



August 23, 2006

Wendy Shanks, Vice-Chair
Yukon Utilities Board
19-1114 First Avenue
Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 1A3

Dear Ms. Shanks,

**RE: Yukon Energy Corporation (“YEC”) 20 Year Resource Plan 2006-2025
Comments on Proposed Issues List Distributed on July 26, 2006**

In response to the public notice pursuant to Board Order 2006-6 requesting comments on the proposed issues list distributed on July 26, 2006 based on issues identified by the Board staff and parties who attended the Public Workshop, Yukon Energy has prepared the following submission to assist the Board.¹

Scope of Review Mandated by the Minister

The scope of the Board’s review is as set out in the Minister’s June 5, 2006 letter. The review is obviously intended to be broad and, therefore, most topics identified in the proposed issues list are suitably within scope. However, there are a number of issues identified that are not within scope. In this regard, Yukon Energy notes that the Minister’s letter of June 5, 2006 requested the Board review YEC’s Resource Plan with emphasis on specific matters noted in Board Order 2006-6 in Recital “C”. As well, the Board was directed specifically to consider the following matters:

- a) Significant utility spending commitments in the Resource Plan that would affect long-term utility costs and rates;
- b) The effect of the proposed spending commitments on electricity rates;
- c) The necessity for proposed spending commitments and, to the extent known, their physical and engineering characteristics and economic consequences with emphasis on:
 - (i) effects relating to load forecast requirements (including requirements related to potential new major industrial customers and other major potential developments in Yukon) and the need for the spending commitments to meet such load forecasts;

¹ Each item on the issues list is addressed in Attachment A. In addition, the Yukon Energy submission addresses one further issue raised by the City of Whitehorse (Wayne Tuck) in their August 1, 2006 email to the Board.

- (ii) the capability of existing generation and transmission facilities to provide reliable electric power generation to meet the load forecast requirements, taking into consideration capacity planning criteria appropriate and adequate to establish requirements for such electrical power generation capacity in accordance with principles established in Canada by regulatory authorities of the Government of Canada or a province or of a Territory regulating hydro and non-hydro electric utilities;
- (iii) evidence that all reasonable alternative options have been considered and that the proposed spending commitments have been selected on reasonable grounds, i.e. technical feasibility, cost efficiency, and reliability; and
- (iv) the analysis by Yukon Energy of potential risks from all causes, and possible modifications to design or schedule resulting from environmental review and related regulatory approvals.

Yukon Energy's comments are solely intended to aid the Board in maintaining a focused and productive proceeding. Issues noted as out-of-scope are in many cases interesting and relevant to broad utility issues in Yukon, but in YEC's view these issues are not relevant to the review mandated by the Minister.

Comments on the Draft Issues List

In order to comment on the proposed issues, Yukon Energy has found it useful to consider the following broad groupings:

1. Pre-Hearing Issues
2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process
3. Issues Related to Material Presented in the Resource Plan
4. Framework for Serving Industrial Customers
5. Rate and Rate Subsidy Issues
6. Environmental Impacts of Projects
7. Approaches to Carrying Out Projects.
8. Government Policy

Based on the above broad groupings, Yukon Energy offers the following comments on the proposed issues (numbering of issues as per the July 26 document):

1. Pre-Hearing Issues:

- 13.1 - Interim Funding
- 13.2 - Professional vs. Non-Professional Status
- 13.3 - Moratorium on spending [prior to the Board's final decision].

Yukon Energy expects that these issues would, at most, be addressed as part of the pre-hearing conference and as such would not be issues for debate thereafter.

2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process:

- 1.1 - purpose of review,
- 1.2 - Applicability of Part 3 of the *Public Utilities Act*
- 1.3 - the lack of a joint planning process [between YEC and YECL]
- 2.1 - sharing of load data between YEC and YECL
- 9.1 - \$3 million spending threshold
- 12.1-12.2 - role of pre-hearing public involvement [conducted by Yukon Energy prior to the hearing],
- 13.4 - regular reviews of Yukon Energy resource planning

Yukon Energy sees these topics as in scope to the extent they deal with Yukon Energy's Resource Plan preparation or follow up, and are consistent with the Minister's letter².

3. Issues Related to Material Presented in the Resource Plan:

- 3.1 and 3.2 and Section 4 - in respect of the capacity planning criteria and capability of the existing system
- 2.2, 2.3, 6.6 and 10.1 - in respect of the near-term load forecast
- 5.1, 8.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5, and 9.6 - in respect of near-term supply side and demand side options
- 6.8, 6.11 and 14.6 - in respect of longer-term energy supply options from IPPs or Wood Waste
- 14.1, 14.2 - in respect of the prudence of projects and impacts on rates

These matters are clearly within the scope of the Resource Plan and the review contemplated by the Minister's letter.

4. Framework for serving industrial customers in Yukon:

- issues identified in Section 11 on the obligation of the utility to serve industrial customers and the means to provide this service

This topic area is key to the assumptions and framework for Chapter 5 of the Resource Plan and therefore is within scope.

² Yukon Energy sees 9.1 (\$3 million spending threshold) as being directed in the Minister's letter and therefore not an issue in scope for review, As regards 1.2 on the applicability of Part 3 of the *Public Utilities Act*, the Minister's letter again establishes the scope of this review without reference to Part 3 (which would also require a direction from the Minister); furthermore, neither YEC nor YECL to date has ever elected to seek a proceeding under Part 3, and YEC will not be making any such future application under Part 3 with regard to the near-term projects included in the current review.

5. Rate and Rate Subsidy issues:

- 6.5 - potential green power premiums
- issues identified in Section 7 regarding the secondary energy rate, how many customers converted, and options for more stable rates
- 14.3 - Yukon rate policies, Government subsidy programs and rate equalization

In the context of the Resource Plan and the Minister's letter, these topics are generally, not in scope other than to the extent that they may affect load forecasts used for the Resource Plan. Yukon Energy notes that secondary energy rates were a key topic in the 2005 revenue requirement hearing, and are not a proper topic for the Resource Plan hearing. In general, this proceeding is not a rate proceeding and, beyond addressing implications with regard to major industrial rates for new customers, no rate changes are proposed. In addition, Yukon Energy and the YUB today do not have any role in determining or changing the Yukon Government RSF or other utility subsidies.

6. Environmental Impacts of projects:

- 6.1, 6.2, 6.7 - in respect of proposed near-term projects
- 6.3, 6.4, 6.9, 6.10 - regarding means to further help Yukon as a whole reduce its fossil fuel consumption and GHG emissions (including such matters as reduced diesel for electricity generation in non-grid communities, increased use of electricity for heating to reduce oil and propane consumption, and potential natural gas from the Alaska Highway pipeline)
- additional issue raised by the City of Whitehorse on August 1 in relation to diesel noise, pollutants, etc.

These matters are in scope to the extent that they address broad selection of options or basic risk issues noted in the Minister's letter regarding specific project costs or schedule. However, the Minister's letter does not contemplate a detailed review of the specific environmental effects of any individual project nor does the YUB have jurisdiction to carry out such a review. Detailed environmental review on any specific project will be undertaken as required by other regulatory authorities³.

³ Yukon Energy notes that the specific environmental impacts of any individual proposed project will be subject to full regulatory review under the new *Yukon Environmental and Socio-Economic Assessment Act* when applying for all necessary licences and permits for the projects. As such, project-specific environmental impacts will be carefully reviewed by that expert body. In regards to most potential future projects (with the exception of Carmacks-Stewart which is currently undergoing permitting and the Aishihik 3rd turbine which already has all environmental permits), Yukon Energy has not yet conducted the detailed reviews necessary to allow that level of assessment to occur. As part of the Resource Plan type of assessment, general comparative information on environmental impacts (as opposed to detail project specific impact assessment) is a useful consideration and is expected to be addressed as part of the hearing scope.

Further, this review also does not contemplate a review of generation planning in YECL diesel-served non-grid communities that would not be affected by any of the transmission development projects proposed by Yukon Energy.

Accordingly, in general these matters are properly Resource Plan considerations in respect of assessing electricity requirements, opportunities to service new loads or further displace diesel from non-grid communities such as through proposed inter-ties, and screening proposed new supply options with respect to their environmental characteristics.

7. Approaches to Carrying out the Projects:

- topics 14.4 and 14.5 in respect of contracting for and managing the projects

These matters are in scope to the extent that they address basic risk management issues set out in the Minister's letter; it is not in scope, however, to review in detail YEC skills or contracting policies for these projects⁴.

8. Government Policy:

- 14.7 - Rather than examine a resource plan of a utility on a piece-meal basis, should proposed projects be evaluated as part of a coordinated energy planning policy of the Yukon Government?
- 14.8 - How does YEC's resource plan compare to the principles and strategic actions contained in the proposed energy policy framework of the Northwest Territories?

This review is not about Government policy and therefore issues relating to government policy generally are out of scope. However, to the extent that the issue identified relates to whether or not the Resource Plan (or any identified project discussed in the Plan) is consistent with YTG government policy, that issue is within scope. Further, comparison of the YEC Plan to the "proposed energy policy framework of the Northwest Territories" is out of scope for this review.

⁴ Yukon Energy notes that the issues identified appear to reflect more detailed discussion about how the specific proposed near-term major projects will be constructed. In most cases for projects set out in the Resource Plan there is no specific plan of this type yet determined as to how the development will be carried out. Prior to the time the project contract awards for construction are initiated (following the receipt of all other required approvals), Yukon Energy will assess various matters to determine how best to proceed with respect to contracting and project management and its internal staff capacities at the time (including a review of the other capital works being conducted during the same time period as these projects and consequent availability of staff and other internal resources to carry out or supervise the design, purchasing, scheduling, construction and commissioning of each of these projects). Resolution of such matters is also not critical to addressing the scope set out in the Minister's letter. As such, Yukon Energy is concerned that detailed exploration of these topics is premature and generally unnecessary as part of this review.

Finally, Attachment B provides the Board with an updated summary of Yukon Energy's public consultation to date on the Resource Plan as promised (to supplement information already provided on July 21, 2006 in response to YUB-YEC-1-17).

If you have any further question regarding the above please call.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D Morrison" with a stylized flourish at the end. Below the signature, the word "for" is written in a smaller, cursive script.

David Morrison
President & CEO

Attachment A – Summary of YEC Comments regarding Draft Issues List

Issue Number	Topics	YEC Comments and Index to Comments in YEC letter
1. FRAMEWORK FOR YUB REVIEW OF THE RESOURCE PLAN		
1.1	Purpose of Review	2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process – In Scope
1.2	Applicability of Part 3 of the Public Utilities Act	2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process – In Scope with respect to how capital planning processes occur in Yukon; however, note that this hearing has not been established under Part 3
1.3	Lack of joint planning process	2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process – In Scope
2. LOAD FORECAST, ACCURACY, AND METHODOLOGY		
2.1	Sharing of load data between YEC and YECL	2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process – In Scope
2.2	Consideration for alternative fuel supplies (e.g. propane)	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
2.3	Sales forecast	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
3. ASSESSMENT OF NEW PLANNING CRITERIA		
3.1	Appropriateness of applying N-1 criteria in the YEC system	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
3.2	How transmission outage being incorporated in the combined LOLE and N-1 planning criteria	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
4. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING FACILITIES AND RESOURCES TO SUPPLY FORECAST LOADS		
4.	Capability of Existing Facilities and Resources to Supply Forecast Loads	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
5. DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT		
5.1	Near-term peak shaving considerations (DSM)	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope

Issue Number	Topics	YEC Comments and Index to Comments in YEC letter
6. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES		
6.1	Water levels at Marsh Lake and Aishihik Lake and associated issues regarding fisheries and wetlands	6. Environmental Impacts of Projects – In scope generally; detailed project-specific Environmental Assessment not in scope
6.2	Reconditioning of the Whitehorse diesel-electric generators and issues related to greenhouse gas emission and fuel efficiencies	6. Environmental Impacts of Projects – In scope generally; detailed project-specific Environmental Assessment not in scope
6.3	Potential to address diesel reduction in all Yukon communities and related issues regarding greenhouse gas emission target reductions	6. Environmental Impacts of Projects – In scope generally to the extent inter-ties are proposed; otherwise, not in scope of Resource Plan
6.4	Issues regarding the Yukon Utilities Board ensuring its rulings are consistent with government protocols on climate change	6. Environmental Impacts of Projects – In scope generally; detailed project-specific Environmental Assessment not in scope
6.5	Issues regarding green power premiums	5. Rate Issues – Not generally in scope (not a rate hearing)
6.6	Issues around using renewable electric energy to displace fossil fuel energy such as for home heating	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
6.7	Issues regarding the proposed new Carmacks-Stewart transmission line and issues related to right-of-way clearing, timber salvage, and connection of communities along the ways	6. Environmental Impacts of Projects – In scope generally; detailed project-specific Environmental Assessment not in scope
6.8	Ability for independent power providers to access the proposed line and the possibility of these project being used to indirectly subsidize mines	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
6.9	Provisions for dealing with the Alaska Highway Natural Gas Pipeline and associated natural gas issues that could be used to displace dirtier fossil fuels	6. Environmental Impacts of Projects – In scope generally; detailed project-specific Environmental Assessment not in scope

Issue Number	Topics	YEC Comments and Index to Comments in YEC letter
6.10	Provisions for no further increases in the use of non-renewable fuels such as coal, gas and oil	6. Environmental Impacts of Projects – In scope
6.11	Issues around integration of resources extraction industries and power generation, such as the timber industry and the development of bio-fuels	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
7. SECONDARY ENERGY		
7.1	How many customers converted?	5. Rate Issues – Not generally in scope (not a rate hearing) except for assessing impacts on load forecast
7.2	Possibility of long-term stability of secondary power rates	5. Rate Issues – Not generally in scope (not a rate hearing)
8. SHORT-TERM SUPPLY OPTIONS		
8.1	Alternative sources for energy generation	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
9. ASSESSMENT OF NEAR-TERM PROJECTS (NEED AND TIMING)		
9.1	\$3 Million capital spending threshold	2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process – In Scope as to how capital planning processes occur in Yukon; however note that \$3 million threshold is directed in the Minister’s letter and therefore is already established as threshold for this hearing
9.2	Aishihik Third Turbine Project	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
9.3	Marsh Lake Fall/Winter Storage Licence Revision:	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
9.4	Carmacks-Stewart Transmission Project	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
9.5	Mirrlees Life Extension Project	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope

Issue Number	Topics	YEC Comments and Index to Comments in YEC letter
9.6	Alternatives to proposed projects (provisions for independent power producers of renewable energy)	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
10. ASSESSMENT OF LONG-TERM PLANNING		
10.1	Methodology used to assess energy requirements	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
11. INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS		
11.1	What is the obligation of the utility to serve industrial customers?	4. Framework for serving Industrial customers – In Scope
11.2	Means to provide service to industrial transmission or generation	4. Framework for serving Industrial customers – In Scope
12. ROLE OF PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT		
12.1	Pre-Hearing Public Involvement - Timing and Extent	2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process – In Scope
12.2	Pre-Hearing Public Involvement - Marsh Lake community	2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process – In Scope
13. OTHER PROCEDURAL ISSUES RAISED		
13.1	Procedural Issues - Interim Funding	1. Pre-Hearing Issue – Not part of Scope for Hearing
13.2	Procedural Issues - Professional vs. Non-Professional Status	1. Pre-Hearing Issue – Not part of Scope for Hearing
13.3	Procedural Issues - Moratorium on Spending [during period prior to hearing]	1. Pre-Hearing Issue – Not part of Scope for Hearing
13.4	Concern that 20-Year Plan must be open to regular review, both for meeting the criteria it established and to meet changing conditions	2. Yukon Energy Resource Planning Process – In Scope
14. OTHER		
14.1	Prudency and priorities for these investments	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
14.2	Impact on rates	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope

Issue Number	Topics	YEC Comments and Index to Comments in YEC letter
14.3	Alternatives to the present rate subsidies, and issues regarding the Yukon Rate Stabilization Fund and the Rate Equalization	5. Rate Issues – Not generally in scope (YUB and YEC have basically no jurisdiction over this matter) except for assessing impacts on load forecast
14.4	YEC project management skills	7. Approaches to Carrying out the Projects – Not in scope
14.5	YEC contracting policies	7. Approaches to Carrying out the Projects – Not in scope, with the exception of basic risk management issues set out in the Minister’s letter
14.6	Potential advantages/disadvantages of alternative ownership scenarios for these projects	3. Issues related to Material Presented in Resource Plan – In Scope
14.7	Rather than examine a resource plan of a utility on a piece-meal basis, should proposed projects be evaluated as part of a coordinated energy planning policy of the Yukon Government?	8. Government Policy – Not in Scope to review government policy; in scope to review extent to which Resource Plan is consistent with Yukon Government policy
14.8	How does YEC’s resource plan compare to the principles and strategic actions contained in the proposed energy policy framework of the Northwest Territories?	8. Government Policy – Not in Scope
ISSUES SUBSEQUENTLY IDENTIFIED		
August 1, 2006 e-mail from Wayne Tuck City of Whitehorse	Environmental issues surrounding operating diesels in the urban centre of Whitehorse, specifically to service peak flows and/or flows regarding industries’ use of power. Environmentally, there are other issues, other than GHG, specifically: noise generation; and other pollutants produced through burning fossil fuels.	6. Environmental Impacts of Projects – In scope generally; detailed project-specific Environmental Assessment not in scope

Attachment B - Supplement to YUB-YEC-1-17

YUKON
ENERGY



DATE: August 7, 2006

Summary of Resource Plan Public Information Sessions to July 20, 2006

Yukon Energy publicly announced on June 13, 2006 that it had filed a 20-year Resource Plan with the Yukon Utilities board. The announcement was made through a media briefing at which time a news release, backgrounder and the plan with accompanying documents was presented to local reporters. There was media coverage about the plan on all three local radio stations (CBC, CKRW, and CHON-FM) and in the two main local newspapers (Yukon News and Whitehorse Star). In early June, a newsletter focusing on the Carmacks-Stewart line was inserted in a Friday edition of the Yukon News, which is provided free in all rural communities. Copies of the newsletter were mailed to First Nations and municipalities directly affected by the line, along with trappers and outfitters who live or operate in the vicinity of the proposed power line route.

Public meetings were held between June 22, 2006 and July 20, 2006. Community meetings took place in Whitehorse, Marsh Lake, Tagish, Carcross, Carmacks, Teslin, Watson Lake, Faro, Ross River, Haines Junction, Mayo, and Dawson City. A meeting scheduled for Pelly Crossing had to be postponed because of scheduling conflicts in the community. That meeting will be re-scheduled in the very near future. Yukon Energy will hold another round of public meetings in the fall with any community that requests such a meeting.

Ads for upcoming meetings were placed in both local newspapers and on all three radio stations. Posters were also put up in most rural communities and a meeting schedule was put on Yukon Energy's web site. The resource plan and accompanying documents, news release, and background information sheet are also on the web site. Letters were sent to First Nation and municipal offices with a schedule of public meetings and with an invitation to attend any or all of the sessions.

Copies of the resource plan and accompanying documents were available at all public meetings in both paper and electronic format, and large maps and charts were used as visual aids. At each meeting Yukon Energy officials gave an overview of the plan and then invited questions.

Summary of comments/issues raised by community:

Whitehorse: June 22 - attended by approximately nine people:

- Who assumes the risk regarding the Carmacks-Stewart line should the mines not go ahead? People were concerned about rate shock. What kind of insurance policy does Yukon Energy have for situations like this? People want to make sure that the mining companies assume their fair share of risk.

- The health of the Aishihik line: why has Yukon Energy ruled out the idea, at least for now, of twinning the Aishihik line?
- Why did it take so long to restore power during the January 29/06 power outage?
- “This 20-year plan feels more like a three-year plan – it is ‘seriously under-enthusiastic for a 20-year plan”.
- The proposed gas pipeline....will Yukon Energy provide the power for the compressor stations? Will there be surplus gas to sell?

Marsh Lake: June 26 - attended by approximately 30 people:

- Discussion focused around what affect a change in Marsh Lake water storage level would have on people’s property. Concerns about erosion, especially in the fall months when winds are stronger. Worries about a rise in the water table, which would cause basements to flood.
- Higher water will percolate into back areas of Army Beach, McClintock and the water will freeze into the ground. This will cause problems the following spring. It was requested that when doing hydrology studies, Yukon Energy look not just at the fall levels but also the icing patterns in the spring.
- Representatives from the area will tour the lake with Yukon Energy in the fall and spring to look at potential site problems.
- What alternatives are there for water storage? For instance, is it possible to store water in Tagish Lake or in the Tutshi area? There are no people around Tutshi so property wouldn’t be affected.
- Conservation....instead of looking for more power what can be done to try to get people to conserve electricity? For instance, what about net metering?
- Yukon Energy was complimented for creating a 20-year plan – people said it was important and a good thing.

Carcross: June 27 - attended by two people:

- Yukon Energy has 24 MW in winter at Whitehorse – is it possible to draw down a bit more water in an emergency?
- Has Yukon Energy considered dredging at Marwell to address the problem of ice formation?
- Yukon Energy’s proposal regarding Marsh Lake water storage changes would cause erosion problems at Marsh Lake, especially with the fall winds.
- Yukon Energy should also look at what would happen with the ice and how the proposed Marsh Lake Fall/Winter Storage project will impact that.
- If both mines go into production, will Yukon Energy have to run diesel?
- Why not get the mines to pay for the line from Stewart down to the mines instead of from Carmacks up to the mines?
- Other options for new hydro such as Frances Lake – this is a great lake and Yukon Energy should have it on the list for future.
- Will customers trade off reliability to service the mines?
- Will Yukon Energy consider asking the YUB for a diesel energy surcharge rate so if diesels have to run, everyone pays the additional cost? This sends a price signal to people so it encourages conservation.

- Is a 138 kV line good enough for the next 20 years or should you be looking at a 240 kV line?
- Is Yukon Energy still talking about supplying electricity to Atlin?
- What about the pipeline – how does that fit into Yukon Energy’s plans?
- What about wind power – how viable is that? What about micro-hydro?

Carmacks: July 5 - attended by four people:

- Interest was focused on the Carmacks to Stewart transmission line with the spur lines to Carmacks Copper and Minto.
- Main issue was line location. One resident stated she does not want the line coming close to her property.

Mayo: July 5 - attended by one person:

- Felt the 20-year plan was a good one.
- Agreed with the near term plans.

Dawson City: July 6 - attended by approximately six people:

- General agreement with the 20-year plan.
- Good discussion and understanding of the benefits of the Carmacks-Stewart Project.

Watson Lake: July 10 - attended by two people:

- The Carmacks-Stewart Line is a good project and it makes sense to connect the grids.
- Are there any plans for hydro to serve Watson Lake? Can the grid be extended?
- How will the mines in the area be served?

Haines Junction: July 12 - attended by one person, the MLA for the region

- “This plan looks quite reasonable to me”.
- Questions about wind power – the viability of it in the Yukon, the costs, etc.
- Carmacks-Stewart line: how to mitigate risk for mining companies, government, ratepayers.

Ross River: July 12 – attended by two people:

- No local residents attended this meeting (only two Whitehorse residents) and no issues were raised.

Teslin: July 13

- No members of the public attended.

Faro: July 17 - attended by approximately 14 people:

- Refurbishing the diesels is the correct approach.
- Can Yukon do more to get off diesel and build hydro?
- There was a long discussion about the Carmacks-Stewart line and the benefits.
- People were happy to see Yukon Energy thinking long-term.

Tagish: July 20 - attended by approximately 10 people:

- Wind erosion at California Beach is a concern.
- Greatest concern is holding the water higher in the fall increases the potential for fall wind storms that will cause wave erosion.
- One resident said he has lost a great deal of the shoreline over the years due to wind and wave action.
- Tagish Advisory Committee will be contacted in the fall when field personnel are surveying the lake.