



TELUS Communications Inc.  
5-3777 Kingsway Avenue  
Burnaby, B.C.  
V5H 3Z7

By Facsimile

**AMENDED AND ABRIDGED**

November 28, 2005

Mr. Gerry Lylyk  
Director, Consumer Affairs  
Telecommunications Branch  
Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission  
Ottawa, Ontario  
K1A 0N2

SECRETARIAT  
IM / TELECOM

DEC 12 2005  
8665-C/D-2005/3483  
GI / TELECOM  
SECRETARIAT

Dear Mr. Lylyk:

**Re: Maclean's Magazine article re disclosure of phone records**

We refer to your letter of November 18, 2005 and to the *Maclean's* Magazine article of November 21, 2005 referred to therein. According to that article, a U.S.-based databroker doing business as *Locatecell.com* obtained confidential telephone records of Ms. Jennifer Stoddart, the Privacy Commissioner of Canada, from Bell Canada and TELUS Mobility and also obtained the confidential telephone records of another individual from the Fido division of Rogers Wireless.

The purpose of this letter is to respond to the Commission's request that TELUS investigate and report to the Commission on this incident as it relates to TELUS Mobility. In particular, as requested, this letter will provide the following:

- A. an outline of the specific details of what occurred;
- B. a description of the safeguards that were in place at the time the incident took place;
- C. details as to how TELUS Mobility validates the identity of a party requesting confidential customer information;
- D. the means by which confidential customer information is provided; and
- E. a description of additional safeguards that have been or will be implemented.

Before proceeding further, however, we wish to note that some of the facts in the *Maclean's* article are incorrect. For example, we have determined that the cell phone logs that were disclosed by TELUS Mobility to *Locatecell.com* were not associated with the Privacy Commissioner, but rather with another individual in her office. We also wish to note that the writer has spoken with both Ms. Stoddart and the other individual and has apologized to

both on behalf of TELUS. As indicated to them, TELUS takes this matter very seriously and is taking all reasonable steps to prevent further such incidents.

We also wish to note that this letter contains confidential customer information as well as confidential and sensitive information as to TELUS Mobility's security and fraud management practices. If these security and fraud management practices were made public, it would assist fraudsters by identifying the very systems and practices designed and put in place to protect confidential customer information (and which the fraudster needs to thwart) and thereby cause specific and direct harm to the company by significantly impairing our ability to prevent unauthorized access to confidential customer information. Accordingly, TELUS is filing portions of this letter in confidence pursuant to section 39 of the *Telecommunications Act* and section 19 of the *CRTC Telecommunications Rules of Procedure*.

**A. Details of Incident**

We have determined that an impostor called into TELUS Mobility's customer care Interactive Voice Response ("IVR") system on November 3, 2005, and entered the number #  
into the system. #

#

When the CCR received the transferred call, the impostor apparently gave her a different number #

#

#

# During the call, although the team member felt rushed, she did not feel suspicious about the call. However, after the call was terminated, she began to be suspicious and mentioned this to her manager.

On reviewing the cell phone records provided to the OPC by the *Maclean's* reporter, we have determined that they are not associated with the cell phone used by Ms. Stoddart, but rather with the cell phone used by another staff member, which is covered by the same government account. Further, the information provided was not accurate, as there were many errors and omissions in the records. The records provided by *Maclean's* also show indications of outbound and inbound calls, #

The records made available to *Maclean's* by *Locatecell.com* list approximately 75 calls made between September 28 and October 27, 2005. The list shows dates, telephone numbers, and whether the call was outbound or inbound. However, as noted above, the "inbound" notations are incorrect. There are no names or other identifiers associated with the numbers on the report.

It is important to note that this is the first time that we have heard of a TELUS company and its customer being victimized by one of these U.S. data brokers.

#### **B. Safeguards in place at the time of the incident**

TELUS Mobility has security provisions in place to ensure that its internal electronic information systems are protected from external access, and its on-line access mechanisms are secure as well. There was no external electronic access to the cell phone records referred to in this letter. #

# There is no evidence of a breach of any of TELUS

Mobility's electronic safeguards.

#

#

Included in CCR training is the requirement to complete three e.learning programs: e.ethics, e.privacy, and e.security. Each of these programs has references to confidential customer information and the requirements from a regulatory and privacy perspective to protect confidential customer information.

Also, TELUS Mobility provides periodic reminders to CCRs at their workstations by electronic means to ensure that CCRs are following appropriate authentication procedures as outlined in their procedural guides. #

#

**C. How TELUS Mobility validates the identity of a party requesting customer information**

TELUS Mobility uses the following procedures to verify and validate the identities of parties requesting customer information. Similar processes are used for TELUS Communications Inc., but are not detailed here as the incident described in Section A above involves TELUS Mobility.

#

#

**D. The means by which confidential customer information is provided**

#

#

**E. Description of additional safeguards TELUS Mobility has taken and will be taking**

As soon as TELUS Mobility became aware of this incident, TELUS Mobility immediately took steps #

#

We believe we are taking all reasonable steps to prevent this type of unauthorized access to customer confidential information, while at the same time recognizing our customers' legitimate need to access their information as required. To put this in context, TELUS Mobility handles over 14 million customer calls in a typical year, and this is the first instance

of which we are aware of unauthorized access to TELUS Mobility customer information by either *Locatecell.com* or other U.S. data brokers.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Drew McArthur". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped initial "D".

Drew McArthur  
Vice President Corporate Affairs and Compliance Officer

c. Willie Grieve