

Chapter

1

Managing the Safety
and Accessibility of Pesticides
[Expanded table of contents]

Table of Contents

Main Points	1
Introduction	3
Pesticide use affects almost all Canadians	3
Debates over risks	3
Pesticides also deliver benefits	4
The federal government's approach to managing pesticides has evolved	4
Responsibility for managing pesticides is shared	5
Focus of the audit	6
Observations and Recommendations	7
Evaluating new pesticides	7
The Agency has a sound, evolving framework for evaluating pesticides	7
Evolving requirements and methods	8
Changes driven by U.S. requirements	9
Slow progress on new methods	9
Some pesticides are approved based on inadequate information	9
Limited assurance of information quality	9
Heavy use of temporary registrations	9
Key assumptions are not tested and some are not correct	10
Effects of assumptions not analyzed	10
Predictions not checked	11
Unrealistic assumptions about user behaviour	11
The Agency does not consistently apply its evaluation framework	11
Steps are not always followed	11
Repeated use of emergency registrations	12
Overall, safeguards need to be strengthened	12
Re-evaluating old pesticides	13
The Agency manages a legacy of older pesticides	13
Older pesticides were not evaluated against current standards	13
Effectiveness not reconsidered	13
Progress on re-evaluations has been very slow	14
Progress depends on U.S. efforts and priorities	14
Basic management tools not used	15
The Agency has been slow to manage other components of old pesticides	16
Slow progress on formulants	16
Overall, the Agency is not ensuring that pesticides meet current standards	16
Providing access to new pesticides	18
New approaches needed for controlling pests	18
The Agency is not meeting its performance targets consistently	18
Planned performance gains have not been achieved	19
Efficiency improvements are limited	19
Joint reviews are not achieving planned gains	19
Minor use pesticides pose other problems	20
A new initiative to make minor use pesticides more available	20

Ensuring compliance	20
The Agency does not know to what extent users are complying with pesticide labels	21
Limited and unreliable information about user compliance	21
Problems with labels make it harder to ensure compliance	21
The Agency does not know how effective its compliance activities have been	23
The job will get harder	23
Methods for measuring pesticide residues on food are not up-to-date	24
Understanding the impacts of pesticides	24
Critical information on pesticide use and exposure is still missing	25
Pesticide sales database only in prototype	25
Gaps in data on Canadians' exposure to pesticides	25
Monitoring of adverse effects still not implemented	25
Federal research on the health impacts of pesticides has not been a priority	27
Priorities are not reflected in research	27
Some environmental impacts have been researched	27
Overall priorities are not yet clear	27
A co-ordinated monitoring program is still not in place	28
No shared priorities for monitoring	28
Gaps in monitoring of water quality	29
Guideline development has lagged	29
Appropriate analytical methods are not always available]	30
Departments are making new efforts to work together	30
Addressing the Agency's management risks	32
Human resources management continues to be difficult	32
Funding has been pieced together from several sources	34
Funding less than anticipated	34
Cost recovery review not yet started	34
Performance information lacks the cost dimension	34
Moving pest management forward	35
A new phase	35
The search for alternatives to traditional pesticides	35
Conclusion	36
About the Audit	38
Appendix	
Audit criteria	40