

PROJECT SHEET

SUBCOMMITTEE: Joint Review

PROJECT TITLE: **Implementation of the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)**

PROJECT ID: JR03-03-1205

PROJECT TEAM: Canada: Pierre Petelle, Brenda Linke
United States: Mary Frances Lowe, Deborah McCall
Mexico: Rocío Alatorre

INITIATION: December 2003

UPDATE: June 2006

GOAL: To exchange information among countries regarding GHS implementation issues, mechanisms and timing, in order to achieve a harmonized process among NAFTA countries and implement the GHS as it relates to pesticides in a coordinated manner.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

To develop a system for information sharing and exchange regarding GHS outreach and implementation activities undertaken by the Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Comisión Intersecretarial para el Control del Proceso y Uso de Plaguicidas, Fertilizantes y Sustancias Tóxicas (CICOPLAFEST). These exchanges will promote harmonized approaches to the GHS.

Issues and challenges relating to GHS implementation that are identified/addressed in one country will be shared with the other NAFTA countries with a view toward developing common approaches.

Implementation timelines within each country will be discussed, with the goal of achieving harmonized implementation schedules.

BACKGROUND/RATIONALE:

The GHS was adopted by consensus by the United Nations Economic and Social Council in July 2003 and is the product of more than a decade of tripartite international negotiations. NAFTA countries have been involved in the development of the GHS since the beginning of the negotiating process and joined in the consensus. The World Summit on Sustainable Development and the Intergovernmental Forum on Chemical Safety have set a goal for GHS implementation by 2008.

It is anticipated that, when implemented on a global basis, the GHS will:

- a) enhance protection of human health and the environment worldwide,
- b) reduce the need for duplicative testing and evaluation of chemicals, and
- c) facilitate international trade.

These objectives will be achieved by promoting greater consistency in regulatory requirements, providing a common and coherent approach to classifying hazards as well as communicating hazard information on labels and safety data sheets.

The GHS includes the following elements:

- a) harmonized criteria for classifying substances and mixtures according to their health, environmental (aquatic) and physical hazards;
- b) certain standardized label elements (pictograms, signal words and hazard statements for each hazard class and category) and guidance with respect to other information that should be provided on labels (e.g., product and supplier identifiers); and
- c) standardized format and contents for safety data sheets.

Due to the level of trade among NAFTA countries, a harmonized approach toward implementation of the GHS in North America is of particular importance in order for NAFTA countries to reap the benefits of harmonization, including enhanced protection of human health and the environment. Greater consistency in pesticide label requirements should also reduce potential for consumer and worker confusion resulting from different hazard information on labels of similar products in different countries.

WEB INFORMATION:

More detailed information relating to the GHS and national implementation issues is available on the Web.

1. For the GHS text and international documents:
http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_welcome_e.html
2. For the EPA White Paper, comparison document and Questions and Answers:
<http://www.epa.gov/oppfead1/international/globalharmon.htm>
3. For Canadian implementation activities:
http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/intactiv/ghs-sgh/index_e.html

WORK PLAN

SUBCOMMITTEE: Joint Review

PROJECT TITLE: **Implementation of the Globally Harmonized System for Classification and Labelling (GHS)**

UPDATE: June 2006

| GOAL | ACTIVITIES | TIME FRAME |
|--|---|---|
| Harmonize the implementation mechanism and timing of the GHS among NAFTA countries | NAFTA parties continued to exchange information on status of GHS in respective sector/country, issues identified and implementation strategies/considerations, with a view toward developing common approaches. | November 2003 Done and ongoing |
| | PMRA provided information on the GHS workshop held in Toronto (October 15, 2003). | November 2003 |
| | EPA shared White Paper outlining initial thinking on how GHS might be implemented for pesticides. | Discussed at July TWG executive board meeting. Public comment period opened August 25 and closed on December 6, 2004. |
| | PMRA conducted stakeholder conference calls, with NAFTA partners as invited, non-participating observers. | May–June 2004 |
| | Conference calls to review progress, discuss results of PMRA stakeholder calls and comments received on EPA White Paper. | Fall and winter 2004–2005 |
| | PMRA released Classification Discussion Document to its stakeholder working group and EPA. | April 2005 |
| | Update provided to NAFTA TWG Executive Board. Contact names for Mexico updated. | June 2005 |
| | EPA and PMRA developed a comparison document to summarize current similarities and differences in proposed GHS approaches. | December 2005 |
| | Implement the GHS in harmony within NAFTA | |