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#### Abstract

The available data for stock assessment is insufficient to recommend species specific catch quotas for the five management areas on the Pacific coast of British Columbia. However, this document does provides evidence of significant fishing effects on yelloweye and quillback rockfish populations at research survey index sites and other fishing locations in B.C. The level of fishing mortality at these index sites is likely indicative of inshore rockfish fishing mortality within the rockfish management region and possibly throughout B.C. Given the biology of inshore rockfish, together with the inability to assess total catch and the evidence of excessive fishing mortality, it is recommended that a portion of the rockfish population in each management area be completely protected from harvest through spatial management measures such as harvest refugia (areas closed to fishing) as a precautionary measure to promote conservation. In addition, comparable reductions in fishing effort in the remaining areas open to fishing must be accomplished or exceeded if managers choose to remain with the status quo or begin the rebuilding of stocks. Improvements to catch data, stock monitoring indices as well as stock assessment methods must continue to enable the possibility of an assessment of inshore rockfish stock status in the future.


## Résumé

Les données dont nous disposons pour l'évaluation des stocks ne sont pas suffisantes pour que nous puissions recommander des quotas par espèce pour les cinq secteurs d'exploitation sur la côte Pacifique de la Colombie-Britannique. Cependant, le présent document fournit effectivement des preuves attestant d'effets importants de la pêche sur les populations de sébaste aux yeux jaunes et de sébaste épineux aux sites repères des relevés de recherche et à d'autres lieux de pêche en C.-B. Le taux de mortalité par pêche aux trois sites repères est probablement une indication de la mortalité par pêche des sébastes côtiers dans le secteur d'exploitation du sébaste et, peut-être, à l'échelle de la C.-B. Étant donné la biologie des sébastes côtiers, conjuguée à l'incapacité d'évaluer les prises totales et aux preuves de mortalité excessive par pêche, il est recommandé de protéger complètement de la pêche une portion de la population de sébaste dans chaque secteur d'exploitation par l'application de mesures de gestion spatiale comme des refuges (zones fermées à la pêche) en guise de précaution pour promouvoir la conservation. En outre, il faut réduire selon les mêmes proportions ou même davantage l'effort de pêche dans les secteurs demeurant ouverts à la pêche si les gestionnaires décident de garder le statu quo ou de commencer à reconstituer les stocks. L'amélioration des données sur les captures, des indices de surveillance des stocks et des méthodes d'évaluation des stocks doit se poursuivre si l'on veut être en mesure d'évaluer les stocks de sébastes côtiers à l'avenir.
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## 1. Introduction

"Inshore rockfish" refers to species of the genus Sebastes that inhabit rocky reefs in relatively shallow water from Alaska to California. These species include yelloweye (S. ruberrimus), quillback (S. maliger), copper (S. caurinus), china (S. nebulosus), black (S. melanops) and tiger (S. nigrocinctus) rockfish. Yelloweye and quillback rockfish are targeted species within this group, hence research and assessment is focused on these two species. What little biological data that exist on the other species are included.

This assessment of inshore rockfish compiles historic landings data, constructs catch indices from the commercial ZN fishery logbook data and uses catch age data from biological samples obtained from the commercial fishery and research surveys to estimate mortality rates ( M and Z ) and infer regional fishery effects on rockfish populations. The utility of catch data, population indices and research surveys in the assessment of inshore rockfish is discussed and management advice is recommended for further consultation.

Annual inshore rockfish stock assessments for the Pacific coast of Canada began in 1986 (Richards 1986). Interim assessments were conducted in 1992 to 1995 (Yamanaka and Richards, 1993b, 1994, 1995, Yamanaka 1995) and in 1999 (Kronlund et al.). Major assessments have been conducted in 1991 (Yamanaka and Richards 1992) and 1996 (Yamanaka and Kronlund 1997a). Other Pacific Scientific Advice Review Committee (PSARC) documents on inshore rockfish include Kronlund (1997) that discusses the difficulty in assessment and management, Yamanaka and Kronlund (1997b) and Kronlund and Yamanaka (1997) which review catch indices from fishery logbook records, Yamanaka et al. (2001) investigating genetic population structure of yelloweye rockfish and Kronlund and Yamanaka (2001) documenting yelloweye rockfish research surveys conducted in 1997/98 to investigate harvest impacts on populations.

## 2. Catch Data

### 2.1 Coastwide Landings

A significant obstacle to the management of rockfish fisheries throughout the northeast Pacific has been the assessment of incidental catch and the composition of the catch by species (Parker et. al. 2000). Because of the low survival rate experienced by rockfish after catch and release, all rockfish caught must be accounted for as part of the overall catch. Compiling overall catch data, which includes landed catch as well as released catch, for inshore rockfish in British Columbia is nontrivial.

Rockfishes are targeted or incidentally caught in all hook and line and trawl fisheries on the coast (Table 1.). Verified landed catch data from dockside monitoring programs is available for all commercial fisheries except salmon troll and shrimp trawl. The released catch of rockfish can be estimated for the rockfish, halibut, groundfish trawl and shrimp trawl fisheries by extrapolations from onboard observer data. Gaps still exist in onboard observer coverage of the dogfish, lingcod, sablefish and salmon by troll fisheries. Recreational landings are estimated from creel surveys conducted on individual fishers and from voluntary logbooks programs for lodges and
guides. These surveys and logbook programs are not standardized nor consistently collected on a coastwide basis, therefore comparisons between areas is difficult. The recreational catch has the potential to be large as there are on average 254,000 (between 1988 and 2000) recreational licenses issued annually in B.C. First Nations catch is not well documented on a coastwide basis. Aside from the dockside monitoring and logbook programs, identification of the rockfish catch to species is rare.

The available landings of rockfish by hook and line gear are summarized by management region in Table 2. Management regions are defined as:
a. Queen Charlotte Islands (QC) which include Pacific Fishery Management Areas (PFMAs) 1 and 2,
b. North Coast (NC) PFMAs 3 through 5,
c. Central Coast (CC) PFMAs 6 through 10,
d. West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) PFMAs 21 through 27 and 11 and
e. Strait of Georgia (SG) PFMAs 12 through 20, 28 and 29.

For the ZN rockfish fishery, logbook data is merged with dockside landing data to geographically partition landings by location. In 2001, the catch data for the dogfish and lingcod (Schedule II) fisheries will be handled in the same way. Between 1995 and 2000, the dockside monitoring program recorded the management region fished for only the catch of inshore rockfish species, hence the catch of other rockfish species could not be partitioned to location. Logbook data are not available for the halibut fishery hence no location or effort information can be associated with the rockfish landings. This is a significant data gap as the halibut fleet harvests about half of the total yelloweye rockfish landed (Table 1). Trawl catch is low for inshore rockfish and is shown in Tables 3 and 4 for groundfish and shrimp fisheries. Estimates of recreational catch for areas outside the Strait of Georgia are shown in Tables 5 to 8. Estimates of First Nations catch is shown in Table 9.

Onboard observer coverage for the hook and line fleet has been limited. In the halibut and rockfish fisheries 54 onboard observer trips were completed between the 1999 and 2000 fishing years. In 1999, 20 trips were conducted onboard halibut vessels and 13 trips ( 6 handline and 7 longline) on rockfish vessels. In 2000, 21 trips were conducted on halibut vessels. Estimates of the total catch weight and its disposition are summarized by fishery and geartype in Table 12a, inshore rockfish catch weight is summarized in Table 12b and a weight percentage of inshore rockfish landed (versus caught) is presented in Table 12c. An estimated $78 \%$ and $93 \%$ of the rockfish caught during observed trips in the halibut and rockfish fisheries are landed, respectively. An estimated $73 \%, 78 \%$ and $64 \%$ of the yelloweye, quillback and copper rockfish, respectively, caught during observed trips in the halibut fishery are landed.

The mortality rate suffered by discarded rockfish is unknown. Based on shallow water fishing with handline gear and holding experiments, estimates of $30 \%$ mortality rate at 1-month post catch have been made for quillback rockfish (Berry 2001). Long-term mortality rates are likely higher for these fish as visible eye damage alone was noted for $55 \%$ of the catch.

### 2.2 Strait of Georgia Management Region landings

Commercial hook and line rockfish landings by PFMAs within the Strait of Georgia are shown in Table 11. Recreational landings in numbers of fish (pieces), effort in boat trips and landings in weight ( t ) from the Strait of Georgia Creel Survey are shown in Table 12a and 12b. In recent years the annual catch in pieces per boat trip for salmon has declined since 1997 to a point where the catch rate for salmon is equivalent or less than that for rockfish Figure 1a and 1b, Table 13. Extrapolating landed catch weight data from both the Johnstone Strait and the Strait of Georgia creel surveys (multiplying pieces by 0.7 kg ) and comparing these recreational landings to the commercial landings between 1982 and 2000 by PFMA, spatial trends are apparent (Figure 2). At the beginning of the time series ( 2 a and 2 b ) the commercial fishery is spread throughout the area but in the later years ( 2 c and 2 d ) is concentrated in the north. This change in area of catch to areas further from the traditional fishing grounds adjacent to markets may indicate stock declines in the traditional areas. Currently, the recreational fishery lands a greater proportion of rockfish in the southern portion of the Strait of Georgia management region, the Strait of Georgia proper, than the commercial fishery (Tables 14a. and 14b.).

### 2.3 Catch Summary

At best, the available landed catch data for inshore rockfish can be regarded as a minimum estimate of the total catch (landed and released catch). Commercial fishery landed catches are well known and the released catch of rockfish, based on onboard observer data, may be 7 to 12 \% higher than the landed catch in the rockfish and halibut fisheries. Standardized programs for the collection of fishing effort and location data as well as the coastwide recording of rockfish to species are required from the recreational and First Nation fisheries. The ability to accurately estimate the coastwide total catch (landed and released catch) of rockfish by species is an integral component of assessing fishery impacts on stocks. If catch history by species were known, the resulting effect on the species stock could be assessed through fishing mortality estimates and species specific catch levels appropriate for the stock could be estimated.

## 3. Population Indices

### 3.1 Catch and Effort

### 3.1.1 Commercial Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE)

The longest time series of catch data by species for inshore rockfish are from the ZN logbook records from 1986 to the present (Hand et al. 1990, Haigh and Richards 1997). These data were used to construct a time series of catch per unit of effort (CPUE) in an attempt to index population trends. In the past, logbook records have been examined for quillback and copper rockfish in the Strait of Georgia (Kronlund and Yamanaka 1997) and yelloweye rockfish on the west coast of Vancouver Island (Yamanaka and Kronlund 1997b). The utility of the ZN logbook CPUE to index inshore rockfish populations was uncertain. Fishery dependant indices are influenced to an unknown extent by the management regulations imposed on the fishery. This influence may be so great that trends in population abundance are obliterated. A brief history of management actions and Total Allowable Catch (TACs) for the ZN fishery is outlined in Table 15 and 16. Detailed management actions for the Strait of Georgia management region are
compiled and presented in Kronlund and Yamanaka (1997) and for the other outside regions in Yamanaka and Kronlund (1997b).

CPUE was derived from the weight of the catch by species per fishing day by vessel. The choice of the effort unit as a "fishing day" was done to conserve data. With the changes in the logbook over time, the majority of records previous to 1995 did not contain details of gear configuration or fishing sets as effort units. The coastwide logbook data was extracted from the PacHarvHL database (http://zoidberg/sql/).

The majority of the fishing outside of the Strait of Georgia management region is conducted with longline gear. Inside the Strait of Georgia is largely a handline fishery. For each species of inshore rockfish, trends in the median CPUE (upper and lower quartiles) are shown in Figures 3 to 8 for the longline fishery by management region and Figures 9 to 14 for the handline fishery by PFMAs 12, 13, 17, 18 and 19 in the Strait of Georgia management region. Fishing options were introduced to the ZN fishery in 1995. Median CPUE (upper and lower quartiles) are shown in Figure 15 for the option A, targeted live quillback rockfish fishery and Figure 16 for the option B, targeted yelloweye rockfish fishery. Vertical lines in each panel show the year in which limited entry was implemented.

Management changes that coincide with changes in CPUE trends are:
a) 1992 - implementation of a limited entry fishery in the Strait of Georgia management region
b) 1993-limited entry for all other management regions.

Limited entry reduced the number of licenses from 592 to 74 in the Strait of Georgia management region and from 1,591 to 183 for the outside regions. This management action decreased fishery wide effort and hence the CPUE index increased.
c) 1995 - change in TAC management in 1995 from "red snapper" and "other rockfish" to yelloweye and rockfish aggregates 1 to 7 .
The "other rockfish" category, which contained all rockfish species except "red snapper" (yelloweye) was split into 7 aggregates but the entire TAC for "other rockfish" was assigned only to aggregate $1 \& 2$ (quillback and copper rockfish). This resulted in an increase in CPUE for quillback rockfish as more effort was directed at fishing this large TAC.
d) 1991 to 2000 - Continual declines in total allowable catch (TAC).

As with other fishery dependent catch indices, CPUE is affected by the catch, lowering TACs will lower CPUEs. Fishermen claim that decreasing TACs will result in lowered CPUE as fishing becomes more non-directed.

For immobile, reef species such as inshore rockfish, CPUE derived from data summarized over large geographic areas may not be indicative of stock abundance. Catch rates remain high as each of the reefs within the large area are consecutively fished, this 'hyper-stability' of CPUE will give no indication of declines in reef specific stocks until all reefs in the area have been fished.

### 3.1.1.1 Longline CPUE coastwide (Figures 3 to 8)

CPUE increased for yelloweye and quillback rockfish in 1993 (limited entry) and has tended to decline since in all regions. Catch rates are lowest in the North, Central and Strait of Georgia regions ( $\sim 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{day}$ ) and highest in the Queen Charlotte Islands region ( $\sim 175 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{day}$ ). An increase in CPUE in 1995 for quillback rockfish (influence of management change?) is followed by general declines since the 1993-1995 period in all the outside regions. CPUE is largely uninformative for copper, black, tiger and china rockfish as the catch is low and non-targeted.

### 3.1.1.2 Handline CPUE Strait of Georgia management region (Figures 9-14)

Similarly to the longline CPUE an increase in handline CPUE is seen in 1992 and 1993 (influence of limited entry?) for quillback and yelloweye rockfish, respectively. CPUE has generally declined since 1992-1993 for both species. Catch rates in 2000 for quillback rockfish increase with latitude from a $10 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day in PFMA 19 to $32 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day in PFMA 12. Again CPUE is uninformative for copper, black, tiger and china rockfish.

### 3.1.1.3 CPUE by fishing options A (quillback) and B (yelloweye) (Figures 15 and 16)

CPUE trends for the option A longline fishery, which targets quillback rockfish for the live market, show declines in all outside regions. Catch rates are lowest in the North and West Coast Vancouver Island regions ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day ) and highest in the Queen Charlotte Islands region ( $\sim 75$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ ). CPUE trends for the option B fishery, which targets on yelloweye rockfish, also show declines over the series. Catch rates are lower in the North and Central coast regions ( $\sim 50$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day}$ ) and highest in the Queen Charlotte Islands region ( $\sim 250 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{day}$ ).

### 3.1.2 Commercial Fishing effort

### 3.1.2.1 Option A, B, C, and I (Figures 17 to 20)

The spatial extent of the fishing effort, by option (A, B, C and I) and year (1995-2000) is shown in a shaded $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ grid over the coast. Fishing effort, in the number of fishing sets has been summed within each grid cell annually. Fishing effort is spread throughout the coast and has shifted from area to area through the series. Concentrations of effort in the option A fishery have moved from the middle of the Central coast region in 1995 to the North Coast and Upper Vancouver Island areas in 1998-1999. Effort in the option B fishery peaked in 1997/1988 and has declined since. The option C fishery targets on the deep-water rockfish and is concentrated along the shelf break. Effort in the option C fishery has increased since 1997, coincident with the decline in the option B fishery. Despite the movements of the fleet, CPUE trends have declined over the time series. The option I fishery is concentrated in three general areas of the Strait of Georgia management region, Queen Charlotte Sound, Campbell River and the Gulf Islands. Areas of concentrated fishing effort have contracted in size over time and an obvious decrease in fishing effort is evident in the Gulf Island area. The decline in quillback CPUE coincides with the movement of the commercial fishing fleet out of the lower Strait of Georgia (Figure 2).

### 3.1.3 Recreational CPUE

### 3.1.3.1 Strait of Georgia (Figure 21, Table 17)

A catch index from 1982-2000 for the recreational fishery was constructed from numbers of rockfish per 10 boat trips for the months of June through August. Catch rates are low ( 1 to 4 per 10 trips) in all areas with the exception of PFMA 16 ( 15 per 10 trips) where the catch rate has increased steadily since 1993. This is probably indicative of a targeted recreational rockfish fishery in this area. CPUE trends from the creel survey do not reflect trends in the commercial fishery data. CPUE trends from the recreational fishery are less likely to be indicative of the population status of inshore rockfish in the Strait of Georgia. The creel survey is focused on characterizing the salmon catch. Landing sites are chosen for salmon activity, rockfish are not recorded to species and the program only extends over the salmon fishing season whereas rockfish fishing occurs year round.

### 3.1.4 Research survey CPUE

### 3.1.4.1 Yelloweye rockfish surveys

Since there were no established time series of research surveys to assess fishing influences on yelloweye rockfish populations over time, industry's knowledge of the history of the fishery was used to assess whether relative levels of historic fishing effort were detectable in present day catch rates and population demographics. Yelloweye rockfish index survey sites were established in 1997. These index sites represent contrasting levels of fishing pressure in the Queen Charlotte Islands and the upper West Coast of Vancouver Island. The Anthony Island and Top Knot areas are considered heavily fished relative to the Tasu and Triangle Island areas, respectively. Chartered commercial fishing vessels fished these sites with standardized longline gear in September of 1997 and May of 1998. Catch rates for yelloweye rockfish were lower and less variable at the heavily fished Top Knot site than the other sites (Table 18 from Kronlund and Yamanaka 2001). When viewed on a very fine spatial scale (minutes of longitude and latitude), comparable commercial fishery logbook data for the same geographic locations, showed similar patterns. Top Knot catch rates were not as large as those from the other sites. This low catch rate at Top Knot coincides with industry's perception that this area had received the heaviest fishing pressure on the coast. However, the highest catch rates in both the survey and logbook data peaked in 150 metres of depth and only depths of up to 100 metres were fished at the Top Knot site. Depth may explain some of the differences in catch rates. Results from assessing yelloweye rockfish age structure show more clearly the influence of fishing on the populations (See Catch Age).

### 3.1.4.2 Quillback rockfish surveys

Research surveys have been conducted for quillback rockfish in PFMA 12 since 1986 and provide the longest time series of research CPUEs and biological data. The changes in CPUE and quillback rockfish population demographics reflect the fishery influence on stocks. Hook and line jig surveys were conducted 1986, 1987, 1988 and 1992 (Richards and Hand 1987, Yamanaka and Richards 1993). Three depth intervals were fished at ten survey sites (Figure 22). Median CPUE in 1992 increased in the shallowest depth interval (1), and decreased in the medium (2) and deep (3) depth intervals. The corresponding age data shows the median age of
the quillback rockfish declined in all the depth intervals. In 1992, a large age-7, 1985 year class was recruiting to the fishery and accounting for the increase in CPUE for that year.

### 3.2 Catch and Effort Summary

The commercial CPUE trends for the ZN fishery show declines after the implementation of the limited entry fishery in 1992/93. If CPUE is indexing the rockfish populations, there has been a steady decline in the populations since 1992/93. Arguments can be made for the direct influence of management actions on CPUE and the alternative explanation of lowered TACs resulting in lower CPUEs over the same period. The utility of using the commercial ZN fishery CPUE as an index of rockfish abundance is limited, as the effect of management actions on CPUE cannot be independently assessed. The ZN fishery index may be further compromised with the trend towards larger proportions of the rockfish catch taken outside of the ZN fishery. The ZN CPUE series will increasingly reflect non-directed rockfish fishing and may be of little value for stock assessment.

Maps of the commercial fishing effort show annual movements of the fleet in association with declines in CPUE. This may indicate stock declines over large areas if CPUE is an index of abundance. Declines in fishing effort in the southern portion of the Strait of Georgia management region with corresponding increases in the northern portion may be indicative of stock declines in the lower Gulf Islands. Recreational CPUE is uninformative as an index of abundance as the creel survey is focused on the salmon fishery. The creel survey does, however, identify areas of targeted recreational rockfish fisheries. Research CPUE for yelloweye rockfish showed similar trends to commercial logbook CPUE on very fine spatial scales (much smaller than PFMAs). For both yelloweye and quillback rockfish, depth is an important aspect to CPUE. CPUE can be elevated by strong year classes recruiting to the fishery and cannot be differentiated without complementary catch age data.

A major impediment to inshore rockfish stock assessment is the lack of reliable abundance index or an abundance estimate. It is unlikely that an abundance index exists within the current ZN fishery data. New fishery independent methods to index abundance must be developed.
Research surveys with submersibles and Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs) are expensive and are limited in their spatial coverage, however, these methods allow the direct assessment of inshore rockfish abundance. Using visual abundance estimates and habitat assessments, allowable biological catches (ABCs) have been estimated for the demersal shelf rockfish (DSR) fishery in southern, southeast Alaska (O'Connell et al. 1998, O'Connell and Carlile 1993). These visual methods used in conjunction with habitat and fishery CPUE indices could provide the basis for extrapolating site-specific research information to estimate relative fish abundance over much larger spatial scales. Traditional fishing indices may be used in areas where the fishery still operates and non-intrusive methods must be used in areas closed to fishing or in areas where stock rebuilding is targeted.

### 3.3 Catch Age

Samples collected from the commercial fishery are summarized by species and presented in Tables 19a, 19b, 19c and 19d. This data was extracted from the GFBio database (http://zoidberg/sql/). In general, individual commercial fishery samples were too small to estimate growth parameters or total mortality rates from catch curve analyses. In cases where
commercial samples were used, they were usually grouped by year, over various PFMAs or both. The larger samples and hence the most informative catch age data were obtained through research surveys at specific index sites (Richards and Cass 1987, Richards and Hand 1987, Yamanaka and Richards 1993a, Berry 2001, Kronlund and Yamanaka 2001). Estimates of von Bertalanffy growth parameters (1938) and Hoenig's (1983) total mortality (Z) for yelloweye and quillback rockfish derived from catch age data are shown in Table 20 and Figures 23 and 24.

Yelloweye rockfish range to 97 and 115 years for males and females, respectively, become vulnerable to longline gear from the age of 6 and 7 for males and females, respectively, and are then assumed to remain vulnerable for the rest of their lives. The age of full recruitment appears to be 16 years at Bowie and increases with decreasing latitude to 18 years at Tasu, Anthony Island and Triangle Island and 20 years at TopKnot. This change in age of full recruitment is related to differences in fish growth and size at age. Yelloweye rockfish size at age increases with increasing latitude. Size and age at $50 \%$ maturity ranges from $42.1-49.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length and 17.2 - 20.3 years of age (Kronlund and Yamanaka 2001).

Quillback rockfish range to 76 and 95 years for males and females, respectively. Full recruitment to the hook and line gear occurs at an age of 10 for quillback rockfish. Size and age at $50 \%$ maturity is $29.3 \mathrm{~cm}(95 \%$ CI $28.9-29.7 \mathrm{~cm})$ and 11 years ( $95 \%$ CI 10-12 yr) (Yamanaka and Richards 1993a).

Few biological samples have been taken for black, china and tiger rockfish (Table 19b). Male mean lengths of 46,34 and 40 cm have been recorded for black, china and tiger rockfish in B.C.

### 3.3.1 Age frequencies

Age frequency histograms are shown for males and females in Figures 25 and 26 (panels on the left) for the yelloweye rockfish index sites and Bowie Seamount. The high proportion of older fish at Bowie Seamount is noteworthy. The truncation of older individuals becomes more severe with decreasing latitude and is likely related to the relative fishing rates these areas have experienced (Kronlund and Yamanaka 2001).

Age frequencies histograms are shown for males and females in Figures 27 and 28 (panels on the left) for the quillback rockfish index sites in PFMA 12 in 1986-88 and 1992 and a large experimental sample collected in 2001 at similar sites to the previous surveys. The large 1978 year class, age- 8 in 1986 is seen progressing through the early surveys but is not detected in the 1992 survey. A very large 1985 year class, is prominent as age-7s in 1992 and as age-16s in 2001. The truncation of the older fish, is evident in the later survey in 1992 and sample in 2001.

### 3.3.2 Catch curves (Ricker 1975)

Total mortality $(\mathrm{Z})$ and relative mortality $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ rates estimated over the 21 to 60 age range $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{21-60}\right)$ for yelloweye rockfish were estimated using catch curve analysis (Ricker 1975) and are shown for males and females collected from index sites in Figures 25 and 26 (panels on the right). Similarly, for quillback rockfish, estimates of $Z$ and $Z_{1}$ estimated over the 12 to 40 age range ( $\mathrm{Z}_{12-40}$ ) were estimated and shown for males and females from research surveys in PFMA 12 (1987-88 and 1992) and the research sample 2001 in Figures 27 and 28 (panels on the right).

Female yelloweye and quillback rockfish remain in the fishery at older ages than males, therefore their Zs are lower than that for males in all areas.

Recruitment is episodic in rockfish with exceptional years occurring at a frequency of every 15 to 20 years. Variable recruitment is problematic for catch curve analysis, however, for inshore rockfish the catch curve spans up to100 years and covers may cycles of good and bad recruitment. Catch curves provide an estimate of Z based on average recruitment over the last century. The effect of good incoming recruitment will cause a steeper slope by elevating the upper end of the catch curve and bias estimates higher. This effect is indistinguishable from $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{M}$ harvests removing the older age groups in the population.

Age histograms and catch curves were constructed for combined sexes, by index site and year for yelloweye rockfish and are shown in Figures 29 and 30. Similar catch curves were constructed for quillback rockfish using all available catch age data with sample sizes greater than 200 fish, from research surveys and commercial samples by PFMA and year (Figures 31 and 32).

### 3.3.3 Estimates of $\mathbf{M}$

Estimates of Z (Hoenig 1983) given a maximum age for yelloweye rockfish of 118 is $\mathrm{Z}=$ 0.0389. From catch curve analysis, the Bowie Seamount sample collected in 1999 has provided the lowest estimate of Z on the coast. Total landings of yelloweye rockfish from Bowie Seamount are estimated at about 85 t and this 1999 sample $\mathrm{Z}=0.0195$ is probably somewhat greater than the true M for yelloweye rockfish. This seamount yelloweye rockfish population is as close to "unfished" as we can get in B.C. and provides a valuable reference population or bench mark for comparison with the coastal yelloweye rockfish populations that have all experienced fishing.

Estimates of Z (Hoenig 1983) given a maximum age for quillback rockfish of 95 years is $\mathrm{Z}=$ 0.048. From catch curve analysis, the PFMA 12 sample of quillback rockfish in 1984 also provides the lowest estimate of $\mathrm{Z}=0.0153$ on the coast.

Natural mortality can be estimated from Z (total) $=\mathrm{M}$ (natural) +F (fishing) (Ricker 1975). Estimates of M for yelloweye and quillback rockfish from Z (catch curve analyses) on prefishery stocks $(\mathrm{F}=0)$ are between 0.015 and 0.02 .

### 3.3.4 Total mortality and Fishing mortality

Catch curves for rockfish that have a lifespan greater than the historic fishery are slightly concave at the tail end of the ascending limb. This is most evident in the yelloweye rockfish catch curves where the oldest age classes have lower Zs than those exposed to a fishery for a longer period of their lives. In an attempt to compare Zs over similar periods of time, a "relative" $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ was estimated. This $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ was estimated over a 60 year period which begins after the age of full recruitment. This is for age classes 21 to 60 for yelloweye rockfish and age classes 12 to 40 for quillback rockfish.

The $Z_{1} \mathrm{~S}$ are shown plotted against reconstructed catch histories for yelloweye rockfish and quillback rockfish in Figures 33 and 34. The relative Zs shown as " $x$ " are derived from research survey data and the " 0 " from commercial fishery samples. Overall, relative Zs for research
survey samples are higher than those derived from commercial fishery samples (Tables 21a, 21b, 22 a , and 22 b ). Grading practices, at either end of the age spectrum, in the commercial samples may account for some of these differences.

Historic yelloweye rockfish harvests have resulted in high relative Zs for the west side of the Queen Charlotte Islands (PFMAs 2 and 142) and the upper west coast of Vancouver Island (PFMAs 27, 127 and 111). Relative Zs at the index sites are at least twice that of the Bowie Seamount. Reconstructing past harvests to compare with resulting Zs is difficult given the lack of species specific catch (landed and released) data between 1956 and 1995.

Quillback rockfish harvests in PFMA 13 and 17-19 have resulted in high fishing mortalities as early as the mid 1980's (Figure 34). The fishery in PFMA 12 quillback rockfish began in the mid 1980's. In 2001 the fishing mortality is higher than that of the lower PFMAs in the mid 1980 's. It has taken about 15 years to increase relative Zs by 3 fold in this area. Fishing scenarios were likely similar to PFMAs 13 and 17-19 where fishing history since 1970 resulted in the relative Zs of the mid 1980's. There is some evidence from surveys in 1998 in PFMAs 18 and 19 that the stock is experiencing relatively lower fishing mortalities. Similar to the yelloweye rockfish situation, reconstructing past catch is difficult given the state of the catch data, or lack thereof.

### 3.4 Catch Age Summary

Catch age data provide the most informative population indices, particularly those samples collected on research surveys. Research samples are standardized, particularly by depth and unbiased by grading practices in the commercial fishery.

A prudent management approach is to assume that an optimal harvest rate is less than or equal to half of the natural mortality rate, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }} \leq 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ (Walters and Parma 1996). Risk-neutral proxies and precautionary harvest rates ( F ) of 0.75 M to 0.5 M , have been recommended in the U.S. by the Scientific and Statistical Committee who sponsored a workshop (SSC 2000) to evaluate the issue and to make recommendations to the Pacific Fishery Management Council concerning the suitability of the Council's default harvest rates.

Yelloweye and quillback rockfish at index survey sites and other fishing locations along the B.C. coast have experienced harvest rates in excess of natural mortality, $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{M}$ which are well above risk-neutral proxies.

### 3.5 Genetic information

### 3.5.1 Stock structure in yelloweye rockfish (Table 23, Figure 35)

Genetic analyses of 2520 yelloweye rockfish at 13 microsatellite loci were conducted in 19992000 (Yamanaka et al. 2001). Twenty-five samples were collected at nine sites from northwestern Vancouver Island ( 49.50 N 127.5 W ) to southeast Alaska ( 57.18 N 136.07 W ) and included Bowie Seamount ( 53.30 N and 135.60 W ). All 13 loci were polymorphic in all samples with mean observed heterozygosities ranging from 0.41 to 0.89 . Genetic differentiation among yelloweye samples was low; Fst values by locus ranged from 0 to 0.006 , with an average value of 0.0015 . Among locations Fst values averaged 0.001 . Over $98 \%$ of genetic variation was
contained within yelloweye rockfish samples, with little or no significant structure accounted for by site, season of collection, phenotype (dark- and bright-coloured forms), or region of collection (west coast of Vancouver Island, west coast of Queen Charlotte Islands, Bowie Seamount and SE Alaska). Pairwise tests of allele frequencies between samples did not refute the hypothesis that all samples were drawn from a single panmictic population. The results indicate that larval dispersal in this species may be sufficient to prevent significant genetic differentiation within all of coastal B.C.

Although the genetic data provides evidence of a single 'unit stock' among yelloweye rockfish, the age composition data indicate that demographic factors vary on a much smaller spatial scale. Adult yelloweye rockfish are resident over specific rocky habitats and move little. Hence the combination of biological characteristics (longevity and sedentary behaviour) and fishery harvests, have caused detectable changes in yelloweye rockfish population parameters (Kronlund and Yamanaka 2001, Yamanaka et al. 2001). The general south to north cline of decreasing total mortality estimates is coincident with the intensity of fishing on local population structure. Heavily fished populations are characterized by a truncation of the age distribution as older individuals are removed by fishing and not replenished rapidly by adult immigration or population growth. The use of management units for yelloweye rockfish, which are geographically smaller than the single panmictic population detected is recommended.

### 3.5.2 Stock stucture in quillback rockfish (Table 24, Figure 36)

Analysis of gene diversity among the 19 quillback samples indicated that over $99.5 \%$ of the observed genetic variation occurred within samples and less than $0.5 \%$ of the variation accounted for by the differentiation of BC and US samples and the variability within each of those regions. The overall coancestry coefficient $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}\right)$ value was 0.004 . This study provided evidence for very high levels of genetic variation within quillback rockfish aggregations and very low levels of differentiation among samples collected from throughout British Columbia. Samples collected from Puget Sound and the U.S. Strait of Georgia waters were slightly differentiated from samples in B.C. but also displayed high levels of genetic variation. The low level of sample differentiation and failure of multiple samples from the same location to consistently cluster suggests that gene flow mediated by larval dispersal, among quillback breeding aggregations throughout British Columbia is, or has been, extensive. If recent low levels of abundance have disrupted historical patterns of gene flow, it is not yet evident among mature quillback of the age groups encompassed in this study. Similar to yelloweye rockfish, the use of management units smaller in spatial scale than the entire B.C. genetic population is recommended.

## 4. Stock Assessment Summary

Improvements to catch data have occurred in the past and these efforts must continue. Determining the catch of rockfish to species for all fisheries coastwide is required to advance stock assessment. Onboard observer programs are required to assess the total catch (landed and released) in all commercial and recreational fisheries on the coast. Detailed fishing effort, location and catch by species, for all fisheries that catch rockfish need to be standardized and made accessible in a database for assessment purposes. Notable inclusions are the commercial halibut, recreational and First Nations fisheries.

A stock index or measure of stock abundance needs to be developed and used to monitor rockfish stock status. The establishment and monitoring of index survey sites has provided the only credible means of assessing stocks through catch age analyses. For areas that are fished, additional index sites should be established and surveyed. Methods also need to be developed in areas where fishing has been curtailed. Non-intrusive tools for monitoring rockfish stocks must be developed for use in these areas. The integration of habitat assessment with adundance estimates may provide the only method to expand biomass estimates, obtained through extensive surveys at index sites, to larger areas.

There are no means available to provide the advice management has requested in order to prosecute the current TAC based management scheme for inshore rockfish. Present stock assessments can simply document declines in populations after the fact with no capability to determine future harvest levels that will correct the situation. Given natural mortality rates in the range of $2 \%$, harvest rates of $<1 \%$ may be sustainable. Within the TAC management scheme, TACs must be set at a level where the catch is $<1 \%$ of the population. Then the issue of serial depletion must be addressed. The required reduction in fishing mortality is directly related to the size of the area or number of local reef populations targeted for conservation. The smaller the area or number of populations targeted, the greater the reduction in fishing mortality required to ensure that the entire TAC does not exceed $1 \%$ of each local reef population. Since we have no method to estimate biomass over the entire coast of B.C., we cannot recommend sustainable TACs. Advice to managers, since the initiation of stock assessments in 1986, has been to incorporate a spatial component to management, whereby a portion of the population is completely protected from harvest through area closures.

The spatial management problem of inshore rockfish arises from their biology. Inshore rockfish are slow growing, late maturing, long-lived, experience good recruitment at $15-20$ year cycles, associate exclusively with specific rocky reef habitats and as adults are sedentary. These fish are easily targeted in specific habitats that are found and revisited using modern fishing aids. Lowered TACs in all regions of the coast have not specifically addressed the localized and serial depletion problem as fishing fleets have been able to move from one localized area to another within each of the management regions. These fishery characteristics are exemplified in the fleet movements and catch age histories within the Strait of Georgia management region.

The state of rockfish stocks along the Pacific coast is largely unknown (Parker et al. 2000). This document provides evidence of population declines in yelloweye and quillback rockfish at index sites and other areas along the B.C. coast. These are only two rockfish of thirty-one rockfish species landed by hook and line gear in B.C. (AMR summary report 16 Oct 2001). Inshore, shelf (canary, silvergray, yellowtail and widow rockfish) and slope (Pacific Ocean perch, yellowmouth, redstripe, shortraker and rougheye rockfish) species that are assessed make up the bulk of the landings. Redbanded rockfish are a notable exception.

A recent stock assessment for yelloweye rockfish in 2001 for northern California and Oregon waters (Wallace 2001) show results from the Stock Synthesis model (Methot 1990) indicating that stock biomass has declined since 1970 and current spawning biomass is about $7 \%$ and $13 \%$ of the unfished spawning biomass in northern California and Oregon, respectively. Yield
projections for the next five years, under average recruitment conditions over the last 10 years, are about 8 t and 15 t annually for northern California and Oregon, respectively.

The state of Alaska manages yelloweye rockfish within a demersal shelf rockfish (DSR) TAC. TACs are set by applying a harvest rate to the estimated biomass of DSR within the Southeast Alaska region. Biomass is estimated through in-situ submersible surveys that quantify rockfish abundance and qualify rockfish habitat. In 2000, the DSR TAC was 340 t in Southeast Alaska in outside waters (TSC 2001). Of this TAC, 125 t is reserved for landed and unreported bycatch.

## 5. Management Advice

Given available data for stock assessment, there is no basis with which to recommend species specific catch quotas by fishery management region. As discussed, catch data are insufficient; there are no defensible population abundance indices available and no estimate of population biomass. Catch age analyses infer significant negative fishery effects on rockfish stocks. Reductions in TACs, since 1991, have had little effect at reducing the fishing rate on rockfish. Management must consider measures that will mitigate rockfish fishing mortality and stop the serial depletion of successive reefs within the large management regions. Once rockfish populations are depleted, projections of rebuilding, under no-fishing conditions, have been estimated at 25 years for yelloweye rockfish populations in Northern California (Wallace 2001).

An expansion of the TAC management scheme to include a broader based spatial management of the fishery needs to be facilitated. Because inshore rockfish are caught through targeted as well as incidental fishing activities in all hook and line and trawl fisheries on the coast, area closures are the only management measure that will restrict the catch of inshore rockfish within the area. This measure must also be coupled with a reduction of fishing effort in the open fishery that is in proportion to (or greater than) the reduction in the spatial extent of the fishing grounds. The protection of a portion of the population is the only reliable means to promote conservation of inshore rockfish. This view towards spatial management is acknowledged throughout the general science community as essential to for the conservation of Pacific rockfish (Parker et al. 2000).

Area closures were advanced by PSARC as a spatial management measure to be used in addition to traditional management strategies in previous years. Groundfish managers supported this measure in the form of "rockfish protection areas" (RPAs) where fishing rockfish is prohibited for certain groundfish fishing sectors. The existing RPAs do not restrict all fishing, are not strategically placed in all regions of the coast and probably do not encompass enough area to conserve rockfish populations.

Guidelines in the scientific literature (Yoklavich 1998) suggest the following categories for management use of rockfish harvest refugia:

1. Heritage sites and areas for fisheries research (less than 5 percent of the habitat).

Goal: protection of representative essential fish habitats and key associated species. Not intended as an alternative or supplement to traditional fisheries management. Provide reference areas for researching fishing and environmental change.
2. Buffer against overfishing ( 5 to 20 percent of the habitat).

Goal: supplement fishery management practices by providing a buffer against fishery collapse caused by failed fishery plans or unexpected natural or anthropogenic events. Provide a benchmark for management trials or experiments.
3. Sustainable fishery management ( 20 to 50 percent of the habitat).

Goal: Harvest refugia comprise an area that is sufficient to sustain fisheries in adjacent fished areas. Large scale protection of the total area of habitat for multi-species or the spawning potential for targeted species.

The level at which conservation goals should be met for rockfish needs to be defined. Genetic evidence indicates that yelloweye and quillback along the B.C. coast make up one panmictic population. Serial depletion of successive reefs may be of no concern until the last reef is harvested if managers are to conserve genetic diversity. At the other end of the spectrum, it may be impractical to conserve every single reef population. At present, the conservation units that are practical for fishery management are the five management regions that currently exist. It is expected that within these regions, some reef populations may be lost through serial depletion but these will be weighed against conservation gains that will accrue within the areas closed to all fishing.

### 5.2 Recommendations for management of inshore rockfish

Inshore rockfish are one component of the multi-species catch taken from commercial, recreational, and First Nations hook and line and trawl fisheries. Managers are required to account for the catch (landed and released) by species of inshore rockfish in all of these fisheries. This task is nontrivial. Fishing mortality must be reduced to fall within accepted risk-adverse to precautionary guidelines (SSC 2000). There are no conservation gains in closing a single fishery when catch from other commercial, recreational and Aboriginal fisheries remain unmonitored and unmanaged. This is simply a reallocation of the catch as every fishery has the potential to catch rockfish. Management actions must expand beyond the control of individual fishery harvests (TACs) and integrate spatial effort controls in all areas.

The Rockfish Conservation Strategy first proposed in 1998 needs to be implemented. Components of the plan are:

1. Account for all catch (landed and released)
2. Decrease fishing mortality
3. Establish areas closed to all fishing
4. Improve stock assessment and monitoring

To advance the Rockfish Conservation Strategy, the following management measures are specifically recommended.

1. Determine rockfish catch in all commercial, recreational and Aboriginal fisheries.
a. Require dockside monitoring programs for commercial, recreational and Aboriginal fisheries coastwide.
b. Require logbook data from all commercial, recreational and Aboriginal fisheries.
i. Halibut logbook data, including rockfish catches
ii. Recreational creel survey, guides and lodges
iii. Aboriginal subsistence and fishery allocations
c. Require onboard observer estimates of catch compared to landings.
i. Commercial lingcod, dogfish and salmon troll fisheries
ii. Recreational lodges and guided operations
d. Manage these data in databases.
i. Groundfish fishery logbook data in groundfish databases (e.g. halibut)
ii. Recreation and aboriginal data in regional databases
e. Require all fishery managers to be accountable for reporting incidental catch in their fisheries.
i. Salmon troll, shrimp trawl as well as recreational fishery managers should be responsible for providing rockfish incidental catch estimates by species for stock assessment purposes.
2. Recommend decreasing fishing effort in all areas of the coast through:
a. Instituting harvest refugia as a buffer in $20 \%$ of the rockfish habitats in the outside areas, and
b. Instituting harvest refugia as sustainable fishery management in $50 \%$ of the rockfish habitats within the Strait of Georgia management region, and
c. Decreasing all rockfish catch substantially (greater than the proportion of fishing area closed) in the remaining fishing areas.
3. Account for rockfish catch (landed and released) for all commercial, recreational and Aboriginal fisheries within the Groundfish Management Unit to ensure that all rockfish catch is within the annual limits (TAC) set for each management region.
a. Determine catch for all ZN fishery options (A, B, C, D and I) by PFMA.
4. Begin stock monitoring programs and develop population indices.
a. Index areas should be established in all areas, both within and adjacent to harvest refugia.
b. Monitor with research surveys and biological sampling programs
c. Develop new non-intrusive visual methods to assess rockfish abundance
d. Develop new methods to assess habitats.
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Table 1. Minimum estimate of coastwide catch (landed and released) of yelloweye rockfish and Aggregates $1 \& 2$ (quillback, copper, china and tiger rockfishes) in 2000 by fishery. Verified landings are from Dockside Monitoring Programs and estimated catches incorporate onboard observer information on released catch. Coastwide data collected for stock assessment are indicated from each fishery.

| Licence Category | Description of fishery | Yelloweye (t) |  | Aggs 1\&2 (t) |  | Coastwide data collected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | verified landings | estimated Catch * | verified landings | estimated Catch * | landings | Logbooks <br> Cpue/loc | observers catch |
| L | Halibut | 220.8 | 301.2 | 10.8 | 13.9 | DMP | No | <1\%+ |
| ZN | Hook\&line rockfish | 229.0 | 237.8 | 277.7 | 316.3 | DMP | 1986+ | <1\%+ |
| T | Groundfish trawl | 7.8 | 16.9 | 4.5 | 12.6 | DMP | No | 100\%+ |
| C | Lingcod \& dogfish | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | DMP | 2001+ | 0\% |
| K | Sablefish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | DMP | Yes | 0\% |
| S | Shrimp trawl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No | Yes | 2\% |
| A | Salmon troll | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | No | No | ? |
| REC | Individual fishers | 40.2 | 40.2 | 103.8 | 103.8 | Creel\# | No | No |
|  | Lodges | $?$ | $?$ | ? | ? | No | Yes\# | No |
|  | Guides | ? | ? | ? | ? | No | Yes\# | No |
| FN | Allocations | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | No | ?\# | No |
|  | Food Fish | ? | ? | ? | ? | No | ?\# | No |
| TOTAL Landings/Catch |  | 505.9 | 595.1* | 404.7 | 446.4* |  |  |  |

[^1]Table 2. Reported landings in tonnes of commercial hook and line rockfish by region and coastwide total from British Columbia Catch Statistics Annual Reports 1956-82, sale slip records 1982-1995, PacHarvHL and PacHarv3 1995-2000.

| Year | SG | WCVI | QCI | NC | CC | UNK ${ }^{1}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | 58.0 | 26.3 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 5.6 |  | 91.7 |
| 1957 | 86.9 | 45.0 | 9.1 | 0.3 | 5.5 |  | 146.8 |
| 1958 | 109.2 | 36.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 8.0 |  | 154.8 |
| 1959 | 105.0 | 41.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.6 |  | 151.1 |
| 1960 | 83.9 | 49.3 | 8.5 | 2.0 | 7.5 |  | 151.2 |
| 1961 | 69.2 | 59.2 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 11.3 |  | 144.1 |
| 1962 | 110.4 | 79.2 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 11.9 |  | 215.5 |
| 1963 | 84.2 | 53.9 | 13.3 | 2.8 | 24.2 |  | 178.4 |
| 1964 | 50.1 | 33.3 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 4.6 |  | 91.1 |
| 1965 | 43.3 | 27.3 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 4.4 |  | 86.2 |
| 1966 | 37.0 | 31.8 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 8.4 |  | 84.1 |
| 1967 | 55.6 | 43.4 | 9.4 | 7.3 | 11.3 |  | 127.0 |
| 1968 | 56.6 | 35.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 18.7 |  | 113.4 |
| 1969 | 82.2 | 45.8 | 10.5 | 0.5 | 46.6 |  | 185.6 |
| 1970 | 87.2 | 58.3 | 12.8 | 11.4 | 65.7 |  | 235.4 |
| 1971 | 74.5 | 25.6 | 27.2 | 10.2 | 31.4 |  | 168.9 |
| 1972 | 94.4 | 88.9 | 19.0 | 17.1 | 30.2 |  | 249.6 |
| 1973 | 100.8 | 48.1 | 14.3 | 15.1 | 20.2 |  | 198.5 |
| 1974 | 37.5 | 73.7 | 38.6 | 22.3 | 25.2 |  | 197.3 |
| 1975 | 40.3 | 57.3 | 74.7 | 18.9 | 30.4 |  | 221.6 |
| 1976 | 48.1 | 58.2 | 23.0 | 13.3 | 39.0 |  | 181.6 |
| 1977 | 138.0 | 100.0 | 35.6 | 14.2 | 25.4 |  | 313.2 |
| 1978 | 156.0 | 73.5 | 61.0 | 34.0 | 33.5 |  | 358.0 |
| 1979 | 250.0 | 148.0 | 87.0 | 15.5 | 40.0 |  | 540.5 |
| 1980 | 180.0 | 130.0 | 90.0 | 19.0 | 24.0 |  | 443.0 |
| 1981 | 211.6 | 102.9 | 71.2 | 13.2 | 14.0 |  | 412.9 |
| 1982 | 281.2 | 87.4 | 49.0 | 7.5 | 17.4 |  | 442.5 |
| 1983 | 298.7 | 103.2 | 41.6 | 12.4 | 20.2 |  | 476.1 |
| 1984 | 347.3 | 140.8 | 100.6 | 23.3 | 27.2 |  | 639.2 |
| 1985 | 436.8 | 198.7 | 155.1 | 40.2 | 57.8 |  | 888.4 |
| 1986 | 525.4 | 584.1 | 198.8 | 38.1 | 145.8 |  | 1491.7 |
| 1987 | 422.7 | 657.2 | 313.7 | 80.2 | 130.9 |  | 1604.7 |
| 1988 | 496.5 | 553.9 | 304.8 | 63.5 | 179.4 |  | 1598.1 |
| 1989 | 460.2 | 642.7 | 290.6 | 94.2 | 188.9 |  | 1676.6 |
| 1990 | 469.9 | 816.9 | 453.6 | 106.7 | 368.8 |  | 2215.9 |
| 1991 | 480.6 | 777.6 | 474.0 | 129.9 | 333.0 |  | 2195.1 |
| 1992 | 177.7 | 571.4 | 562.1 | 124.1 | 262.2 |  | 1697.5 |
| 1993 | 198.9 | 797.0 | 573.0 | 163.3 | 224.0 |  | 1956.2 |
| 1994 | 274.4 | 666.5 | 659.7 | 109.3 | 232.5 |  | 1942.4 |
| 1995 | 197.5 | 757.8 | 929.3 | 558.5 | 197.3 |  | 2640.4 |
| 1996* | 186.8 | 561.0 | 480.7 | 84.8 | 187.9 | 410.9 | 2246.9 |
| 1997* | 174.6 | 415.6 | 367.1 | 96.8 | 206.2 | 653.0 | 2196.9 |
| 1998** | 170.3 | 394.2 | 489.8 | 32.7 | 134.6 | 672.1 | 2214.0 |
| 1999* | 150.0 | 419.8 | 940.6 | 20.7 | 132.6 | 418.8 | 2302.8 |
| 2000** | 141.2 | 351.6 | 860.6 | 63.9 | 134.4 | 129.8 | 2135.8 |

[^2]Table 3. Coastwide commercial groundfish trawl catch in tonnes of inshore rockfish by species (1996-2000) from Official Catch Reports PacHarvTrawl

|  | Management Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Year | CG | NC | QCI | SG | WCVI | Coastwide |
| SEBASTES CAURINUS | 1996 | 0.61 | 7.51 | 2.91 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 11.14 |
|  | 1997 | 0.01 | 5.53 | 1.66 | 0.07 | 0.51 | 7.77 |
|  | 1998 | 0.01 | 3.24 | 1.29 | 0.21 | 0.62 | 5.37 |
|  | 1999 | 0.01 | 2.44 | 1.80 |  | 0.17 | 4.42 |
|  | 2000 | 0.44 | 3.94 | 1.32 | 0.01 | 0.41 | 6.11 |
| SEBASTES MALIGER | 1996 | 0.52 | 3.56 | 4.61 | 0.16 | 3.27 | 12.11 |
|  | 1997 | 1.10 | 2.24 | 1.46 | 0.03 | 3.20 | 8.02 |
|  | 1998 | 0.66 | 2.08 | 1.14 | 0.02 | 4.55 | 8.44 |
|  | 1999 | 0.89 | 1.76 | 1.20 |  | 1.98 | 5.83 |
| SEBASTES MELANOPS | 2000 | 1.34 | 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.10 | 2.09 | 5.05 |
|  | 1996 | 5.83 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 6.53 |
|  | 1997 | 0.87 | 4.59 | 0.02 |  | 0.10 | 5.57 |
|  | 1998 | 7.01 | 1.16 | 0.07 |  | 0.07 | 8.31 |
|  | 1999 | 0.46 | 1.72 | 0.01 |  | 0.03 | 2.22 |
|  | 2000 | 3.45 | 1.07 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 4.59 |
| SEBASTES NEBULOSUS | 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1997 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.08 |  | 0.03 | 0.14 |
| SEBASTES NIGROCINCTUS | 1996 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.01 |
|  | 1997 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.03 | 0.05 |
|  | 1998 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  | 0.05 | 0.09 |
|  | 1999 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.08 | 0.10 |
|  | 2000 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
|  | 1996 | 4.87 | 0.18 | 0.93 |  |  | 10.49 |

Table 4. Estimated catch in tonnes of inshore rockfish in the commercial shrimp trawl fishery 1997-1999 (Olsen et al. 1999).

| Management Region $^{\prime 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Year | CC \& QCI ${ }^{* *}$ | NC | SG | WCVI $^{*}$ | Total |
| SEBASTES CAURINUS | 1997 | 0.00 | 2.95 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 3.01 |
|  | 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
|  | 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEBASTES MALIGER | 1997 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 2.20 | 0.27 | 2.66 |
|  | 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
|  | 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEBASTES RUBERRIMUS | 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
|  | 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

[^3]Table 5. Estimated landings of rockfish (numbers of fish) from the West Coast Vancouver Island Creel Survey (1999-2000).

|  |  | Statistical Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Month | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 121 |
| 1999 | May | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | June | 500 | 0 | 1925 | 876 | 280 | 35 | 0 |
|  | July | 892 | 0 | 4821 | 2477 | 1513 | 277 | 0 |
|  | Aug | 1080 | 0 | 6293 | 1990 | 1535 | 231 | 0 |
|  | Sept | 846 | 0 | 1400 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | June | 844 | 0 | 228 | 557 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
|  | July | 1183 | 0 | 2189 | 542 | 1928 | 0 | 138 |
|  | Aug | 678 | 1033 | 1536 | 491 | 1507 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sept | 123 | 115 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: DFO Catch stats web site http://www-sci.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sa/Recreational/recpage.htm

Table 6a. Estimated landings of rockfish (numbers of fish) and effort (boat trips) from the North Island Creel Survey.

| Month | 1999 Statistical Area 12 |  |  | 2000 Statistical Area 12 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rockfish | Effort | CPUE | Rockfish | Effort | CPUE |
| June | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 70 | 2148 | 0.03 |
| July | 6034 | 12088 | 0.5 | 4079 | 7075 | 0.58 |
| Aug | 9658 | 20355 | 0.47 | 4880 | 8859 | 0.55 |
| Sept | 3663 | 6708 | 0.55 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: DFO Catch stats web site http://www-sci.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sa/Recreational/recpage.htm

Table 6b. Estimated landings by species of rockfish (numbers of fish) and effort (boat trips) from the North Island Creel Survey.

| Statisical Area 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Survey Period | Black | China | Copper | Quillback | Tiger | Yelloweye | Effort |
| 1999 | July-Aug | 1757.0 | 39.0 | 1129.0 | 6383.0 | 68.0 | 2959.0 | 32443 |
| 2000 | July-Aug | 74.2 | 26.4 | 669.0 | 3687.9 | 40.3 | 1729.5 | 15934 |

Source: DFO Catch stats web site http://www-sci.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sa/Recreational/recpage.htm

Table 7. Preliminary estimated landings (numbers of fish), effort (days fished) of rockfish and salmon from the 1999 North Coast Sportfish Report.

| AREA | EFFORT | Salmon | Rockfish |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. QCI | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 25580 | 6705 |
| 2W. QCI | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 7360 | 787 |
| 2E. QCI | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 1230 |  |
| 3. NASS | 5457 | 3463 | 9800 |
| 4. SKEENA | 4388 | 594 |  |
| 6K. KITIMAT | 12018 | 3415 | 1325 |
| 7. BELLA BELLA | 4963 | 4561 | 1024 |
| 8. BELLA COOLA | 24243 | 8695 | 1541 |
| 9. RIVERS INLET | 35907 | 7563 | 2188 |

Source: DFO Catch stats web site http://www-sci.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sa/Recreational/recpage.htm

Table 8. Estimated landings (numbers of fish) coastwide from all recreational data sources.

| Year | SG $^{1}$ | $\mathrm{CC}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{NC}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{QCI}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{WCVI}^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 264459 |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 185381 |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 158678 |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 134115 |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 167786 |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 136270 |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 194784 |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 199898 |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 225066 |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 251829 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 159920 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 138679 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 265305 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 168449 |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 204544 |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 149919 |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 144427 |  |  | 2402 |  |
| 1999 | 215084 | 18234 | 29400 | 22476 | 58104 |
| 2000 | 133482 |  |  |  | 31755 |

${ }^{1}$ no data for area 12 and 20 for survey periods 1982-1998
${ }^{2}$ no data for areas $10,106-110$
${ }^{3}$ no data for areas 5,103-105
${ }^{4}$ no data for areas 101-102,130,142
${ }^{5}$ no data for area $11,27,111,122-127$

Table 9. Aboriginal catch (kg) with by PFMA and month for the year 2000, from British Columbia Catch Statistics Unit.

| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stat Area | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |  |
| 12 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| 13 | 2.8 | 44.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 317.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 290.3 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 |  |
| 121 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 136.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0 |  |
| 124 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 168.0 |  |
| 125 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  |

* all catch recorded in numbers of fish and converted to kg using an average weigh of 0.7 kg

Table 10a. Summary of total catch $(\mathrm{kg})$ and its disposition by species from onboard observer trips in the Halibut longline, ZN longline and handline fisheries.

| Common Name | Halibut by longline gear |  |  |  | ZN by longline gear |  |  |  | ZN by handline gear |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observed Catch | unknown | retained | bait | discarded | unknown | retained | bait | discarded | unknown | retained | bait | discarded |
| Albatrosses | - | - | - | 4.53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 1157.97 | 517.53 | 0.9 | 8535.85 | - | - | - | 233.09 | - | - | - | - |
| Big skate | - | 40.36 | - | 9483.86 | - | - | - | 127.41 | - | - | - | 11.33 |
| Bigeye thresher | - | - | - | 45.35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bivalves | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Black rockfish | - | 5.43 | - | 4.08 | - | 7.24 | - | - | - | 7.69 | - | 52.58 |
| Black-footed albatross | - | - | - | 6.35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue shark | 15.87 | 31.75 | - | 599.55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bocaccio | - | 240.35 | - | 117 | - | 5.86 | - | - | - | 14.51 | - | - |
| Box crabs | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Brittle stars | - | - | - | 5.42 | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - |
| Brown irish lord | - | 0.9 | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butter sole | - | - | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cabezon | - | - | - | 26.76 | - | - | - | 25.84 | - | 2.26 | - | 4.53 |
| Canary rockfish | 3.62 | 281.55 | 2.72 | 51.19 | - | 88.34 | - | - | - | 100.14 | - | 0.45 |
| Cat sharks | - | - | - | 103.84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Chilipepper | - | 4.98 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| China rockfish | - | 21.29 | - | 5.88 | - | 72.91 | - | 2.26 | - | 55.7 | - | 8.59 |
| Cnidarians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - |
| Copper rockfish | - | 20.39 | - | 11.32 | - | 54.78 | - | - | - | 63 | - | 0.45 |
| Darkblotched rockfish | - | 10.42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dover sole | 2.72 | 6.32 | - | 8.13 | - | - | - | 8.15 | - | - | - | - |
| Dusky rockfish | - | 2.72 | - | 4.53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Eelpouts | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - |
| English sole | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - |
| Flathead sole | - | - | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gastropods | - | - | - | 4.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Giant wrymouth | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.36 | - | - | - | - |
| Gorgonian corals | - | - | - | 3.17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Greenlings | - | - | - | 6.8 | 0.45 | 21.66 | - | 1.8 | - | 10.41 | - | 1.35 |


| Common Name | Halibut by longline gear |  |  |  | ZN by longline gear |  |  |  | ZN by handline gear |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observed Catch | unknown | retained | bait | discarded | unknown | retained | bait | discarded | unknown | retained | bait | discarded |
| Greenstriped rockfish | 3.17 | 99.22 | - | 9.5 | - | 3.15 | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | 0.45 |
| Herrings | - | 49.44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Inanimate objects | - | 14.05 | 0.45 | 184.13 | - | - | - | 69.38 | - | - | - | - |
| Kelp greenling | - | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | 0.45 | 2.26 | - | 5.87 |
| King crabs | - | - | 2.26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lefteye flounders | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lingcod | - | 3224.56 | 5.89 | 2965.05 | - | 160.07 | - | 218.97 | - | 2166.24 | 5.44 | 129.59 |
| Longnose skate | - | 205.42 | 4.53 | 9350.78 | - | - | - | 311.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Longspine thornyhead | 5.44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Octopus | 70.74 | 16.78 | - | 43.53 | - | - | - | 11.33 | - | - | - | - |
| Oregontriton | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific cod | 154.13 | 95.63 | 8.14 | 352.77 | 10.41 | 55.28 | 1.36 | 31.7 | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific halibut | 6.35 | 251870.68 | 28.11 | 32023.4 | - | - | - | 1533.89 | - | - | - | 121.08 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 53.07 | 13.56 | - | 10.42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific sanddab | - | - | - | - | - | 9.47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pacific sleeper shark | - | - | - | 1497.76 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Petrale sole | - | 4.52 | 12.69 | 26.71 | - | 4.07 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prowfish | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.44 | - | - | - | - |
| Quillback rockfish | 39.46 | 781.98 | - | 219.34 | - | 1027.01 | - | 160.96 | - | 250.59 | - | 31.67 |
| Ragfish | - | - | - | 17.22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Red irish lord | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14.5 | - | - | - | 0.45 |
| Redbanded rockfish | 131.54 | 3484.6 | 14.96 | 2079.08 | - | 223.57 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redstripe rockfish | - | 2.72 | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - |
| Requiem sharks | - | 28.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rock sole | - | - | - | 3.61 | - | 22.6 | - | 1.35 | - | 3.61 | - | 2.71 |
| Rosethorn rockfish | 4.5 | 50.23 | - | 43.89 | - | 5.86 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rougheye rockfish | 41.27 | 3759.23 | - | 2731.5 | - | 10.85 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sablefish | 556.97 | 22433.79 | - | 13425.7 | - | 6.8 | - | 810.03 | - | - | - | - |
| Sand sole | - | - | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.45 |
| Sandpaper skate | - | 14.51 | - | 85.67 | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | - |
| Sculpins | - | - | - | 9.04 | - | - | - | 9.93 | - | - | - | - |
| Sea anemones | - | - | - | 3.15 | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | - |
| Sea cucumbers | - | - | - | 4.98 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.9 |


| Common Name | Halibut by longline gear |  |  |  | ZN by longline gear |  |  |  | ZN by handline gear |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observed Catch | unknown | retained | bait | discarded | unknown | retained | bait | discarded | unknown | retained | bait | discarded |
| Sea lilies/featherstars | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sea pens | - | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sea stars | - | 0.9 | - | 2112.39 | - | - | - | 83.28 | - | - | - | - |
| Sea urchins | - | - | - | 6.75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sharpchin rockfish | - | 0.45 | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shortraker rockfish | 8.16 | 332.88 | - | 288.46 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shortspine thornyhead | 71.66 | 768.52 | - | 337.36 | - | 16.31 | - | 39.46 | - | - | - | - |
| Silvergray rockfish | 18.13 | 1015.68 | - | 378.21 | - | 96.01 | 0.45 | - | - | 9.5 | - | - |
| Sixgill shark | - | - | - | 408.21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Skates | - | - | - | 125.61 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Soft corals | - | - | - | 1.35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spiny dogfish | 1374.8 | 2599.39 | - | 26119.82 | - | - | - | 1131.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Splitnose rockfish | - | - | - | 1.35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sponges | - | - | - | 2.26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spotted ratish | 1.36 | - | - | 1112.51 | 38.95 | - | - | 411.15 | - | - | - | 0.45 |
| Starry skate | - | - | - | 256.26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tanner crabs | - | - | - | 1.81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tiger rockfish | - | 39.39 | - | 7.68 | - | 80.13 | - | - | - | 7.68 | - | 4.98 |
| Vermilion rockfish | - | 1.81 | - | 7.25 | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Walleye pollock | - | - | - | 1.36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Widow rockfish | - | 3.62 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.72 | - | - |
| Wolf eel | - | - | - | 40.76 | - | - | - | 5.44 | - | - | - | - |
| Yelloweye rockfish | 3.17 | 6483.34 | 22.66 | 2342.21 | - | 2447.64 | - | - | - | 777.35 | - | 123.77 |
| Yellowfin sole | - | - | - | - | - | 3.16 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yellowmouth rockfish | - | 263.01 | - | 136.02 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yellowtail rockfish | - | 14.49 | - | 9.06 | - | 26.69 | - | - | - | 48.94 | - | 0.45 |
| TOTAL WEIGHTS | 3724.1 | 298856.51 | 103.31 | 117816.98 | 50.26 | 4453.06 | 1.81 | 5252.39 | 0.45 | 3523.5 | 5.44 | 503.1 |

Table 10b. Summary of the inshore rockfish catch (kg) and its disposition by species and for all rockfish species from onboard observer trips for the Halibut longline, ZN longline and handline fisheries.

| Common Name | Halibut by longline gear |  |  |  | ZN by longline gear |  |  |  | ZN by handline gear |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observed Catch | unknown | retained | bait | discarded | unknown | retained | bait | discarded | unknown | retained | bait | discarded |
| Copper rockfish | - | 20.39 | - | 11.32 | - | 54.78 | - | - | - | 63 | - | 0.45 |
| Quillback rockfish | 39.46 | 781.98 | - | 219.34 | - | 1027.01 | - | 160.96 | - | 250.59 | - | 31.67 |
| Black rockfish | - | 5.43 | - | 4.08 | - | 7.24 | - | - | - | 7.69 | - | 52.58 |
| China rockfish | - | 21.29 | - | 5.88 | - | 72.91 | - | 2.26 | - | 55.7 | - | 8.59 |
| Tiger rockfish | - | 39.39 | - | 7.68 | - | 80.13 | - | - | - | 7.68 | - | 4.98 |
| Yelloweye rockfish | 3.17 | 6483.34 | 22.66 | 2342.21 | - | 2447.64 | - | - | - | 777.35 | - | 123.77 |
| All rockfish species | 306.13 | 16933.45 | 40.36 | 8466.64 | - | 4151.84 | 0.45 | 164.57 | - | 1338.72 | - | 223.39 |

Table 10c. Weight percentage of inshore rockfish catch landed by species and for all rockfish species from onboard observer trips for Halibut longline, ZN longline and handline fisheries.

\left.| Common Name | Halibut longline gear | ZN by longline gear | ZN by handline gear |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\right]$| Observed Catch | Percent of catch landed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent of catch landed | Percent of catch landed |
| Copper rockfish | 64.3 |
| 100 | 99.3 |
| Quillback rockfish | 78.1 |

Table 11. Reported landings ( t ) of commercial hook and line rockfish (all species combined) for the Strait of Georgia by statistical area and for all areas combined catch, from British Columbia Catch Statistics, Annual Reports, 1954-1995. Combined data for 19962000 from PacHarvHL and PacHarv3.

| Statistical Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 28 | 29 | Total |
| 1954 | 9.3 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 15.1 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 47.3 |
| 1955 | 12.3 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 7.0 | 16.1 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.6 |
| 1956 | 24.7 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 19.5 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 58.0 |
| 1957 | 20.7 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 14.8 | 25.8 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 86.9 |
| 1958 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 6.1 | 15.7 | 29.2 | 15.8 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 109.2 |
| 1959 | 9.3 | 16.2 | 9.5 | 11.2 | 10.9 | 38.8 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 105.0 |
| 1960 | 6.7 | 20.2 | 4.4 | 12.2 | 11.6 | 20.8 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 83.9 |
| 1961 | 13.8 | 17.0 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 17.3 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 69.2 |
| 1962 | 55.6 | 15.4 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 19.8 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 110.4 |
| 1963 | 44.6 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 16.3 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 84.2 |
| 1964 | 14.2 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 15.1 | 8.8 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 50.1 |
| 1965 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 43.3 |
| 1966 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 14.4 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 |
| 1967 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 18.1 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 |
| 1968 | 15.6 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 19.6 | 10.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.6 |
| 1969 | 29.0 | 12.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 17.2 | 8.8 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.2 |
| 1970 | 31.3 | 17.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 9.9 | 16.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.2 |
| 1971 | 37.0 | 9.4 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 12.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74.5 |
| 1972 | 45.4 | 13.8 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 94.4 |
| 1973 | 44.4 | 13.0 | 2.7 | 8.6 | 19.6 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.8 |
| 1974 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 |
| 1975 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 40.3 |
| 1976 | 10.9 | 10.4 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 2.7 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 48.1 |
| 1977 | 55.8 | 17.7 | 9.1 | 15.9 | 5.4 | 10.9 | 15.0 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 138.0 |
| 1978 | 21.0 | 30.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 26.0 | 29.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 156.0 |
| 1979 | 40.0 | 63.0 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 22.0 | 37.0 | 46.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 250.0 |
| 1980 | 27.0 | 43.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 35.0 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 180.0 |
| 1981 | 20.8 | 55.7 | 12.8 | 27.9 | 28.3 | 20.2 | 38.3 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 211.6 |
| 1982 | 21.3 | 106.7 | 9.0 | 75.6 | 33.7 | 10.6 | 18.2 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 281.1 |
| 1983 | 11.6 | 199.8 | 9.4 | 11.3 | 30.4 | 15.1 | 16.1 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 298.7 |
| 1984 | 32.8 | 198.1 | 3.5 | 13.3 | 24.7 | 39.8 | 23.7 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 347.3 |
| 1985 | 106.3 | 153.1 | 5.0 | 17.7 | 22.9 | 84.9 | 34.7 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 436.8 |
| 1986 | 136.3 | 142.6 | 18.4 | 24.9 | 58.7 | 63.1 | 45.8 | 23.6 | 9.3 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 525.4 |
| 1987 | 113.2 | 119.9 | 10.0 | 18.8 | 17.8 | 32.9 | 30.4 | 35.0 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 10.7 | 413.7 |
| 1988 | 185.6 | 90.3 | 13.6 | 25.6 | 31.4 | 61.0 | 29.5 | 28.0 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 496.7 |
| 1989 | 230.1 | 68.1 | 20.4 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 57.0 | 19.0 | 34.5 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 460.2 |
| 1990 | 254.7 | 81.6 | 18.3 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 51.8 | 15.2 | 15.7 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 469.6 |
| 1991 | 178.5 | 192.6 | 5.0 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 45.9 | 26.0 | 15.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 481.0 |
| 1992 | 60.2 | 56.1 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 22.7 | 21.8 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 176.8 |
| 1993 | 80.3 | 52.2 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 21.6 | 20.0 | 16.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 198.9 |
| 1994 | 136.1 | 70.5 | 11.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 26.5 | 11.4 | 12.8 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 276.1 |
| 1995 | 82.2 | 64.3 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 23.3 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 197.8 |
| 1996* | 96.4 | 53.9 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 14.9 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 186.8 |
| $1997{ }^{*}$ | 91.5 | 49.6 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 174.6 |
| 1998* | 123.9 | 28.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 170.2 |
| 1999** | 116.9 | 21.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 150.0 |
| 2000** | 98.4 | 24.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 141.2 |

no pfma statistical area information provided for Schedule II Fishery, only Yelloweye, Aggregate 1\&2 included in Strait of Georgia total. No accurate pfma statistical area information provided in Halibut dataset for Strait of Georgia.

Table 12a. Estimated rockfish catch in numbers (pieces) by statistical area from the Strait of Georgia Creel Survey.

| Year | Survey Period | Statistical Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 28 | 29 |
| 1982 | May-Dec | 19602 | 17101 | 3645 | 53993 | 17071 | 22509 | 25001 | 10466 | 6918 |
| 1983 | Jan-Dec | 36589 | 17030 | 3749 | 36877 | 18051 | 20458 | 32255 | 12747 | 7625 |
| 1984 | Jan-Dec | 22731 | 14449 | 4290 | 16117 | 35331 | 20276 | 26720 | 10761 | 8003 |
| 1985 | Jan-Dec | 14416 | 12397 | 1712 | 38544 | 20857 | 12123 | 20305 | 7201 | 6560 |
| 1986 | Jan- Dec | 21245 | 20680 | 2702 | 48914 | 18449 | 12926 | 28631 | 6993 | 7246 |
| 1987 | Jan-Dec | 16362 | 22697 | 2969 | 20594 | 22988 | 14886 | 27906 | 4222 | 3646 |
| 1988 | Jan-Dec | 24719 | 30035 | 2784 | 38234 | 30460 | 17125 | 34932 | 5953 | 10542 |
| 1989 | Jan-Dec | 18777 | 31837 | 3110 | 48461 | 33649 | 19903 | 29176 | 5936 | 9049 |
| 1990 | March-Oct | 18440 | 22886 | 2476 | 42562 | 15633 | 7099 | 27004 | 6257 | 7687 |
| 1991 | March-Oct | 16919 | 23486 | 2176 | 47617 | 20327 | 6599 | 16394 | 10926 | 23442 |
| 1992 | March-Dec | 14594 | 13926 | 1263 | 43571 | 17763 | 9104 | 19083 | 7203 | 6760 |
| 1993 | Jan-Sept | 15804 | 9289 | 1251 | 20944 | 15002 | 5397 | 21054 | 5499 | 9769 |
| 1994 | Apr-Oct | 28130 | 24860 | 2833 | 29320 | 19672 | 5341 | 19699 | 12108 | 12798 |
| 1995 | March-Oct | 19402 | 12728 | 2223 | 29380 | 15179 | 5648 | 14118 | 5324 | 8297 |
| 1996 | Apr-Sept | 23348 | 5300 | 1885 | 32991 | 6765 | 3083 | 16958 | 6818 | 5124 |
| 1997 | Apr-Oct | 19914 | 6189 | 2268 | 21475 | 10033 | 4923 | 10333 | 7045 | 5273 |
| 1998 | Apr-Oct | 30107 | 3668 | 2199 | 17782 | 9125 | 3344 | 14514 | 2281 | 1229 |
| 1999 | Apr-Sept | 21742 | 2474 | 574 | 17653 | 5439 | 1284 | 9449 | 4995 | 990 |
| 2000 | Apr-Oct | 10963 | 2211 | 523 | 19950 | 6236 | 1327 | 6333 | 2964 | 1341 |

Source: DFO Catch Statistics Web Reports http://www-sci.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sa/Recreational/recpage.htm.
Table 12b. Estimated rockfish fishing effort in boat trips by statistical area from the Strait of
Georgia Creel Survey. Georgia Creel Survey.

|  |  | Statistical Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Survey Period | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 28 | 29 |
| 1982 | May-Dec | 101370 | 119633 | 10355 | 55072 | 58344 | 49276 | 93941 | 46391 | 25011 |
| 1983 | Jan-Dec | 118095 | 99391 | 9511 | 50288 | 58404 | 41114 | 103686 | 36626 | 16613 |
| 1984 | Jan-Dec | 142774 | 105410 | 10549 | 81349 | 86446 | 42405 | 104441 | 39963 | 37751 |
| 1985 | Jan-Dec | 132934 | 118224 | 12095 | 87292 | 69868 | 32448 | 115069 | 34414 | 26172 |
| 1986 | Jan-Dec | 132178 | 117359 | 14123 | 70539 | 65610 | 28000 | 96969 | 31701 | 26492 |
| 1987 | Jan-Dec | 121369 | 122735 | 12104 | 57794 | 73109 | 30377 | 122159 | 23349 | 26635 |
| 1988 | Jan-Dec | 136924 | 158629 | 11196 | 62719 | 82905 | 35024 | 124946 | 18819 | 33208 |
| 1989 | Jan-Dec | 128697 | 133622 | 9427 | 48904 | 74793 | 32877 | 126064 | 19418 | 29269 |
| 1990 | March-Oct | 119310 | 128932 | 7971 | 41654 | 66810 | 20058 | 96445 | 14663 | 28915 |
| 1991 | March-Oct | 91084 | 96609 | 8297 | 38670 | 49312 | 18611 | 106970 | 13919 | 27957 |
| 1992 | March-Dec | 84804 | 122943 | 7349 | 58403 | 57575 | 17699 | 81407 | 14765 | 13520 |
| 1993 | Jan-Sept | 96948 | 124920 | 8982 | 58897 | 74275 | 15824 | 84650 | 9836 | 23694 |
| 1994 | Apr-Oct | 93333 | 109147 | 11753 | 39654 | 52693 | 12317 | 86392 | 13295 | 22160 |
| 1995 | March-Oct | 59711 | 70611 | 7056 | 32199 | 35062 | 13331 | 82508 | 9207 | 13957 |
| 1996 | Apr-Sept | 54571 | 49690 | 8794 | 36709 | 33444 | 11087 | 73855 | 9071 | 11515 |
| 1997 | Apr-Oct | 41317 | 48926 | 6686 | 28363 | 23653 | 12744 | 83664 | 11596 | 11848 |
| 1998 | Apr-Oct | 25727 | 27513 | 4362 | 16974 | 15524 | 9876 | 46253 | 10678 | 5386 |
| 1999 | Apr-Sept | 31558.8 | 22547 | 2530 | 16297.1 | 20813 | 8819.1 | 39414 | 10595 | 4813 |
| 2000 | Apr-Oct | 39214 | 24277 | 3231 | 15368 | 15572 | 8742 | 38723 | 10057 | 6708 |

Source: DFO Catch Statistics Web Reports http://www-sci.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sa/Recreational/recpage.htm

Table 13. Estimated recreational catch in numbers (pieces) of salmon and rockfish from the Strait of Georgia Creel Survey (1982-2000).

| Catch |  | Effort |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | Salmon | Rockfish | Trips |
| 1982 | 547212 | 176306 | 559393 |
| 1983 | 628876 | 185381 | 533728 |
| 1984 | 828291 | 158678 | 651088 |
| 1985 | 1062941 | 134115 | 628516 |
| 1986 | 760362 | 167786 | 582971 |
| 1987 | 864660 | 136270 | 589631 |
| 1988 | 1227632 | 194784 | 664370 |
| 1989 | 775616 | 199898 | 603071 |
| 1990 | 773784 | 150044 | 524758 |
| 1991 | 521355 | 167886 | 451429 |
| 1992 | 736997 | 133267 | 458465 |
| 1993 | 1184150 | 104009 | 498026 |
| 1994 | 401611 | 154761 | 440744 |
| 1995 | 347401 | 112299 | 323642 |
| 1996 | 233176 | 102272 | 288736 |
| 1997 | 293605 | 87453 | 268797 |
| 1998 | 38448 | 84249 | 162293 |
| 1999 | 71038 | 64600 | 157387 |
| 2000 | 52148 | 51848 | 161892 |

Source: DFO Catch stats web site http://www-sci.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/sa/Recreational/recpage.htm.

Table 14a. Estimated commercial (Com) and recreational (Rec) catch in tonnes for the Strait of Georgia by PFMA.

|  | 12 |  | 13 |  | 14 |  | 15 |  | 16 |  | 17 |  | 18 |  | 19 |  | 20 |  | 28 |  | 29 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Com | Rec* | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec* | Com | Rec | Com | Rec |
| 1982 | 21.3 | 92.6 | 106.7 | 20.6 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 75.6 | 3.8 | 33.7 | 56.7 | 10.6 | 17.9 | 18.2 | 23.6 | 2.3 | 26.3 | 0.2 | 15.0 | 0.5 | 11.0 | 3.0 | 7.3 |
| 1983 | 11.6 | 64.9 | 199.8 | 25.6 | 9.4 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 2.6 | 30.4 | 25.8 | 15.1 | 12.6 | 16.1 | 14.3 | 1.8 | 22.6 | 0.3 | 10.5 | 2.0 | 8.9 | 0.9 | 5.3 |
| 1984 | 32.8 | 55.5 | 198.1 | 15.9 | 3.5 | 10.1 | 13.3 | 3.0 | 24.7 | 11.3 | 39.8 | 24.7 | 23.7 | 14.2 | 8.0 | 18.7 | 2.1 | 9.0 | 0.4 | 7.5 | 0.9 | 5.6 |
| 1985 | 106.3 | 46.9 | 153.1 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 8.7 | 17.7 | 1.2 | 22.9 | 27.0 | 84.9 | 14.6 | 34.7 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 14.2 | 1.4 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 4.6 |
| 1986 | 136.3 | 58.7 | 142.6 | 14.9 | 18.4 | 14.5 | 24.9 | 1.9 | 58.7 | 34.2 | 63.1 | 12.9 | 45.8 | 9.0 | 23.6 | 20.0 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 5.1 |
| 1987 | 113.2 | 47.7 | 119.9 | 11.5 | 10.0 | 15.9 | 18.8 | 2.1 | 17.8 | 14.4 | 32.9 | 16.1 | 30.4 | 10.4 | 35.0 | 19.5 | 12.6 | 7.7 | 12.4 | 3.0 | 10.7 | 2.6 |
| 1988 | 185.6 | 68.2 | 90.3 | 17.3 | 13.6 | 21.0 | 25.6 | 1.9 | 31.4 | 26.8 | 61.0 | 21.3 | 29.5 | 12.0 | 28.0 | 24.5 | 20.1 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 11.6 | 7.4 |
| 1989 | 230.1 | 70.0 | 68.1 | 13.1 | 20.4 | 22.3 | 12.8 | 2.2 | 12.5 | 33.9 | 57.0 | 23.6 | 19.0 | 13.9 | 34.5 | 20.4 | 5.0 | 11.3 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 6.3 |
| 1990 | 254.7 | 78.8 | 81.6 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 24.0 | 12.3 | 2.6 | 12.3 | 44.7 | 51.8 | 16.4 | 15.2 | 7.5 | 15.7 | 28.4 | 2.8 | 12.8 | 1.5 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 8.1 |
| 1991 | 178.5 | 88.1 | 192.6 | 17.8 | 5.0 | 24.7 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 50.0 | 45.9 | 21.3 | 26.0 | 6.9 | 15.6 | 17.2 | 0.5 | 14.3 | 0.2 | 11.5 | 1.6 | 24.6 |
| 1992 | 60.2 | 56.0 | 56.1 | 12.3 | 5.4 | 11.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 36.6 | 22.7 | 14.9 | 21.8 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 16.0 | 1.6 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
| 1993 | 80.3 | 48.5 | 52.2 | 14.8 | 4.9 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 19.5 | 21.6 | 14.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 16.1 | 19.7 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 9.1 |
| 1994 | 136.1 | 92.9 | 70.5 | 33.8 | 11.0 | 29.8 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 35.2 | 26.5 | 23.6 | 11.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 23.6 | 4.9 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 14.5 | 1.7 | 15.4 |
| 1995 | 82.2 | 59.0 | 64.3 | 20.4 | 3.8 | 13.4 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 30.8 | 23.3 | 15.9 | 6.8 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 14.8 | 5.3 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 8.7 |
| 1996 | 96.4 | 71.6 | 53.9 | 32.7 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 46.2 | 14.9 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 23.7 | 1.6 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 7.2 |
| 1997 | 91.5 | 52.5 | 49.6 | 23.9 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 1.0 | 6.3 |
| 1998 | 123.9 | 50.5 | 28.5 | 36.1 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 5.1 | 11.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 17.4 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| 1999 | 116.9 | 54.2 | 21.6 | 30.4 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 4.0 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| 2000 | 98.4 | 25.3 | 24.1 | 13.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 23.9 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 |

Table 14b. Estimated commercial (Com) and recreational (Rec) rockfish catch in tonnes for grouped years.

|  | 12 |  | 13 |  | 14 |  | 15 |  | 16 |  | 17 |  | 18 |  | 19 |  | 20 |  | 28 |  | 29 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Com | Rec* | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec | Com | Rec* | Com | Rec | Com | Rec |
| 82-85 | 172.0 | 259.9 | 657.7 | 72.2 | 26.9 | 48.7 | 117.9 | 10.7 | 111.7 | 120.8 | 150.4 | 69.9 | 92.7 | 60.6 | 21.2 | 81.7 | 4.0 | 42.1 | 3.0 | 32.5 | 6.4 | 22.8 |
| 86-90 | 919.9 | 323.3 | 502.5 | 76.1 | 80.7 | 97.7 | 94.4 | 10.7 | 132.7 | 154.0 | 265.8 | 90.3 | 139.9 | 52.8 | 136.8 | 112.8 | 49.8 | 52.3 | 14.2 | 22.7 | 28.9 | 29.4 |
| 91-95 | 537.3 | 344.5 | 435.7 | 98.9 | 30.1 | 88.2 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 172.2 | 140.0 | 89.8 | 86.0 | 32.0 | 57.2 | 91.4 | 14.0 | 55.8 | 0.3 | 42.8 | 7.8 | 63.5 |
| 96-00 | 527.1 | 254.1 | 177.7 | 136.3 | 10.3 | 25.4 | 1.8 | 9.4 | 0.5 | 141.9 | 39.5 | 47.6 | 24.7 | 17.6 | 22.8 | 74.4 | 3.6 | 40.1 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 4.2 | 18.0 |

* data estimated for 1982-1998 based on 1999-2000

Table 15. History of management actions by year and area.

| Year | Area | Management Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1986 | coast-wide | unrestricted fishery |
| 1986 | coast-wide | introduced Zn licence with a logbook requirement |
|  | SG | Feb 15 - Apr 15 closure |
| 1987 | SG | Jan 1 - Apr 15 closure |
|  | SG - area 12 | 75 t quota |
| 1987-1990 | SG | incidental yelloweye rockfish catch permitted during the winter closure |
| 1988 | SG - area 13 - Discovery Pass | year round commercial closure |
|  | SG | Jan 1 - Apr 30 closure |
| 1990 | SG | Jan 1 - Apr 30 and Nov 1 - Dec 31 closure |
|  | outside | 650 t quota |
|  | CC - area 7 | portions closed |
| 1990-1991 | WCVI inside the surfline | Jan 1-Apr 30 closed |
| 1991 | inside/outside | area licensing, 592 inside (SG) and 1,591 outside (all remaining regions) |
|  | SG | trawl closure |
|  | SG | live rockfish fishery only |
|  | SG | Jan 1 - May 14 closure with no incidental rockfish catch allowances |
|  | SG - area 13 | 2-3 day opening in Discovery Pass |
|  | CC - area 7 | rotational closure was initiated |
|  | coast-wide | limited entry licensing program was announced |
| 1992 | SG | limited entry licensing with 74 eligible inside licences |
| 1993 | outside | limited entry licensing with 183 eligible outside licences |
|  | coast-wide | quota management (red snapper and other rockfish) by region |
|  | coast-wide | region/time closures |
| 1994 | coast-wide | user pay logbook program |
|  | coast-wide | trip limits for trawl species |
|  | coast-wide | incidental catch allowances |
| 1995 | coast-wide | user pay dockside monitoring program |
|  | coast-wide | aggregate species quota management (yelloweye rockfish and agg. 1\&2) |
|  | coast-wide | monthly fishing periods, fishing period limits, annual landing options and trip limits |
|  | coast-wide | relinquishment of period limit overages |
| 1996 | coast-wide | change species aggregates |
| 1997 | coast-wide | initiate 5\% quota allocation for research purposes |
| 1998/99 | coast-wide | $92 \%$ of commercial rockfish TAC allocated to the trawl sector, $8 \%$ to hook \& line sector |
|  | SG | $100 \%$ of commercial rockfish TAC allocated to the hook \& line sector |
| 1999/00 | coast-wide | $10 \%$ at sea observer coverage |
|  | coast-wide selected areas | quillback, copper, china, tiger TAC reduced by $25 \%$ rockfish protection area closures |
| 2000/2001 | coast-wide | allocation of rockfish species between the halibut and hook \& line sectors |

Table 16. Red snapper (yelloweye rockfish) and other rockfish catch quotas in tonnes for the five management regions between 1991 and 2000.

| Region |  | Yelloweye | Aggregate $1 \& 2$ or Other Rockfish* |  | Aggregate 3 | Aggregate 4 | Aggregate 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QCI | 1991 | 200 | 100 |  | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 1992 | 200 | 100 |  | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 1993 | 200 | 100 |  | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 1994 | 200 | 54 |  | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | 1995 | 189 | 50 |  | 8925 | 735 | 8522 |
|  | 1996 | 135 | 43 |  | 7800 | 1794 | 6585 |
|  | 1997 | 123 | 40 |  | 9102 | 2648 | 10563 |
|  | 1998 | 117 | 38 |  | 148 | 556 | 36 |
|  | 1999 | 91 | 21 |  | 144 | 709 | 36 |
|  | 2000 | 91 | 21 |  | 467.9 | 600.6 | 28.1 |
| NC | 1991 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 80 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1995 | 47 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 | 38 | 62 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 36 | 59 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 32 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 27 | 36 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 27 | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| CC | 1991 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1995 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 | 119 | 116 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 112 | 110 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 99 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 86 | 70 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 86 | 70 |  |  |  |  |
| SG | 1991 | 50 | 300 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 | 59 | 130 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 70 | 140 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 | 70 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1995 | 62 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 | 26 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 24 | 143 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 23 | 130 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 20 | 102 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 20 | 102 |  |  |  |  |
| WCVI | 1991 | 250 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 | 250 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 250 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1994 | 200 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1995 | 195 | 135 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 | 155 | 152 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 146 | 144 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 133 | 133 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 111 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 111 | 96 |  |  |  |  |

*1991-1994 quota classified as 'Other Rockfish', 1995-2000 quota classified by Aggregates.

Table 17. Recreational catch per effort between June and August in numbers of fish (pieces) per 10 boat trips for the Strait of Georgia.

| Statistical Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 28 | 29 |
| 1982 | 2.08 | 1.35 | 3.13 | 8.71 | 3.58 | 4.33 | 2.24 | 2.26 | 3.10 |
| 1983 | 3.41 | 1.51 | 4.23 | 7.81 | 2.27 | 5.22 | 2.64 | 3.98 | 5.18 |
| 1984 | 1.46 | 1.51 | 3.33 | 1.57 | 4.03 | 4.58 | 2.16 | 2.93 | 2.59 |
| 1985 | 0.80 | 1.03 | 1.42 | 5.19 | 3.38 | 3.36 | 1.78 | 2.33 | 2.73 |
| 1986 | 1.46 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 8.14 | 3.08 | 4.47 | 2.63 | 2.66 | 3.55 |
| 1987 | 1.24 | 2.19 | 2.43 | 4.00 | 2.96 | 5.61 | 1.77 | 2.12 | 1.96 |
| 1988 | 1.79 | 2.13 | 2.39 | 5.94 | 4.41 | 5.19 | 2.79 | 3.85 | 4.03 |
| 1989 | 1.09 | 2.39 | 3.57 | 12.27 | 4.87 | 7.16 | 1.56 | 4.16 | 4.06 |
| 1990 | 1.29 | 1.69 | 3.13 | 9.97 | 2.69 | 3.06 | 2.65 | 6.29 | 3.16 |
| 199 | 1.83 | 3.22 | 2.55 | 17.16 | 5.09 | 3.41 | 1.58 | 8.47 | 7.45 |
| 1992 | 1.54 | 1.41 | 2.26 | 9.27 | 4.56 | 5.12 | 1.93 | 5.43 | 6.51 |
| 1993 | 1.35 | 0.74 | 1.38 | 4.10 | 2.04 | 3.22 | 2.24 | 6.10 | 5.00 |
| 1994 | 2.20 | 1.67 | 1.69 | 6.81 | 3.11 | 5.86 | 2.54 | 8.46 | 5.34 |
| 1995 | 2.55 | 2.24 | 2.84 | 7.45 | 4.08 | 5.21 | 1.30 | 4.82 | 8.59 |
| 1996 | 3.58 | 1.29 | 2.02 | 9.63 | 2.19 | 3.08 | 1.89 | 8.75 | 5.28 |
| 1997 | 4.68 | 1.33 | 3.21 | 9.13 | 3.35 | 4.11 | 1.43 | 7.60 | 5.64 |
| 1998 | 10.49 | 1.61 | 4.18 | 10.70 | 6.39 | 5.36 | 2.33 | 2.24 | 2.09 |
| 1999 | 6.18 | 1.06 | 2.22 | 11.18 | 2.78 | 1.63 | 1.59 | 6.01 | 2.68 |
| 2000 | 2.08 | 0.99 | 1.88 | 15.13 | 4.07 | 2.71 | 1.29 | 3.60 | 3.15 |

Table 18. Summary statistics of catch rates (number of fish (pieces) per 500 hooks) for survey and logbook data.

|  | n | Min | $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> quartile | Median | Mean | $3^{\text {rd }}$ <br> quartile | Max | Std. Dev. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flamingo | 32 | 4 | 17.50 | 40 | 39.97 | 56.50 | 99 | 24.63 |
| Tasu | 33 | 4 | 23.00 | 34 | 39.76 | 52.00 | 119 | 26.64 |
| Top Knot | 20 | 5 | 12.25 | 18 | 19.65 | 24.75 | 39 | 10.00 |
| Triangle | 35 | 3 | 17.50 | 38 | 45.66 | 67.00 | 137 | 36.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logbook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flamingo | 79 | 0 | 20.12 | 29.80 | 33.53 | 42.36 | 155.0 | 24.12 |
| Tasu | 172 | 0 | 9.75 | 25.62 | 34.73 | 45.00 | 225.0 | 39.36 |
| Top Knot | 136 | 0 | 7.50 | 13.75 | 18.51 | 23.50 | 76.5 | 14.97 |
| Triangle | 302 | 0 | 10.00 | 19.19 | 25.51 | 35.30 | 131.2 | 23.06 |

Source: Kronlund and Yamanaka 2001

Table 19a. Copper rockfish (Sebastes caurinus) mean length (centimetres) and mean age (years) by sex, gear type, fishery type, Major and Minor area and year.


Table 19b. Black, china and tiger rockfish (Sebastes melanops, S. nebulosus and S. nigrocinctus) mean length (centimetres) and mean age (years) in the commercial fishery by sex, Major and Minor area and year.

| Commercial fishery |  |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Major | Minor | Year | Length | std | n | Length | std | N | Age | std | n | Age | std | N |
| S. melanops | 01 | 12 | 1994 | 46.3 | 5.67 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. nebulosus | 07 | 07 | 1996 | 34.1 | 2.98 | 14 | 33.3 | 3.48 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| S. nebulosus | 07 | 02 | 1993 | 31.6 | 1.87 | 17 | 31.2 | 2.37 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| S. nigrocinctus | 03 | 23 | 1996 | 40.3 | 3.45 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 19c. Quillback rockfish (Sebastes maliger) mean length (centimetres) and mean age (years) by sex, gear type, fishery type, Major and Minor area and year.


| Quillback rockfish (Sebastes maliger) |  |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gear | Fishery Major | Minor | Year | Length | std | n | Length | std | n | Age | std | n | Age | std | n |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1986 | 34.6 | 6.09 | 218 | 37.4 | 6.28 | 260 | 22.7 | 10.58 | 63 | 27.3 | 10.54 | 137 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1987 | 35.2 | 5.46 | 370 | 35.7 | 6.15 | 392 | 23.4 | 10.04 | 144 | 24.3 | 11.60 | 157 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1988 | 35.7 | 5.49 | 211 | 36.5 | 5.44 | 224 | 23.3 | 10.86 | 91 | 23.5 | 10.80 | 112 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1989 | 34.7 | 4.55 | 102 | 35.7 | 4.41 | 121 | 22.0 | 10.07 | 100 | 23.5 | 11.53 | 121 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1990 | 34.9 | 4.73 | 146 | 34.8 | 5.38 | 151 | 20.9 | 13.54 | 73 | 21.7 | 12.43 | 89 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1991 | 34.9 | 3.86 | 30 | 34.8 | 3.09 | 20 | 21.9 | 9.77 | 30 | 19.3 | 7.32 | 20 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1992 | 34.1 | 4.17 | 78 | 34.9 | 4.24 | 53 | 20.7 | 11.49 | 78 | 20.1 | 9.23 | 53 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1993 | 37.1 | 5.41 | 232 | 36.2 | 6.14 | 270 | 19.3 | 7.56 | 24 | 22.6 | 10.45 | 30 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1994 | 36.0 | 4.15 | 53 | 34.3 | 5.58 | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1996 | 33.1 | 4.32 | 38 | 31.0 | 4.13 | 51 | 15.2 | 9.05 | 38 | 13.5 | 6.01 | 51 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 2000 | 37.1 | 6.27 | 46 | 38.6 | 5.35 | 46 | 21.6 | 14.16 | 46 | 22.9 | 14.68 | 46 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 2001 | 32.6 | 4.67 | 282 | 31.4 | 4.92 | 269 | 14.6 | 7.73 | 282 | 13.8 | 6.51 | 269 |
|  | 01 | 13 | 1984 | 32.6 | 4.21 | 276 | 32.8 | 4.67 | 306 | 17.0 | 7.88 | 71 | 18.1 | 8.58 | 75 |
|  | 01 | 13 | 1985 | 32.0 | 4.36 | 467 | 32.2 | 4.80 | 339 | 22.2 | 9.13 | 35 | 21.5 | 11.38 | 40 |
|  | 01 | 13 | 1986 | 31.4 | 5.04 | 294 | 32.5 | 5.35 | 313 | 15.4 | 8.73 | 173 | 18.4 | 10.31 | 212 |
|  | 01 | 13 | 1987 | 28.9 | 4.42 | 268 | 29.1 | 4.70 | 253 | 14.3 | 8.10 | 45 | 14.2 | 6.14 | 56 |
|  | 01 | 13 | 1992 | 31.9 | 2.66 | 30 | 32.2 | 4.13 | 20 | 16.1 | 7.27 | 30 | 15.2 | 6.27 | 20 |
|  | 01 | 13 | 1993 | 30.9 | 3.44 | 33 | 30.6 | 4.31 | 38 | 11.2 | 3.97 | 33 | 12.2 | 5.22 | 38 |
|  | 01 | 13 | 1994 | 34.6 | 4.48 | 32 | 33.2 | 5.94 | 38 | 18.7 | 8.17 | 32 | 16.6 | 10.79 | 38 |
|  | 01 | 13 | 1996 | 32.1 | 3.09 | 17 | 30.7 | 2.64 | 13 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 01 | 17 | 1986 | 34.0 | 4.33 | 123 | 35.4 | 4.38 | 199 | 17.5 | 7.79 | 120 | 20.0 | 9.04 | 199 |
|  | 01 | 17 | 1992 | 32.7 | 3.49 | 16 | 35.5 | 3.19 | 34 | 21.0 | 9.79 | 16 | 24.7 | 11.15 | 34 |
|  | 01 | 17 | 2000 | 29.8 | 5.47 | 40 | 30.2 | 5.45 | 58 | 12.8 | 7.90 | 41 | 13.8 | 9.84 | 58 |
|  | 01 | 18 | 1988 | 33.7 | 5.69 | 139 | 33.6 | 6.13 | 151 | 14.6 | 10.06 | 68 | 15.1 | 9.51 | 78 |
|  | 01 | 18 | 1992 | 33.0 | 3.88 | 23 | 34.7 | 5.50 | 26 | 13.2 | 6.26 | 24 | 15.5 | 10.02 | 26 |
|  | 01 | 19 | 2000 | 30.7 | 5.87 | 66 | 30.5 | 6.95 | 54 | 11.2 | 9.47 | 66 | 9.6 | 8.29 | 54 |
|  | 03 | 24 | 2001 | 40.8 | 2.32 | 32 | 40.4 | 3.08 | 29 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 04 | 27 | 1991 | 38.6 | 3.29 | 23 | 40.0 | 2.76 | 16 | 28.3 | 15.42 | 23 | 33.2 | 11.66 | 16 |
|  | 06 | 08 | 1989 | 32.9 | 3.86 | 108 | 32.5 | 4.39 | 72 | 25.1 | 13.87 | 35 | 20.3 | 9.62 | 15 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1988 | 32.7 | 4.58 | 137 | 31.7 | 4.33 | 95 | 26.7 | 12.58 | 138 | 22.5 | 10.15 | 95 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1991 | 36.8 | 4.38 | 31 | 35.5 | 5.24 | 29 | 25.1 | 15.30 | 31 | 22.9 | 14.36 | 29 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1993 | 35.2 | 2.24 | 24 | 35.3 | 2.78 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1996 | 39.3 | 3.17 | 27 | 39.8 | 4.20 | 23 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | Research 01 | 13 | 1988 | 31.0 | 5.35 | 191 | 31.3 | 5.21 | 202 | 17.8 | 6.89 | 81 | 18.2 | 7.41 | 88 |
| Iongline | Commercial 01 | 12 | 1991 | 31.5 | 5.40 | 54 | 29.9 | 4.56 | 45 | 15.9 | 9.32 | 55 | 13.4 | 5.70 | 45 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1994 | 36.2 | 4.49 | 30 | 36.5 | 4.18 | 22 | 24.0 | 17.76 | 30 | 21.5 | 13.75 | 22 |
|  | 01 | 12 | 1998 | 32.4 | 3.50 | 11 | 32.7 | 2.36 | 12 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 04 | 27 | 1992 | 40.9 | 1.58 | 36 | 40.5 | 1.86 | 23 | 31.1 | 14.17 | 12 | 28.4 | 10.12 | 10 |
|  | 05 | 09 | 1995 | 34.8 | 2.65 | 26 | 34.9 | 2.40 | 26 | 34.5 | 15.23 | 26 | 36.0 | 13.77 | 26 |
|  | 05 | 11 | 1992 | 38.3 | 2.66 | 26 | 38.2 | 3.59 | 23 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |


| Quillback rockfish (Sebastes maliger) |  |  |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gear | Fishery | Major | Minor | Year | Length | std | n | Length | std | n | Age | std | n | Age | std | n |
|  |  | 05 | 11 | 1993 | 41.5 | 2.25 | 28 | 41.3 | 2.79 | 21 | 34.9 | 14.93 | 28 | 39.6 | 15.74 | 21 |
|  |  | 05 | 11 | 1994 | 34.2 | 1.69 | 24 | 32.8 | 2.15 | 26 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 06 | 08 | 1992 | 40.6 | 2.17 | 61 | 40.8 | 2.55 | 68 | 32.0 | 14.64 | 61 | 33.6 | 16.45 | 68 |
|  |  | 06 | 08 | 1993 | 36.7 | 3.60 | 48 | 35.1 | 5.11 | 52 | 32.0 | 16.77 | 48 | 26.7 | 15.62 | 52 |
|  |  | 06 | 08 | 1994 | 35.5 | 3.33 | 32 | 34.8 | 3.26 | 18 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 06 | 08 | 1995 | 36.0 | 2.37 | 25 | 36.3 | 2.79 | 25 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 07 | 02 | 1995 | 33.7 | 2.46 | 11 | 34.2 | 2.77 | 21 | 26.9 | 9.31 | 11 | 31.2 | 8.81 | 21 |
|  |  | 07 | 07 | 1993 | 34.4 | 2.71 | 188 | 34.0 | 2.94 | 241 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 07 | 07 | 1996 | 37.2 | 3.90 | 95 | 37.2 | 4.30 | 77 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 08 | 04 | 1992 | 38.2 | 3.03 | 40 | 40.1 | 3.28 | 26 | 40.1 | 18.24 | 40 | 46.8 | 13.91 | 26 |
|  |  | 08 | 04 | 1993 | 36.5 | 2.41 | 95 | 36.0 | 3.25 | 86 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 08 | 04 | 2000 | 38.3 | 3.23 | 60 | 38.7 | 5.10 | 64 | 30.6 | 15.15 | 60 | 31.4 | 16.45 | 64 |
|  |  | 08 | 05 | 1994 | 33.0 | 3.37 | 25 | 33.6 | 4.42 | 26 | 18.2 | 8.08 | 25 | 18.9 | 6.9 | 26 |
|  |  | 08 | 05 | 1995 | 36.5 | 2.79 | 30 | 35.7 | 2.77 | 18 | 34.9 | 14.87 | 30 | 32.1 | 16.80 | 18 |
|  | Charter | 05 | 11 | 1997 | 39.1 | 3.18 | 12 | 39.0 | 4.45 | 13 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | observed |  | 02 | 1993 | 34.0 | 2.64 | 829 | 34.1 | 3.36 | 814 | 29.9 | 14.23 | 66 | 29.4 | 12.86 | 29 |
| troll | commercial |  | 17 | 1991 | 35.0 | 3.65 | 15 | 36.0 | 5.00 | 37 | 23.3 | 8.57 | 15 | 26.0 | 13.05 | 37 |
|  |  | 01 | 17 | 1993 | 30.0 | 4.19 | 112 | 31.3 | 4.88 | 166 | 13.6 | 5.51 | 21 | 14.6 | 6.97 | 30 |
|  |  | 01 | 17 | 2001 | 30.5 | 4.71 | 72 | 31.1 | 4.55 | 53 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 01 | 18 | 1991 | 31.9 | 3.29 | 17 | 30.6 | 4.95 | 27 | 10.5 | 3.04 | 17 | 12.2 | 9.79 | 27 |
|  |  | 01 | 18 | 1992 | 29.0 | 3.22 | 21 | 30.9 | 4.99 | 27 | 10.1 | 4.48 | 21 | 13.0 | 8.71 | 27 |

Table 19d. Yelloweye rockfish (Sebastes ruberrimus) mean length (centimetres) and mean age (years) by sex, gear type, fishery type, Major and Minor area and year.

| Yelloweye rockfish (Sebastes ruberrimus) |  |  |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gear | Fishery | Major | Minor | Year | Length | std | n | Length | std | n | Age | std | n | Age | Std | n |
| BT traw | commercial 05 |  | 11 | 1992 | 61.7 | 8.48 | 27 | 61.9 | 8.36 | 32 | 39. | 19.90 | 22 | 52.0 | 21.25 | 28 |
|  |  | 06 | 08 | 1994 | 54.3 | 10.30 | 26 | 54.3 | 10.01 | 23 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | research 0 |  | 24 | 1991 | 57.3 | 9.53 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | observed 04 |  | 25 | 1999 | 58.5 | 7.02 | 16 | 52.2 | 10.17 | 17 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 04 | 25 | 2001 | 49.8 | 5.11 | 15 | 47.4 | 5.82 | 12 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 06 | 08 | 1998 | 58.3 | 8.88 | 25 | 55.2 | 7.37 | 25 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 06 | 08 | 1999 | 55.7 | 9.23 | 80 | 52.0 | 7.70 | 170 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 06 | 08 | 2001 | 58.4 | 6.04 | 36 | 55.8 | 5.67 | 27 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 07 | 07 | 1999 | 49.5 | 10.11 | 17 | 49.9 | 9.16 | 19 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 07 | 07 | 2001 | 49.1 | 8.29 | 21 | 48.0 | 7.78 | 31 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |



| Yelloweye rockfish (Sebastes ruberrimus ) |  |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gear | Fishery ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Major | Minor | Year | Length | std | n | Length | std | n | Age | std | n | Age | Std | n |
|  | 07 | 02 | 1994 | 61.6 | 7.75 | 11 | 57.1 | 12.01 | 39 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 07 | 02 | 1995 | 59.9 | 9.55 | 35 | 60.9 | 8.56 | 65 | 31.5 | 16.29 | 35 | 41.6 | 19.84 | 65 |
|  | 07 | 06 | 1993 | 53.7 | 6.63 | 29 | 54.4 | 6.96 | 38 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 07 | 06 | 1995 | 52.3 | 10.32 | 46 | 50.2 | 8.99 | 54 | 21.1 | 12.86 | 21 | 29.8 | 19.82 | 29 |
|  | 07 | 06 | 1997 | 57.1 | 4.82 | 39 | 55.5 | 5.04 | 61 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1990 | 57.5 | 7.80 | 62 | 55.3 | 7.54 | 37 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1991 |  |  |  | 64.1 | 3.82 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1994 | 50.5 | 9.57 | 76 | 51.0 | 8.70 | 113 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1996 | 47.7 | 11.36 | 92 | 47.9 | 9.95 | 89 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 1997 | 52.3 | 7.41 | 23 | 53.9 | 6.44 | 28 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 07 | 07 | 2000 | 50.8 | 7.55 | 99 | 48.5 | 7.39 | 101 | 28.4 | 12.96 | 99 | 30.3 | 14.67 | 100 |
|  | 08 | 04 | 1996 | 56.7 | 8.66 | 99 | 57.4 | 8.80 | 133 | 24.0 | 9.58 | 21 | 35.2 | 21.86 | 31 |
|  | 08 | 04 | 1997 | 57.6 | 10.70 | 23 | 61.7 | 7.22 | 27 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 09 | 00 | 1999 | 51.3 | 7.40 | 76 | 53.0 | 7.45 | 89 | 21.4 | 11.11 | 76 | 25.8 | 14.60 | 89 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 1994 | 56.5 | 8.64 | 186 | 56.3 | 7.99 | 337 | 33.3 | 13.80 | 82 | 35.2 | 16.04 | 112 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 1995 | 58.4 | 8.57 | 28 | 59.9 | 9.14 | 32 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 1996 | 54.4 | 7.73 | 88 | 55.9 | 8.40 | 119 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 1997 | 53.1 | 10.07 | 41 | 55.9 | 10.46 | 54 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 1999 | 54.1 | 11.60 | 549 | 54.5 | 12.29 | 638 | 26.2 | 16.02 | 248 | 29.3 | 19.58 | 233 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 2000 | 51.2 | 5.87 | 85 | 52.9 | 6.92 | 115 | 20.6 | 7.63 | 85 | 28.5 | 16.12 | 115 |
|  | 09 | 34 | 1994 | 62.3 | 6.89 | 33 | 60.6 | 6.93 | 42 | 31.6 | 17.58 | 33 | 32.5 | 14.67 | 42 |
|  | 09 | 34 | 1999 | 51.2 | 7.62 | 344 | 49.8 | 8.91 | 325 | 24.6 | 13.93 | 191 | 24.1 | 12.84 | 157 |
|  | 09 | 34 | 2000 | 51.5 | 6.57 | 33 | 52.5 | 7.41 | 42 | 21.1 | 7.46 | 33 | 23.9 | 13.06 | 42 |
|  | 09 | 35 | 1994 | 60.0 | 8.21 | 32 | 60.4 | 6.91 | 62 | 43.3 | 18.35 | 16 | 47.7 | 18.56 | 29 |
|  | 09 | 35 | 1995 | 56.4 | 6.20 | 24 | 54.6 | 5.30 | 26 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 09 | 35 | 1996 | 54.8 | 7.20 | 22 | 57.4 | 4.62 | 28 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 10 | 32 | 1999 | 55.1 | 5.79 | 39 | 54.8 | 6.57 | 55 | 26.8 | 5.94 | 23 | 30.6 | 12.98 | 23 |
|  | charter00 | 00 | 1998 | 52.8 | 5.65 | 39 | 51.8 | 9.23 | 32 | 21.3 | 4.95 | 39 | 26.2 | 18.06 | 32 |
|  | 04 | 27 | 1997 | 45.7 | 7.05 | 51 | 44.4 | 7.43 | 69 | 18.8 | 6.32 | 51 | 19.5 | 9.44 | 69 |
|  | 04 | 27 | 1998 | 45.7 | 5.05 | 74 | 44.8 | 6.31 | 44 | 19.5 | 3.29 | 74 | 19.9 | 3.77 | 44 |
|  | 05 | 11 | 1997 | 52.9 | 7.23 | 166 | 53.3 | 7.68 | 299 | 27.8 | 14.63 | 166 | 35.4 | 18.75 | 299 |
|  | 05 | 11 | 1998 | 49.7 | 7.31 | 375 | 49.2 | 7.74 | 281 | 23.1 | 9.61 | 375 | 26.7 | 14.31 | 281 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 1997 | 55.5 | 8.20 | 112 | 53.2 | 9.23 | 215 | 29.6 | 16.12 | 123 | 31.0 | 20.14 | 238 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 1998 | 53.5 | 8.89 | 161 | 50.9 | 8.33 | 173 | 25.6 | 13.34 | 161 | 26.0 | 15.41 | 173 |
|  | 09 | 31 | 2000 | 49.3 | 10.35 | 100 | 51.2 | 9.87 | 119 | 18.0 | 7.74 | 72 | 23.0 | 16.63 | 88 |
|  | 09 | 34 | 1997 | 56.7 | 7.89 | 149 | 52.8 | 9.11 | 246 | 30.9 | 15.93 | 148 | 30.6 | 18.25 | 246 |
|  | 09 | 34 | 1998 | 56.0 | 7.62 | 307 | 54.7 | 8.21 | 280 | 28.4 | 13.73 | 307 | 31.0 | 17.50 | 280 |
|  | 09 | 34 | 2000 | 53.0 | 6.49 | 177 | 53.3 | 7.92 | 186 | 24.4 | 10.57 | 124 | 35.2 | 23.47 | 129 |


| Yelloweye rockfish (Sebastes ruberrimus) |  |  |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gear | Fishery | Major | Minor | Year | Length | std | n | Length | std | n | Age | std | n | Age | Std | n |
|  | observed |  | 02 | 1993 | 51.4 | 12.81 | 39 | 55.5 | 11.65 | 27 | 24.7 | 18.16 | 39 | 32.2 | 19.19 | 27 |
|  |  | 9 | 31 | 1992 | 53.4 | 16.50 | 252 | 49.8 | 17.71 | 312 | 26.5 | 12.57 | 118 | 25.5 | 12.21 | 157 |
|  |  | 9 | 31 | 1994 | 5.0 | 1.34 | 11 | 5.6 | 0.80 | 17 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| troll | commercial |  | 17 | 1993 | 33.7 | 3.79 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 20. Estimates of growth and total mortality.
Yelloweye rockfish von Bertalanffy (1938) growth parameters.

| Site | male | female |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $L_{\infty}$ | k | $t_{0}$ | $L_{\infty}$ | k | $t_{0}$ |
| Bowie | 80.2 | 0.043 | 6.7 | 84.6 | 0.031 | 11.0 |
| Tasu | 70.9 | 0.04 | 12.0 | 67.6 | 0.051 | 6.4 |
| St. James | 68.7 | 0.057 | 4.7 | 66.8 | 0.059 | 3.7 |
| Triangle | 65.5 | 0.061 | 2.5 | 64.4 | 0.049 | 6.3 |
| TopKnot | 68.4 | 0.044 | 7.6 | 67.8 | 0.042 | 7.8 |

Quillback rockfish von Bertalanffy growth parameters.

PFMA

Area 12
Area 13
Area 17
Area 18

| male |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $L_{\infty}$ | k | $t_{0}$ |
| 39.1 | 0.1 | 3.2 |
| 39.0 | 0.093 | 4.0 |
| 37.9 | 0.13 | 2.1 |
| 39.6 | 0.14 | 2.4 |

female
$L_{\infty} \quad \mathrm{k} \quad t_{0}$
$41.7 \quad 0.064 \quad 7.4$
$41.5 \quad 0.069 \quad 6.4$
$\begin{array}{lll}40.2 & 0.1 & 6.4\end{array}$
$41.8 \quad 0.11 \quad 3.6$

Yelloweye rockfish maximum age and Total Mortality Estimates $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{h}}$ (Hoenig 1983)

| Site | male | female |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $t_{\max }$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $t_{\max }$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{h}}$ |
| Bowie | 92 | 0.049 | 99 | 0.046 |
| Tasu | 87 | 0.053 | 115 | 0.04 |
| Anthony Is. | 95 | 0.048 | 97 | 0.047 |
| Triangle | 84 | 0.054 | 98 | 0.047 |
| TopKnot | 48 | 0.094 | 66 | 0.068 |
| Str. Geo. | 86 | 0.053 | 74 | 0.062 |
| SW Van. Is. | 78 | 0.059 | 80 | 0.057 |
| Hecate Str. | 97 | 0.047 | 105 | 0.044 |

Quillback rockfish maximum age and Total Mortality Estimates $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{h}}$ (Hoenig 1983)

| Area | male |  | female |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | $t_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $t_{\max }$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{h}}$ |
| Str. Geo. | 65 | 0.07 | 70 | 0.065 |
| QC Sound | 76 | 0.06 | 95 | 0.048 |

Table 21a. Yelloweye rockfish total mortality estimates ( $Z$ ) and total mortality estimated over the 20 to 60 year age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{20-60}\right)$, catch curve analysis (Ricker 1975) by sex.

| Site | male | female |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Z | $\mathrm{Z}_{20-60}$ | Z |  |$]$| $\mathrm{Z} 20-60$ |
| :--- |
|  |
| Bowie |

Table 21b. Yelloweye rockfish total mortality estimates ( $Z$ ) and total mortality estimated over the 20 to 60 year age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{20-60}\right)$, catch curve analysis (Ricker 1975), by year with sexes combined.

| Site | combined sexes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Z | $\mathrm{Z}_{20-60}$ |
| 1997/98 |  |  |
| Tasu | 0.0394 | 0.0601 |
| Anthony Is. | 0.0456 | 0.0532 |
| Triangle | 0.0447 | 0.0477 |
| TopKnot | 0.0659 | 0.0659 |
|  |  |  |
| 1999 |  |  |
| Bowie | 0.0195 | 0.0237 |
| Anthony Is. | 0.023 | 0.0467 |
|  |  |  |
| 2000 | 0.0256 | 0.0414 |
| Tasu | 0.0173 | 0.0325 |
| Anthony Is. | 0.0297 | 0.0401 |
| Triangle | 0.0382 | 0.0532 |
| TopKnot |  |  |

Table 22a. Quillback rockfish total mortality estimates ( $Z$ ) and total mortality estimated over the 12 to 40 year age classes ( $\mathrm{Z}_{12-40}$ ), catch curve analysis (Ricker 1975) by sex and year.

| PFMA | male |  | female |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 survey | Z | $\mathrm{Z}_{12-40}$ | Z | $\mathrm{Z}_{12-40}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 0.0389 | 0.0318 | 0.0256 | 0.0171 |
| 1987 | 0.0375 | 0.0389 | 0.0352 | 0.0373 |
| 1988 | 0.0235 | 0.035 | 0.0295 | 0.0421 |
| 1992 | 0.0417 | 0.0451 | 0.0242 | 0.0204 |
| 2001 | 0.0589 | 0.0921 | 0.0732 | 0.105 |

Table 22b. Quillback rockfish total mortality estimates (Z) and total mortality estimated over the 12 to 40 year age classes ( $\mathrm{Z}_{12-40}$ ), catch curve analysis (Ricker 1975), by year with sexes combined.

| PFMA |  | combined sexes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Z |  | $\mathrm{Z}_{12-40}$ |
| 12 survey |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 |  | 0.0474 |  | 0.0309 |
| 1987 |  | 0.0463 |  | 0.0608 |
| 1988 |  | 0.0412 |  | 0.0596 |
| 1992 |  | 0.0387 |  | 0.0364 |
| 2001 |  | 0.0739 |  | 0.119 |
| 18-19 survey |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 |  | 0.0477 |  | 0.067 |
| commercial |  | combined sexes |  |  |
| fishery | year |  | Z | $\mathrm{Z}_{20-60}$ |
| Area 12 | 1984 |  | 0.0153 |  |
| Area 13 | 1984 |  | 0.082 | 0.088 |
| Area 13 | 1986 |  | 0.0709 | 0.0946 |
| Area 17 | 1986 |  | 0.0781 | 0.099 |
| Area 18 | 1988 |  | 0.0705 | 0.0887 |

Table 23. Genetic diversity in yelloweye rockfish samples from Alaska and British Columbia.
Expected heterozygosity based on observed allele frequencies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$, observed heterozygosity $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$, and allelic diversity (mean number of alleles observed per locus) are given for each sample.

| Sample | Date | N | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | Allelic diversity |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bowie Seamount (D) | July 1998 | 90 | 73.9 | 69.5 | 12.5 |
| Bowie Seamount (D) | July 1999 | 170 | 73.0 | 71.5 | 14.2 |
| Bowie Seamount (D) | Aug 1999 | 90 | 71.1 | 69.4 | 12.5 |
| Bowie Seamount (D) | Sept 1999 | 90 | 74.2 | 71.2 | 13.3 |
| Bowie Seamount (B) | July 1998 | 95 | 73.2 | 68.7 | 13.4 |
| Bowie Seamount (B) | July 1999 | 110 | 73.3 | 71.4 | 13.4 |
| Bowie Seamount (B) | Aug 1999 | 90 | 72.4 | 70.8 | 12.2 |
| Bowie Seamount (B) | Sept 1999 | 110 | 72.5 | 69.9 | 13.5 |
| Barber Point (D) | May 1999 | 100 | 73.8 | 71.2 | 13.5 |
| Barber Point (D) | Sept 1999 | 65 | 72.1 | 68.4 | 11.8 |
| Barber Point (B) | May 1999 | 175 | 72.7 | 70.9 | 13.8 |
| Barber Point (B) | Sept 1999 | 65 | 72.5 | 69.9 | 11.8 |
| Sitka, Alaska | Dec 1999 | 90 | 72.1 | 70.4 | 12.5 |
| Tasu | May 1998 | 80 | 74.0 | 71.6 | 12.6 |
| Tasu | Jan 2000 | 180 | 73.8 | 73.7 | 14.5 |
| Cape St. James | May 1998 | 85 | 73.2 | 69.1 | 11.9 |
| Cape St. James | Jan 1999 | 80 | 71.1 | 66.6 | 11.3 |
| Cape St. James | Oct 1999 | 130 | 72.3 | 69.9 | 13.3 |
| Cape St. James | Feb 2000 | 65 | 73.6 | 73.2 | 11.7 |
| Triangle Island | May 1998 | 80 | 72.0 | 68.4 | 12.5 |
| Triangle Island | Jan 2000 | 145 | 73.8 | 68.3 | 13.9 |
| Topknot | May 1998 | 85 | 73.5 | 70.9 | 12.1 |
| Topknot | Mar 2000 | 135 | 72.1 | 71.2 | 13.4 |
| Brooks Bay | Oct 1998 | 70 | 73.6 | 72.0 | 11.8 |
| Esperanza | Sep 1999 | 45 | 73.0 | 72.1 | 11.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 2520 | 72.9 | 70.4 | 12.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 24. Genetic diversity in quillback rockfish samples from British Columbia and Puget Sound. Expected heterozygosity based on observed allele frequencies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$, observed heterozygosity $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$, and allelic diversity (mean number of alleles observed per locus) are given for each sample.

| Sample | Date | N | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | Allelic diversity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stenhouse Reef | Feb 2000 | 60 | 70.5 | 69.6 | 9.3 |
| Stenhouse Reef | Sept 2000 | 37 | 65.7 | 64.7 | 8.1 |
| Hecate Strait | May 2000 | 33 | 68.9 | 69.4 | 7.9 |
| Queen Charlotte Sound | Mar 2001 | 354 | 69.6 | 70.1 | 12.7 |
| Queen Charlotte Sound | April 2001 | 144 | 69.7 | 71.0 | 10.9 |
| Gordon Channel | Oct 2000 | 90 | 69.1 | 71.1 | 9.7 |
| Tofino | Feb 2001 | 65 | 68.1 | 69.5 | 9.5 |
| Gabriola | Sept 2000 | 35 | 71.5 | 71.1 | 9.5 |
| Gabriola | May 2001 | 36 | 67.1 | 68.0 | 8.0 |
| Pylades Channel | Oct 2000 | 58 | 70.3 | 71.4 | 10.2 |
| Pylades Channel | May 2001 | 58 | 68.2 | 67.0 | 8.8 |
| Darcy Island | Oct 1998 | 31 | 70.9 | 71.8 | 7.6 |
| Race Rocks | Sept 2000 | 54 | 70.0 | 71.5 | 9.6 |
| Cooper Reef | Sept 2000 | 66 | 70.9 | 69.6 | 9.6 |
| Southern Gulf (Canada) | 1997 | 125 | 70.4 | 69.0 | 11.1 |
| Gulf of Georgia (USA) | 1997 | 62 | 70.3 | 68.6 | 10.1 |
| Port Gardner (Puget S) | 1998 | 12 | 71.3 | 69.1 | 6.3 |
| Foulweather Bluff (PS) | 1997 | 24 | 69.8 | 68.6 | 7.7 |
| Elliot Bay | 1998 | 75 | 71.0 | 73.0 | 10.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 75 | 69.6 | 69.7 | 9.5 |

Figure 1. Comparison of annual (1982-2000) recreational a) catch in numbers of fish per 10 boat trips and b) proportions of fish in numbers of salmon and rockfish in the Strait of Georgia Creel Survey.


Figure 2. Comparison of estimated rockfish catch from the recreational (grey bar) and commercial (black bar) fisheries in the Strait of Georgia management region by PFMA in four time periods between 1982 and 2000.


Figure 3. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) yelloweye rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 by management region. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 4. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) quillback rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 by management region. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 5. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) copper rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 by management region. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 6. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) black rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 by management region. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented


Figure 7. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) china rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 by management region. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 8. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) tiger rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 by management region. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 9. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) yelloweye rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 in the Strait of Georgia management region by PFMA. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 10. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) quillback rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 in the Strait of Georgia management region by PFMA. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 11. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) copper rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 in the Strait of Georgia management region by PFMA. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 12. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) black rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 in the Strait of Georgia management region by PFMA. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 13. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) tiger rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 in the Strait of Georgia management region by PFMA. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 14. Commercial rockfish fishery (ZN licensed) china rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1986 and 2000 in the Strait of Georgia management region by PFMA. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile. Vertical dotted line shows the year in which limited entry licensing was implemented.


Figure 15. ZN Option A longline commercial quillback rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1995 and 2000 for outside management regions. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile.


Figure 16. ZN Option B longline commercial yelloweye rockfish CPUE in catch per fishing day between 1995 and 2000 for outside management regions. Solid line represents the median, dotted line represents the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile and dashed and dotted line represents the $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile.


Figure 17. ZN option A (live rockfish) fishing effort (number of sets) summarized by year ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c ) in 2.7 square km grid cells in outside management areas.

Figure 17a. Option A grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 17b. Option A grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 17c. Option A grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 18. ZN option B (yelloweye rockfish) fishing effort (number of sets) summarized by year ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c ) in 2.7 square km grid cells in outside management areas.

Figure 18a. Option $B$ grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 18b. Option B grouped numbers of fishing events by 2.7 x 2.7 km grids by year.


Figure 18c. Option $B$ grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year


Figure 19. ZN option C (deep water rockfish) fishing effort (number of sets) summarized by year ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c ) in 2.7 square km grid cells in outside management areas.

Figure 19a. Option C grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 19b. Option C grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 19c. Option C grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year


Figure 20. ZN option I (Strait of Georgia live rockfish) fishing effort (number of sets) summarized by year ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c ) in 2.7 square km grid cells in outside management areas.

Figure 20a. Option I grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 20b. Option I grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 20c. Option I grouped numbers of fishing events by $2.7 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~km}$ grids by year.


Figure 21. Recreational catch in numbers of rockfish per 10 boat trips during July to August 1982-2000 by PFMA from the Strait of Georgia Creel Survey.


Figure 22. Research survey catch in number of rockfish per minute of angling by year and depth interval (left panels) and the corresponding catch age (right panels).
Boxplots show the median, $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartiles of the data.


Figure 23. Yelloweye rockfish length at age and resulting estimates of von Bertalanffy growth parameters for males and females by index site.


Figure 24. Quillback rockfish rockfish length at age and resulting estimates of von Bertalanffy growth parameters for males and females by PFMA within the Strait of Georgia management region.


Figure 25. Male yelloweye rockfish age histograms (left panels) and catch curves (Ricker 1975) showing estimates of total mortality ( Z ) and mortality over the 21 to 60 age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ for Bowie Seamount and the index sites.


Figure 26. Female yelloweye rockfish age histograms (left panels) and catch curves (Ricker 1975) showing estimates of total mortality ( Z ) and mortality over the 21 to 60 age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ for Bowie Seamount and the index sites.


Figure 27. Male quillback rockfish age histograms (left panels) and catch curves (Ricker 1975) showing estimates of total mortality ( Z ) and mortality over the 12 to 40 age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ from research surveys in the PFMA 12 index sites by year.


Figure 28. Female quillback rockfish age histograms (left panels) and catch curves (Ricker 1975) showing estimates of total mortality ( Z ) and mortality over the 12 to 40 age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ from research surveys in the PFMA 12 index sites by year.


Figure 29. Yelloweye rockfish (sexes combined) age histograms (left panels) and catch curves (Ricker 1975) showing estimates of total mortality ( $Z$ ) and mortality over the 21 to 60 age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ for the index sites and Bowie Seamount by year.


Figure 30. Yelloweye rockfish (sexes combined) age histograms (left panels) and catch curves (Ricker 1975) showing estimates of total mortality ( $Z$ ) and mortality over the 21 to 60 age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ for the index sites and Bowie Seamount by year.


Figure 31. Quillback rockfish (sexes combined) age histograms (left panels) and catch curves (Ricker 1975) showing estimates of total mortality ( $Z$ ) and mortality over the 12 to 40 age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ from research surveys in the PFMA 12 index sites by year.


Figure 32. Quillback rockfish (sexes combined) age histograms (left panels) and catch curves (Ricker 1975) showing estimates of total mortality ( $Z$ ) and mortality over the 12 to 40 age classes $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)$ from commercial samples by PFMA and year.


Figure 33. Yelloweye rockfish "relative" $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ plotted with annual estimates of catch in tonnes. $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ are shown as " X " from research survey data and " O " from commercial fishery samples, by area.


Figure 34. Quillback rockfish "relative" $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ plotted with annual estimates of catch in tonnes. $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ are shown as " x " from research survey data and " o " from commercial fishery samples, by area.


Figure 35. Neighbor-joining dendrogram of yelloweye rockfish samples based on Nei's (1972) genetic distance calculated from microsatellite allele frequencies.


Figure 36. Neighbor-joining dendrogram of quillback rockfish samples based on Nei's (1972) genetic distance calculated from microsatellite allele frequencies.



[^0]:    * This series documents the scientific basis for the evaluation of fisheries resources in Canada. As such, it addresses the issues of the day in the time frames required and the documents it contains are not intended as definitive statements on the subjects addressed but rather as progress reports on ongoing investigations.
    * La présente série documente les bases scientifiques des évaluations des ressources halieutiques du Canada. Elle traite des problèmes courants selon les échéanciers dictés. Les documents qu'elle contient ne doivent pas être considérés comme des énoncés définitifs sur les sujets traités, mais plutôt comme des rapports d'étape sur les études en cours.

    Research documents are produced in the official language in which they are provided to the Secretariat.

    This document is available on the Internet at: Ce document est disponible sur l'Internet à:

[^1]:    * minimum estimate
    ? unknown
    + PBS Groundfish databases
    \# no standardized coastwide data collection

[^2]:    1 Unknown areas unavailable in logbook dataset.

    * Area resolution unavailable in Halibut DMP dataset, only total catch used. Area resolution available only for Yelloweye and Aggregate 1\&2 for Schedule II DMP dataset.

[^3]:    * excludes PFMA 111
    ** includes PFMA 111

