

# SITE AND HARVEST PLAN

1. LOCATION			
District	GEOGRAPHIC LO	DCATION NAME	MAPSHEET
Watson Lk	Cosh (	Creek	095-D-04
FMU	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	SIS #
Y02	60 deg. 03' 12" 127 deg. 47' 34"		
DEVELOPMENT AREA	BLOCK N	UMBER	AIR PHOTO NUMBERS
East Hyland	C	9	IAS(03) 54509 #283

## 2. ECOLOGY AND SITE CONDITION

E	CO-REG	ION	VE	EGETATION	NTYPE		SOIL TYPE			
L	IARD BA	SIN		V16, V2	2	S3/S	S3/S4/S5 (minor SS5)			
ELEV	SLOPE %	ASPECT	TERRAIN	SLOPE POSITION	MOIST REGIME	SOIL DRAINAGE	LFH(OM) DEPTH	SOIL TEXTURE		
900- 1035m	5-45%	N-NE-SE- S	EVEN- HUMMOCK	MID- UPPER	3-4//B-C	WELL TO MODWELL	9-15cm	L-SiCL		

## 3. BLOCK AREA SUMMARY IN HECTARE

			/			
TOTAL AREA	NP NAT	IMMATURE PATCHES	MERCHANT. AREA	RESERVES	PERM. ROADS,	NET AREA TO
88.7	0	0	44.2	44	0.5	44.2

## 4. HARVEST STAND DESCRIPTION

STAND NUMBER	MERCH. AREA	SPECIES	CROWN CLOSURE	AGE	HEIGHT	AVG. DBH	EST. VOL/HA
V16(FP)	36.90	F6P2SW2	30.00%	126	20	22.40	186.00
V16(FSW)	2.30	F8SW2	35.00%	131	17	27.00	242.00
V22	5.00	P6F3SW1	25.00%	129	17	23.60	215.00



# SITE AND HARVEST PLAN

5. RIPARI	AN MANAGE	MENT			
RIPARIAN ID #	CLASS (STREAM, WETLAND, LAKE)	RESERVE ZONE WIDTH (M)	RATIONALE FOR RESERVE	MNGMT. ZONE WIDTH (M)	STRATEGIES FOR MANAGEMENT ZONE
J	Class 4 stream	30.00	as per the Yukon Forest Management Branch THP&O Guidebook	70.00	The harvest area boundary has been located to exclude the majority of the Riparian Management Zone (RMZ).



# SITE AND HARVEST PLAN

### 6. STAND MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

### HIGHER LEVEL AND OTHER PLANS

Identify any higher level plans, Resource Reports or other plans with which this prescription must be consistant.

This plan is consistent with the INTERIM WOOD SUPPLY PLAN for FOREST MANAGEMENT UNITS Y02, Y03 and Y09 in the KASKA YUKON TRADITIONAL TERRITORY (September 30th, 2003).

### **STAND-LEVEL OBJECTIVES**

Discuss non-timber values that may be affected by the proposed treatment and measures proposed to accommodate these.

### TRADITIONAL OR FIRST NATION

Crewmembers from the local First Nation community assisted in all operational field stages of this project. No observations were made by any of the field crews that would suggest cultural, archaeological, or historical sites were within the vicinity of this block. However, as no formal archaeological assessment has been carried out, harvesting supervisors must be aware of the potential for such sites and cease operations immediately should any be discovered during harvest.

### Wildlife\_Values:

The contiguous landscape level Forest Ecosystem Network (FEN) will provide interior forest habitat for late seral species (Marten, Boreal Owls, etc.), while internal reserves and/or dispersed on-block retention provdes stand structural diversity, visual screening, and "edge effect" throughout the harvest area for early seral species (Moose, Bear, etc.). In addition, both dispersed and aggregated retention will provide for biodiversity through "lifeboating", "enrichment" and "connectivity" at the stand level until this block returns to mature forest. Dispersed and aggregated retention also provides transitional elements between late and early seral stand structures that has been shown to increase utility of an area to both early and late seral species.

### Fish\_Water\_Values:

In addition to any specific actions outlined in section 4.0 (RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT) of this SP, the following general conditions will be applied during harvest: 1) Culverts have been proposed for all non-classifiable drains (NCDs), draws, and streams for road crossings as shown on the SP Map. 2) Narrow draws or NCDs will not be used as skid routes, and skidding will be away from such features, as much as possible. 3) The preferred harvest season will be winter to minimize the overall impact of harvesting on the hydrology of the area.

#### Recr\_Visual\_Values:

Variable Retention harvesting using dispersed and aggregated retetion will minimize the visual impact of this block as shown in the post harvest Visual Impact Simulations of the Cosh Creek area.

#### Other\_Values:

As the Trapper appears to have been using the Cosh Mainline as a trapping route, this and other harvest blocks should be reviewed with the Trapper so that he can adjust his "sets" accordingly before harvesting commences.



## SITE AND HARVEST PLAN

## 7. SILVICULTURE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

STAND NUMBER	SILVICULTURE SYSTEM	SEASON	RATIONALE
All Other Stands	Variable Retention harvest with even-age stand management. Total aggregated retention in internal reserves is 48.3% of the gross block area. Dispersed retention throughout the harvest area is approximately 2% of the current basal area/ha.	Winter	Rationale described in Section 5.2 of the INTERIM WOOD SUPPLY PLAN for FOREST MANAGEMENT UNITS Y02, Y03 and Y09 in the KASKA YUKON TRADITIONAL TERRITORY (September 30th, 2003).

#### **Dispersed Retention Leave-Tree Specs**

Dispersed Retention Leave-Tree Specs: To meet the variable retention objectives on the harvest area, the following mature trees must be left: 1) Leave all aspen and birch regardless of condition. 2) Leave large diameter standing snags unless they are a worker safety hazard, in which case a 3m stub can be left. 3) Leave 10-20 conifers per hectare uniformly across the entire opening using the following parameters: a) All trees will be dominant or co-dominant. B) Species preference will be Subalpine Fir>White Spruce>Lodgepole Pine. C) All trees will be single or in small aggregates of 2-3 trees. D) All trees will be above average diameter for the stand, and preferably some of the largest diameter trees will be included (low height to diameter ratio for windfirmness). E) Spacing will vary to allow operational flexibility but will be roughly 20 to 30m between trees or aggregates. F) Most of the trees will be of good form and vigor (straight with healthy crowns). To supplement this mature tree retention the following non-merchantable trees will be left in V16 types: 1) Leave random clumps of Subalpine Fir advanced regeneration (<7m tall & <13.6cm DBH), where operationally feasible. These clumps will preferably be associated with the mature leave trees or aggregates for maximum effect and ease of harvesting (i.e., minimize the amount of non-merchantable Subalpine Fir slash by retaining the natural clumps of trees that currently exist in these stand types). In addition, the following non-merchantable trees will be left in V22 types: 1) Leave any scattered White Spruce (<7m tall, >40% live crown, and 13.5cm DBH) of good form and vigor where it is operationally feasible to do so.



# SITE AND HARVEST PLAN

### 8. SOIL CONSERVATION

ON BLOCK PERMANENT DISTURBANCE CALCULATION TAB									
DISTURBANC TYPE (ROAD/LANDIN		IDENTIFICATION (NAME/NUMBER)		ROAD STANDARD	LENGTH (M)	WIDT (M)	Η	TOTAL AREA L x W / 10,000 (HA)	
ROAD		C9 BRAN	ICH ROAD	CLASS4	500.00	10.00	)	0.50	
					0.00	0.00		0.00	
					0.00	0.00		0.00	
					0.00	0.00		0.00	
ROAD AREA		IDING REA	TOTAL AREA	BLOCK GROSS AREA	BLOC NET AR		0	% DISTURB. F GROSS AREA	
0.50	C	.00	0.00	88.70	44.20	)		0.60%	
DEPTH OF OM	COMF	ACTION	HAZARD RATINGS CTION SURFACE EROSION DISPLA		PERMAFROST OR FROST HEAVING		Н	PROPOSED HARVEST SEASON	
15cm	VER	Y HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	LOW	1		WINTER	
PROPORTION OF T	EMPOR	ARY ACCE	SS WITHIN NET AER	A TO BE REFORES	TED: (explain	rehabilita	atio	n measures)	

Temporary access is 3.0% of the NAR. Rehabilitation will include, as required: 1) Removal of culverts, cleaning of ditches, and restoration of natural drainage. 2) Ripping of excessively compacted areas. 3) Re-spreading of over-burden & Replanting.

FIRE HAZARD ABATEMENT: (explain measures for slash abatement)

CWD: Leave 2-5 small piles (approx. 3mX3mX3m) randomly in the block for small furbearer habitat. In addition, leave slash scattered throughout the block as widely dispersed as possible to simulate wildfire debris while maintaining reasonable plantibility. Minimize slash piles at the landings by processing at the stump or re-distributing some slash from the landings back over the block. Burn any remaining landing accumulations, as required, to abate the potential fire hazard.

FOREST HEALTH: (explain measures to reduce current and future risk of forest to disease and insects)

No significant forest health issues were noted in this block. Diverse stand structure and ecologically suitable species mixes will, in general, reduce the potential for post-harvest stand health concerns. Windfirmness of the residual stand edges has been considered in the location of all block boundaries.



# SITE AND HARVEST PLAN

### 9. REFORESTATION DESCRIPTION

SIS #	-	NET AREA	RESTOCKING		TARGET	ASSESSMENT DATES			
	#	TO REFOREST	OREST PREF. ACC. SPECIES SPECIES (SPH)			DELAY TO	REGEN SURVEYS		
						TREAT	EARLY STOCKING	LATE PERFORMANC	
	V16 (FP)	36.90	F, P	SW	1200	H +3	H +5	H +10	
	V16 (FSW)	2.30	F, P	SW	1200	H +3	H +5	H +10	
	V22	5.00	Р	SW, F	1200	H +2	H +5	H +10	

### REFORESTATION PLAN:

Site Preparation V16 & V22 type: Preparation objectives would be to create plantible spots, promote soil warming and retain or mixing in organic material. Suitable alternatives would be chain drag, disc trench, screef & raw plant. Excavator rake/pile or spot burning would be options if slash levels are excessive. Microsite plant pine and spruce 2+0 310 or equivalent stock. If raw planting, obstacle plant for soil warmth.

### ESTABLISHMENT TO ASSESSMENT DATE CONCERNS:

V16 & V22 type: Brush competition is not expected to be a concern on these types.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Evaluate dispersed slash levels ASAP after harvest to confirm site prep requirements, if any. In general, the groups of trees, were the forest cover is totally undisturbed by harvest, should be considered stocked. On all other partially or fully disturbed areas, an intensive (100x100m grid) Post Harvest Survey can be used to delineate stocked and plantable portions for subsequent silviculture activities and to determine planting stock amounts. Short root stock is preferred on due to the patches of coarse shallow soils in this block.

FMB Approval by: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Position: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature:



# SITE AND HARVEST PLAN

10.	ATTACHMENTS	
	SITE PLAN MAP	@ 1:
	HARVEST PLAN MAP	P @ 1: