

# ALBERTA ENVIRONMENTAL APPEAL BOARD

## Erratum

---

**Date of Decision – June 25, 2002**

**Date of Erratum – May 8, 2003**

**IN THE MATTER OF** sections 91, 92 and 95 of the *Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. E-12 and sections 114 and 115 of the *Water Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. W-3;

**-and-**

**N THE MATTER OF** an appeal filed by Mr. Blair Carmichael, Mr. David Doull, the Lake Wabamun Enhancement and Protection Association, and Mr. Nick Zon, with respect to Approval Amendment No. 18528-00-03 issued by the Director, Northern East Slopes Region, Regional Services, Alberta Environment to TransAlta Utilities Corporation, and with respect to *Water Act* Licence Amendment No. 00037698-00-02, issued by the Director, Central Region, Regional Services, Alberta Environment to TransAlta Utilities Corporation.

Erratum for: Issues Decision: *Carmichael et al. v. Directors, Northern East Slopes Region and Central Region, Regional Services, Alberta Environment*, re: *TransAlta Utilities Corporation* (25 June 2002), Appeal Nos. 01-080, 01-082, 02-084, 01-085, 01-134, 02-002, 02-003-ID2 (A.E.A.B.).

Cite as: *Erratum: Issues Decision: Carmichael et al. v. Directors, Northern East Slopes Region and Central Region, Regional Services, Alberta Environment*, re: *TransAlta Utilities Corporation* (8 May 2003), Appeal Nos. 01-080, 01-082, 01-084, 01-085, 01-134, 02-002 and 02-003-E (A.E.A.B.).

**I. REMOVE**

[44] The Board agrees with the Directors when it was stated that the principle of the process is to develop a better approval. However, the Directors must also realize that the term “better” is subjective, and what one person may consider better may not necessarily mean the same thing to another person. The fact that it may be a better approval does negate an individual’s right to challenge the approval if they disagree with the Director or if they know of ways to improve the approval. That is why we are here.

**II. REPLACE WITH**

[44] The Board agrees with the Directors when it was stated that the principle of the process is to develop a better approval. However, the Directors must also realize that the term “better” is subjective, and what one person may consider better may not necessarily mean the same thing to another person. The fact that it may be a better approval does not negate an individual’s right to challenge the approval if they disagree with the Director or if they know of ways to improve the approval. That is why we are here.