## Introduction

$T$he CRTC Action Plan 2000-2003 included the establishment of a broadcasting monitoring framework based on performance indicators to measure the results and effectiveness of CRTC policies and identify policy areas that may require further review or adjustment.

This first edition outlines the initial performance indicators that the CRTC will use in its on-going assessment of the impact of CRTC broadcasting policies and decisions.

In the past few years, most of the major broadcasting policies have been revised. These policies were used as the starting point to develop the performance indicators:

- New Regulatory Framework for Broadcasting Distribution Undertakings - Public Notice CRTC 1997-25
- Commercial Radio Policy - Public N otice CRTC 1998-41
- New Media - Broadcasting Public Notice CRTC 1999-84 and Telecom Public Notice CRTC 99-14
- A Policy Framework for Canadian Television - Public Notice CRTC 1999-97
- Ethnic Broadcasting Policy - Public Notice CRTC 1999-117
- Licensing Framework Policy for New Digital Pay and Specialty Services - Public N otice CRTC 2000-6
- Campus Radio Policy - Public Notice CRTC 2000-12
- Community Radio Policy - Public Notice CRTC 2000-13

The CRTC will report on the performance indicators annually and will amend its broadcasting monitoring framework to reflect changes to the broadcasting environment and CRTC policies. The framework is sub-divided in four sections:

- Radio
- Television
- Broadcasting distribution
- New media.
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## Radio

## I. Radio tuning and relationship with other media

## A. Tuning trends

- The following charts and tables outline the total hours tuned to radio in an average week for the years 1994-1999. Chart and table 1 provide the total hours tuned over the entire day, while chart and table 2 include the total hours tuned between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m.

Table 1: Radio tuning in an average week total hours tuned ("THT")
(000s)

|  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% |
| English AM | 169,305 | 32 | 163,704 | 31 | 146,937 | 29 | 143,274 | 28 | 138,986 | 25 | 133,316 | 25 |
| English FM | 219,084 | 41 | 226,945 | 43 | 231,903 | 45 | 233,510 | 45 | 269,081 | 49 | 268,211 | 49 |
| French AM | 36,280 | 7 | 32,075 | 6 | 31,208 | 6 | 29,219 | 6 | 24,052 | 4 | 20,536 | 4 |
| French FM | 82,363 | 15 | 81,606 | 15 | 76,944 | 15 | 79,684 | 15 | 91,160 | 17 | 91,898 | 17 |
| O ther | 26,075 | 5 | 27,408 | 5 | 29,117 | 5 | 30,877 | 6 | 29,523 | 5 | 30,675 | 5 |
| Total | 533,107 | 100 | 531,738 | 100 | 516,109 | 100 | 516,564 | 100 | 552,802 | 100 | 544,636 | 100 |

Note: $O$ ther is principally tuning to U.S. stations.
Source: BBM Fall 1994 to Fall 1999
Table 2: Radio tuning in an average week
6 a.m. to 6 p.m., total hours tuned ("THT") (000s)

|  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THT } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{array}{r} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { THT } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | \% |
| English AM | 139,823 | 32 | 135,400 | 31 | n/a | n/a | 119,794 | 28 | 116,767 | 26 | 111,626 | 25 |
| English FM | 175,401 | 40 | 181,130 | 42 | n/a | n/a | 188,027 | 45 | 217,845 | 48 | 216,287 | 49 |
| French AM | 31,575 | 7 | 27,793 | 6 | n/a | n/a | 25,102 | 6 | 20,788 | 5 | 17,381 | 4 |
| French FM | 68,269 | 16 | 67,271 | 16 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 67,068 | 16 | 77,075 | 17 | 77,225 | 17 |
| O ther | 19,035 | 5 | 20,140 | 5 | n/a | n/a | 22,819 | 5 | 22,041 | 4 | 23,026 | 5 |
| Total | 434,103 | 100 | 431,734 | 100 | n/a | n/a | 422,810 | 100 | 454,516 | 100 | 445,545 | 100 |

Source: BBM Fall 1994 to Fall 1999, BBM data for 1996 is unavailable for this time period

- Both tables reveal the continued importance of radio to Canadians. Average weekly hours have increased over both the entire day and between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m. from 1994-1999.
- Tuning to AM stations, both English and French, declined annually from 1994 to 1999, while tuning to FM stations increased.
- The following charts compare the tuning levels of AM and FM stations in 1999, and clearly demonstrate the predominance of FM radio.

Chart 1: Total hours tuned in an average week, 1999
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Chart 2: Total hours tuned in an average week, 6 a.m. to 6 p.m., 1999


## B. Advertising

Table 3: Advertising revenue by media (\$ million)

| Media | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Television | 1,788 | 1,876 | 1,994 | 2,104 | 2,321 | 2,358 |
| Daily N ewspaper | 1,220 | 1,323 | 1,399 | 1,644 | 1,698 | 1,734 |
| Radio | 742 | 769 | 798 | 848 | 920 | 952 |
| Magazine | 531 | 621 | 611 | 647 | 707 | 747 |
| Weekly N ewspaper | 579 | 615 | 634 | 673 | 765 | 788 |
| Billboard | 132 | 167 | 200 | 220 | 250 | 287 |
| Internet | - | - | 1.5 | 9.8 | 24.5 | 55.5 |
| Total | 4,992 | 5,371 | 5,638 | 6,146 | 6,686 | 6,922 |

Note: Daily newspaper revenues exclude classified ads.
Source: Carat Expert, Panorama Publicitaire 2000

Chart 3: Share of advertising revenue by media, 1999
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Table 4: Share of advertising revenue by media (\%)

| Media | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Television | 35.8 | 34.9 | 35.4 | 34.2 | 34.7 | 34.1 |
| Daily Newspaper | 24.4 | 24.6 | 24.8 | 26.7 | 25.4 | 25.1 |
| Radio | 14.9 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.8 |
| Magazine | 10.6 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.8 |
| Weekly Newspaper | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| Billboard | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| Internet | - | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 |

[^0]- Total commercial radio advertising revenues have increased annually from 1994 to 1999.
- Radio's share of the advertising pie has gradually declined from 1994 to 1999.


## C. Digital radio

- As of July 2000, 53 licences for transitional digital radio undertakings (DRUs) had been granted. Of these licences, 41 went to existing commercial radio stations and 12 to existing CBC stations.
- In addition, three licence amendments to add digital radio broadcasting transmitters (DRBs) had been granted. These amendments are temporary measures which allow the licensee to simulcast its conventional station digitally while the licensee's application for a DRU is being processed. Of the DRBs, one belonged to a private commercial station and two to the CBC.


## II. Ownership

## A. The top ten ownership groups

Table 5: Ten largest radio operators Radio revenue \& national share by 1999 ranking

| Corporations: | \# of radio undertakings |  |  | Radio revenue (\$ 000s) |  |  | National share of revenue (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Rogers Communications Inc. | 20 | 19 | 25 | 77,948 | 85,355 | 108,820 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| CHUM Limited | 25 | 25 | 27 | 69,662 | 78,125 | 89,342 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Standard Broadcasting Corp. Ltd. | 13 | 13 | 12 | 70,203 | 81,676 | 88,204 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| WIC - Western International Com. Ltd. | 12 | 12 | 12 | 69,298 | 73,506 | 79,869 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Télémédia Inc. | 30 | 25 | 28 | 66,050 | 68,558 | 78,717 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Shaw Communications | 11 | 11 | 11 | 48,589 | 51,524 | 51,568 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Radiomutuel Inc. | 12 | 12 | 12 | 39,763 | 41,621 | 39,825 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Métromédia CMR Broadcasting Inc. | 5 | 5 | 7 | 22,456 | 25,555 | 32,490 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Newcap Broadcasting Inc. | 12 | 12 | 13 | 19,210 | 19,906 | 23,700 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Maritime Broadcasting Ltd. | 11 | 15 | 19 | - | - | 23,103 | - | - | 2 |
| Total | 151 | 149 | 166 | 483,179 | 525,826 | 615,638 | 56 | 56 | 63 |
| Total - all private radio | 479 | 487 | 487 | 868,772 | 940,077 | 972,443 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Notes: WIC's radio assets were acquired by Corus Entertainment Inc., Decision CRTC 2000-222 (July 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2000$ ), and Shaw
Communications' radio assets were transferred to Corus Entertainment Inc. Radiomutuel was acquired by Astral Communications Inc., Decision CRTC 2000-5 (Jan. 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2000$ ).
Sources: CRTC Internal Report 'O wnership August 1999', August 2000 \& CRTC Financial Database

- The majority of corporations in the top ten by revenue experienced a steady increase in radio revenues between 1997 and 1999. The revenue increases can be attributed to both general economic expansion and acquisitions.
- Both the number of stations operated by the top ten and their national share of revenues are on the rise.

Table 6: Ten largest radio operators tuning by 1999 ranking

| Corporations: | Listening hours (000s) |  |  | All radio share (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Rogers Communications Limited | 32,639 | 34,648 | 46,662 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| Standard Broadcasting Corp. Ltd. | 43,543 | 47,068 | 43,275 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| CHUM Limited | 37,116 | 38,196 | 40,663 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Télémédia Communications Inc. | 37,789 | 40,357 | 38,401 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| WIC - Western International Com. Ltd. | 37,337 | 39,249 | 38,293 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Métromédia CMR Broadcasting Inc. | 21,239 | 22,490 | 24,055 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Shaw Communications Inc. | 24,401 | 25,411 | 22,628 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Radiomutuel Inc. | 19,382 | 19,023 | 20,191 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Newcap Broadcasting Limited | - | - | 11,477 | - | - | 2 |
| Maritime Broadcasting System Limited |  | 10,241 | 10,697 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Rawlinson Group | 15,115 | 14,073 | - | 3 | 3 | - |
| Radiomédia Inc. | 9,158 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Total | 277,719 | 290,756 | 296,342 | 54 | 53 | 54 |
| Total private radio | 436,935 | 466,091 | 459,198 | - | - | - |
| Total all radio - Canada | 516,564 | 552,798 | 544,637 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: CRTC Internal Report 'O wnership August 1999', August 2000

- Changes in the total number of hours tuned by group are related primarily to acquisitions.
- The ten largest radio groups attracted $54 \%$ of the audience and $63 \%$ of radio industry revenues in 1999. The stations owned by these groups are generally located in larger markets.


## C. Transfers of control and competitive licensing

- Between the April 1998 issuance of Public Notice CRTC 1998-41 of the new Commercial Radio Policy and September 2000, there have been 43 transfers of control transactions involving 166 radio stations.
- Transfer benefits from the above transactions amounted to $\$ 26.3$ million.

Chart 4: Value of radio transactions (\$ 000s)


Source: CRTC Decisions and Administrative Approvals

Chart 5: Value of transfer benefits (\$ 000s)


Source: CRTC Decisions and Administrative Approvals

## Chart 6: Breakdown of transfer benefits



Source: CRTC Decisions and Administrative Approvals

- Since the introduction of the new Commercial Radio Policy, the Commission has licensed 18 new FM stations through competitive processes in medium and large markets.

Table 7: Factors contributing to successful applications for commercial
radio following Public N otice CRTC $1998-41$

| Application | Canadian content | Canadian talent development | Business plan | Competitive balance | Diversity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Victoria-O.K. Radio |  | X | X |  |  |
| Victoria-Rogers |  | X | X |  |  |
| Victoria-Seacoast |  | X | X | X |  |
| Duncan-CKAY |  |  | X |  |  |
| London-CHUM |  | X | X |  |  |
| Saskatoon-Hildebrand | X | X | X | X |  |
| Lloydminster-Peace River | X | X | X |  | X |
| Hamilton/Burlington-Kirk/Roe |  | X | X |  | X |
| Barrie-Rock 95 | X | X | X | X |  |
| Belleville-Zwig |  |  | X | X |  |
| Toronto-Milestone |  |  | X |  | X |
| Toronto-AVR |  | X | X |  | X |
| Toronto-PrimeTime |  | X | X |  | x |
| Moncton-Losier |  |  | X |  | X |
| Moncton-Maritime |  |  |  | X |  |
| Moncton-Atlantic |  |  | X | X |  |
| Saint John-NBBC |  |  |  | X |  |
| Kingston-Wright |  | X | X |  | X |
| Total | 3 | 11 | 16 | 7 | 7 |

Source: CRTC Decisions

## III. Diversity of formats

- The following tables (8-11) compare the diversity of radio formats available in a sample of markets from across Canada, 1997-1999.

Table 8: Formats of market stations for Vancouver, Kelowna, Calgary and Regina


Sources: -BBM
-CRTC Research
Table 9: Formats of market stations for Sudbury, London, Toronto and Ottawa-Hull

| Format | Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sudbury |  |  | London |  |  | Toronto |  |  | Ottawa-Hull |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| AC | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| AC/News/ Talk |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AOR | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| CAR |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| CFA Specialty |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| CHR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| CHR/Dance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Classic Rock |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Country | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ethnic |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Ethnic Specialty |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| G old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Modern Rock |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| News/Talk | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| News/Talk Sports |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nostalgia |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oldies |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Soft AC |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Talk |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Talk/Sports |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Total | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 11 | 11 | 11 |

Sources: -BBM
-CRTC Research

Table 10: Formats of market stations for Montréal, Q uébec, Chicoutimi-Jonquière and Halifax

| Format | Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Montréal |  |  | Q uébec |  |  | Chicoutimi-Jonquière |  |  | Halifax |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| AC | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AOR | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| CAR |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFA Specialty |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHR | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Classic Rock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Ethnic | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hot AC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| MOR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| News/Talk | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Oldies |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Talk | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 14 | 15 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

Sources: -BBM
-CRTC Research
Table 11: Formats of market stations for St. John's

|  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Format | St. John's |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| AC/Country | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| CHR | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Country | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Gold | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Religion | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sources: | -BBM | -CRTC Research |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | -RT |  |  |

- In most markets, the number of distinct formats available has either risen or stayed constant since the introduction of the new Commercial Radio Policy.


## IV. Popularity of formats

Table 12: Total hours tuned (THT) by format for Canada (000s)

| Format |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| AAA | 852 | 812 | 968 |
| AC | 134,329 | 136,821 | 125,313 |
| AC Christian | 336 | 268 | 186 |
| AC/Country | 5,686 | 6,588 | 6,050 |
| AC/Country/CBC |  | 80 | 71 |
| AC/Country/Folk |  | 81 |  |
| AC/G old | 948 | 411 | 175 |
| AC/News/Talk | 1,747 | 1,556 | 1,422 |
| AC/O Idies |  |  | 640 |
| AC/Talk |  | 2,188 | 2,801 |
| AOR | 17,938 | 19,480 | 25,845 |
| CAR | 19,680 | 22,404 | 11,872 |
| CBC - Chaîne Culturelle | 2,708 | 2,150 | 1,840 |
| CBC - Première Chaîne | 6,143 | 6,388 | 7,216 |
| CBC - Radio One | 29,671 | 33,195 | 33,622 |
| CBC - Radio Two | 9,257 | 11,027 | 10,129 |
| CFA | 578 | 957 |  |
| CFA Specialty | 3,745 | 8,549 | 9,909 |
| CHR | 34,679 | 56,365 | 55,090 |
| CHR/Dance | 5,280 |  | 5,533 |
| Christian Specialty | 151 |  | 263 |
| Classic Hits |  |  | 257 |
| Classic Rock | 18,483 | 18,275 | 20,758 |
| Community/ Campus | 5,438 | 6,574 | 6,948 |
| Country | 62,628 | 64,435 | 58,576 |
| Country Gold | 454 | 658 | 799 |
| Dance | 3,354 | 191 |  |
| Educational | 843 | 909 | 1,010 |
| EL | 2,977 | 2,595 | 2,815 |
| Ethnic | 2,502 | 2,998 | 3,886 |
| Ethnic Specialty | 1,532 | 1,665 | 2,242 |
| Full Service | 4,267 | 7,189 | 6,933 |
| Gold | 3,372 | 2,645 | 3,270 |
| Gospel |  | 420 | 367 |
| G ospel Specialty |  | 140 | 381 |
| Hot AC | 3,456 | 3,316 | 6,772 |
| Modern Rock | 6,029 | 5,430 | 5,967 |
| MOR | 10,806 | 13,764 | 11,268 |
| Native | 231 | 801 | 896 |
| News | 2,210 |  | 1,528 |
| News/Talk | 57,251 | 48,555 | 47,968 |
| News/Talk Sports |  |  | 411 |
| Nostalgia | 1,809 | 2,652 | 3,413 |
| News |  | 2,436 |  |
| Oldies | 12,937 | 15,619 | 16,564 |
| O Idies/Talk | 469 | 430 | 414 |
| Religion | 409 | 327 | 395 |
| Religion Specialty | 333 | 438 | 309 |
| Rock | 894 | 770 | 608 |
| Soft AC | 8,464 | 7,636 | 10,930 |
| Soft AC Specialty |  |  | 94 |
| Sports |  |  | 383 |
| Talk | 3,165 | 4,939 | 3,019 |
| Talk/AC | 844 | 766 |  |
| Talk/Country | 365 |  |  |
| Talk/Sports | 2,947 | 2,903 | 2,733 |
| Unknown | 6,278 | 5,157 | 5,312 |
| U.S. Stations | 18,089 | 18,849 | 18,465 |
| Total | 516,564 | 552,802 | 544,636 |

[^1]Chart 7: Ten most popular formats in Canada, 1999
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Source: BBM

## V. Promotion of a financially sound sector

## A. Financial performance

## 1. Total revenues - AM and FM

Table 13: Radio revenues

|  | Total revenues <br> $(\$ 000 s)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| English AM | 302,791 | 287,586 | 288,003 | 297,629 | 286,466 |
| English FM | 353,665 | 390,295 | 432,960 | 489,164 | 530,591 |
| French AM | 33,482 | 33,118 | 34,313 | 31,689 | 28,135 |
| French FM | 93,152 | 103,244 | 113,497 | 121,595 | 127,251 |

Note: Ethnic language stations are included under English radio.
Source: FDB Financial Summary Reports

Chart 8: Radio revenues


Source: CRTC Financial Database

- Total revenues for English FM radio have experienced a $10.3 \%$ average annual growth rate between 1995 and 1999.
- French FM radio has also seen a steady increase in total annual revenues over this period, with an average annual growth rate of $7.2 \%$. There has been an increase in the number of French-language FM stations, which has compensated for the fall in average annual revenues per station for French FM radio since 1997.

2. Profit before interest and tax (PBIT) margins

Table 14: PBIT margins - AM and FM
(\%)

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English AM | -8.70 | -10.07 | -7.85 | -2.59 | -4.45 |
| English FM | 19.35 | 19.06 | 21.81 | 23.50 | 26.21 |
| French AM | -24.38 | -7.64 | -2.14 | -2.70 | -13.99 |
| French FM | 11.84 | 15.13 | 16.83 | 18.65 | 21.03 |

Note: Ethnic language stations are included under English radio.
Source: FBD Financial Summary Reports

- Profit before interest and tax (PBIT) margins for both English- and French-language FM stations have improved steadily from 1996 to 1999.
- Revenue decreases in both English- and French-language AM stations resulted in increased losses in 1999.

Chart 9: Radio PBIT margins


Source: CRTC Financial Database

## 3. Jointly operated AM stations

- Many AM stations share joint operations with at least one other FM or AM station in their market. When viewed as a combined entity, these joint operations tend to be much more profitable than AM stations viewed alone.
- 137 or $61 \%$ of English commercial AM stations were jointly operated with at least one other AM or FM station in 1999. The aggregate PBIT margin of these joint operations averaged 17\% in 1999.
- The remaining 86 English commercial AM stations operating on a stand-alone basis in 1999 had combined revenues of $\$ 88$ million and an aggregate PBIT margin of $9 \%$.
- 14 of the 27 French commercial AM stations were operated jointly with at least one other AM or FM station in 1999. The aggregate PBIT margin of these joint operations averaged $15 \%$ in 1999.
- The remaining 13 stand-alone French commercial AM stations had combined revenues of $\$ 6.9$ million and an aggregate PBIT margin loss of $-18 \%$.


## VI. Promoting the airplay of Canadian and French vocal music

Table 15: Satisfaction of Canadian content and French vocal music requirements

| Requirement <br> (\# of stations analyzed) | \% Meeting requirement <br> - all day | \% Meeting requirement <br> -6 a.m. to 6 p.m. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $35 \%$ Canadian content weekly - English (33) | 100 | 97 |
| $65 \%$ French vocal music weekly (20) | 85 | - |
| $55 \%$ French vocal music weekly (20) | - | 90 |

Note: Radio stations are routinely analyzed for compliance to their regulated Canadian music and French vocal music requirements. The above results are based on a limited sample of stations.
Source: RAP Unit, evaluation of licence renewal applications during 1999

## VII. Campus radio

- There are currently (August, 2000) 37 campus radio stations across Canada: 31 communitybased and six instructional. Thirty of these stations submitted financial returns for 1999.
- There are two types of campus radio stations: community-based campus and instructional. A community-based campus station's programming is primarily produced by volunteers, who are either students or community members. The primary objective of an instructional campus station is the training of professional broadcasters.
- The majority of campus radio revenues come from sources other than advertising. For example, revenues from the educational institute they are associated with, grants, the local community, fund-raising, etc.

Table 16: Revenues for community-based campus radio stations
(\$ 000s)

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of stations reporting | 20 | 15 | 22 | 24 | 26 |
| Local advertising | 461 | 312 | 408 | 475 | 618 |
| National advertising | 66 | 19 | 24 | 54 | 84 |
| O ther | 2,889 | 1,762 | 2,380 | 2,948 | 3,036 |
| Total revenues | 3,416 | 2,093 | 2,812 | 3,477 | 3,738 |

Sources: CRTC Financial Database
CRTC Licence Application System
Table 17: Revenues for instructional campus radio stations (\$ 000s)

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of stations reporting | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Local advertising | 59 | 81 | 129 | 186 | 115 |
| National advertising | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 267 | 140 | 180 | 85 | 117 |
| Total revenues | 326 | 221 | 309 | 271 | 232 |

[^2]
## VIII. Community Radio

- There are two kinds of community radio stations, Type A and Type B. A community radio station is a Type A station if, at the time of licensing, no other radio station other than the $C B C$ is operating in the same language in all or part of its market. A Type $B$ station is one where, at the time of licensing, there is at least one station, other than the CBC, operating in the same language in all or in part of the same market.
- There are currently 34 Type A and 27 Type B community radio stations. Not all community radio stations have filed financial returns with the Commission. The partial results are as follows:

Table 18: Revenues for Type A community radio stations (\$ 000s)

|  | 1995 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of stations reporting | 20 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 22 |
| Local advertising | 1,855 | 1,839 | 1,826 | 2,009 | 2,090 |
| National advertising | 149 | 293 | 427 | 365 | 472 |
| O ther revenues | 2,676 | 3,400 | 2,915 | 2,710 | 2,705 |
| Total revenues | 4,680 | 5,532 | 5,168 | 5,084 | 5,267 |

Source: CRTC Financial Database
Table 19: Revenues for Type B community radio stations
(\$ 000s)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1995 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| Number of stations reporting | 21 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Local advertising | 2,569 | 2,406 | 2,887 | 3,220 | 2,852 |
| National advertising | 293 | 444 | 532 | 449 | 538 |
| O ther revenues | 2,941 | 2,717 | 2,572 | 2,911 | 2,781 |
| Total revenues | 5,803 | 5,567 | 5,991 | 6,580 | 6,171 |

Source: CRTC Financial Database

- In addition to advertising revenues, community radio stations receive revenues from fundraising, grants, and other sources.


## IX. Ethnic radio

- There are 13 licensed ethnic radio stations in Canada. The following tables outline the languages of programming broadcast by each of these stations. The stations are grouped by the markets they are licensed to serve. The information comes from each individual station's programming schedule, as of August 2000.

Table 20: Ethnic language broadcasting, Vancouver

| Language | Weekly \# of broadcast hours |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHKG-FM | CHMB-AM | CJVB-AM | Total |
| Cambodian | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |
| Chinese - Cantonese | 18 | 94 | 92.5 | 204.5 |
| Chinese - Mandarin | 54 | 13 | 1 | 68 |
| Croatian |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Danish |  |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Dutch |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Fijian | 0.4 |  |  | 0.4 |
| German |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Greek |  | 0.5 | 2 | 2.5 |
| Gujarati | 0.4 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Hawaiian | 0.4 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Hindi | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.9 |
| Indonesian | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Italian | 5 | 1 |  | 6 |
| Japanese |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| Korean |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Laotian |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Macedonian |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Malaysian |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Norwegian |  |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Persian |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Philippino - Tagalog |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Polish |  |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Portuguese |  | 3 | 0.5 | 3.5 |
| Punjabi | 1 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 3 |
| Romanian |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Samoan | 0.4 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Serbian |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Spanish | 10 |  | 1 | 11 |
| Swahili | 0.4 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Swedish |  |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Tahitian | 0.4 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Tamil | 0.4 | 0.5 |  | 0.9 |
| Thai |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Togan | 0.4 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Ukrainian |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Urdu | 0.4 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Vietnamese |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Total | 94.5 | 122 | 122.5 | 339 |

Table 21: Ethnic language broadcasting, Edmonton, CKER-FM

| Language | Weekly \# of broadcast hours |
| :--- | :---: |
| Arabic | 3 |
| Chinese - Cantonese | 33 |
| Chinese - Mandarin | 2.5 |
| Croatian | 1 |
| German | 8 |
| Greek | 1.5 |
| Hindi | 7.5 |
| Hungarian | 1 |
| Italian | 4 |
| Korean | 0.5 |
| Philippino - Tagalog | 2 |
| Polish | 1.5 |
| Portuguese | 5.5 |
| Romabi | 1.5 |
| Russian | 2.5 |
| Serbian | 1 |
| Spanish | 0.5 |
| Ukrainian | 0.5 |
| Urdu | 10 |
| Vietnamese | 10 |
| Total | 1 |

Table 22: Ethnic language broadcasting, Calgary, CHKF-FM

| Language | Weekly \# of broadcast hours |
| :--- | :---: |
| Arabic | 0.5 |
| Cambodian | 1 |
| Caribbean | 5 |
| Chinese - Cantonese | 111.25 |
| Chinese - Mandarin | 5.25 |
| Danish | 0.5 |
| Dutch | 2.5 |
| Estonian | 0.5 |
| Finnish | 0.5 |
| German | 2 |
| Hindi | 3 |
| Hungarian | 1 |
| Icelandic | 0.5 |
| Indonesian | 1 |
| Laotian | 1 |
| Macedonian | 1 |
| Malaysian | 1 |
| Norwegian | 0.5 |
| Philippino - Tagalog | 1 |
| Polish | 1 |
| Punjabi | 1 |
| Serbian | 2 |
| Spanish | 1 |
| Swahili | 10.5 |
| Swedish | 0.5 |
| Thai | 0.5 |
| Uranian | 1 |
| Urdu | 6.5 |
| Total | 1 |

Table 23: Ethnic language broadcasting, Winnipeg, CKJS

| Language | Weekly \# of <br> broadcast hours |
| :--- | :---: |
| Chinese - Cantonese | 2 |
| German | 5.75 |
| Hindi | 1 |
| Hungarian | 0.5 |
| Italian | 5 |
| Philippino - Tagalog | 27.75 |
| Polish | 14.5 |
| Portuguese | 4.5 |
| Punjabi | 1 |
| Russian | 1 |
| Spanish | 3 |
| Ukranian | 1.5 |
| Vietnamese | 7.5 |
| Yiddish | 1 |
| Total | 1 |

Table 24: Ethnic language broadcasting, Toronto

| Language | Weekly \# of broadcast hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHIN-AM | CHIN-FM | CHKT-AM | CIAO -AM | CIRV-FM | CJMR-AM | Total |
| Afghan |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Albanian |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Arabic | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Bengali | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Bosnian |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Bulgarian |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Cambodian |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Caribbean |  |  | 2 |  | 0.5 |  | 2.5 |
| Chinese - Cantonese | 17.5 | 25.5 | 56 |  | 28.5 |  | 127.5 |
| Chinese - Mandarin | 2.5 | 0.5 | 10 |  |  |  | 13 |
| Croatian | 1.5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 |  | 2.5 | 15.5 |
| Dutch |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| German |  | 3 |  | 21 |  |  | 24 |
| Greek | 12.5 | 5 | 5 | 6.5 |  |  | 29 |
| Hebrew |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Hindi |  | 13 | 5 |  |  | 8 | 26 |
| Hindi/Urdu |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Hungarian |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| Indonesian |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Irish - Gaelic |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Italian | 61.5 | 24.5 |  | 12 |  | 1 | 99 |
| J apanese | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Korean |  |  | 10 | 7.5 |  |  | 17.5 |
| Laotian |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Lithuanian | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Macedonian | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 5 |
| Malaysian |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Montenegri |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| O romo | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Pashto/Dari | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| Philippino - Tagalog | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Persian | 0.5 |  | 7 |  |  |  | 7.5 |
| Polish |  | 15 |  | 15 | 1 | 9.5 | 40.5 |
| Portuguese | 0.5 | 11.5 |  | 2.5 | 64.25 | 6 | 84.75 |
| Punjabi |  | 7.5 | 5 | $12$ | 7 | 28.5 | $60$ |
| Punjabi/Hindi |  |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 18.5 |
| Romanian | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Russian |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |  | 2.5 |
| Serbian |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Serbo - Croatian | 0.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1.5 |
| Slovenian |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Somali | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Spanish | 2.5 |  |  | 4 | 11.75 |  | 18.25 |
| Tamil | 2.5 |  | 42 |  |  | 1 | 45.5 |
| Thai |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Turkish | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 |
| Ukrainian | 2.5 |  |  |  | 7.5 | 4.5 | 14.5 |
| Urdu | 2.5 | 1 |  |  |  | 4.5 | 8 |
| Vietnamese |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |
| Total | 118 | 118 | 168 | 112 | 123 | 75 | 714 |

Table 25: Ethnic language broadcasting, Montréal, CFMB

| Language | Weekly \# of <br> broadcast hours |
| :--- | :---: |
| African - various | 1 |
| Arabic | 4 |
| Cambodian | 1 |
| Chinese - Cantonese | 0.5 |
| Chinese - Mandarin | 1 |
| German | 0.5 |
| Greek | 9 |
| Haitian | 4 |
| Hindi | 1 |
| Italian | 76 |
| Jewish (Yiddish and Hebrew) | 2 |
| Lithuanian | 0.5 |
| Pakistani | 0.5 |
| Polish | 2 |
| Portuguese | 3 |
| Punjabi | 1 |
| Romanian | 0.5 |
| Russian | 0.5 |
| Spanish | 9 |
| Ukrainian | 1.5 |
| Vietnamese | 1 |
| Total | 119.5 |

## Television

## I. Advertising revenue by media

Table 1: Canada
Advertising revenue by media
(\$ million)

| Media | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Television | 1,788 | 1,876 | 1,994 | 2,104 | 2,321 | 2,358 |
| Daily newspaper | 1,220 | 1,323 | 1,399 | 1,644 | 1,698 | 1,734 |
| Radio | 742 | 769 | 798 | 848 | 920 | 952 |
| Magazine | 531 | 621 | 611 | 647 | 707 | 747 |
| Weekly newspaper | 579 | 615 | 634 | 673 | 765 | 788 |
| Billboard | 132 | 167 | 200 | 220 | 250 | 287 |
| Internet | - | - | 1.5 | 9.8 | 24.5 | 55.5 |
| Total | 4,992 | 5,371 | 5,638 | 6,146 | 6,686 | 6,922 |

Note: Daily newspaper revenues exclude classified ads.
Source: Carat Expert, Panorama publicitaire 2000
Table 2: Canada
Share of advertising revenue by media
(\%)

| Media | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Television | 35.8 | 34.9 | 35.4 | 34.2 | 34.7 | 34.1 |
| Daily newspaper | 24.4 | 24.6 | 24.8 | 26.7 | 25.4 | 25.1 |
| Radio | 14.9 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.8 |
| Magazine | 10.6 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.8 |
| Weekly newspaper | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| Billboard | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| Internet | - | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 |

Source: Carat Expert, Panorama publicitaire 2000

- Television advertising revenue includes conventional and specialty television. The under $2 \%$ growth achieved in 1999 by the television sector was its lowest annual growth rate in the 1990s.


## II. Audience

## A. Average hours per viewer

- Average weekly hours per viewer 2+ has gradually declined from 1995 to 1999.
- In 1999, on average, women 18+ spent 26.5 hours per week watching television, compared with 22.0 hours for men $18+$.

Chart 1: Average hours per viewer $2+$

B. Viewing share by station group

Table 3: Fall 1992 to fall 1999 - all persons $2+$, all regions excluding Q uebec

| Station group | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1992 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1993 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1994 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 1995 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Fall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1997 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff. } \\ + \text { or }(-) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English-language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBC | 12.6 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 7.5 | (5.1) |
| Canadian private conventional | 44.9 | 45.3 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 42.3 | 39.6 | 37.5 | 37.7 | (7.2) |
| TVO (E) | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Pay \& specialty (E) | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 13.0 | 14.7 | 16.9 | 11.2 |
| U.S. Total | 27.2 | 26.1 | 26.5 | 24.8 | 25.4 | 23.2 | 25.5 | 25.1 | (2.1) |
| U.S. Conventional | 19.0 | 17.8 | 17.4 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 13.2 | (5.8) |
| U.S. PBS | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | (1.0) |
| U.S. Pay \& specialty* | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 4.7 |
| Total - English | 91.1 | 91.4 | 90.9 | 90.5 | 89.9 | 87.7 | 88.1 | 88.3 | (2.8) |
| French-language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SRC | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | (0.1) |
| Canadian private conventional | 10.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Pay \& specialty (F) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total - French | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Other-language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Pay \& specialty (0) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Total - O ther | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| VCR | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 | (0.2) |
| O ther | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| Prov** | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Cable** | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total (\%) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total hours (000) | 454,103 | 448,541 | 471,494 | 488,749 | 486,246 | 488,769 | 503,072 | 478,576 |  |

[^3]- BBM reports that Canadian English pay and specialty services have increased their share of total tuning by over $11 \%$ since 1992, reaching a $16.9 \%$ share of tuning in fall 1999.
- Nielsen Research data, as provided by CBC Research, reports that the share of Canadian English pay and specialty services on English-language television for all households averaged $26.8 \%$ over the period of August 28 to $O$ ctober 8, 2000.

Table 4: Viewing share by station group fall 1992 to fall 1999 - all persons $2+$ Quebec

| Station group | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1992 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1993 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1994 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1995 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1996 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1997 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 1999 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff. } \\ + \text { or }(-) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English-language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBC | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 | (1.1) |
| Canadian private |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| conventional | 7.6 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 5.9 | (1.7) |
| Pay \& specialty (E) | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| U.S. Total | 8.5 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | (2.2) |
| U.S. Conventional | 7.1 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | (2.5) |
| U.S. PBS | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | (0.8) |
| U.S. Pay \& specialty* | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Total - English | 19.4 | 16.9 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 16.1 | 15.8 | (3.6) |
| French-language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SRC | 22.0 | 22.8 | 20.4 | 22.7 | 21.6 | 19.8 | 21.1 | 20.0 | (2.0) |
| Canadian private conventional | 44.1 | 47.5 | 47.7 | 44.9 | 44.7 | 46.1 | 44.9 | 45.1 | 1.0 |
| RQ | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | (1.7) |
| Pay \& specialty (F) | 6.3 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 4.9 |
| Total - French | 76.1 | 78.6 | 77.0 | 78.4 | 77.7 | 77.4 | 77.8 | 78.2 | 2.1 |
| O ther-language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Pay \& specialty (0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total - 0 ther | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| VCR | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 0.1 |
| O ther | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Prov** | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cable** | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total (\%) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total hours (000) | 165,029 | 174,533 | 187,524 | 190,479 | 190,299 | 189,195 | 188,637 | 181,056 |  |

*Includes viewing to non-U.S. pay \& specialty service - 'Deutsche Welle'.
**Includes viewing to House of Commons (CPAC), Provincial Legislatures, Cable Community Channel; APTN; CJIL; and other educational.
Note: As of Fall 1997, moved C FMT from Independent English-language to Independent 0 ther-language.
Sources: MicroBBM Fall 1990-1999
Economic Analysis and Research, Broadcasting Directorate, CRTC

- BBM reports that Canadian French-language pay and specialty services have increased their share of total tuning by about $4.9 \%$ since 1992, reaching an $11.2 \%$ share of tuning in fall 1999 in Q uébec.
- Nielsen Research data, as provided by CBC Research, reports that the share of Canadian French-language pay and specialty services on French-language television for all households averaged $25.2 \%$ over the period of August 28 to 0 ctober 8, 2000.


## C. Viewing to Canadian programming ${ }^{1}$

1. Canadian programming - all English services \& all French services

Chart 2: \% viewing to Canadian programs all English-language services - 6 a.m. to 2 a.m.


Note: Percentage calculated excludes viewing to programs where C anadian content and program type could not be identified. Source: BBM

- This table outlines the levels of tuning to Canadian English programs as a percentage of all viewing to English-language services in the system, both foreign and Canadian operated.

Chart 3: \% viewing to Canadian programs all French-language services - 6 a.m. to 2 a.m.


Note: Percentage calculated excludes viewing to programs where Canadian content and program type could not be identified. Source: BBM
${ }^{1} 1999$ results are preliminary in C harts 2 to 15.

- This table outlines the tuning levels to French-language Canadian programs as a percentage of all viewing to French-language programming services in the system.

2. Distribution of viewing by program type

Chart 4: Distribution of viewing by program type
Canadian English-language private conventional TV - 6 a.m. to 2 a.m.


Chart 5: Distribution of viewing by program type
Canadian English-language private conventional TV - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Chart 6: Distribution of viewing by program type CBC (owned \& operated) and affiliates - 6 a.m. to 2 a.m.


Chart 7: Distribution of viewing by program type CBC (owned \& operated) and affiliates - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Chart 8: Distribution of viewing by program type
Canadian English-Ianguage pay \& specialty services - 6 a.m. to 2 a.m.


Chart 9: Distribution of viewing by program type
Canadian English-language pay and specialty services - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Chart 10: Distribution of viewing by program type
Canadian French-language private conventional TV - 6 a.m. to 2 a.m.


Chart 11: Distribution of viewing by program type
Canadian French-language private conventional TV - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Chart 12: Distribution of viewing by program type SRC (owned \& operated) and affiliates - 6 a.m. to 2 a.m.


Chart 13: Distribution of viewing by program type SRC (owned \& operated) and affiliates - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Chart 14: Distribution of viewing by program type
Canadian French-language pay \& specialty services - 6 a.m. to 2 a.m.


Chart 15: Distribution of viewing by program type
Canadian French-language pay \& specialty services - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


## 3. Viewing to Canadian programs - Summary

## a) All English services - Canadian and foreign

- O verall tuning to English Canadian programs as a percentage of all viewing to Englishlanguage services, both foreign and Canadian, has remained at $32 \%$ in recent years.
(1) Canadian English-language private conventional TV
- O verall tuning levels have increased since the early 1990s to 1999 from about 32\% to 37\% on English private conventional TV stations.
- The bulk of tuning to news and sports programming over the entire day continues to be Canadian. Tuning to drama/comedy and to music, dance and variety programming is predominantly to foreign programs.
- The average number of weekly hours tuned to Canadian drama/comedy programming in prime time is on the increase. In 1994, Canadians watched an average of 2.3 million hours a week of Canadian drama/comedy programming on private conventional stations between 7 p.m. - 11 p.m. From 1997 to 1999, this average had increased to approximately 4 million hours a week.
(2) CBC - English TV
- During prime time, the CBC has very high tuning levels to Canadian programming in basically all program categories.
- The distribution of tuning to Canadian programs on the CBC is also on the rise, with overall Canadian tuning increasing from $76 \%$ in 1997 to $85 \%$ in 1999. In 1994, overall tuning to Canadian programs on the CBC was $63 \%$.
- Viewing to Canadian drama/comedy programming over the entire day has also improved in recent years, going from $27 \%$ in 1996 to $56 \%$ of total tuning in the category in 1999.
- From 1997 to 1999, Canadians watched an average of over 4 million hours a week of Canadian drama/comedy programming on the CBC between 7 p.m. - 11 p.m.


## (3) Canadian English pay and specialty services

- In 1994, the average weekly hours tuned to Canadian English pay and specialty services was in the 30 million-hour range. By 1999, average weekly hours had increased to 85 million.
- The overall proportion of tuning to Canadian programs on English pay and specialty services remains relatively high. O ver the entire broadcast day, tuning to Canadian programs is currently in the $44 \%$ range.
- The proportion of tuning to Canadian programs during prime time on the pay and specialty is in the same range as the entire day, about 46\% from 1997 to 1999.


## b) French - All services

## (1) Canadian French-language private conventional TV

- Tuning to all program categories, with the exception of drama/comedy, is entirely to Canadian programs.
- Tuning to Canadian programs over the entire day over the 1997-1999 period was around $75 \%$, decreasing to about $62 \%$ in prime time.
- Viewing to Canadian drama/comedy programs increased to $34 \%$ over the entire day in 1999. In 1998, viewing to Canadian drama/comedy programs in prime time was approximately 43\% increasing to approximately $47 \%$ in 1999.
(2) SRC - French TV
- Viewing to Canadian programs increased to $94 \%$ of total viewing over the entire day in 1999. In prime time, viewing to Canadian programs reached 98\% in 1999.


## (3) French-language pay and specialty services

- Tuning to Canadian programs over the entire day to French-language pay and specialty services has remained at around the 50-55\% from 1997-1999. Viewing to Canadian programs in prime time was about 47\% in 1999.
- In 1994, average weekly hours tuned to French-language pay and specialty services was 10.9 million hours. By 1999, average weekly hours had increased to 21 million a week.
- Viewing to Canadian programs contributes the bulk of tuning to all program categories, with the exception of drama/comedy.
- About $47 \%$ of total hours tuned to French-language pay and specialty services is to the drama/comedy category, with tuning to Canadian programs in this category improving to $33 \%$ in 1999.


## III. Scheduling of Canadian programming in peak viewing hours

## A. English-language services

Chart 16: Scheduling of Canadian programming All English-language Canadian services - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


- The number of hours scheduled of drama/comedy programming in peak viewing hours on English-language television has increased throughout the 1990s.
- The new priority program categories will be included commencing in 2000.

Chart 17: Scheduling of Canadian programming
Canadian English-language private conventional TV - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Chart 18: Scheduling of Canadian programming CBC (owned \& operated) - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Source: CRTC Logs
Chart 19: Scheduling of Canadian programming
Canadian English-language specialty services - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


## B. French-language services

Chart 20: Scheduling of Canadian programming All French-language Canadian services - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Source: CRTC Logs
Chart 21: Scheduling of Canadian programming Canadian French-language private conventional-7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Source: CRTC Logs

Chart 22: Scheduling of Canadian programming SRC (owned \& operated) - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Source: CRTC Logs
Chart 23: Scheduling of Canadian programming
Canadian French-language specialty services - 7 p.m. to 11 p.m.


Source: CRTC Logs

## IV. Financial performance

## A. Total revenues

1. English-language services

Chart 24: Total English-language revenues Private conventional TV \& pay and specialty services


Source: CRTC Financial Database
$\square$ Private TV $\square$ Pay \& Specialty
Chart 25: Revenues of private conventional English-language TV services by group


Note: Baton acquired control of CTV Network on 1 September 1998. Baton changed its name to CTV on 18 December 1998. Source: CRTC Financial Database

- CTV revenues for 1997 and 1998 include the CTV network only.
- 1999 CTV revenues include the CTV network plus CTV stations, less the network payments to these stations.


## 2. French-language services

Chart 26: Total French-language revenues Private conventional TV \& pay and specialty services


Chart 27: Revenues of private conventional French-language TV services by group

B. Aggregate profit before interest and taxes (PBIT) margins (\%)

1. English-language services

Chart 28: Aggregate English-language PBIT margins Private conventional TV \& pay and specialty services


Source: CRTC Financial Database
$\square$ Private TV $\square$ Pay \& Specialty

## 2. French-language services

Chart 29: Aggregate French-language PBIT margins Private conventional TV \& pay and specialty services


## V. Funding of Canadian productions

## A. English-language Canadian program expenditures

Table 5: Eligible Canadian program expenditures (CPE)
English-language private conventional television 1997 through 1999
(\$ 000s)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | \% G rowth |  |  |  |  |
| Genre | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 to 1999 |  |
| News (Cat. 1) | 193,759 | 199,873 | 212,725 | 9.8 |  |
| Other Info. (Cat. 2 to 5) | 44,027 | 21,534 | 21,526 | $(51.1)$ |  |
| Sports (Cat. 6) | 26,710 | 21,732 | 20,386 | $(23.7)$ |  |
| Drama (Cat. 7) | 32,872 | 55,482 | 55,743 | 69.6 |  |
| Music/ Variety (Cat. 8 and 9) | 2,824 | 5,447 | 4,393 | 55.6 |  |
| Game Shows (Cat. 10) | 216 | 179 | 220 | 1.9 |  |
| Human Interest (Cat. 11) | 22,343 | 23,540 | 21,128 | $(5.4)$ |  |
| Total (Cat. 1 to 11) | 322,751 | 327,787 | 336,121 | 4.1 |  |

Source: CRTC Financial Database
Table 6: Canadian program expenditures (CPE) English-language CBC television 1998 through 1999 (\$ 000s)

| G enre | 1998 | 1999 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% G rowth } \\ 1998 \text { to } 1999 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| News (Cat. 1) | 53,790 | 97,606 | 81.5 |
| O ther Info. (Cat. 2 to 5) | 52,605 | 48,079 | (8.6) |
| Sports (Cat. 6) | 119,302 | 128,455 | 7.7 |
| Drama (Cat. 7) | 35,325 | 62,016 | 75.6 |
| Music/Variety (Cat. 8 and 9) | 19,166 | 12,218 | (36.3) |
| Game Shows (Cat. 10) | 0 | 0 | - |
| Human Interest (Cat. 11) | 31,167 | 4,667 | (85.0) |
| Total (Cat. 1 to 11) | 311,355 | 353,041 | 13.4 |

Note: 1997 expenditures are not available
Source: CRTC Financial Database
Table 7: Canadian program expenditures
English-language pay and specialty services
1997 through 1999
(\$ 000s)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \% G rowth |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 34 |  |
| \# of stations | 20 | 31 | 309,379 | 56 |
| Total Canadian Program Expenditures (\$) | 196,218 | 220,613 |  |  |

Source: CRTC Financial Database
B. French-language Canadian program expenditures

Table 8: Eligible Canadian program expenditures French-language private conventional television and SRC 1998 through 1999
(\$ 000s)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Genre | 1998 | 1999 | 1998 to 1999 |
| News (Cat. 1) | 67,369 | 95,262 | 41 |
| O ther Info. (Cat. 2 to 5) | 56,769 | 58,528 | 3 |
| Sports (Cat. 6) | 24,118 | 22,430 | -7 |
| Drama (Cat. 7) | 62,870 | 58,894 | -6 |
| Music/ Variety (Cat. 8 and 9) | 32,610 | 46,254 | 42 |
| Game Shows (Cat. 10) | 3,696 | 4,287 | 16 |
| Human Interest (Cat. 11) | 49,297 | 37,916 | -23 |
| Total (Cat. 1 to 11) | 296,729 | 323,571 | 9 |

Source: CRTC Financial Database
Table 9: Canadian program expenditures
French-language pay and specialty services 1997 through 1999
(\$ 000s)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \# of stations | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 12 |
| \% Gowth |  |  |  |  |
| Total Canadian program expenditures $(\$)$ | 60,669 | 74,798 | 79,004 |  |

[^4]
## VI. Canada's top independent production companies

## Table 10: Canada's top independent production companies ranked by 1999 total expenditures <br> (\$ 000s)

| Company | 1996 | 1998 | 1999 | Growth \% 1996 to 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alliance Atlantis | 256,000 | 300,217 | 250,000 | (2.3) |
| Fireworks Ent. | - | 74,036 | 141,297 | - |
| Motion International | - |  | 99,126 |  |
| Lions Gate Ent. | - | 35,202 | 94,323 | - |
| Cinar Corp. | 66,600 | 75,450 | 90,000 | 35.1 |
| Nelvana | 44,000 | 77,600 | 81,712 | 85.7 |
| Telescene Film Group | 21,000 | 76,500 | 74,900 | 256.7 |
| Sullivan Ent. | 31,500 | 34,500* | 54,000 | 71.4 |
| Peace Arch Ent. | - | - | 49,852 | - |
| Salter Street Films | 26,500 | 41,550 | 46,906 | 77.0 |
| Filmline International | 36,163 | 53,372 | 43,242 | 19.6 |
| G.F.T. Ent. | - | - | 37,200 | - |
| CineG roupe | - | - | 37,000 | - |
| Mainframe Ent. | - | 20,000* | 35,000 | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Sarrazin Couture Ent. | 300 | 10,163 | 26,000 | 85.67 |
| Total: Top independent production companies | 482,063 | 798,590 | 1,160,558 |  |
| Total: All Companies | 983,600 | 1,699,767 | 1,727,356 | 75.6 |

* denotes Playback estimate

Notes: 1. Alliance and Atlantis separate companies prior to 1999. Individual company totals combined for 1996 and 1998.
2. Expenses reported on a calendar year basis.

Source: Playback

## VII. Pay and specialty services owned by the largest conventional TV groups

| Corporation | Table 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control of specialty services |  |
|  | Service | Control (\%) |
| CTV | CTV NewsNet | 100 |
|  | CTV SportsN et | 40 |
|  | Talk TV | 100 |
|  | History | 12.5 |
|  | The Comedy N etwork | 65 |
|  | O utdoor Life Network | 33 |
|  | Sports/Specials PPV | 60 |
|  | The Sports N etwork (TSN) | 80 |
|  | The Discovery Channel | 80 |
|  | Le Réseau des sports (RDS) | 80 |
|  | Viewers Choice | 24.95 |
| CanWest G lobal (includes WIC) | Prime TV | 100 |
|  | RO BTv | 26 |
| CHUM | Bravo | 100 |
|  | MuchMoreMusic | 100 |
|  | MuchMusic | 100 |
|  | SPACE | 100 |
|  | Star-TV | 100 |
|  | MusiMax | 50 |
|  | MusiquePlus | 50 |
|  | Pulse 24 | 70.1 |
|  | Canadian Learning Television | 60 |
| TVA | Canal Indigo | 20 |
|  | PPV |  |
|  | PPV DTH |  |
|  | VO D |  |
|  | Canal Évasion | 10 |
|  | Le Canal Nouvelles | 100 |
| TQ S (Q uébecor) | Canal Indigo | 20 |
|  | PPV |  |
|  | PPV DTH |  |
|  | VO D |  |

## Broadcasting Distribution

## I. Promoting effective competition

- Prior to 1995, cable television undertakings enjoyed a virtual monopoly within the broadcasting distribution undertaking (BDU) marketplace.
- With Public Notice CRTC 1995-183, the CRTC took its first official step towards removing competitive restrictions in the BDU marketplace.
- The Commission continued to develop its policies promoting competition among BDUs with Public Notices CRTC 1997-25, 1997-84, 1997-150 and its new Broadcasting Distribution Regulations, which came into effect January 1, 1998. Since that time, the BDU industry has been experiencing a fundamental shift towards increased competition.
A. Subscriber levels of incumbent and alternative BDU delivery systems


## Table 1: All subscribers across Canada

|  | Number of basic subscribers |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Distribution type | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Class 1 | $6,728,598$ | $6,801,227$ | $6,866,793$ | $6,925,540$ |
| Class 2 | 402,396 | 402,390 | 411,595 | 373,160 |
| Class 3 | 720,822 | 729,695 | 711,752 | 727,665 |
| MDS | 446 | 3,912 | 10,894 | 31,489 |
| DTH | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 216,111 | 519,376 |
| STV | 6,037 | 5,573 | 4,848 | 3,882 |
| Total | $7,858,299$ | $7,942,797$ | $8,221,993$ | $8,581,112$ |
| Telco Systems | 14,900 | 20,811 | 130,562 | 326,483 |

Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, September 14, 2000

- Table 1 reveals that the BDU industry has enjoyed stable overall growth since 1996, with particularly strong growth in 1999 in the form of about 360,000 new subscribers.
- Class 1 providers have had stable growth of approximately 60,000 subscribers per year as a result of population growth and new housing starts.
- The direct-to-home (DTH) industry accounted for $85 \%$ of the increase in total subscriptions in 1999.
- Prior to 1998, the BDU industry had a subscriber growth rate averaging about 1\% per year. The annual growth rates for 1998 and 1999 were $3.5 \%$ and $4.4 \%$ respectively. The increased growth in 1998 and 1999 is a result of the DTH industry bringing new subscribers into the system.
- On an overall basis, annual cable subscriber growth was about $1 \%$ prior to 1998. In 1999, it was 0.35\%.
- STV or subscription television undertakings provide over-the-air television service to small (rural or remote) communities in underserved areas. These undertakings broadcast their signals in an encoded or scrambled mode by means of low-power (about 20 watts) transmitters.
- The Telco Systems line represents the number of subscribers of cable, multipoint distribution systems (MDS) and DTH systems in which telephone companies have an ownership interest. The majority of the 1998 and 1999 subscribers are Bell ExpressVu's DTH.
- The following table presents the breakdown of cable subscribers by province. MDS and DTH operators have licences extending over several regions and provinces; they do not report a provincial breakdown to the Commission.

Table 2: Cable subscribers by regions - Provinces

|  | Number of basic subscribers |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1996 |  |  |  |

Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, June 01, 2000
B. Relative market share of incumbent and alternative BDU delivery systems

Table 3: All subscribers across Canada

|  | Market share by subscriber levels (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Distribution type | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Class 1 | 85.6 | 85.6 | 83.5 | 80.7 |
| Class 2 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| Class 3 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 8.5 |
| MDS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| DTH | N/A | N/A | 2.6 | 0.0 |
| STV | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, June 28, 2000

- Class 1 BDUs maintain the bulk of subscriber market share, although it is decreasing. Class 1 market share has fallen by almost $5 \%$ since the introduction of DTH and MDS services.
- Market share for DTH services grew by 3.4\% of total subscribers in 1999 for a share of over 6\% in only 2 years.
- The above table indicates that DTH growth is coming from all existing cable classes and new subscribers in areas not previously having access to cable service.

Table 4: Cable subscribers by regions - Provinces

|  | Number of basic subscribers (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Attantic | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.8 |
| Nfld \& P.E.I. | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| N.B. \& N.S. | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5 |
| Quebec | 24.1 | 24.2 | 24.3 | 24.6 |
| Ontario | 38.2 | 38.0 | 37.9 | 37.5 |
| Prairies | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 15.3 |
| Manitoba | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Saskatchewan | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| Alberta | 8.8 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 9.2 |
| B.C. \& Territories | 14.9 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 14.7 |
| B.C. | 14.8 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 14.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, June 01, 2000

## C. Rate deregulation of incumbent BDUs

- With the introduction of the new Broadcasting Distribution Regulations, the Commission initiated a process for basic service rate deregulation of Class 1 distribution systems. (N ew entrants are not rate regulated, nor are Class 2 and Class 3 systems.)
- Cable Class 1 systems can qualify for rate deregulation if they meet a two-pronged test: 1) if a licensed competitor is accessible to $30 \%$ of households in the incumbent's service area, (which is currently deemed to exist from DTH services); and 2 ) if the cable incumbent can demonstrate that it lost $5 \%$ or more of its basic subscribers since the competition entered its service area.
- To date, no Class 1 licensee has availed itself of this process. Based on the Commission's financial database, about eight Class 1 systems appear to have lost more than $5 \%$ of their basic subscribers between September 1, 1997 and August 31, 1999.


## II. Promoting contributions to Canadian programming and local expression

A. Contributions to programming funds

Table 5: Contributions to programming funds

| Class and subscriber level | Contributions to programming funds (\$ 000s) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |  | 1999 |  |
|  |  |  | CTF | O thers | CTF | O thers |
| Class $1>=20 \mathrm{k}$ | 39,657 | 42,831 | 50,753 | 3,353 | 60,786 | 6,463 |
| Class 1 < 20k | 3,396 | 3,179 | 3,975 | 217 | 4,569 | 486 |
| Class 2 | 17 | 20 | 35 | 0 | 128 | 0 |
| MDS |  |  |  |  | 375 | 38 |
| DTH |  |  | 1,711 | 250 | 5,784 | 598 |

Source: FDB, August 24, 2000

- The Cable Production Fund (CPF) began receiving money from cable distributors in January 1995. On September 9, 1996, the Minister of Canadian Heritage announced the creation of the Canada Television and Cable Production Fund (CTCPF). The CTCPF incorporated the CPF and Telefilm's Broadcast Fund, and was supplemented by new government funding.
- The new BDU regulations require that all Class 1 and Class 2 terrestrial distribution undertakings, as well as all DTH and MDS distribution undertakings, contribute a minimum of $5 \%$ of gross annual revenues derived from broadcasting activities to the creation and presentation of Canadian programming.
- The above table presents the growth of contributions to the CTCPF (now the Canadian Television Fund (CTF)) and to other eligible funds. (Contributions to local expression are examined in the following section.)
B. Total community channel expenses

Table 6: Community channel expenses

|  | Total community channel expenses (\$000s) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Class and subscriber level | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Class $1>=20 k$ | 62,107 | $\$ 62,166$ | 54,971 | $\$ 54,879$ |
| Class $1<20 \mathrm{k}$ | 11,514 | $\$ 10,838$ | 11,585 | $\$ 12,579$ |
| Class 2 | 4,627 | $\$ 5,083$ | 6,522 | $\$ 6,795$ |

Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, June 28, 2000 and August 21, 2000

- Public Notice CRTC 1997-25 introduced flexibility to cable licensees in regard to the manner in which they contributed to Canadian programming and local expression.
- Large Class 1 cable distributors have generally decreased (by over $11 \%$ in 1998) their community channel expenses. Small Class 1 and Class 2 operators have increased spending on their community channel since the introduction of the new BDU Regulations in 1997.


## C. Number of systems maintaining a community channel

Table 7: Cable systems contributing to community channels

| Class and subscriber level | Number of cable systems contributing to community channels |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Class $1>=20 k$ | 69 | 69 | 69 | 78 |
| Class $1<20 \mathrm{k}$ | 70 | 69 | 70 | 71 |
| Class 2 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 101 |

Source: FDB, August 25, 2000

- The table above outlines the number of Class 1 and Class 2 cable undertakings that have reported community channel expenses between 1996 and 1999. The figures do not necessarily represent the actual number of community channels in operation, as some channels are funded by more than one undertaking.
- There does not appear to have been any significant change in the number of systems operating a community channel since the introduction of the new regulations.


## III. Affordability of basic service rates

Comparison of Commission-authorised basic service rates to those charged by
alternative BDUs

- The following table presents the average basic service monthly rates for the last four years for each distribution type. The basic service rates are regulated only for Class 1 cable systems.

Table 8: Average basic service monthly rates

|  | Basic service monthly rates (\$) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Distribution type | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Class 1 | 18.12 | 18.70 | 18.51 | 19.02 |
| Class 2 | 19.49 | 20.80 | 20.88 | 21.64 |
| Class 3 | 24.23 | 25.32 | 25.52 | 24.01 |
| MDS | 23.40 | 20.63 | 20.77 | 20.77 |
| DTH | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 21.62 | 21.05 |
| STV | 20.83 | 23.10 | 24.28 | 25.16 |
| Average | 18.71 | 19.38 | 19.29 | 19.60 |

Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, June 29, 2000

- The average rates are calculated from the rates noted in the annual returns provided by the distributors and are weighted to account for subscriber numbers.
- The basic packages differ between systems, therefore value is not being compared.
- MDS and DTH systems offer a variety of basic and non-basic packages. Their prices may or may not include the decoder equipment. The web sites of the major new entrants report the following rates for basic service packages: SkyCable, \$14.99; LO O K Communications, \$21.95; Star Choice, [English Bronze \$14.99] and [French Bronze \$8.99]; and ExpressVu, [English (locals and U.S. networks) packages \$15.90] and [French (French and English locals and U.S. networks) packages \$17.85].
- As MDS and DTH services attempt to attract new subscribers and increase market penetration, they are offering various promotional discounts for equipment and program packages. These discounts may not be reflected in noted rates for these services.
- A Price Waterhouse study ${ }^{1}$ commissioned by the CCTA analysed the cost, quantity and variety of programming of cable service in Halifax, Toronto, Calgary and Vancouver, and compared it to that offered in New York and Los Angeles.
- The study found that subscribers in the four Canadian cities have as much or more choice as those in the U.S. cities, yet American subscribers pay as much as $60 \%$ more for the "full cable service" ${ }^{2}$.
- The study found that the average price of the four Canadian cities was CAN $\$ 28.68$, whereas the average price of the two U.S. cities was CAN \$47.58.


## IV. Promoting a financially strong sector

## A. Total revenues

- The evolution of total revenues is presented in the following table.

> Table 9: Total revenues

|  | Total revenues (\$ 000s) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Distribution type | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Class 1 | $2,293,591$ | $2,370,384$ | $2,592,162$ | $2,875,841$ |
| Class 2 | 133,126 | 142,571 | 151,560 | 149,736 |
| Class 3 | 248,525 | 262,498 | 270,525 | 276,484 |
| MDS | 208 | 1,414 | 4,525 | 11,314 |
| DTH | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 38,570 | 154,270 |
| STV | 1,911 | 1,683 | 1,626 | 1,574 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 6 7 7}, 361$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 7 8 , 5 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 5 8 , 9 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 6 9 , 2 1 9}$ |
| Class 1 breakdown between basic and non-basic |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | $1,575,892$ | $1,625,349$ | $1,653,312$ | $1,681,605$ |
| Non-basic | 717,699 | 745,035 | 938,850 | $1,194,236$ |

Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, June 28, 2000

[^5]- The BDU industry as a whole has been enjoying strong growth, particularly in 1999 when total revenues increased over $\$ 400$ million. Class 1 BDUs realized strong revenue growth in 1999 of almost $11 \%$ over 1998, and captured $83 \%$ of total BDU revenues.
- The growth in Class 1 revenues since 1996 has been almost entirely related to non-basic services. Since 1996, non-basic revenues have grown 66\%, as compared to $7 \%$ for basic revenues. Non-basic revenues contributed 42\% of total Class 1 revenues in 1999.
- While MDS providers have shown strong growth with a revenue increase of $150 \%$ in 1999, they continue to maintain a very small portion of total revenues.
- DTH providers increased 1999 revenues by almost $300 \%$ over 1998. Rapid DTH growth is expected to continue in 2000.
- The charts below illustrate the evolution of the shares of total revenue from 1996 to 1999. DTH's share has grown to $4.45 \%$. While cable's share is declining, it still has about $95 \%$ of total BDU revenue.

Chart 1: Distribution of total revenues



Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, September 22, 2000
B. Profit before interest and taxes (PBIT) margins

Chart 2: Profit before interest and taxes margins


Source: FDB3 Financial Summary Reports, September 14, 2000

- The PBIT margins for Class 1, 2, and 3 cable systems remained within the 20-26\% range between 1996-1999.


## C. Return on investment (ROI)

Chart 3: Return on investment on net fixed assets (N FA)


- The slight decrease in the ROI for Class 1 and 2 providers in 1999 is a result of the significant capital expenditures incurred by cable providers to upgrade their systems to roll out digital services.


## V. Concentration / Vertical integration

## A. Top six distributors by total basic subscribers

- The following rankings have been the same since 1998. In 1997, Shaw Cablesystems Ltd. was in second place by the number of basic subscribers, but were surpassed by Vidéotron Ltée in 1998.

Table 10: Top six distributors by total basic subscribers

|  | Top six by total basic subscribers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Corporations: by rank | 1999 subscribers | 1999 national share |
| Rogers Communications Inc. | $2,213,855$ | $26 \%$ |
| Vidéotron Ltée. | $1,549,731$ | $18 \%$ |
| Shaw Cablesystems Ltd. - cable only | $1,532,877$ | $18 \%$ |
| Star Choice (Shaw) - DTH only | 241,438 | $3 \%$ |
| Cogeco Inc. | 765,155 | $9 \%$ |
| Moffat Communications | 32,607 | $4 \%$ |
| Bell ExpressVu | 277,938 | $3 \%$ |

[^6]B. Pay \& specialty services owned by top six

Table 11: O wnership of pay \& specialty services by top six distributors

|  | Control of specialty services |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Corporation | Service | Percentage |
| Rogers Communications | CTV SportsNet | $29.99 \%$ |
|  | Outdoor Life | $33.30 \%$ |
|  | Viewer's Choice | $24.95 \%$ |
| Vidéotron Ltée | Le Canal Nouvelles (LCN) | $100 \%$ |
|  | Canal Évasion | $10 \%$ |
|  | Canal Indigo | $20 \%$ |
| Corus (Shaw) | CMT (Country) | $90 \%$ |
|  | MovieMax! | $100 \%$ |
|  | SuperChannel | $100 \%$ |
|  | Telelatino | $20 \%$ |
|  | TELETO O N |  |

## VI. Promoting digital technology

Number and proportion of subscribers receiving digital services

## Current estimates

Table 12: N umber of digital subscribers

|  | Number of digital subscribers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution type | English (Jan-00) | French (Jan-00) | Total (Mar-00) |
| Digital cable | 210,000 | 25,000 | 286,100 |
| DTH | 636,235 | 163,667 | 827,000 |
| MDS | 29,000 | 13,000 | 55,000 |

Source: Cable, DTH and MDS Industry estimates, March 2000

- As can be seen from the table above, DTH providers are currently leading the way among digital service providers with a market share of near $71 \%$ of subscribers. Digital cable providers lag behind with a $24 \%$ share, and MDS providers with $5 \%$.


## Forecasts

- As can be seen from the tables provided below, both the cable and DTH industries are predicting large increases in their digital subscriber bases.

Table 13: DTH subscriber projections

|  | Subscribers to licenced DTH service providers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bell ExpressVu \& |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Forecast period | Star Choice | English-language | French-language |
| December 31, 2000 | 1.2 Million | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| December 31, 2001 | 1.8 Million | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| December 31, 2002 | 2.1 Million | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| December 31, 2004 | 2.4 Million | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

Source: Bell ExpressVu Ltd, March 2000

- The cable industry has provided digital projections that differ by over $100 \%$ under two different scenarios. The pessimistic scenario assumes the denial of applications for additional feeds of $4+1$ and other Canadian distant signals, and that newly-licensed services are either unattractive to consumers, or they are not attractively priced. The optimistic projections assume that the applications by cable companies for expanded service are approved, and that attractive and affordable new programming services are approved for a September 2001 launch.

Table 14: Digital cable subscriber projections

|  | Digital cable market |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of digital <br> subscribers |  | Digital capable <br> households |  | Capable as \% of <br> basic subscribers |  |
|  | Pessimistic | Optimistic | English | French | English | French |
| January 2000 |  |  | $4,104,474$ | $1,233,600$ | 69 | 65 |
| September 2001 | 486,486 | $1,066,316$ | $4,888,056$ | $1,373,650$ | 82 | 72 |
| September 2003 | 872,258 | $1,802,548$ | $5,199,786$ | $1,449,500$ | 86 | 72 |
| September 2005 | $1,269,997$ | $2,536,394$ | $5,366,258$ | $1,533,670$ | 88 | 78 |

Source: Long Range Digital Forecast for Cable Distribution Undertakings, CCTA, March 10, 2000

- The MDS industry is predicted to grow to over 312,000 subscribers by September 2005.

> Table 15: MDS subscriber projections

|  | Multipoint distribution system market - English \& French |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 2001 | September 2003 | September 2005 |
| MDS subscribers | 156,000 | 234,000 | 312,000 |

Source: PriceWaterhouse Cooper study filed by the Craig's with "The Met" application

## New Media

## I. Usage of New Media

## A. Canada and the Internet

- The longest running Internet host survey is one carried out every six months by the Internet Software Consortium (ISC), most recently in January 2000. For the purposes of the survey, the ISC defines a host as a domain name that has an Internet Protocol (IP) address record associated with it. This would be any computer system connected to the Internet, i.e. www.crtc.gc.ca. The results of this survey are as follows:

Table 1: The 12 most used top-level domain names

| Domain name | Number of hosts | Hosts / 1,000 inhabitants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .com (generic) | 24,863,331 |  |
| .net (generic) | 16,853,655 | - |
| .edu (generic) | 6,085,137 | - |
| .jp (Japan) | 2,636,541 | 20.8 |
| .uk (United Kingdom) | 1,901,812 | 32.3 |
| .us (United States) | 1,875,663 | 6.7 |
| .mil (generic) | 1,751,866 | - |
| .de (Germany) | 1,702,486 | 20.7 |
| .ca (Canada) | 1,669,664 | 53.6 |
| .au (Australia) | 1,090,468 | 57.7 |
| .org (generic) | 959,827 | - |
| .nl (Netherlands) | 820,944 | 52.0 |
| Top 12 total | 62,211,394 |  |
| Internet total | 72,398,092 | - |

N ote: The majority of generic domain names are used by U.S. hosts.
Source: ISC Internet domain survey, January 2000

- Top-level domain names come in two forms, those that have been assigned to particular countries (i.e. .ca for Canada, .fr for France) and generic names which are not countryspecific (i.e. .com, .org). A web site with the .ca code is not necessarily run by a Canadian or located in Canada and Canadian web sites can, and many do, use a generic top-level domain name instead of .ca. Nevertheless, it is one of the best means available to estimate a country's Internet presence.
- The January 2000 survey counted $72,398,092$ hosts over the entire Internet, of which 1,669,664 (2.3\%) used a .ca top-level domain name.
- The fifth most popular country domain name is Canada's .ca, ranking Canada as one of the most Internet-developed countries in the world.
- In November 2000, the Canadian Internet Registration Authority (CIRA) will become the administrative authority for the .ca domain registry. CIRA has set out Canadian presence requirements for those wishing to register for a .ca domain name. Those who can apply are Canadian citizens, permanent residents or their legal representatives; federal, provincial, or
territorial corporations, organizations, and institutions; and owners of a registration under the Trademarks Act of Canada or persons protected by that Act. ${ }^{1}$


## B. Characteristics of New Media services

i. PC ownership in Canada

Table 2: PC ownership rates of Canadian households

|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household PC ownership rate | $40 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $45 \%{ }^{1}$ |
| Average annual spending on computer <br> equipment and supplies | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ | unavailable |

Sources: Statistics Canada Daily, Dec. 13, 1999
${ }^{1}$ Statistics Canada, Household Internet Use Survey 1999

- Computer ownership rate of Canadian households rose 5\% from 1997 to 1998, and remained flat from 1998 to 1999 according to Statistics Canada surveys. In 1993, PC ownership rates in Canadian households were $23 \%$. By comparison, ownership rates of most other household equipment measured by these surveys were stable.
- The other items of household equipment which demonstrated the most increased ownership rates were also related to new media:
- cellular telephones, from 22 \% in 1997 to 26\% in 1998.
- modems, from 25\% in 1997 to 32\% in 1998.
- Income was a determining factor in computer ownership. In 1998, 74\% of households in the highest income group owned computers while only $18 \%$ of households in the lowest income group owned computers.


## ii. Internet connectivity

- The large increases in computer and modem ownership have led to increasing household use of the Internet², such that home use has recently surpassed work use.
- Canadians are increasingly using the Internet from all major locations, home, work, school, and public libraries. As with computer ownership, Internet access is related to income. O ther factors which affected Internet access were education, location, and age.

[^7]Chart 1: Proportion of all households using computer communications


Source: Statistics Canada -Service indicators, 1st quarter 1999
-Household Internet use survey 1999

## C. Internet access

## 1. Internet access and household income

- As household income increases so does Internet connectivity from all locations. Households in the top income quartile are almost five times more likely to have Internet access than those in the bottom quartile.

Table 3: Internet access by household income
(\%)

| Q uartile | Home |  |  | Work |  |  | School |  |  | Library |  |  | O verall |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| Bottom | 5.5 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 19.0 |
| Second | 8.8 | 13.6 | 18.0 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 5.9 | 7.9 | 9.7 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 18.4 | 23.6 | 29.4 |
| Third | 17.1 | 24.4 | 32.4 | 22.2 | 26.3 | 24.5 | 9.7 | 13.1 | 15.8 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 32.8 | 41.5 | 48.4 |
| Top | 32.5 | 45.1 | 53.5 | 42.3 | 50.4 | 47.8 | 16.1 | 21.0 | 25.5 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 53.7 | 65.1 | 71.4 |
| All | 16.0 | 22.6 | 28.7 | 19.9 | 23.3 | 21.9 | 9.4 | 12.1 | 14.9 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 29.4 | 35.9 | 42.1 |

Source: Statistics Canada - Service indicators, $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter 1999

- 1999 household Internet use survey
- A small (1.9\%) number of Canadian households no longer use the Internet on a regular basis. The main reason (34\%) people stopped using the Internet was that they had no need of it. This was double the number of people ( $17 \%$ ) who stopped using the Internet for reasons of cost, the second most important reason people stopped using the Internet.
- As noted in Table 3, Internet penetration rates at the workplace actually fell from 1998 to 1999. O ne reason for this could be the use of the Internet for non-work related activities during office hours.


## 2. Internet access by education

- Given the strong positive relationship between education and income, it is not surprising to discover that they share similar patterns in Internet access. Households whose head has achieved a university degree are almost five times as likely to have access to the Internet than households whose head did not finish high school.

Table 4: Internet access by education level of head of household
(\%)

| Education | Home |  |  | Work |  |  | School |  |  | Library |  |  | O verall |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| Did not finish high school | 3.9 | 6.6 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 9.0 | 12.6 | 16.3 |
| High school/ college | 16.0 | 23.1 | 30.2 | 19.9 | 23.0 | 21.9 | 10.4 | 13.0 | 16.6 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 31.0 | 37.4 | 45.4 |
| University degree | 37.5 | 46.7 | 54.3 | 47.0 | 52.5 | 49.1 | 16.9 | 19.9 | 23.2 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 7.9 | 59.6 | 68.1 | 72.6 |
| All | 16.0 | 22.6 | 28.7 | 19.9 | 23.3 | 21.9 | 9.4 | 12.1 | 14.9 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 29.4 | 35.9 | 42.1 |

Source: Statistics Canada -Service indicators, $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter 1999
-Household Internet use survey 1999

## 3. Internet access by location

- Urban households are more likely to have access to the Internet than rural households. Penetration rates for households living in Canada's 15 largest Census Metropolitan Areas (CMAs) were $46.5 \%$ in 1999, up from $40.4 \%$ in 1998. For those living in the rest of the country, penetration rates were $36.3 \%$ in 1999, compared with $30.1 \%$ in 1998.
- A comparison of the growth rates of rural and urban access indicates that the gap is narrowing. From 1997 to 1998, penetration rates increased more rapidly for those outside the 15 largest CMAs (28\%) than those living in them (19\%). A similar trend occurred in 1999, with penetration rates for those living outside the 15 largest CMAs growing by $21 \%$ and by $15 \%$ for those living in them.

Chart 2: Penetration rates by province (\%)


Source:
Statistics Canada - Service indicators, $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter 1999

- Household Internet use survey 1999
- There are also different levels of Internet use by province. Alberta had the highest penetration rate, $51 \%$. Q uebec had the lowest, $33 \%$.


## 4. Internet access by age

- Younger Canadians are much more likely to have adopted the Internet than older Canadians. The age of the head of the household makes a significant difference in the probability of that household having Internet access.

Table 5: Internet penetration by age of household head

| Age of household head | Internet penetration (\%) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Less than 35 | 37.9 | 45.3 | 53.3 |
| $35-54$ | 38.8 | 46.9 | 55.3 |
| $55-64$ | 21.1 | 27.5 | 32.9 |
| $65+$ | 5.5 | 7.2 | 10.23 |
| All households | 29.4 | 35.9 | 42.1 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Service indicators, 1st quarter 1999

- The 35-54 age group makes up the bulk (63\%) of the top income quartile, the income quartile with the greatest access to Internet.


## 5. Kinds of Internet access used at home

- In 1999, the vast majority of Canadians accessed the Internet from home through the telephone line, and virtually all used their computer to surf.

Table 6: Types of connections used by households to access the Internet

| Connection type | \% of Internet households |
| :--- | :---: |
| Telephone to computer | 87.91 |
| Cable to computer | 12.26 |
| Telephone to television | 0.42 |
| O ther | 0.20 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Household Internet survey 1999

- The fastest growing connection type is high-speed access. From the end of 1999 to the end of June 2000, the number of residential high-speed Internet users increased from 566,000 to 850,000 . This represents an increase of $67 \%$ in six months.
- Currently, high-speed access is dominated by cable connections. The high-speed Rogers@ Home cable Internet service doubled its number of subscribers to 265,000 in one year (July 1999 to July 2000). In the three-month period ending July 2000, Rogers@ Home added 50,000 subscribers. During fiscal 1999, Shaw's high-speed cable service, Shaw@ Home, also grew significantly, adding almost 85,000 subscribers. As of June 22, 2000, Shaw@Home had 250,000 subscribers. ${ }^{3}$
- Telephone companies are also promoting their own high-speed access services. Bell Canada's Sympatico is the largest Internet service provider in Canada, with 800,000 subscribers. Of these, approximately 100,000 are high-speed users, and Bell predicts that over $70 \%$ of O ntario and Q uebec homes will be able to access high-speed Internet through telephone lines by the end of year 2000.4


## 6. How often and how long Canadians use the Internet from home

- In 1999, 28.7\% of Canadian households were regularly using the Internet from home, up from $22.6 \%$ in 1998 and $16.0 \%$ in 1997. Of those households, $65 \%$ used it every day in 1999, up from 62.2\% in 1998.
- O ver two-thirds (67\%) of households spent at least 10 hours on-line a month in 1999, up from 63.1\% in 1998.

[^8]
# Table 7: Profile of regular Internet users 

|  | \% of households that regularly <br> use the Internet from home, 1999 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Average frequency of use | 65 |
| 1 time/day | 30 |
| 1 time/week | 3 |
| < 1 time/week |  |
| Average amount of time spent on-line (monthly) | 47 |
| $20+$ hours | 20 |
| $10-20$ hours | 16 |
| $5-9$ hours | 12 |
| $1-4$ hours | 3 |
| 1 hour |  |

Source: Statistics Canada, Household Internet use survey 1999

## 7. Internet access rates, Canada and the world

- Canada continues to enjoy some of the lowest Internet access rates in the world.

Furthermore, only Canada, Australia, Mexico, New Zealand, the United Kingdom and the United States currently offer the possibility of Internet access where users are not charged according to the amount of time spent on-line.

- Internet users in Canada, Australia, Mexico, New Zealand and the United States have the additional advantage that local calls are based on a flat fee and do not depend on duration.
- The following charts demonstrate how Canadian rates compare favourably with those of other countries when all charges relating to Internet connectivity are included. This advantage increases dramatically as the amount of time spent on-line increases.

Chart 3: O ECD Internet access basket for 20 hours at peak time using discounted PSTN rates, 2000 in US\$, including VAT


Notes: $\mathrm{O} E C D=O$ rganization for Economic Cooperation and Development; PSTN = public switch telephone network; VAT = value-added taxes. PSTN fixed charges include monthly rental fee and additional monthly charges related to discount plans, if applicable. The basket includes 20 one-hour calls. In France and Luxembourg, ISP and PSTN usage charges are bundled and included under the ISP charge.
Source: O ECD, http://www.oecd.org/dsti/sti/it/cm/

Chart 4: OECD Internet access basket for 40 hours at peak times using discounted PSTN rates, 2000 in US\$, including VAT


Notes: $\mathrm{OECD}=0$ rganization for Economic Cooperation and Development; PSTN = public switch telephone network; VAT = value-added taxes. PSTN fixed charges include monthly rental fee and additional monthly charges related to discount plans, if applicable. The basket includes 40 one-hour calls. In France and Luxembourg, ISP and PSTN usage charges are bundled and included under the ISP charge.
Source: 0 ECD, http://www.oecd.org/dsti/sti/it/cm/

## D. Internet use by television viewers

- Studies ${ }^{5,6}$ conducted in the United States show that although the Internet has had an effect on household television viewing habits, it does not currently seem to be cannibalizing television usage. Internet homes watch 10-15\% less television than non-Internet homes, but are also lighter television viewers to begin with.
- The Internet is increasingly the first choice for news and information for the young. O ver two-thirds (68\%) of Americans aged 18-24 gather key information on-line, compared to a national average of $46 \%$. A majority of Americans aged 18-24 find the Internet a more useful source of information than newspapers (59\%) or television (53\%).
- The young are also much more likely to search for answers to specific questions on the Internet, consulting the Internet over a newspaper 68\% of the time and over the television $67 \%$ of the time. This group also finds that the Internet is relevant to their work, with $73 \%$ having used the Internet to retrieve work-related information.
- Certain patterns of Internet use appear to have been transferred from television viewing habits. For example, Nielsen research demonstrated that Internet users tend to visit about 12 unique sites per month, very similar to the 13 channels that television households actually watch every month.
- The Internet also has a growing presence on television, as Internet companies are increasingly advertising their products and services on television.

[^9]- Conversely, there are many broadcast and cable networks that now produce Internet content. World-Wide Internet TV (www.wwitv.com) lists 309 Internet TV stations as of September 2000, which includes both traditional stations broadcasting their content online as well as Internet-only broadcasters.


## E. Uses of New Media

- The number of uses Canadians are finding for computer communications from the home is rapidly increasing. O nly three uses were measured by Statistics Canada in 1997, 10 in 1998, and 14 in 1999. The additional categories relate to specific on-line activities.
- A regular user household is one in which any household member uses the Internet (from any location) in a typical month. In 1999, 42\% of Canadian households were regular user households, compared with $35.9 \%$ in 1998 and $29.4 \%$ in 1997.

Table 8: Uses of New Media by Canadian households

| Purpose of use | Penetration rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of all households |  |  | \% of regular user households |  |  |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| E-mail | 13.3 | 19.3 | 26.3 | 83.1 | 85.6 | 91.7 |
| Electronic banking | 3.1 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 19.6 | 24.4 | 27.7 |
| Purchasing | 1.5 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 9.2 | 10.9 | 19.0 |
| Search for medical info | - | 9.6 | 15.6 | - | 42.5 | 54.2 |
| Education/Training | - | 6.8 | 9.2 | - | 30.0 | 32.0 |
| Look for Government info | - | 8.2 | 12.7 | - | 36.4 | 44.1 |
| Look for other specific info | - | 15.3 | 24.4 | - | 67.9 | 85.1 |
| General browsing | - | 17.6 | 24.3 | - | 78.1 | 84.7 |
| Playing games | - | 7.8 | 12.3 | - | 34.4 | 42.7 |
| Chat groups | - | 5.7 | 7.5 | - | 25.4 | 26.2 |
| O btain and save music | - | - | 7.8 | - |  | 27.1 |
| Listen to the radio | - | - | 5.0 | - |  | 17.5 |
| Schedule/Watch TV | - | - | 0.2 | - |  | 0.8 |
| O ther Internet services | 2.2 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 13.7 | 11.6 | 17.6 |

Sources: Statistics Canada, Service indicators - $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter 1999
Statistics Canada, Household Internet use survey 1999

- Web-radio.com lists over 4000 radio stations that are available on-line, including both traditional stations and Internet-only stations.


## II. The Canadian Internet service provider (ISP) industry

- Industry Canada estimates that as of November 2000, there were as many as 1,000 ISPs operating in Canada. An ISP is defined as an organization that provides Internet access to the public.
- There was an estimated 700+ ISPs operating in Canada in O ctober 1999. ${ }^{7}$

[^10]- The vast majority of ISPs are relatively small, with less than 5,000 subscribers each. The larger industry players, listed in the following tables, have the bulk of the subscribers.

Table 9: Subscriber estimates for the largest paid ISPs, November 2000

|  | Dial-up <br> subscribers <br> $(000)$ | High-speed <br> subscribers <br> $(000)$ | Total <br> subscribers <br> $(000)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ISP | 900 | 212 | 1,112 |
| Bell | 355 | 80 | 435 |
| Telus | - | 350 | 350 |
| Rogers** | - | 345 | 345 |
| Shaw** | $*$ | $*$ | 250 |
| AOL (Compuserve) | $*$ | $*$ | 250 |
| Sprint** | $*$ | $*$ | 220 |
| Technovision (Uniserve) | 207 | 8 | 215 |
| Look** | $*$ | $*$ | 165 |
| AT\&T | 76 | 88 | 164 |
| Vidéotron** | - | 75 | 75 |
| Cogeco |  |  |  |

Notes: *Unable to differentiate between dial-up and high-speed. **Projections based on CRTC calculations.
Sources: Globe and Mail, Edmonton Journal, Vancouver Sun, Toronto Star, National Post, Commerce, www.shaw.ca, www.telus.ca, www.tvs.net, www.aol.com, www.look.ca, www.sprintcanada.ca, www.videotron.ca, www.attcanada.com, www.cybersurf.ca

- While telephone companies are dominant in providing overall access, the cable companies currently lead in the number of high-speed subscribers.

Table 10: Subscriber estimates for free ISPs, November 2000

|  | Dial-up <br> subscribers <br> $(000)$ | High-speed <br> subscribers <br> $(000)$ | Total <br> subscribers <br> $(000)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISP | 800 | - | 800 |
| 1stUp | 400 | - | 400 |
| Cybersurf (3web) | 10 | - | 10 |
| Funcow |  |  | - |

Sources: Toronto Star, www.cybersurf.ca, www.1stUp.com

- Free Internet access is relatively new in Canada and according to a recent press release 1stUp is the largest ISP providing the service in Canada. 1stUp also claims to have over 5.5 million subscribers in the United States.
- Netzero, another large American free ISP with 5.7 million users in the United States, just introduced its service in Canada in the fall of 2000. Cybersurf and Funcow are Canadian companies, their services are only offered in Canada.
- The free ISP business model is based on the idea of recouping access costs through advertising and sponsorship. The free ISP subscriber must use a special browser that is constantly displaying advertisements.


## III. Language and the Internet

Chart 5: Breakdown of web pages by language


Source: OECD

- Well over three-quarters of web pages are in English, according to an O ECD survey. French is the fifth most popular language on the Internet, accounting for about 2\% of all web pages. A lack of French content might be one factor explaining why Q uebec continues to have the lowest Internet penetration rate of all the provinces.
- Approximately $15 \%$ of all .ca web pages are in French.


## IV. Canadian presence in New Media

## A. Initiatives for Canadian New Media content

- There are numerous programs that make funds available to the Canadian multimedia industry. In addition to programs aimed mainly at the multimedia industry, many existing support programs for the development of cultural content now include support for multimedia projects.
- Canadian Heritage has compiled a non-exhaustive database of programs available to the Canadian multimedia industry as of June 2000. It lists 41 organizations that offer 75 programs across the country.


## B. Canadian multimedia industry

- In December 1999, AC Nielsen•DJC Research conducted a study on the Canadian multimedia industry for Canadian Heritage, Human Resources Development Canada, Industry Canada and Foreign Affairs and International Trade. AC Nielsen•DJC Research compiled a list comprising the entire universe of multimedia companies in Canada (1,080 organizations).
- Just over half ( $53 \%$ ) of the 152 multimedia companies surveyed reported being profitable in the previous year. $47 \%$ of these companies derived all of their revenue from multimediarelated activities.
- The majority of multimedia firms that were not profitable at the time of the study expected to be profitable by the end of fiscal 2000 . O ver three-quarters ( $77 \%$ ) of Canadian multimedia companies are small (less than 10 employees), and have revenues of less than $\$ 1$ million. O nly 19\% reported revenues of $\$ 1$ million or more.
- The industry is very optimistic concerning its future. O nly $32 \%$ expected revenues to remain below \$1 million by 2001.
- Difficulties in developing international markets, obtaining copyright clearance, finding suitable talent, and developing multimedia titles are all issues of concern to the industry.


## V. New Media advertising trends

i. Growth of New Media advertising

- Internet advertising revenue in the United States grew from US\$2 billion in 1998 to US $\$ 2.6$ billion in 1999, accounting for most of the US $\$ 3.3$ billion in world-wide revenues in 1999. ${ }^{8,9}$
- In Canada, Internet advertising in 1999 amounted to only $\$ 55.5$ million, a figure which is expected to double in 2000. Internet advertising in French amounted to $\$ 7$ million, or $14.5 \%$ of Canadian Internet advertising in 1999.


## ii. Streaming video

- O ne area where the Internet is moving into a broadcasting environment is streaming video, high quality video content being delivered through the Internet. It is also referred to as webcasting.
- In 1999, the top ten webcasts each attracted over half a million viewers. The biggest webcast of 1999, a Paul McCartney concert, was streamed to over 5 million viewers and would have translated to a Nielsen rating of 5.00 in the United States. ${ }^{10}$ That is similar to a television show with a weekly ranking of 50th to 100th. O ver 98 million people have downloaded RealNetworks, the most commonly used streaming video player.


## VI. E-commerce

- Global Internet commerce amounted to US\$111 billion in 1999, a figure which is projected to grow to US\$1.9 trillion by 2003. Canadian Internet commerce for 1999 totalled CAN $\$ 11.02$ billion and is projected to grow to CAN $\$ 93.67$ billion by 2003. In Canada, $87 \%$ of Internet commerce in 1999 was business-to-business, with the remaining $13 \%$ being business-to-consumer. ${ }^{11}$ Consumer retail on-line expenditures in Canada were $\$ 688$ million in 1998, $0.28 \%$ of total retail spending. ${ }^{12}$
- Fully one-quarter of Canadian home Internet users made an on-line purchase of goods or services in 1999, a significant increase over the $17 \%$ who did so in 1998. This is significantly less than the $42 \%$ of American home Internet users who shopped on-line in 1998.
- In 1999, $14 \%$ of Canadian companies were selling on-line, compared with $44 \%$ of U.S. companies.

Table 11: \% of Canadian households making a purchase on-line

|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home users making an on-line purchase | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

Source: AC Nielsen, The Canadian Internet Survey, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2000
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