

2006 Municipal and Community Affairs Client Survey

NWT Bureau of Statistics Government of the Northwest Territories April 2006

2006 Municipal and Community Affairs Client Survey

The 2006 Municipal and Community Affairs (MACA) Client Survey was designed to gauge the level of client satisfaction with the programs and services offered by Municipal & Community Affairs. The questionnaire was developed by the NWT Bureau of Statistics in conjunction with the Department of Municipal & Community Affairs. A copy of the questionnaire is provided in Appendix A.

MACA provided the NWT Bureau of Statistics with a list of 223 clients from all communities in the Northwest Territories. The clients were elected officials such as mayors and councilors as well as community government employees in emergency services, finance, technical services & administration. Survey operations were completed by the NWT Bureau of Statistics between January 16, 2006 and January 30, 2006.

Data entry was completed directly from questionnaires on a database prepared by the NWT Bureau of Statistics and statistical tables were prepared using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Computer assisted edits were performed to check for data entry errors and logical inconsistencies among responses.

Table A gives a brief overview of the main survey results. Overall, 75% of clients were satisfied or very satisfied with MACA staff and services. About 17% were dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with the length of time to respond to their questions, however nearly 84% of clients felt that MACA staff were courteous. Approximately 51% were dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with the amount of core funding.

The full results from the survey are attached in the statistical tables.

Table A

Very Satisfied or Satisfied	Dissatisfied or Very Dissatisfied
(%)	(%)
75.0	16.3
74.0	11.5
76.0	10.1
68.8	17.3
71.2	14.9
74.0	10.6
67.3	15.4
83.7	2.9
69.7	14.9
30.8	51.0
62.5	12.0
56.3	18.8
	or Satisfied (%) 75.0 74.0 76.0 68.8 71.2 74.0 67.3 83.7 69.7 30.8 62.5



Table 1 Number of Clients by Level of Satisfication with MACA Staff & Services Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%	Very Satisfied	%	Satisfied	%	Dissatisfied	%	Very Dissatisfied	%	Not Applicable	%	Not Stated	%
Overall Level of Satisfaction	208	100.0	36	17.3	120	57.7	24	11.5	10	4.8	15	7.2	3	1.4
MACA Staff:														
Available for Assistance	208	100.0	41	19.7	113	54.3	23	11.1	1	0.5	25	12.0	5	2.4
Following up requests	208	100.0	36	17.3	122	58.7	18	8.7	3	1.4	25	12.0	4	1.9
Timely Responses to Questions	208	100.0	22	10.6	121	58.2	30	14.4	6	2.9	24	11.5	5	2.4
Appropriate Information	208	100.0	30	14.4	118	56.7	27	13.0	4	1.9	24	11.5	5	2.4
Knowledgeable	208	100.0	35	16.8	119	57.2	18	8.7	4	1.9	26	12.5	6	2.9
Explain Program Requirements	208	100.0	24	11.5	116	55.8	31	14.9	1	0.5	31	14.9	5	2.4
Courteous	208	100.0	61	29.3	113	54.3	6	2.9	-	-	24	11.5	4	1.9
Amount of One-to-one Support	208	100.0	38	18.3	107	51.4	29	13.9	2	1.0	29	13.9	3	1.4
Adequacy of Core Funding	208	100.0	11	5.3	53	25.5	85	40.9	21	10.1	23	11.1	15	7.2
Quality of Technical Advice	208	100.0	28	13.5	102	49.0	23	11.1	2	1.0	49	23.6	4	1.9
Notification of New Programs	208	100.0	22	10.6	95	45.7	33	15.9	6	2.9	44	21.2	8	3.8

Table 1a Number of Clients Dissatisfied or Very Dissatisfied with MACA Staff by Office Contacted Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%	Regional Offices	%	Head- quarters	%
Total # of Clients	208	100.0	141	100.0	41	100.0
Clients Dissatisfied or Very Dissatisfied with MACA Staff:						
Available for Assistance	24	11.5	19	13.5	5	12.2
Following up requests	21	10.1	16	11.3	5	12.2
Timely Responses to Questions	36	17.3	26	18.4	10	24.4
Appropriate Information	31	14.9	21	14.9	10	24.4
Knowledgeable	22	10.6	12	8.5	10	24.4
Explain Program Requirements	32	15.4	20	14.2	12	29.3
Courteous	6	2.9	6	4.3		0.0

Table 2 Number of Clients by Ability to Easily Access Programs & Services Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%
Total	208	100.0
Programs Easy to Access	159	76.4
Programs Difficult to Access	26	12.5
Don't Know	22	10.6
Not Stated	1	0.5

Table 2a Number of Clients With Difficulty Accessing Programs & Services by Type of Barrier Northwest Territories, 2006

Type of Barrier	Total	%	Yes	%	No	%	Not Stated	%
Frahmakiana Haud ta Callau.	26	100.0	0	24.6	16	C1 F	1	2.0
Instructions Hard to Follow	26	100.0	9	34.6	16	61.5	1	3.8
Application Forms too Confusing	26	100.0	14	53.8	10	38.5	2	7.7
Information too Difficult to Find	26	100.0	18	69.2	7	26.9	1	3.8
Process Takes too Long	26	100.0	25	96.2			1	3.8
MACA Employees Unavailable for Assistance	26	100.0	13	50.0	11	42.3	2	7.7
Accountability Requirements too time consuming	26	100.0	21	80.8	4	15.4	1	3.8
Information technology not appropriate	26	100.0	13	50.0	8	30.8	5	19.2
Program Criteria too narrow	26	100.0	15	57.7	10	38.5	1	3.8
Other	26	100.0	8	30.8	17	65.4	1	3.8

Table 3 Number of Clients by Quality of MACA Information Sources Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%	Good	%	Fair	%	Poor	%	Not Applicable	%	Don't Know	%	Not Stated	%
Information Sources:														
Publications or Reports	208	100.0	92	44.2	50	24.0	14	6.7	43	20.7	7	3.4	2	1.0
Press Releases	208	100.0	67	32.2	56	26.9	13	6.3	60	28.8	11	5.3	1	0.5
MACA Website	208	100.0	74	35.6	29	13.9	5	2.4	49	23.6	50	24.0	1	0.5
Staff Communication	208	100.0	127	61.1	40	19.2	10	4.8	27	13.0	3	1.4	1	0.5
Workshops	208	100.0	106	51.0	19	9.1	10	4.8	59	28.4	13	6.3	1	0.5

Table 4 Number of Clients by Most Important Challenge Facing Community Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%
Total	208	100.0
Adequacy of Funding	74	35.6
Lack of Skills & Abilities	48	23.1
Inadequate Infrastructure	20	9.6
Communication	12	5.8
Community Relations	14	6.7
Other	16	7.7
No Challenges	1	0.5
Dont Know	20	9.6
Not Stated	3	1.4

Table 5 Number of Clients by Type of Position Held Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%
Total	208	100.0
Elected Administrative SAO Emergency & Technical Services	53 79 26 48	25.5 38.0 12.5 23.1
Not Stated	2	1.0

Table 6 Number of Clients by Length of Time in Position Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%
Total	208	100.0
Less than 1 Year	63	30.3
1 - 4 Years	63	30.3
5 - 9 Years	44	21.2
10 or More Years	37	17.8
Not Stated	1	0.5

Table 7 Number of Clients by Frequency of Contact with MACA Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%
Total	208	100.0
More than Once per Week	39	18.8
Once per Week	16	7.7
1 - 3 Times per Month	65	31.3
Less than Once per Month	66	31.7
Never	21	10.1
Not Stated	1	0.5

Table 8 Number of Clients by Office Contacted Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%
Total	208	100.0
Regional Office	141	67.8
Headquarters	41	19.7
Not Applicable	21	10.1
Not Stated	5	2.4

Table 8a Number of Clients by Office Contacted Northwest Territories, 2006

	Total # of Clients	%
Total	41	100.0
Community Operations	9	22.0
Land Administration	8	19.5
School of Community Government	7	17.1
Directorate	8	19.5
Other	9	22.0

APPENDIX A QUESTIONNAIRE



2006 Municipal and Community Affairs Client Survey

ID Number:			nmunity:				
Interviewer:			Respondent:				
Mun common The kept	NWT Bureau of Statistics is conductinicipal and Community Affairs (MAC munity governments and the developments are well take approximately 15 minuses to Information and Protection of Private National Statistics and Protection of Private National Statis	A). Results ent and delivites to compleal purposes	will be use ery of progrete. All infor	ed to improve ams and servi mation collect	ces offered bed in the surv	tions with y MACA.	
1.	For each of the following statements, p throughout the past year. Would you st dissatisfied with MACA staff?						
		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Not Applicable	
a)	Being readily available for assistance	1	2	3	4	5	
b)	Following up on your requests	1	2	3	4	5	
c)	Providing timely responses to your questions	1	2	3	4	5	
d)	Providing you with the appropriate information to answer your questions	1	2	3	4	5	
e)	Being knowledgeable about programs and services	1	2	3	4	5	
f)	Clearly explaining requirements of programs	1	2	3	4	5	
g)	Being courteous when responding to your inquiries	1	2	3	4	5	

MACA currently provides communities with a number of programs and services, including community planning, land administration, sport and recreation programs, fire prevention services and so on. The following questions will focus on the services and programs offered by MACA.

2. The next few statements relate to the services offered by MACA and their ability to meet the needs of your community government. Please indicate whether you are very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied or very dissatisfied for each of the following statements.

			Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Not Applicable
a)	The amount of one-to-	one support	1	2	3	4	5
b)	The adequacy of core to provided by MACA	funding currently	/ 1	2	3	4	5
c)	The quality of technica by MACA	al advice provide	ed 1	2	3	4	5
d)	Notification of new program changes	ograms or	1	2	3	4	5
3.	Generally, are the progovernment?	grams and servi	ces offered th	rough MAC	A easy to acce	ess by your co	mmunity
	2 No		nes to trying		t for your com ACA program		
		a. Instruction	s are hard to	follow	1	Yes	₂ No
		b. Application	n forms are to	oo confusing	1	Yes	2 No
		c. Information	n is too diffic	ult to find	1	Yes	2 No
		d. Process tak	tes too long		1	Yes	₂ No
		e. MACA em	ployees are u	navailable fo	or assistance	Yes	2 No
			ility or report e-consuming	ing requiren	nents 1	Yes	2 No
		g. Information is not appro	n technology opriate	that MACA	uses 1	Yes	2 No
		h. Program cr	riteria are too	narrow	1	Yes	2 No
		i. Other (Spe	cify):		1	Yes	2 No
							_

2006 MACA Client Survey Page 2 of 6

4.	What is the most important challenge your community government faces? (DO NOT READ)								
	Adequacy of funding								
	2 Lack of skills and abilities								
	3 Inadequate infrastructure								
	4 Lack of time to handle comp	eting deman	ds						
	5 Other (Specify):								
5.	Do you find the following methods of of information?	f communica	tions from M.	ACA to be go	ood, fair, or poor so	ource			
		Good	Fair	Poor	Not Applicable				
	a) Publications or Reports	1	2	3	4				
	b) Press Releases	1	2	3	4				
	c) MACA website	1	2	3	4				
	d) Personal communication from MACA staff	1	2	3	4				
	e) Workshops	1	2	3	4				
6.	Overall, how satisfied are you with the you are?	e quality of	service you re	ceive from M	IACA? Would you	ı say			
	1 Very Satisfied 2 Sati	isfied 3	B Dissatis	sfied 4	Very Dissatisf	ïed			
7.	In which community do you currently live?								
8.	What is your position with the comm	unity govern	ment?						

2006 MACA Client Survey Page 3 of 6

9.	How long have you held your current position within this community government? Would you say?
	1 Less than 1 year
	2 1 year to 4 years
	3 5 years to 9 years
	4 Ten years or More
10.	How frequently have you contacted MACA in the past year? Would you say?
	1 More than once a week
	2 Once a week
	3 1-3 times a month
	4 Less than once a month
11.	Do you generally contact MACA?
	Regional office
	2 Headquarters 11a. Which office do you contact the most? Would you say?
	1 Community Operations
	2 Land Administration
	3 Sport, Recreation and Youth
	4 School of Community Government
	5 Pipeline Readiness Office
	6 Directorate
	7 Territorial Emergency Management Coordinator
	8 Office of the Fire Marshal
	9 Other (Specify):

Thank you for taking the time to complete our survey!

2006 MACA Client Survey Page 4 of 6

ADDITIONAL CALLS					
Number	Date	Time	Appointment Date and Time		
7					
Comments:					
8					
Comments:					
9					
Comments:					
10					
Comments:					
11					
Comments:					
12					
Comments:					
		C	OMMENTS		

2006 MACA Client Survey

RECORD OF CALLS						
Number		Date	Time		Appointment Date and Time	
1						
Comments:						
2						
Comments:						
3						
Comments:						
4						
Comments:						
5						
Comments:						
6						
Comments:						
	I	RECORD OF	FINTERVIEWS		FINAL STATUS	
Interview Number		Date	Start Time HH: MM	End Time HH: MM		
1					31 Complete	
2					32 Refusal	
3					33 Respondent Unavailable	
4					for Duration of Survey	
5						

2006 MACA Client Survey Page 6 of 6