

### Key considerations in assessing Environmental impacts of essential elements

F. Van Assche\*, M. Cook\* and A. Green\*\*

\*International Zinc Association, 168, Avenue de Tervuren, B-1150 Brussels, Belgium

\*\* International Lead and Zinc Research Organisation, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA



### Natural, essential elements

- Essential Elements (EE's) e.g. zinc, copper, iron,...are present in natural (background) concentrations in all environmental compartments
- EE's are used by biota for essential functions in cellular metabolism
- Environmental toxicity has been a major issue in the LCIA of metals
- The EU Risk assessment has identified key issues in which EE's require a specific methodological approach



### Natural zinc background

- Biota take their required dose of EE's from their environment
- Internal EE levels are actively regulated (within boundaries by homeostasis
- ⇒ background variability??
- relationship organism sensitivity-background??



The Optimal Concentration range for Essential Elements





### The variable environment e.g. freshwaters

Freshwater	Great Lakes	EU lowland rivers	Nordic waters
Natural Zn background (dissolved, µg/l)	0,09-0,3	1-10	<1-3
pН	7.5-8.2	7.5-8.2	5-8
Mean hardness	50	200	10 - ?
Typical DOC	No data	2-5	5-10



Conditioning of organisms to pre-test zinc concentration

- Sensitivity of the unicellular alga *Raphidocelis subcapitata* 
  - Standard laboratory organism
  - OECD 201 prescribes 1,4 µg/l (dissolved) zinc in test medium
  - often cultivated under the same (zinc) conditions; sometimes however conditions are deviating

### Ecotoxicity of zinc to Raphidocelis subcapitata

- *Raphidocelis subcapitata* was originally cultured for a lon time at very low (<EU natural background) zinc concentration (0.3 μg/l)
- subsequently, the algae were cultured for 4 weeks at 3 different Zinc levels:
  - OECD 201 medium + 0.3  $\mu$ g Zn/l
  - OECD 201 medium + 1.4  $\mu$ g Zn/l
  - OECD 201 medium + 18 μg Zn/l
- <u>Results: Table</u>

Changing zinc sensitivity of *Raphidocelis* subcapitata as a function of culture conditions

Toxicity endpoint	0.3 μg/l zinc	1.4 μg/l zinc	18 μg/l zinc
EC50 Growth rate	37	> 200	1100
NOEC Growth rate	< 4	101	/

### Zinc (NOEC) data of the RAR groupe according to pre-test conditions

- <u><1 μg/l Zn dissolved</u>
  - Ephydatia (3,3)
  - Daphnia (55)
  - Selenastrum (8)
  - Corbicula (25)
  - Ceriodaphnia (31)
  - Jordanella (51)
  - Pimephales (78)
- > <u>> 50 μg/l dissolved</u>
  - Hormidium (1000)
  - Scenedesmus (1400)

- $\geq 1 \ \mu g/l \ Zn \ dissolved$ 
  - Chroococcus (200)
  - Selenastrum (37)
  - Synecoccus (390)
  - Dreissenia (400)
  - Potamopyrgus (75)
  - Daphnia (224)
  - Hyallella (42)
  - Phoxinus (50)
  - Salmo (210)
  - Salvenilus (530)



# Frequency of chronic NOECs as function of zinc background



### Ecological relevancy of ecotoxicity data: the regional approach

- Organisms are conditioned to the background range of EEs in their environment (in nature, in the lab).
- Background levels of metals in the natural environment show great variety
- The sensitivity to EEs of test organisms from environments with different pre-test EE level will be different in laboratory tests
- ⇒ region-specific approach required



Summary statistics/extrapolations for different zinc-metalloregions

Zinc metalloregion	Mean +/-	PNEC
in µg zinc	Standard	(µg
dissolved/l in	Deviation	Zn/l)
culture (N)		
< 1 (7)	36 +/- 27	3.1
> 1, < 50 (10)	216 +/- 174	26



### Bioavailability

- In the natural environment, organisms are conditioned to the <u>available</u> EE concentration
- the <u>combination</u> of factors defines bioavailability
  - water: pH, DOC, hardness
  - soil: OC, CEC
  - sediment: AVS, OC
- The EU has recognised that bioavailability must be factored in the RA
- Research is ongoing to develop the quantifiers for bioavailability in water, sediment, soils



### Modelling bioavailability





## Bioavailability makes the difference

Scenario	PEC/PNEC without bioavailability	<b>PEC/PNEC</b> with integration of availability factor
Regional waters e.g. region Rhin- Meuse (F)	2.8	0.5-0.8
Roadside waters NL worst case scenario	4.0	0.5

### Relevancy of ecotoxicity data

- EE background and physicochemical factors determine the availability of EE's to biota
- ⇒ conditions to be documented and to be conform with the environment to be assessed:
  - metal background
  - physico-chemistry (pH, hardness, DOC/CEC...)
- To be relevant for use in the risk assessment of a given environment, an ecotox result should be obtained <u>under</u> the conditions of that environment (culture and test)



#### Conclusions

 Due to the close relationship between the environmental conditions (EE background, physicochemistry) and the sensitivity of organisms towards EE's, the potential risks of EE's must be assessed on a regionspecific, not a general-environment basis