



MANAGEMENT OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION POLICY

Colin Boyd
Chief Information Officer Branch
Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat

Background

- ◆ TB Management of Government Information Holdings (MGIH) policy approved in 1987, amended in 1994
- ◆ Review determined that while principles were sound, a revised policy was needed to reflect information management (IM) requirements of:
 - electronic services, documents, and records
 - multi-channel service delivery
 - information sharing across organizations
 - all government employees, not simply IM professionals



Policy drafting and consultation process

- ◆ Policy drafted with input from interdepartmental working group (20 departments and agencies) and circulated for wider review in summer 2001
- ◆ Information Commissioner: “The new Management of Government Information policy...deserves kudos.” (*2001-2002 Annual Report*)
- ◆ Policy endorsed by ESD, IMB and TIMS – although concerns flagged over implementation issues



MGI policy objective

- ◆ To ensure that information assets under the control of the Government of Canada are effectively and efficiently managed throughout their life-cycles, so as to support:
 - accountability for government
 - informed policy and decision-making
 - the delivery of high quality programs, services, and information through a variety of channels
 - preservation of the historical record

Policy enhancements

Existing Policy

- ◆ Requires management of physical information holdings throughout their life cycle

Enhancements

- ◆ Requires management of all information, regardless of medium or format
- ◆ Further positions effective information management as key to better service delivery and the promotion of public trust
- ◆ Requires that information be managed to ensure its consistency, availability, security, integrity, and usability across all information and service delivery channels
- ◆ Identifies information as a corporate resource that supports decision-making and the fulfilment of operational and legal requirements



Policy enhancements ... 2

Existing Policy

- ◆ Mostly silent on IM governance and accountability requirements

Enhancements

- ◆ Requires establishment of a sustainable program for managing information and an accountability framework to monitor results
- ◆ Accountability section outlines roles and responsibilities for all managers and employees, including senior executives
- ◆ Requires documentation of activities and decisions to account for government operations
- ◆ Requires that accountability frameworks be in place when information is shared with other institutions, governments, or organizations



Policy enhancements ... 3

Existing Policy

- ◆ Silent on new technologies

Enhancements

- ◆ Promotes electronic channels as the preferred means of creating, using, and managing information
- ◆ Encourages the use of common infrastructures for IM across government
- ◆ Requires management of information to ensure its authenticity and integrity through time and technological change
- ◆ Requires comprehensive classification structures, including metadata

Implementation challenges

- ◆ The desired end-state is not well defined – what would a well performing organization look like? How can IM activities be better aligned with program outcomes and strategies?
- ◆ Costs to departments of MGI implementation and sound IM practices are not well known at this time but are likely to be significant
- ◆ TIMS deputies flagged concerns over:
 - changing processes and culture
 - skills recruitment and development
 - new governance and accountability structures
 - development of tools, standards, and guidance
 - technology implementation



Resourcing

- ◆ Time and effort will be needed for departments to become compliant with new policy
- ◆ Issues of resources will need to be addressed
- ◆ Special effort needed to develop IM leadership cadre
- ◆ A serious research effort is required in order to:
 - Define desired IM end state and assess gap between this and where departments are today
 - Establish benchmarks and interim targets to inform phased approach to MGI implementation
 - Analyze cost and what might be most cost-effectively funded corporately and departmentally

Next steps

- ◆ Obtain TBSAC endorsement of MGI policy and of a multi-year, staged approach to its implementation
- ◆ TBS to:
 - Identify immediate initiatives (e.g. leadership development), and
 - Develop strategy for phased implementation of MGI, and cost estimates
 - Secretary to return to TIMS / TBSAC with proposal for stages and funding
- ◆ Obtain TB approval of policy and notion of staged implementation