South Tobacco Creek Manured Watershed Runoff Study

> Dave Green Water Quality Management June 27, 2001



# Acknowledgements

This project has been possible because of the valued cooperation and assistance of local producers in the watershed, the Deerwood Soil & Water Management Association, Environment Canada, Prairie Farm & Rehabilitation Administration, Manitoba Agriculture, and Manitoba Pork est.



# Why Is Data Being Collected?

 Concerns over expansion of hog industry and increased manure applications to land.

 To gain a better understanding of differences in quality of runoff water from land under different uses.



# Study Objectives

To determine bacteria, nutrient, and suspended solid residues in spring runoff and rainfall event runoff leaving land surfaces.

Gain information on the field scale.

 Follow methods commonly used by producers.

 Obtain background water quality runoff information from non-fertilized areas.



# Study Locations Within The South Tobacco Creek Watershed





# Sampling Sites In The Manured Watershed Study Area.





# Sampling Sites In The Twin Watershed Study Area.





# Natural Wooded & Forage Field Sites







# Twin Watershed & Manuared Watershed Weir Setups









# Hydrograph Examples

#### HOG MANURE RUNOFF PROJECT - 1998 RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH



 1998 Manured - 428 m<sup>3</sup>

 1999 - 8 m<sup>3</sup>

 2000 No runoff

#### 1999 - TWIN WATERSHED EAST WEIR



1998 West - 3200m<sup>3</sup>; East - 4397m<sup>3</sup>; 1999 " - 750m<sup>3</sup>; " - 2595m<sup>3</sup> 2000 No runoff ; - 1873 m<sup>3</sup>



# Fecal Coliform Counts





### **Nutrient Concentrations**





# Nutrient Loading





#### **Precipitation Events**

Rainfall events in 1999 and 2000 large enough to produce measurable runoff.

Overall nutrient loads from rainfall events were usually lower due to fewer days of runoff

 An exception occurred from Conventional-till field where the P load (0.436 kg) from the May 22, 1999 rainfall event was actually higher than whole spring runoff (0.318 kg).



# Soil Nitrogen Values



# Soil Phosphorus Values



F 2000

# Manure Analyses





# **Deep Nitrate Testing**







 Fecal coliform contributions from fall application of hog manure not any greater concern than from non-manured areas.

 Greater nutrient losses are more apt to occur from application of manures and other fertilizers in fall than after spring runoff.



 It could not be determined if application rates based upon guidelines prevented excessive nutrient loss because fertilization rates and subsequent soil nitrate values were higher than desired.

 Runoff volumes from fields had an influence on nutrient concentrations and loss.



 Mean nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations from the natural wooded area were relatively low compared to other sites.

• Total nutrient loads leaving fields due to rainfall events were usually lower than during the spring runoff period.



 Consecutive annual applications of manure to the same field appeared to cause gradual accumulations of phosphorus in the top soil profile.

 Deep soil testing to ten feet on the manured field showed some downward migration of nitrate nitrogen had occurred between 1998 and 2001.



 Soil testing and testing of hog manure prior to application recommended to prevent over application nutrients.

 Management of hog manure as a fertilizer is more complex than inorganic fertilizers.



### **Consideration For Future Study**

 Re-evaluate runoff loss from broadcast spreading in fall and keeping soil nitrate values within recommended guidelines.

 Evaluate runoff losses from injection applications.



### **Consideration For Future Study**

 Soil tests in spring as well as fall to determine loss over and above measured runoff loss.

 Capability to measure loading estimates from non-fertilized sites such as natural wooded area and grasslands.



# Questions ??

