

PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM CTFCA C&S WG

Date of circulation:	Proposal no:
Closing date for evaluation:	Submitted by:

CTFCA C&S Secretariat

Ian MacIntyre
CETC-O
Natural Resources Canada
580 Booth street, 13th floor
Ottawa Ontario K1A 0E4

Tel.: 613-943-2257 Fax: 613-996-9416
E-mail: imacinty@nrcan.gc.ca

Evaluators are requested to send in this form, duly completed at all points, to the CTFCA C&S Secretariat.

Please respond to all questions.

Title/Description of the proposal

1 Evaluation of the completeness of the proposal

low high

- | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1.1 | Is the project clearly defined in general? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.2 | Are the objectives of the project clearly established? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.3 | Are the resources for the project included in the proposal? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.4 | Are the target dates for the completion of the project included in the proposal? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

(Comments may be annexed)

Total points:

Maximum of 10 points

2 Evaluation of the technical content of the proposal

low high

- | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2.1 | Is the proposal technically feasible? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.2 | Does this proposal advance the state-of-the-art methodology? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.3 | Are there adequate resources available for carrying out this project? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.4 | Are there adequate analytical tools available for carrying out this project? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.5 | Are the deliverables relevant and clearly defined? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

(Comments may be annexed)

Total points:

Maximum of 40 points

PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM CTFCA C&S WG

Date of circulation:	Proposal no:
Closing date for evaluation:	Submitted by:

3 Management and technical capabilities of project team low high

3.1 Do the resumes of the key personnel reflect the necessary expertise?

3.2 Does the team have a schedule that is logical, comprehensive and reasonable?

3.3 Are the strategic players involved in the project?

(Comments may be annexed)

Total points
Maximum of 40 points

4 Evaluation of the project budget low high

4.1 Is the proposed budget realistic?

4.2 Is there adequate cost sharing?

4.3 Does the proposal make good use of existing data?

(Comments may be annexed)

Total points
Maximum of 10 points

5 Comments

Grand Total points:

An annex is attached to this form Maximum of 100 points

Evaluator: Date:	Name
---------------------	------