R. v. Ulayuk, 2006 NWTSC 10

S-1-CR2005000076

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- vs. -

ELI ULAYUK

Transcript of the Reasons for Sentence by The Honourable Justice J.E. Richard, at Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories, on February 22nd A.D., 2006.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. J. Cliffe: Counsel for the Crown

Ms. J. Walsh:

Mr. G. Boyd: Counsel for the Accused

Charge under s. 235(1) Criminal Code of Canada

1	THE	COURT:	There are only a few crime	s of
2		violence which have	e come before the Courts of	
3		this jurisdiction	in recent years that have h	ad
4		such a profound imp	pact on the community as ha	s
5		Eli Ulayuk's horrik	ole crime on October 6th, 2	004.
6		It is an understate	ement to say that our commu	nity
7		was shaken by this	heinous crime. There are	
8		several reasons for	this. One is obviously t	he
9		brutal and vile nat	ture of the murder that he	
10		committed. Another	r is that Mr. Ulayuk has ki	lled
11		before, was given t	the maximum sentence provid	ed
12		by the law for that	first homicide and was on	
13		parole when he comm	mitted this second homicide	
14		Mr. Ulayuk is	obviously a very disturbed	

Mr. Ulayuk is obviously a very disturbed individual who suffers from serious mental difficulties. Because his character as an offender is one of the factors that determines the sentence to be imposed in this case, it is necessary for me to state for the record some of his background leading up to the horrible events of October 6th, 2004.

As appears from the published report from his earlier case, in August 1988, when Mr. Ulayuk was 20 years of age, he caused the death of a young woman in his home community of Igloolik and he did this after having thoughts about having sex with a dead woman. He eventually pleaded

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

guilty to manslaughter. The sentencing Judge was
the late Justice Mark de Weerdt. In sentencing
Mr. Ulayuk to the maximum sentence provided by
law, that is, life imprisonment, Justice de
Weerdt stated that it was the worst case of
manslaughter that he had seen in 35 years in
terms of its extraordinarily horrible facts.

Mr. Ulayuk was sentenced to life imprisonment in 1992. He was released on day parole here in Yellowknife in the year 2000.

The deceased Louise Pargeter was his parole officer in 2001 and at one point she recommended that his parole be revoked, and it was revoked and he was sent back to jail. He was released again on day parole here in Yellowknife in April 2003 and was granted full parole in June 2004.

On October 6th, 2004, the deceased Louise
Pargeter was at Mr. Ulayuk's apartment here in
Yellowknife for a prearranged scheduled meeting
with him as his parole officer. At one point
while Ms. Pargeter was seated on the couch,
Mr. Ulayuk went behind the couch and out of her
line of vision he picked up a hammer and hit her
on the head from behind. She slumped over on the
couch and then Mr. Ulayuk struck her four more
times on the head with the hammer. He then
wrapped some twine around her neck and strangled

2.1

2.2

2.4

her. He then removed her clothing and had sexual intercourse with her. He then took the keys to her vehicle from her jacket and he left his apartment and drove south on the highway out of Yellowknife. Several hours later, just after midnight on October 7th, he was arrested by the RCMP after he fled on foot off of the highway.

Following his arrest, Mr. Ulayuk, in due course, made a number of admissions to the RCMP including the details of the killing and the fact that he had for some time thought about killing Ms. Pargeter and that he had also for some time fantasized about killing other women in Yellowknife and having sex with their dead body. He described himself to the police as suffering from necrophilia. He also stated to the police that he had used crack cocaine on the day of the offence.

Quite apart from Mr. Ulayuk's admissions of culpability in his statements to the police, the police recovered forensic evidence from the scene which clearly implicated Mr. Ulayuk in the crime.

So, today, Mr. Ulayuk is before the Court and pleads guilty to second degree murder.

The law defines murder in the context of this case as the intentional causing of death of another human being. Section 235 of the Criminal

2.1

2.2

2.6

Code states that every person who commits murder shall be sentenced to imprisonment for life.

The Criminal Code goes on to state that a life sentence for second degree murder is to be served without eligibility for parole for a period of ten years, or for such greater number of years as is substituted by the sentencing Judge under Section 745.4 of the Criminal Code, up to 25 years. So the sole discretion that I have today as sentencing Judge is to make that determination of parole ineligibility under Section 745.4 of the Criminal Code.

Before proceeding with that determination, I wish to make reference to the Victim Impact
Statement of the deceased's partner Ann Lynagh
who describes the shock, the loss, the sorrow,
the anger she has felt, the pain that she carries
every day. She also describes how this horrible
crime robbed their very young child of her
mother. And also because of the child's very
young age, that she is, or will be, even robbed
of memories of her mother.

23 She also states,

"...he took my partner whom I choose
to be with, to Louise's parents he
took away their only daughter, to

2.2

her brother he took away his only

1	sister, to her many friends he took
2	away their buddy, their teammate,
3	their confidante, their fishing
4	partner and friend all gone in
5	seconds. The pain manifests itself
6	in many ways, I lock my doors, if I
7	am in the garage I worry someone
8	will enter the house and harm our
9	child. I desperately want nothing
10	bad to happen to her again. I know
11	how dangerous the men are who are
12	released into our care here in
13	Yellowknife and I don't feel safe".
14	And further she states,
15	"It has shattered my belief in the
16	system of justice and the entire
17	system of parole here in Canada".
18	Ms. Lynagh states that her deceased partner,
19	she herself, and many close friends of the
20	deceased were victimized not only by Eli Ulayuk
21	but also by those who underestimated Mr. Ulayuk's
22	risk of reoffending.
23	There were also other written statements
24	filed with the Court by close friends of the
25	deceased. I cannot help but be moved by the
26	sentiment expressed in those statements from
27	Louise Pargeter's friends who are themselves

victims of Mr. Ulayuk's heinous crime. They speak eloquently not only of their own intense personal loss and pain but also of the loss of a sense of safety and security in our community of Yellowknife.

I return now to the determination to be made under Section 745.4 of the Criminal Code. To reiterate, that section states that I may substitute for ten years a greater number of years of imprisonment that Eli Ulayuk must serve before having his suitability to be released into the general public assessed. This can be up to 25 years as the Court deems fit in the circumstances after having regard to the character of the offender, the nature of the offence, and the circumstances surrounding its comission.

As observed by the Court in Ontario in the case of Olsen, the Parliament of Canada in enacting that provision has therefore recognized that some second degree murders are as serious and as morally culpable as a first degree murder.

When I consider as one of the determining factors the character of this offender, I note that Mr. Ulayuk is 37 years of age and is before the Court again for a second time for killing a woman in order to realize upon his bizarre and

2.1

2.2

2.4

2.6

aberrant fantasies. To repeat, Mr. Ulayuk was serving a life sentence on parole at the time of committing this murder. The other homicide committed by Eli Ulayuk is highly relevant to the "character of the offender" as the two homicides have several disturbing features in common.

As to the factor entitled "the nature of the offence and the circumstances surrounding its comission", in this case the deceased was the victim of an especially brutal and vile attack.

Some of the details of the murder are unspeakable. The deceased woman was by all accounts a caring and dedicated parole officer who was working with Mr. Ulayuk and was there with him that day to help Mr. Ulayuk with his reintegration into society. And for those efforts, she was met with the ultimate betrayal.

This murder has had a devastating impact on the deceased's young family, her many close friends, and this community. There are no mitigating circumstances.

My role in making a determination under

Section 745.4 is a sentencing function and not a

parole function. The emphasis obviously has to

be on the protection of society; in particular,

the protection of women in our society.

27 The determination of the appropriate period

2.1

2.2

of parole ineligibility under Section 745.4
requires the careful exercise of judicial
discretion. In this case, I am aided by a joint
submission of counsel that I substitute a period
of 25 years of parole ineligibility.

That would be the maximum sentence allowed by law for this crime. The maximum sentence is usually reserved for the worst offender and the worst offence.

mentioned, I have no hesitation in finding that
Eli Ulayuk and this horrible murder fall within
the worst group of offences under this section of
the Criminal Code and the worst group of
offenders who have committed murder.
Accordingly, in my view the maximum sentence is
appropriate and that is what will be imposed.

Before proceeding to the formal imposition of sentence, I will just mention that the law requires me to read into the record at that time the provisions of the so-called "faint hope clause" as per Section 745.01 of the Criminal Code. I will also mention that the law provides that for the purpose of calculating the period of parole ineligibility, the time starts to run on the day that the offender is arrested and taken into custody by the police.

2.1

2.2

2.4

1 Please stand, Mr. Ulayuk.

2.1

2.2

2.4

For the crime that have you committed, the second degree murder of Louise Pargeter, it is the sentence of this Court that you be imprisoned for life without eligibility for parole until you have served 25 years.

As required by law, I state the following for the record pursuant to Section 745.01.

The offender Eli Ulayuk has been found guilty of second degree murder and sentenced to imprisonment for life. The offender Eli Ulayuk is not eligible for parole until October 7th in the year 2029. However, after serving at least 15 years of the sentence, the offender Eli Ulayuk may apply under Section 745.6 of the Criminal Code for a reduction in the number of years of imprisonment without eligibility for parole. If the jury hearing the application reduces the period of parole ineligibility, the offender Eli Ulayuk may then make an application for parole under the Corrections and Conditional Release Act at the end of that reduced period.

In addition, there will be the mandatory lifetime firearms prohibition order pursuant to Section 109 of the Criminal Code.

I also grant the DNA order sought by the
Crown pursuant to Section 487.051.

1		In the circums	stances, there will be no
2		Victim Fine surchar	cge.
3		Take a seat, N	Mr. Ulayuk.
4		Counsel, is th	nere anything further with
5		respect to this cas	se? Do you need an order
6		regarding the exhib	oits?
7	MR.	CLIFFE:	Just with respect to the
8		exhibits that were	tendered at the preliminary
9		inquiry, Your Honou	ur, I would be asking that they
10		be disposed by way	of return to the Royal
11		Canadian Mounted Police here in Yellowknife.	
12	THE	COURT:	Any submissions, Mr. Boyd?
13	MR.	BOYD:	No submissions, sir.
14	THE	COURT:	The usual order will go with
15		respect to the disp	position of exhibits at the
16		expiry of the appeal period.	
17	THE	CLERK:	Yes, Your Honour.
18	THE	COURT:	Anything further from either
19		counsel?	
20	MR.	CLIFFE:	Not by the Crown, Your Honour,
21		thank you.	
22	MR.	BOYD:	Not from the defence, sir.
23	THE	COURT:	Thank you, and we will close
24		Court.	
25			
26			

1	
2	Certified to be a true and accurate transcript pursuant
3	to Rules 723 and 724 of the Supreme Court Rules,
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Lois Hewitt, CSR(A), RPR, CRR Court Reporter
9	Could Reported
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	