Ethics in Biosystems Policy: Finding a Place for Morality in Public Policy

Jaime Staples, JD PhD. Candidate Health Policy Program Harvard University



- Ethics in Science and Technology Regulation
- Case Study Canadian Royal Commission on Assisted Reproduction Technologies
- Lessons
- Application to Convergence of Bio, Info and Nano Technologies
- Ethical Questions for the Future

Ethics in Science and Technology Regulation

Do Ethical Considerations have a Place in Regulation of Technology?

Ethics and Policy

 "Constant vigilance is required to ensure that moral considerations are not drowned out by the forces of self-interest, prejudice, or inertia, and that the moral viewpoint is not submerged underneath a more narrowly scientific, economic or political viewpoint."
 Will Kymlicka

Royal Canadian Commission

- 1993 Royal Commission into New Reproductive Technologies (Baird Commission)
 - Charged with Considering the Ethical, Legal, Social and Economic Implications of New Reproductive Technologies (NRTs)

Ethics and Technology Policy

What Role Can Morality Play?
 – Change Our Perspective

• Taking Morality Seriously, Implies Taking People Seriously.

Kymlicka's Approach

- How Do We Take People Seriously?
 - Identify Stakeholders
 - Discover their Legitimate Interests in the Technology
 - "Impact Screen"

Kymlicka's Approach

- Identify "Guiding Principles"
 - Overlap/Consistent Themes from Public Consultation
 - Endorsed by All Sectors of Society and Stakeholders

Guiding Principles for NRT

- Autonomy
- Accountability
- Respect for Human Life
- Equality
 - Equal Respect for Persons
 - Equal Access
- Appropriate Use of Resources (Health Care Priorities)
- Non-Commercialization of Reproduction
- Protection of the Child's Best Interests

Balancing Interests

National Legislation

- Weight Clearly Hangs in Favor of Some Interests
- Creative Ways to Alleviate Conflicts of Interests
- Regulatory Body/Advisory Committee
 - Some Conflicts Cannot be Resolved
 - Monitor Technology Use
 - Dissemination of Information

Assisted Human Reproduction Act (2004)

- Established Unified National Policy
 - Prohibits
 - Reproductive Cloning
 - Therapeutic Cloning, except for ART research
 - Sex selection, except for X-linked disorders
 - Creation of human-animal creatures

Assisted Human Reproduction Act (2004)

- Assisted Human Reproduction Agency of Canada
 - License all laboratories and clinics that use in vitro embryos
 - Monitor and evaluate developments in human reproduction for ethical and societal concerns
 - Collect, analyze, and manage health reporting information
 - Provide information to the public
 - Enforce the Act

Lessons

- Use stakeholder interests and national values as a foundation for regulation
- Use Goals to Balance Interests
- Establish National Policies on Points of Agreement
- Create a Regulatory Body for Resolution of Complex Issues, Monitoring and Information

Lessons

- Permit technology to develop at a reasonable pace while instituting ethical safeguards
 - Responsive Ethical Regulation
 - Prospective Ethical Regulation

A Look South

- US Assisted Reproductive Technology
 Policy
 - Industry Self Regulation
 - Advisory Committees
 - Lack of National Legislation
 - Divided Public/Private Research Systems

Across the Pond

- UK Assisted Reproductive Technology
 Policy
 - Embraced the biotechnology revolution early
 - Capitalized on economic opportunity
 - Maintained ability to incorporate ethical considerations into regulatory system
 - Human Fertilization and Embryology Authority (HFEA)

Applying the Framework to Nano, Info and Bio Convergence

- Identify Stakeholders
- Identify Guiding Principles
- Set Goals
- Design Policy

Identify Stakeholders

- Interference in Four Areas
 - Individual
 - Commercial Sector
 - Environment
 - State

Identify Stakeholders

- Individual Health
 - Release deficient enzymes, proteins (Insulin)
 - Target and destroy cancerous cells
 - Implantation of nano-computers may allow for continuous health monitoring and semi-automated treatment
- Individual Living Standards
 - Efficient, clean production of common materials and solar energy
 - Improve equality through low cost access to food, social goods, and sanitation

Identify Stakeholders

- Commercial Sector
 - Commercial Market disruption
 - Inequality of Access
- Environmental
 - Accidents (Gray Goo)
 - Just Distribution of Natural Resources
 - Preserving the Earth
- State
 - Privacy
 - Weapons

Identify Guiding Principles

- Autonomy
- Accountability
- Equality and Equitable Distribution of Goods
- Respect for Human Life
- Appropriate Use and Preservation of Resources/Environment
- Defense against Other Nations/Terrorism
- Cooperation with Other Nations

International Cooperation

- Unique Importance to Nano, Bio, Info Convergence
- 35 Nations have Nanotechnology Programs
- Universal Guiding Principles for International Treaties
 - International Atomic Energy Agency
 - UN Nuclear Weapons Inspectors

Set Goals and Design Policy

- Consider and Balance Legitimate Interests of Stakeholders
- Balance Unhindered Development and Ethical
 Oversight
- Which Regulatory Devices Best Achieve that Balance?
 - Advisory Committees
 - Regulatory Bodies
 - National Legislation
 - International Governing Body
- Delicate Restriction

Invitation to Begin Addressing Future Ethical Implications

- How can Nations join to ensure responsible use of emerging technologies?
- International Divergence of Values
- International Equity of Access
- Preservation of the Environment



- Sheila Jasanoff
- James King
- Brian Staples
- Dan Wikler

References

- Center for Responsible Nanotechnology, (CRNano.org)(accessed 3/21/04).
- Genetics and Public Policy Center, *Report on Attitudes about Reproductive Genetics*, (<u>www.dnapolicy.org/reproductiveGenetics.jhtml</u>) (accessed 1/15/04).
- Habermas, J. The Future of Human Nature, 23 Polity Press, (2003).
- Health Canada, <u>www.hc-sc.gc.ca/english/media/releases/2002</u> (accessed 4/13/2004).

References

- House Commons of Canada, Bill C-6, An Act respecting assisted human reproduction and related research, 3rd Ses., 37th Parliament 2004.
- Human Fertilization and Embryology Authority, (<u>www.doh.gov.uk/embryo.htm</u>) (accessed 1/12/04).
- Institute on Governance, *Biotechnology and Legislators: Supporting Informed Decisionmaking (2003).*
- Kymlicka, W., *Moral Philosophy and Public Policy*, Bioethics, Vol.7:1, 1-27 (1993).