## Towards Improved Environmental Indicators During the Mining Life Cycle

Dirk. J.A. van Zyl Director, Mining Life-Cycle Center Mackay School of Mines University of Nevada, Reno

## Outline

- Dangers of oversimplification
- Scale
- Mining life cycle
- Mine facilities
- Environmental impacts throughout the mine life cycle
  - Energy
    - Observations



#### Life Cycle Thinking

#### Life Cycle Approach

#### Life Cycle Assessment

Frankl, P. and F. Rubik (2000) Life Cycle Assessment in Industry and Business, Springer-Verlag, 280 pp.





Birkhofer, H. and C. Gruner (2002) Holistic Design for Environment and Market Methodology and Computer Support, Chapter 10 in: Mechanical Life Cycle Handbook, M.S. Hundal (Editor), Marcel Dekker, Inc., pp 217-244

## Dangers of Oversimplification

- Extrapolation of selected information can result in incorrect generalizations:
  - For example, presence and assumptions about bioavailability of some constituents
    - Arsenic in some copper ores
    - Selenium in some phosphates
    - Mercury in some gold ores
    - Sulfides in many gold and base metal ores

Including specifics will increase data collection intensity for LCI's

# Scale and Technology

 LCA indicators must be developed at the site level, in a few cases at a regional level • National level indicators for mineral systems: – Are being developed in the USA (www.mackay.unr.edu/mlc) and Canada Not all of these are applicable and useful to LCA Technological advances can reduce mining impacts

# Mining Life Cycle (Spiral?)

Closure

Ongoing Operations

Temporary Closure

Exploration Mine

Development

Operations -

Post-Closure

Future Land Use

?????





## Mine Facilities: Solids and Water Flows and Releases to the Air



**Remember the Infrastructure** 

Mine

Heap Leach

Processing

→ Solids → Water

Tailings

??



### Environmental Impacts – Area of Disturbance

| Mine Life-<br>Cycle Stage | Normal<br>Operations | Failures –<br>probability of<br>release |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Exploration               | Low                  | Low                                     |
| Development               | Increasing           | Increasing                              |
| Operations                | Highest              | Highest                                 |
| Closure                   | Reducing             | Reducing                                |
| Post-Closure              | Very Low             | Very Low?                               |

10.55 - 505 - 1





## LCA Approaches to Land Use

#### • Sliwka, et al\*:

- Land use = land requirement x duration  $(y.m^2/t)$
- Chapter 2: Impact assessment of resources and land use:
  - Land use = area x duration of use
  - Transformation impact = (change in) quality x area
  - Occupation impact = quality x area x time
  - (note that "quality can be expressed in various indicators for flora, fauna, soil surface or structure or may be quantitatively different for each indicator")
- Sliwka, P., et al (2001) A Global Environmental Impact Assessment for Bauxite Mining Land Use and Soil Erosion, Proc. Of Light Metals, TMS, New Orleans, pp. 85-90.

## Environmental Impacts – Water Management

| Mine Life-<br>Cycle Stage | Supply/<br>Consumption | <b>Potential Water<br/>Quality Impacts</b> |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Exploration               | Low                    | Low  |
| Development               | Increasing             | Increasing to<br>High                      |
| Operations                | Highest                | Highest                                    |
| Closure                   | Reducing               | High                                       |
| Post-Closure              | Very Low               | High to low?                               |

## Water Quality Impacts

• Process chemicals: Cyanide Sulfuric acid - Organics Others Geologic characteristics of rock: - Acid drainage – Metal leaching

## Sources of Water Quality Impacts

| Source                                 | Life Cycle<br>Stage                | Long-term<br>Issue              |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Process<br>Chemicals                   | Operations<br>to Post-<br>Closure  | Yes to<br>maybe                 |
| Geologic<br>Characteristics<br>of Rock | Exploration<br>to post-<br>closure | Definitely<br>when it<br>occurs |

# Energy

| Life Cycle<br>Stage | Activities  | Types of<br>Energy                  |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Exploration         | Airborne surveys, land<br>surveys, drilling,<br>assays, interpretation    | Fuel,<br>electricity                |
| Development         | Environmental studies,<br>design, procurement,<br>construction            | Fuel,<br>electricity                |
| Operations          | Blasting, transport,<br>processing, refinement,<br>water management, etc. | Explosives,<br>fuel,<br>electricity |

# Energy (2)

| Life Cycle<br>Stage | Activities   | Types of<br>Energy   |
|---------------------|--|----------------------|
| Closure             | Demolition, regrading,<br>reclamation, water<br>management | Fuel,<br>electricity |
| Post-closure        | Monitoring, treatment,<br>etc.                             | Fuel,<br>electricity |









# Observations (1)

For a specific metal at a snapshot in time:

 Not all mines are at the same stage in their life cycle – there are different impacts to area of disturbance, water consumption, etc.

# Mining Life Cycle (Spiral?)

Ongoing Operations

Closure

Post-Closure

Future Land

Use

?????

Temporary Closure

Exploration

- Development

Operations 🔺

# Observations (1)

- For a specific metal at a snapshot in time:

   Not all mines are at the same stage in their life cycle there are different impacts to area of disturbance, water consumption, etc.
  - Therefore: total impact is an integration of impacts from all mines at all their different life cycle stages such as exploration, development, operations, closure, etc.
  - Similarly for energy use

## Observations (2)

#### Transformation in practice:

- Open pit mine: natural vegetation to open pit (void) to lake (water quality?)
- Waste rock: natural vegetation to new land form to new land productivity (higher or lower than before), stable surface
- Tailings: natural vegetation to new land form to new land productivity, less stable surface initially
- Period for 'completing' the transformation cycle is dependent on many factors, e.g. ore body, site physical and climatic conditions, etc.

## Observations (3)

### • The 'R' word:

- Reclamation
- Rehabilitation
- Remediation
- Restoration
- Renaturation
- Topsoil can be salvaged if sufficiently developed and then used for 'R' – very little loss to fertility, etc. before and after mining
- Use native species for 'R' may influence the time it takes for 'success' or 'completion'

# Observations (4)

- Site specific conditions make it difficult to generalize, especially when a metal/material is mined in a wide range of climatic and physical conditions (e.g. copper from the Atacama dessert and Indonesia)
- What considerations for:
  - Abandoned/orphaned mines?
  - Alternative long-term land use: renewable energy generation (e.g. wind), etc.

## **Closing Comments**

- The concepts of impact assessment for metal mining was presented using Life Cycle Thinking – further work required to operationalize
- Average values for land use and other environmental impacts from mineral extraction can be misleading; it may under- or over-estimate the true impacts
  - Not all mines are at the same stage in their life-cycle; LCI must integrate impacts over the various stages
  - Site specific evaluations are necessary to obtain accurate LCI