

Management Response to an Independent Evaluation of the Frontier Exploration and Production function

-- G. Lever

Objectives:

The NEB Audit and Evaluation Committee initiated an independent evaluation of the Board's Frontier Exploration and Production (E&P) function that was conducted in February 2005.

The Objectives of this evaluation were to:

- Assess the effectiveness of the Board's Frontier E&P function;
- Assess the ability of the current process to deal with an expected increase in workload;
- Identify gaps in the current procedures and practices; and
- Develop specific recommendations within an overall action plan that will improve the result of the Frontier E&P function.

Methodology:

Over 50 individuals from a representative cross-section of clients (oil and gas industry representatives) and stakeholders (representing governments, other decision-making Boards, and interested parties), and internal NEB personnel were interviewed, using a standard set of questions based on evaluation criteria developed as part of this project.

Observations and Recommendations:

The consultants from Gartner Lee Limited noted that:

- Clients and internal NEB personnel viewed the Frontier E&P function as being efficient, effective, timely, open, transparent, and fair in its operation;
- Clients held the Frontier E&P function in high regard, and valued its expertise and professionalism in completing its regulatory role in Frontier areas; and
- NEB personnel were content with their roles and responsibilities with the Frontier E&P function.

Gartner Lee made 12 recommendations of which the first six were directed at the NEB as a whole and the latter six were specific to the E&P function. In general, management agrees with recommendations made by the consultants with the exception of those related to Devolution (R2), Streamlining of CPRA process (R4), Industry Compliance (R6), Kyoto Protocol (R9), and Information Management (R11).

The attached tables show the high and low priority management action items addressing the recommendations in the Gartner Lee report.



Table A: High Priority Action Items

Consultant's	Action Plan	Timeline	
Recommendation			
R3: Improvements to	Strategy: Review and revise legislation, regulations & guidance material		
Existing Legislation and Regulations that apply in Northern Frontier Areas R7: Improvements to	Create a plan and schedule for revising goal-oriented regulations under COGOA and Accord Acts. Revise and modernize the legislative framework within which we currently work, the Canada Petroleum Resources Act (CPRA), the Canada Oil and Gas Operations Act (COGOA).	30 Jun 05	
Guidelines and Best	Draft and submit recommendations to NRCan and	March 2007	
Practices	DIAND for implementation.	With 2007	
	Implement plan for preparing goal-oriented regulations	As per regulatory	
	and guidance notes.	update plan	
	Consult and engage companies to review draft regulations at an early stage and contribute to the preparation of guidance materials.	As per regulatory update plan	
	Adopt appropriate guidance material from Offshore Petroleum Boards.		
	Share plan with clients, stakeholders, and internally.	As per regulatory update plan	
	Meeting with CAPP scheduled for Jan 06		
	Prepare a plan for Semi-annual meetings with companies and their association to identify issues and to advance understanding and solutions.	31 Oct 05	
	Meeting with CAPP scheduled for Jan 06 and Jun-Jul 06		
	Semi-annual meetings with companies and their associations to identify issues and to advance understanding and possible solutions.	Semi-annual	
	Meeting with CAPP scheduled for Jan 06.		
	Meetings with Chevron, ConocoPhillips, EnCana, Paramount, and Devon are on-going.		
R1: Improved	Strategy: Engagement of clients and stakeholders		
Northern and Frontier Presence	Assess and develop options for additional northern presence, i.e., NEB Northern Office, following MGP	After MGP decision	
R8: Educational and Outreach Programs for Clients and Stakeholder	decision Leverage communication opportunities in the Frontier.	At available opportunities	
	Meetings are planned about two (2) months in advance or by invitation. Where possible, meetings are scheduled with inspections or other activities in the area.		

Consultant's Recommendation	Action Plan	Timeline
Recommendation	N 1 11 51 4 T 1 15 7 1 5 T 1	
	Meetings were held with the Inuvialuit (Inuvialuit Lands	
	Administration and Environmental Impacts Steering	
	Committee), Fort Liard (Ache Dene Cho), Colville Lake	
	(Shatu). Additional meetings are scheduled with the	
	representatives from Deline (Shatu) and Inuvialuit Game	
	Council in Nov 05.	
	Hold semi-annual meetings with companies to identify	Semi annual
	issues and to advance understanding and solutions as	
	noted above.	
	Prepare a plan for annual coordinated INAC, northern	31 Oct 05
	communities* and NEB meeting.	
	The plan will be developed in Fiscal Q4 as there is a new	
	Director at Northern Oil and Gas in Ottawa.	
	Hold annual northern communities meeting as per above plan.	As per plan
R4: Streamlining the Canadian Petroleum Resources Act Regulatory Application Process	Strategy: Leverage QMS for process improvements	
	Assess adequacy of current process	
	Map and publish current COGOA and CPRA processes under QMS. DONE	31 May 05
	Establish linkages within sub-processes and with other	31 Mar 06
D10: Dagisian	processes under QMS.	
R10: Decision Making Processes	Underway.	
	Use QMS for process improvements.	31 Mar 07
	To be undertaken in 2006-07	
R5: Internal NEB	Strategy: Focus on internal team development and capacity	building
Team Development	W.114 (17) 18 1 (17)	20.0 05
	Hold Accountability and Development discussions with	30 Sep 05
	E&P team members to prepare Results for 2005-06. These	
R12: Maintaining and	would include PL, Environment and PL, Safety and	
Enhancing Internal	Engineering in the initial discussions and facilitation of	
Capacity	implementation of the development plan.	
	Individual Results discussions and mid-year discussions	
	have taken place. Development plans have been identified	
	and actions initiated.	
	Implement Development Plan action items.	31 Mar 06 and beyond
	Action underway in most cases.	_

Information sessions and discussions on E&F	internally. 31 Mar 06
Frontier Days and informal session with Tear	
held in September. An additional session with	n economists
is planned for late October 05. Additioanl ses	sions will be
planned for Q4.	
Explore and expand work share opportunities	. 31 Mar 06
Staff are working on a 'NEB' Emergency Pre	
and response program. Inspection officers are	
'shadowing' and learning Frontier operations	and vice
versa as opportunities aries.	
Identify gaps and solutions in People Strategi	es 2006-09. 31 Mar 06
Discussions are underway at Operations BUI	•
vulnerabilities are in the areas of Geophysics	C
engineering. Staffing actions have been initia	
capacity building steps in Data Coordinator re	
Plan and implement an E&P team building ac	•
To be undertaken in Q4 following completion	
Dynamics" evaluation conducted in Sept-Oct	05.

^{*} Major communities include: Fort Liard, Cameron Hills, Colville Lakes, Fort Good Hope, Tulita, Inuvik, Tuktuyaktuk and other communities in the ISR. Selection would be based on consultations with INAC Yellowknife and Gatineau as well as communities on subject and timing.

Table B: Low Priority Action Items

Consultant's	Action Plan	Timeline
Recommendation		
R11: Information	Use e-mail to receive daily well log.	31 Mar 06
Management	Develop plans to leverage technology to capture data	31 Mar 06
	electronically going forward.	
	Implement appropriate elements of the approved plan.	31 Mar 07
R6: Industry	Raise companies' awareness of filing requirements.	31 Mar 06
Compliance**	Escalate outstanding submissions requirement to	31 Mar 06
	management.	
R2: Legal Certainty	Keep current on status.	On-going
and Devolution	Develop plan for regulatory transfer to Northwest	31 Mar 06
	Territories.	
	Develop E&P human resources and work plan.	31 Mar 06
R9: Kyoto Protocol	NRCan and EC leads.	

^{**} While this recommendation was rated as High by the consultant, this stems from an internal frustration that some companies have outstanding report submissions. Elevating this to Industry Compliance and High priority is exaggerating the issue identified during interviews.