Comments on:

"The New-Keynesian Phillips Curve When Inflation Is Non-Stationary: The Case of Canada"

by Bergljot Bjørnson Barkbu and Nicoletta Batini

Günter Coenen European Central Bank

Presented at the Bank of Canada Economic Conference on "Issues in Inflation Targeting", Ottawa, April 28-29, 2005.

Contribution of the Paper

- Application of a method by Johansen and Swensen (1999) to the estimation of the hybrid New-Keynesian Phillips Curve (NKPC) for Canada, which allows:
 - detecting stochastic trends in the variables used in the estimation; and
 - testing the restrictions implied by the model under rational expectations.
- Review of the empirical literature on the hybrid NKPC along several dimensions:
 - empirical research focused on estimating variants of the NKPC for Canada;
 - (invalidity of) statistical inference based on standard GMM and FIML methods, when the variables used in the estimation are non-stationary; and
 - (lack of) identification of the weights on lagged and expected inflation.

Main Findings of the Paper

- The hybrid NKPC provides a satisfactory description of Canadian inflation data when proxying real marginal cost by the labor share adjusted for the cost of imported inputs (cf. Batini, Jackson and Nickell, 2005).
 - The estimated weight on expected inflation is relatively high with $\gamma_f \in [0.714, 0.729]$.
 - The estimated slope coefficient is surprisingly large and varies substantially depending on the sample period with $\lambda \in [0.165, 0.415]$.
- The restriction $\gamma_b + \gamma_f = 1$ is not rejected.
 - The estimated relationship links changes in inflation and the level of real marginal cost.
 - Hence, with $d = [0, \lambda]$, the labor share is found to be stationary, while inflation ought to follow a stochastic trend.
- Adjusting the labor share for indirect taxes and the remuneration of self-employed workers does not yield reasonable results.

Focus of My Discussion

- Revisit the empirical evidence on the time-series properties of the variables used in the estimation of the NKPC for Canada.
- Provide some new evidence on Canadian inflation dynamics by estimating a generalized pricesetting framework, building on Coenen and Levin (2004).
 - Discern the slope of the price-setting equation in terms of nominal versus real rigidities, which are not separately identified for the NKPC (cf. Galí, Gertler and López-Salido, 2001; Sbordone, 2002; Eichenbaum and Fisher 2004).
 - Investigate whether there is a need to account for backward-looking behavior to explain aggregate data (cf. Galí and Gertler, 1999; Christiano, Eichenbaum and Evans, 2005; Erceg and Levin, 2003).
- Conclude with some general remarks on the importance of accounting for shifts in the monetary policy regime.

Is Inflation Really Non-Stationary?



Is Inflation Really Non-Stationary?



Visual inspection suggests that both inflation and the labor share have become stationary after the move to inflation targeting in 1991.

Is Inflation Really Non-Stationary? (cont.)

Hypothesis $H_0: r = p$	Trace Statistic	<i>p</i> -value (asymptotic)	<i>p</i> -value (bootstrapped)
A. Sample Period 19	073-2003		
$p = 0$ $p \le 1$	21.93 2.88	0.00 0.09	0.01 0.38
B. Sample Period 19	973-1990		
$p = 0$ $p \le 1$	13.49 4.29	0.10 0.04	0.20
C. Sample Period 19	91-2003		
$p = 0$ $p \le 1$	20.63 6.36	0.01 0.01	0.03 0.08

Note: The aymptotic and bootstrap-based *p*-values have been computed using the programme Structural VAR, Version 0.34 by Anders Warne, 2001-2005.

Is Inflation Really Non-Stationary? (cont.)

Hypothesis $H_0: r = p$	Trace Statistic	<i>p</i> -value (asymptotic)	<i>p</i> -value (bootstrapped)
A. Sample Period 19	73-2003		
$p = 0$ $p \le 1$	21.93 2.88	0.00 0.09	<mark>0.01</mark> 0.38
B. Sample Period 19	73-1990		
$p = 0$ $p \le 1$	13.49 4.29	0.10 0.04	0.20
C. Sample Period 19	91-2003		
$p = 0$ $p \le 1$	20.63 6.36	0.01 0.01	0.03 0.08

Note: The aymptotic and bootstrap-based *p*-values have been computed using the programme Structural VAR, Version 0.34 by Anders Warne, 2001-2005.

Aggregate Price-Setting Behavior in Canada, 1991-2003

- Building on Coenen and Levin (2004), consider a generalized Calvo-style price-setting framework that
 - incorporates multiperiod staggered contracts of random duration; and
 - allows identifying the influences of nominal versus real rigidities.
- The framework encompasses two sources of real rigidity:
 - firm-specific inputs (cf. Altig, Christiano, Eichenbaum and Lindé, 2004; Sveen and Weinke, 2003; Woodford, 2004); and
 - non-constant elasticity of demand (cf. Kimball, 1995).
- Estimate this framework by applying a simulation-based indirect inference procedure to Canadian data over the period 1991-2003.

The Staggered Contracts Model with Random-Duration Contracts

• The price-setting decision of firms can be expressed in log-linearized form as

$$x_t = \mathsf{E}_t \left[\sum_{i=1}^{J-1} \Phi_i \, \pi_{t+i} + \gamma \, \sum_{i=0}^{J-1} \phi_i \, mc_{t+i} \right],$$

where x_t denotes the new contract price relative to the aggregate price level and (ϕ_i, Φ_i) depends on the distribution of price contracts of duration j with $\{\omega_j : j = 1, \ldots, J\}$, $\omega_j \ge 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^J \omega_j = 1$.

• The aggregate price identity can be expressed in log-linearized form as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{J-1} \psi_i \, x_{t-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{J-2} \Psi_{i+1} \, \pi_{t-i}$$

with (ψ_i, Ψ_i) again depending on the distribution of price contracts.

Identifying the Sources of Real Rigidity

• The parameter γ determines the sensitivity of the new price to aggregate real marginal cost and can be expressed as the product of two components:

$$\gamma = \gamma_d \cdot \gamma_{mc}$$

which depend on the characteristics of the demand curve faced by price-setting firms and the share of firm-specific inputs (cf. Eichenbaum and Fisher, 2004).

- In the special case with constant elasticity of demand, $\gamma_d = 1$.
- In the special case with no firm-specific inputs, $\gamma_{mc} = 1$.

Estimates of Nominal and Real Rigidities, 1991-2003

Distribution of Contract Durations				Mean	Real		
ω_1	ω_2	ω_3	ω_4	Duration	Rigidity (γ)	p-value	
0.29 (0.15)	0.49 (0.19)	0.06 (0.07)	0.16 (0.11)	2.09 (0.34)	0.0026 (0.0014)	0.09	

Note: Estimated asymptotic standard errors are given in parentheses; the *p*-value refers to the asymptotic test of overidentifying restrictions.

Estimates of Nominal and Real Rigidities, 1991-2003

Distrib	ution of Co	ontract Du	rations	Mean	Real	
ω_1	ω_2	ω_3	ω_4	Duration	Rigidity (γ)	p-value
0.29 (0.15)	0.49 (0.19)	0.06 (0.07)	0.16 (0.11)	2.09 (0.34)	0.0026 (0.0014)	0.09

Note: Estimated asymptotic standard errors are given in parentheses; the *p*-value refers to the asymptotic test of overidentifying restrictions.

Finding I: Aggregate price-setting behavior is well characterized by staggered contracts with a mean duration of about two quarters.

Estimates of Nominal and Real Rigidities, 1991-2003

Distrib	ution of Co	ontract Du	rations	Mean	Real	
ω_1	ω_2	ω_3	ω_4	Duration	Rigidity (γ)	p-value
0.29 (0.15)	0.49 (0.19)	0.06 (0.07)	0.16 (0.11)	2.09 (0.34)	0.0026 (0.0014)	0.09

Note: Estimated asymptotic standard errors are given in parentheses; the p-value refers to the asymptotic test of overidentifying restrictions.

Finding II: New price contracts exhibit very low sensitivity to real marginal cost, corresponding to a relatively high degree of real rigidity involving both firm-specific inputs and non-constant elasticity of demand.

Inflation Dynamics and Correlations of Price Shocks, 1991-2003



The estimated model is able to closely match the correlation structure of an unrestricted vector autoregression without including backward-looking elements.

Conclusion

- Overall, the estimates of the generalized price-setting framework with random-duration contracts confirm the main findings by Bjørnson Barkbu and Batini:
 - Inflation dynamics in Canada is characterized by a high degree of forward-looking pricesetting behavior.
 - Indeed, backward-looking price-setting behavior is not needed, at least in the context of
 a stable monetary policy regime with a transparent and credible inflation objective, as
 was established by the introduction of inflation targeting in 1991.
- However, further analysis is needed regarding the sensitivity of prices with respect to real marginal cost; that is, the slope of the NKPC.