1	0	0	0
Royal Canadian Mounted Police	1769	166	62	69
Statistics Canada	1	0	0	0
Totals for Schedule I.1	1,948	199	89	99

Department or Agency	Number of vehicles acquired	Vehicles cost-effective for ATF use	Vehicles cost-effective and operationally feasible for ATF use	Actual number of ATF vehicles acquired
SCHEDULE II				
Canada Customs and Revenue Agency <sup>5</sup>	54	11	6	13
Canadian Centre for Management				
Development	1	0	0	0
Canadian Food Inspection Agency	109	0	0	40
National Research Council Canada	8	0	0	0
Parks Canada	80	14	4	4
Transportation Safety Board of Canada	4	0	0	1
Totals for Schedule II	256	25	10	58
Total for all Schedules	3,431	353	144	293

-

On December 12, 2003, Canada Customs and Revenue Agency was divided into Canada Border Services Agency and Canada Revenue Agency.

## Appendix 3: Definitions of Table Headings

## Department or Agency

These are all federal government bodies (departments and agencies) named in schedules I, I.1, and II of the *Financial Administration Act* that made vehicle acquisitions during the 2003–04 fiscal year.

## Number of vehicles acquired

This is the total number of vehicles acquired by the departments and agencies during the 2003–04 fiscal year.

#### Vehicles for which ATF is cost-effective

This is the number of vehicles acquired in 2003–04 that are considered to be no more costly to operate on alternative fuels than on a conventional fuel.

### Vehicles for which ATF is cost-effective and operationally feasible

This is the number of vehicles acquired in 2003–04 that are considered to be no more costly to operate on ATF than on a conventional fuel *and* are able to fulfill their operational roles while using ATF.

## Actual number of ATF vehicles acquired

This is the actual number of vehicles acquired in 2003–04 that are capable of operating on ATF.

## 75% target — required acquisitions as per the AFA

This is the total number of vehicles acquired in 2003–04 that should be capable of operating on ATF in accordance with the *Alternative Fuels Act*.

For the 2003–04 fiscal year, the AFA requires that 75 per cent of all those vehicles acquired for which ATF is determined to be both cost-effective and operationally feasible should be physically capable of operating on ATF.