

PROPOSAL ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATION and APPROVAL FORM

INSTRUCTIONS

Note: This form contains **GENERIC** questions that address common standard requirements for all HRDC project based contribution programs. Please feel free to add any information required by the specific program/region/local area (e.g. participant information).

What is the purpose of this form?

The Proposal Assessment, Recommendation and Approval form is designed to guide you through these critical steps in the program delivery and to assist with documentation of these steps.

How to use this form?

1. Assessment

This portion of the form contains a series of questions designed to assess proposed activites, timelines and capacity of the applicant to carry out the project. Questions are based on the requirements identified in the Treasury Board Policy on Transfer Payments. Assessment notes and comments must be included with this form. These can be typed under each question in the Word format of this form or attached to the file. This section should be completed and signed by the Program Officer responsible for reviewing the application/proposal.

2. Recommendation

This section must be completed by the Program Officer if the proposal is recommended for funding by HRDC. It is designed to document the reasons for recommending funding including any information that is required under the specific program to support the recommendation. It should be completed using brief narrative paragraphs or bullets. Please type directly under each heading. It must be verified and approved by those individuals who have the authority to do so, under the Delegation of Authority for the program/region.

3. Approval

This section should be signed by the individual(s) who have delegated signing authority to approve projects under the specific program. This may include the Minister.





Resp. RC No.

PROPOSAL ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATION and APPROVAL

Program Area	Program Option				
Applicant Name					
Contact Person(s):					
Area Code/Telephone No. () –	Area Code/Fax No.				
E-mail Address					
Approval Type (check one)	Regional Ministerial				
Client Group(s) (If applicable) Youth at Risk Youth in General Student Women Aboriginal Other client group (specify) Number of participants/beneficiaries in project (if applica	Persons with Visible Mine Disabilities	orities			
ASSESSMENT CRITE	RIA	YES	NO	N/A	NEED FOLLOW- UP
ASSESSMENT CRITE	RIA	YES	NO	N/A	FOLLOW-
		YES	NO	N/A	FOLLOW-
THE APPLICANT	e terms and conditions of the program?	YES	NO		FOLLOW-

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	YES	NO	N/A	NEED FOLLOW- UP
3. Have the applicant's previous agreements with other organizations produced successful results?				
4. Does the applicant have the experience and expertise to carry out the proposed activities?				
5. Does the applicant have an overpayment with HRDC?				
6. Has the applicant completed the declaration section regarding amounts owing in default to the Government of Canada that is part of the application for funding?				
7. Is there a potential employer/employee relationship between the sponsor and HRDC?				
THE PROPOSAL				
8. Does the application/proposal meet the program terms and conditions?				
9. Does the proposal outline the means by which the applicant will verify participant eligibility (if applicable)?				

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	YES	NO	N/A	NEED FOLLOW- UP
10. Does the proposal address identified needs within the community?				
11. Are the proposed activities consistent with national/regional/local priorities?				
12. Have objectives and outcomes been clearly defined, with scheduled time frames?				
13. Does the proposal have an implementation plan that includes:				
(a) a description of results to be achieved?				
(b) an outline of project activities?				
(c) timelines for each activity or objective?				

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	YES	NO	N/A	NEED FOLLOW- UP
14. Are proposal objectives realistic given the plans outlined?				
15. Is there an adequate facility to accommodate the project?				
16. Is this project an appropriate use of contribution funds? (i.e. contribution rather than service agreement; actual costs rather than fee for service)				
17. Does the project create the impression that the service is directly provided by federal employees of HRDC?				
18. Is there a description of the applicant's staffing requirements, human resource practices (hiring, evaluation etc.) and administrative procedures to be used in the management of this project?				
19. Does the proposal avoid any appearance or reality of unfair competition?				

				1
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	YES	NO	N/A	NEED FOLLOW- UP
THE BUDGET				
20. Do budgeted costs relate specifically to the project activities?				
21. Do the budgeted costs fall within program guidelines?				
22. Are project costs reasonable and reflect "fair market value"?				
23. Are the cost categories detailed, including the overhead costs?				
24. Is there adequate rationale for capital purchases?				
25. Does the applicant have adequate bookkeeping and financial controls in place to track project expenditures, including third party contributions?				
26. Does the project provide value for money, i.e. economy, efficiency and effectiveness?				

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	YES	NO	N/A	NEED FOLLOW- UP
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT				
27. Has an Environmental Assessment Pre-screening document been completed?				
28. Has an Environmental Assessment been completed, if applicable?				
PARTNERSHIPS & CONSULTATION				
29. (a) Has the applicant sought funding from other sources?				
(b) Has the applicant obtained funding from other sources?				
30. If applicable, is there a letter on file from each partner confirming their commitment (monetary, in-kind donations, etc.) and the extent of their involvement?				
31. If applicable, is there evidence of MP support for the proposal?				
32. If applicable, is there evidence of community support for the proposal?				

Resp. RC No.

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	YES	NO	N/A	NEED FOLLOW- UP
33. If applicable, has union concurrence been received in writing?				
34. Has there been consultation with internal and external partners (e.g. MP, review boards, other HRDC areas, provincial and/or other partners) Please provide details:				
EVALUATION				
35. Does the proposal outline the means by which progress will be assessed?				
36. (a) Does the proposal include performance measurement indicators?				
(b) Does the proposal describe an evaluation method for these indicators?				

Notes

			File No.	
			Resp. RC No.	
Are you recommending this project for funding?	YES	N N	10	
If yes, please complete the recommendation rationa	le section of t	nis form.		
If we whence we wide a built actionals				
If no, please provide a brief rationale				

Completed by Program Officer						
Name:	Title:					
Signature:		Date:				
and/or			Y	М	D	
Assessed by Review Committee		Date:				
Please attach Review Committee meeting minutes.			Y	М	D	-

Resp. RC No.

Recommendation

Executive Summary of the Proposed Project

Г

Total Budget:\$	
Amount requested from HRDC: (as it appears on the original application/proposal) \$	
Total funding from other sources:\$	
Please list each source, the respective amounts and state whether moneta	ary or in-kind)
mount recommended by HRDC: \$	_
Provide brief explanation if the amount recommended is different from require free for salaries, overhead, client needs and capital construct	uest, and a summary breakdown tion/purchase costs)

Resp. RC No.

Please provide a brief executive summary of the proposed project that addresses the following items:

Objectives

Beneficiaries

Resp. RC No.

Activities

Expected Results

Resp. RC No.

Project Duration

Applicant's mandate, background and history with HRDC

Resp. RC No.

Recommendation Rationale

Please indicate the reasons for recommending the proposal	
How does the project address program objectives and priorities?	
Capacity and expertise of applicant to achieve expected results	

Resp. RC No.

Consultation in support of the recommendation

Applicability to HRDC/HRCC business plan

Resp. RC No.

Other information required by this specific program in support of the recommendation

Conditions for approval (e.g. environmental assessment, confirmation of other funding)

Comments:						
Recommended by:						
Name:	Title:					
Signature:		Date:				
			Y	Μ	D	
Reviewed by:						
Name:	Title:					
Signature:		Date:				
			Y	Μ	D	
Name:	Title:					
Signature:		Date:				
			Y	Μ	D	
Approval						
Amount of funding approved by HRDC: \$						
Approved by:	Title:					
(Minister or delegated authority)						
Signature:		Date:	Y	М	D	