## **Terms of Reference**

# National Advisory Process meeting to review marine species subject to upcoming assessment by COSEWIC

November 1 - 2, 2005
Pacific Biological Station
Nanaimo, British Columbia

Chairperson: Alan Sinclair

# A. Background

The implementation of the federal Species at Risk Act (SARA), proclaimed in June 2003, begins with the assessment of a species' risk of extinction by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), an arm's-length scientific advisory body. This assessment initiates the regulatory process whereby the competent Minister must decide whether to accept COSEWIC's designation and add a species to Schedule 1 of SARA, which will result in legal protection for the species under the Act.

DFO, as the primary generator and archivist of information on aquatic species, will be expected to support the work of COSEWIC by providing the best information available on the status of a species to be assessed. DFO also benefits from this activity as COSEWIC can assess the status of species most accurately when all relevant information is made available to those assessing status.

A National Advisory Process (NAP) meeting to review Pacific marine species recently listed on COSEWIC's Call for Bids (January 2005) is scheduled for November 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2005 in Nanaimo, British Columbia. Contracts to prepare status reports were issued for the following Pacific species from this Call for Bids:

Yelloweye rockfish
 Longspine thornyhead
 Canary rockfish
 Quillback rockfish
 Rougheye rockfish
 Sebastes ruberrimus
 Sebastes pinniger
 Sebastes maliger
 Sebastes aleutianus
 Sea otter

#### B. General objectives

This advisory meeting is being held to peer-review DFO's information that would be relevant to determining a COSEWIC status designation for the five Pacific marine fishes in the table above. Information relevant to determining the status of the sea otter will be peer-reviewed at a later date.

The intent of this meeting is to have on the science record:

- a) What information is available related to the status and trends of, and threats to, these five species of Pacific rockfish in Canadian waters;
- b) The strengths and limitations of the information; and,

c) What the meeting participants think are legitimate uses of the information, and why.

For the information that is reviewed for use by COSEWIC, non-DFO information will not be considered. The intent of this part of the meeting is simply to review and provide information from DFO to COSEWIC.

## C. Specific objectives

The purpose of the meeting is to ensure that species information held by DFO is made available to COSEWIC, including the authors of the respective status reports, and the Chairs of the appropriate COSEWIC Species Specialist Subcommittee.

For each species, the meeting will review information on life history characteristics, distribution, and abundance in Canadian waters, along with threats, which could be used by COSEWIC to determine, following its assessment guidelines and criteria, the appropriate risk category. Discussion on each species will also consider the available information on population differentiation, which could support a COSEWIC decision of which populations below the species' level would be suitable for assessment and designation.

Documentation produced by this part of the meeting will include Research Documents summarising the available information on these species and Proceedings documenting discussions at the meeting.

A detailed description of the information to be produced for each species follows. In addition, information that can be made available on life history and ecological characteristics will be reviewed for each species to allow a general assessment of the resilience or general vulnerability of the species. Therefore, the following information will be reviewed to the extent that it is available:

#### 1. Review life history characteristics

- Growth parameters: age and/or length at maturity, maximum age and/or length
- Fecundity
- Early life history pattern (e.g. duration of planktonic larval life, and major egg, larval, and juvenile transport mechanisms)
- Specialised niche or habitat requirements
- **2. Review designatable units** see COSEWIC 2005 "Guidelines for Recognizing Designatable Units below the Species Level" (attached).

## COSEWIC Criterion— Declining Total Population

a. Summarize overall trends in population size (both number of mature individuals and total numbers in the population) over as long a period as possible and in particular for the past three generations (taken as mean age of spawners). Additionally, present data on a scale appropriate to the data to clarify the rate of decline.

- b. Identify threats to abundance— where declines have occurred over the past three generations, summarize the degree to which the causes of the declines are understood, and the evidence that the declines are a result of natural variability, habitat loss, fishing, or other human activity
- c. Where declines have occurred over the past three generations, summarize the evidence that the declines have ceased, are reversible, and the likely time scales for reversibility.

**COSEWIC Criterion**— <u>Small Distribution and Decline or Fluctuation</u>: by stock, for species in Canada as a whole, and for designatable units identified in 1 (if on a scale finer than stocks) and using information in the most recent assessments:

- a. Summarise the current extent of occurrence (in km²) in Canadian waters
- b. Summarise the current area of occupancy (in km²) in Canadian waters
- c. Summarise changes in extent of occurrence and area of occupancy over as long a time as possible, and in particular, over the past three generations.
- d. Summarise any evidence that there have been changes in the degree of fragmentation of the overall population, or a reduction in the number of metapopulation units.
- e. Summarise the proportion of the population that resides in Canadian waters, migration patterns (if any), and known breeding areas.

COSEWIC Criterion— <u>Small Total Population Size and Decline</u> and <u>Very Small and Restricted</u>: by stock, for species in Canada as a whole, and for designatable units identified in 1 (if on a scale finer than stocks), and using information in the most recent assessments:

- a. Tabulate the best scientific estimates of the number of mature individuals;
- b. If there are likely to be fewer than 10,000 mature individuals, summarize trends in numbers of mature individuals over the past 10 years or three generations, and, to the extent possible, causes for the trends.
- 2. Summarise the options for combining surveys to provide an assessment of status, and the caveats and uncertainties associated with each option.
- 3. For transboundary stocks, summarise the status of the population(s) outside of Canadian waters. State whether rescue from outside populations is likely.

As time allows, review status and trends in other indicators of the status of each of the species that would be relevant to evaluating the risk of extinction of the species. This includes the likelihood of imminent or continuing decline in the abundance or distribution of the species, or that would otherwise be of value in preparation of COSEWIC Status Reports.

#### D. Documentation

The meeting will produce the following documentation:

1. At least one Research Document for each of the species to be considered, summarising the overall status of the species and the data and information held by

DFO which could be used by COSEWIC in making status designations. These Research Documents will cover the information called for in the Terms of Reference above.

2. Proceedings summarising the decisions, recommendations, and major points of discussion at the meeting, including a reflection of the diversity of opinion present in the discussions.