

New Developments – Harmonization and the PCPA

Charalyn Kriz

May 19, 2004



What is harmonization?

- ◆ Does not mean “identical” rather being close enough that worksharing and Joint Reviews can routinely occur
- ◆ Means finding acceptable approaches that will maintain current high levels of protection of health and environment - not simply accepting another country’s decision

Definitions

- ◆ **Joint Review:**

- a formal process with specific time lines

- workload is split up between the countries

- reviews of data are exchanged, peer reviewed

- cooperative risk assessment

- goal of harmonized and simultaneous registration decision

- ◆ **Work Share:**

- ad hoc exchanges of information

- can include the division of work and collaboration on decisions for

- new active ingredients, new uses and reassessment of older pesticides



Approach to Harmonization

- ◆ Aggressively pursued through the NAFTA TWG and the OECD WG on Pesticides
- ◆ Methodical stepwise approach
- ◆ Learn by doing



Stepwise Approach to Harmonization

- ◆ Data requirements and study protocols
- ◆ Standard templates for study reports and study evaluations
- ◆ Standard formats for industry submissions and country reviews
- ◆ Compatible electronic tools for submission and review
- ◆ Risk assessment methods
- ◆ Decisions harmonized to the extent possible

Stepwise Approach

- ◆ Parallel review 1995
- ◆ First joint review – 1996 – reduced risk chemicals
- ◆ JR - Microbials and pheromones – 1997
- ◆ JR- NAFTA priorities- alternatives to OPs and MeBr
- ◆ JR – complete or partial electronic submissions, OECD formats, multiple active ingredients
- ◆ Minor uses



Harmonization Status

- ◆ Two operational programs for Joint Reviews / work sharing for new and existing pesticides (NAFTA)
- ◆ Many data requirements harmonized (NAFTA/OECD)
- ◆ Many study protocols harmonized (NAFTA/OECD)
- ◆ Universal formats for pesticide submissions and country reviews completed (OECD)



Harmonization Status

- ◆ Templates for study reviews and study reports harmonized (NAFTA) and underway (OECD)
- ◆ Compatible electronic tools
- ◆ Risk assessment approaches being harmonized, e.g., cancer, MTD, DNT, others (NAFTA/OECD)

Recent Developments

- ◆ Minor uses (AAFC/IR-4/PMRA/EPA)
- ◆ 3 potential Joint Review – electronic submissions
- ◆ Looking for opportunities to share reviews with the EU



Benefits of harmonization

- ◆ Earlier/simultaneous access to newer safer pest management tools
- ◆ Earlier re-evaluation using up-to-date science
- ◆ More efficient process for evaluation and re-evaluation
- ◆ Opportunity for improved and similar decisions

Benefits of harmonization

- ◆ Eliminates duplicate data generation and some country-specific requirements
- ◆ Assemble once electronically in standard format and submit globally
- ◆ Removal of trade barriers through similar MRLs



Key Issues

- ◆ How to increase industry interest and participation in Joint Review process
- ◆ Need industry permission to share reviews and discuss interpretation of data with other countries

New *Pest Control Products Act*

Objectives:

- ◆ Strengthens health and environmental protection
- ◆ Makes the registration system more transparent
- ◆ Strengthens post-registration controls on pesticides



Making the Registration System More Transparent

- ◆ Consultation on major registration decisions
- ◆ Reconsideration of major registration decisions by review panels
- ◆ Public registry
 - ◆ Detailed evaluation reports
- ◆ Test data in reading room
- ◆ Sharing confidential information

Consultation

- ◆ Consultation on major registration decisions
 - ◆ New active ingredients
 - ◆ Major new uses
 - ◆ Re-evaluations and special reviews
- ◆ Consultation document
 - ◆ Proposed Regulatory Decision Document (PRDD) or Proposed Acceptability for Continued Registration (PACR)
 - Summaries of risk and value assessments
 - Proposed decision and rationale

Reconsideration of Decisions

- ◆ Reconsideration of major registration decisions
- ◆ Anyone may file a notice of objection
 - ◆ within 60 days of publishing RDD or RRD
 - ◆ detailed evaluation reports and test data available
- ◆ Review panel may be established
 - ◆ if not, written reasons provided

Reconsideration of Decisions

- ◆ Open process
- ◆ Recommendations considered in deciding to confirm, reverse or vary original decision
- ◆ New regulations required

Register

- ◆ Confidential business information (CBI)
 - ◆ Not available to public
- ◆ Confidential test data
 - ◆ Public may inspect in reading room
- ◆ All other information available through public registry (electronically or hard copy)
 - ◆ Status of registered pesticides
 - ◆ Applications
 - ◆ Re-evaluations and special reviews
 - ◆ Detailed evaluations of risks and value

Confidential Business Information

- ◆ Definition of CBI
 - ◆ Financial information
 - ◆ Manufacturing processes
 - ◆ Methods for determining a product's composition
 - ◆ Formulants *not* of health or environmental concern
- ◆ Identity and concentration of formulants that *are* of concern not included in definition of CBI
- ◆ CBI - not in public registry

Public Registry

- ◆ Information must be available:
 - ◆ in as convenient a manner as practicable
 - ◆ electronically, as soon as reasonably practicable

Public Registry

- ◆ Public may obtain a copy of any information in Register except:
 - ◆ confidential test data (CTD)
 - ◆ confidential business information (CBI)
- ◆ Key information not previously available:
 - ◆ applications
 - ◆ detailed evaluation reports (monographs)

Sharing Confidential Information

CBI and confidential test data can be shared, *in confidence*, with:

- ◆ federal regulators
 - to protect health, safety or the environment
- ◆ federal and provincial/territorial regulators
 - when health, safety or environment is endangered
- ◆ regulators in other countries
- ◆ medical professionals
 - to make diagnosis or give treatment

Sharing Confidential Information

- ◆ MOUs will be developed with OGDs
- ◆ Agreements will be developed with provinces, other countries

Sharing Confidential Information

- ◆ CBI and confidential test data can be shared, *in confidence*, with:
 - ◆ a review panel established to reconsider a registration decision
 - ◆ a person or body requested to provide advice