Salinity Mapping within the Municipal District of Cypress, Alberta

J. Kwiatkowski L.C. Marciak D. Wentz H. VanderPluym

Conservation and Development Branch Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development

October 1994

Canada





Abstract

This report presents a methodology to map salinity at a municipal scale and applies this methodology to the Municipal District of Cypress, a rural municipality in southern Alberta. The methodology was developed for the County of Vulcan (Kwiatkowski et al. 1994) and is being applied to other Alberta municipalities where soil salinity is a concern.

Soil salinity is a major conservation issue in the Municipal District of Cypress. The information on salinity location, extent, type and control measures presented in this report will help Municipal District planners to target conservation programs.

The methodology has four main steps:

- 1. The location and extent of saline areas are mapped based on existing information including aerial photographs, maps, satellite imagery, assessment data and technical reports, as well as information from local personnel and field inspections.
- 2. Saline areas are classified on the basis of the mechanism causing salinity. The mechanism is important because it determines which control measures are appropriate. Eight salinity types are recognized within the Municipal District of Cypress. These are: contact/slope change salinity, outcrop salinity, artesian salinity, depression bottom salinity, coulee bottom salinity, slough ring salinity, irrigation canal seepage salinity and natural/irrigation salinity.
- 3. Cost-effective, practical control measures are identified for each salinity type.
- 4. A colour-coded map at a scale of 1:100 000 is prepared showing salinity location, extent and type.

Analysis of the mapping data shows that 2 656 saline areas occur in the Municipal District and these areas occupy a total of 6 765 ha (16 724 ac). Depression bottom salinity is the most common form of salinity (35.0% of saline areas in the Municipal District), followed by coulee bottom salinity (33.7% of saline areas), irrigation salinity (10.8%), contact/slope change salinity (9.9%), natural/irrigation salinity (4.2%), and slough ring salinity (4.1%). Artesian salinity and outcrop salinity are minor, totalling only 2.3% of saline areas.

Acknowledgements

This salinity investigation project for the M.D. of Cypress was conducted by the Conservation and Development Branch of Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development. Funding provided by the Canada-Alberta Environmentally Sustainable Agriculture (CAESA) Agreement and the M.D. of Cypress is greatly appreciated.

The authors acknowledge the contributions made by: James Pittman and Curt Livergood, Conservation and Development Branch, Alberta Agriculture, Food and Development, for significant field work; Gerald Stark and Longin Pawlowski, Conservation and Development Branch, Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development, for preparing diagrams and plotting salinity map; David Spiess for statistical analysis; and Carolyn King for editing and formatting the report. Comments on the salinity data from Doug Henderson, Agricultural Fieldman, Agricultural Service Board, M.D. of Cypress, are greatly appreciated. The report was reviewed by Brook Harker and Bill Harron, Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration.

Contents

					Page		
Figu	ires				iv		
1.0	Intro	duction			1		
	1.1 Goal and Objectives						
	1.2	Method		1			
	1.3			rces			
2.0	Clas	ssificatio	n and Ma	anagement of Saline Seeps	3		
	2.1 Transportation of Salts						
	2.2						
		2.2.1	Dryland	Salinity Types	4		
		2.2.2	Irrigation	n Salinity Types	7		
	2.3	Salinity		·			
		2.3.1		al Controls			
			2.3.1.1	Flexible Cropping			
			2.3.1.2	Deep-Rooted Crops			
			2.3.1.3	Salt-Tolerant Crops			
		2.3.2	Structura	al Controls	10		
			2.3.2.1	Surface Drainage			
			2.3.2.2	Subsurface Drainage	12		
			2.3.2.3	Relief Wells			
3.0	Sali	nity Disti	ribution .		13		
4.0	Refe	erences			17		
App	endix	c: Glossa	ary of Ter	ms	19		

Tables

		Page
Table 1.	Salinity types and control methods in the M.D. of Cypress	9
Table 2.	Forage crops for saline soils and flooded areas	11
Table 3.	Salinity distribution by type in the M.D. of Cypress	
	Figures	
	_	Page
Figure 1.	Generalized diagram of saline seep, recharge area, and the substrata	
	formation that contributes to a saline seep	3
Figure 2.	Contact salinity	4
Figure 3.	Slope change salinity	5
Figure 4.	Outcrop salinity	5
Figure 5.	Artesian salinity	6
Figure 6.	Depression bottom salinity	6
Figure 7.	Slough ring salinity	7
Figure 8.	Canal seepage salinity	7
Figure 9.	Number and size of saline seeps in the M.D. of Cypress	15
Figure 10.	Number and size of contact/slope change saline seeps in	
	the M.D. of Cypress	16

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Goal and Objectives

The goal of this project is to present information on salinity location, extent and type in the Municipal District (M.D.) of Cypress. Soil degradation is a key issue in conservation planning and salinity is one of the major visible soil degradation problems affecting the M.D. according to its Canada-Alberta Environmentally Sustainable Agriculture (CAESA) Agreement action plan. Therefore this mapping project aims to better define the salinity problem for the M.D. of Cypress.

The project's goal is achieved through the following objectives:

- 1. To derive and integrate existing salinity information for agricultural land in the M.D. of Cypress.
- 2. To determine the salinity type based on the salinity mechanism.
- 3. To recommend appropriate control methods for each type of salinity.
- 4. To compile a map depicting salinity location, extent and type.

The project differs from most salinity surveys by specifying the type, exact locations and control measures. This information can be used in municipal and farm conservation planning.

1.2 Methodology

The methodology for mapping salinity was developed for the County of Vulcan by Kwiatkowski et al. (1994). It is being applied to other municipalities where soil salinity is a concern. The process of salinity mapping consists of four stages:

- 1. Scan aerial photographs and outline saline areas on a municipal base map. Refine the map using satellite imagery and other information sources.
- 2. Determine the types of salinity occurring in the municipality, based on hydrogeology, surface water flow, geology, topography, irrigation and soils. Determine appropriate cost-effective, practical control measures based on salinity types.
- 3. Field check the salinity data and submit the draft salinity information to a technical team consisting of a hydrogeologist, salinity specialist, and the local Agricultural Fieldman and District Specialist for review.
- 4. Prepare a colour-coded 1:100 000 map, showing salinity location, extent and type, and an accompanying report.

1.3 Information Sources

A variety of maps, aerial photographs and other information sources were used for this project.

Information on climate, soils, parent material and geology was taken from three main soil survey reports:

- 1. Soil Survey of Medicine Hat Sheet (Wyatt et al. 1926)
- 2. Soil Survey of Eastern Portion of St. Mary and Milk Rivers Development Irrigation Project (Bowser et al. 1963)
- 3. Soil Survey of Milk River Sheet (Wyatt et al. 1941)

The Saline/Waterlogged Lands Map from the St. Mary River Irrigation District (1990) provided information on salinity in two categories: moderately and severely affected areas.

Aerial photographs from 1986 (scale of 1:15 000) were used to help determine the location, extent and type of salinity on a section-by-section basis. As well, satellite imagery was used, particularly to identify irrigated lands.

Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration (PFRA) Salinity Investigation Reports for nine sites throughout the M.D. were also valuable information sources (Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration various dates). These reports provided detailed data including information on drilling investigations and the severity and extent of salinity.

To ensure the accuracy of the salinity map, about one-third of the M.D. was field checked. Local personnel were also consulted to verify the findings.

2.0 Classification and Management of Saline Seeps

2.1 Transportation of Salts

The dominant salts in the M.D. of Cypress consist of sodium and magnesium sulphates. Analyses of groundwater, saline soils and parent material suggest that the primary source of salts is bedrock and the secondary source is glacial till (Greenlee et al. 1968). Soils developed on the Bearpaw bedrock formations contain relatively high salt levels.

Saline seeps form when saline groundwater rises to the ground surface. Contact and slope change seeps (described in Section 2.2.1) develop when water in a recharge area percolates down through the soil profile beyond the root zone and dissolves soluble salts (Figure 1). The water moves laterally to a lower position in the landscape and through capillarity rises to the surface, resulting in a saline seep. High evapotranspiration rates cause the capillary rise and the deposition of salts on the soil surface.




Figure 1. Generalised diagram of saline seep, recharge area, and the substrata formation that contributes to a saline seep (Brown et al. 1982)

Three different types of flow may be recognized within a groundwater basin: local, intermediate and regional. A local flow system occupies a relatively small area with the recharge area at a higher elevation than the discharge area. An intermediate system consists of several interconnected local systems. A regional system has its recharge area at the water divide of a basin while the discharge area lies at the bottom of the basin. In the M.D. of Cypress, most of the groundwater flow systems are local, and the recharge areas are within a few hundred metres of their discharge area. Intermediate flow systems extend beyond 1 km (0.6 miles). Regional flow systems extend over several kilometres.

Groundwater movement is influenced by topography as follows:

- In large, flat areas, groundwater movement is minimal or even impeded.
- In areas with well-defined local relief (e.g. hummocky or rolling landscapes), local systems are prevalent.
- In areas with one large slope, regional systems are prevalent.
- In large valleys, regional systems predominate.

2.2 Salinity Types

Based on hydrogeology, surface water flow, geology, topography, irrigation and soils, eight types of salinity are recognized within the M.D. of Cypress. The eight types can be grouped into six dryland (rainfed land) and two irrigation types as follows.

2.2.1 Dryland Salinity Types

1. Contact/Slope Change Salinity

Contact salinity and slope change salinity are grouped together because they cannot be differentiated on aerial photographs and because the same methods are used to control both types (see Section 2.3). The two types are described as follows:

a. Contact salinity occurs where a permeable water-bearing surface layer, lying on top of a non/less permeable layer (such as a fine textured layer), thins out. This forces the groundwater flow closer to the surface (Figure 2). In the M.D. of Cypress, contact salinity dominates in sandy, gently rolling areas, mostly in the M.D.'s central (Medicine Hat and Irvine area) and northeastern (Schuler and Hilda area) portions. Contact salinity also occurs at the shoulders of coulees or as seeps not associated with low lying areas scattered throughout the M.D.




Figure 2. Contact salinity

b. Slope change salinity occurs where the slope decreases. This decrease results in a slowing of the groundwater flow and a shallower water table (Figure 3). This type of seep expands upslope. It occurs throughout the M.D. of Cypress.




Figure 3. Slope change salinity

2. Outcrop Salinity

Outcrop salinity occurs where a permeable, water-bearing layer, such as a coal seam or fractured bedrock layer, outcrops at or near the surface (Figure 4). Outcrop salinity occurs along a slope at similar elevations. In the M.D. of Cypress, a large area of outcrop salinity occurs in the Grand Forks area.




Figure 4. Outcrop salinity

3. Artesian Salinity

Artesian salinity occurs where water from a pressurized aquifer rises to or near the ground surface (Figure 5). It is usually associated with intermediate or regional groundwater flow systems. If the pressure is large enough, the water flows to the surface and produces a flowing well, spring or soap hole. Artesian seeps can be identified from the presence of these flow features and from hydrogeological maps.




Figure 5. Artesian salinity

4. Depression Bottom Salinity

Depression bottom salinity occurs in low lying areas. Surface water is trapped temporarily in these low lying areas until the water drains off and/or infiltrates the soil. The water in the soil flows upslope through the upper soil in an unsaturated state and then surfaces to evaporate and deposit salt at the edge of the ponded area (Figure 6). Once the surface water has disappeared, groundwater from the water table rises by capillary action to the surface in and around the previously ponded area. Depression bottom seeps are well defined with distinct, rounded edges. In the M.D. of Cypress, these seeps are very common. They occur in several landscapes with poor drainage, particularly in rolling and hummocky areas. They are also associated with Solonetzic soils which occur throughout the M.D.




Figure 6. Depression bottom salinity

5. Coulee Bottom Salinity

Coulee bottom salinity forms in the bottoms of coulees and watercourses by the same mechanism as depression bottom salinity but on a larger scale. It occurs in the Seven Persons coulee and some coulees in the south and northeastern part of the M.D.

6. Slough Ring Salinity

This type of salinity occurs as a ring of salt immediately adjacent to a permanent water body (Figure 7). Water infiltrates from the water body into the permeable upper soil layer and flows upslope as shallow groundwater in an unsaturated state through this layer. The water may also flow downward, raising the water table. Water from the unsaturated lateral flow and from capillary rise from the water table emerges at the surface where it evaporates, leaving salts at the edge of the slough.




Figure 7. Slough ring salinity

2.2.2 Irrigation Salinity Types

1. Canal Seepage Salinity

This type of seep is dominant in irrigated areas where leakage from canals contributes to seeps (Figure 8).




Figure 8. Canal seepage salinity

2. Natural/Irrigation Salinity

These seeps result from one or more of: natural seepage, canal seepage and excess irrigation. All seeps located on irrigated land and some distance from canals and supply ditches are given this classification.

2.3 Salinity Controls

Salinity is a complex problem caused by climatic, hydrogeological and agricultural factors. The possibilities of moderating the effects of climate and hydrogeological processes are limited and/or expensive. Therefore appropriate agricultural practices are used to help prevent or control saline seeps. The emphasis in this report is on cost-effective, agronomic measures. Specifically, cropping systems are recommended that intercept the available soil water in a recharge area before the water moves below the crop root zone.

Recommended control methods for the types of salinity found in the M.D. of Cypress are summarized in Table 1 and described in more detail in Sections 2.3.1 to 2.3.7.

2.3.1 Biological Controls

2.3.1.1 Flexible Cropping

In *flexible cropping*, fields are seeded if stored soil moisture and rainfall probabilities are favourable for satisfactory crop yields, and fallowed only if yield prospects are unfavourable (Jackson and Krall 1978). Flexible cropping involves careful management and planning; it is often simpler to use continuous cropping.

Snow trapping may increase stored soil moisture for recropping. Techniques to trap and manage snow include:

- tall stubble/alternate height stubble Leaving tall stubble or strips of stubble at different heights increases stored soil moisture (Nicholaichuk 1979).
- *shelterbelts* The ability of shelterbelts to trap snow can be manipulated by such practices as tree pruning and species selection.

2.3.1.2 Deep-Rooted Crops

Deep-rooted crops prevent the buildup of groundwater, lower the water table, dry out the subsoil and restore the water storage capacity of the soil (Brown et al. 1982). The most commonly grown deep-rooted dryland crop is alfalfa. It roots up to 6 m (20 ft) in four to five years and uses more than 760 mm (30 in.) of water per year. Perennial deep-rooted crops also increase soil organic matter content, improve soil structure and reduce soil erosion.

Table 1. Salinity types and control methods in the M.D. of Cypress

Salinity Type	Control
contact/slope change salinity	 salt-tolerant grasses in saline area and alfalfa in upslope recharge area (recharge area may be about three times area of seep)
2. outcrop salinity	- salt-tolerant grasses in saline area
3. artesian salinity	 salt-tolerant grasses in saline area where applicable, install relief wells connected to suitable outlet
4. depression bottom salinity	 salt-tolerant grasses in saline area and along edge of depression in band 50 to 150 m (165 to 490 ft) wide appropriate structural controls
5. coulee bottom salinity	salt-tolerant grasses in saline areaappropriate structural controls
6. slough ring salinity	 deep-rooted and salt-tolerant grasses in a 20 to 60 m (65 to 195 ft) band around slough appropriate structural controls
7. irrigation canal seepage salinity	 structural controls to prevent canal seepage (canal lining, cut-off curtains) and/or subsurface drainage of affected area
8. natural/irrigation salinity	 appropriate structural controls for irrigation-related salinity salt-tolerant grasses for natural salinity

Alfalfa should be seeded into a firm, moist seed bed as shallowly as possible at a rate of about 7 kg/ha (6.2 lbs/ac). It can be seeded using a conventional seeder. Hoe drills often give the most effective results because of good depth control and packing capability. Disk drills work best if the seed bed is uniform and moderately firm. However, in loose soil, disk drills may place the seed too deeply, and in very firm soil, they may leave the seed on the soil surface. Both conditions result in poor germination.

Recharge areas identified during a salinity investigation should be seeded to alfalfa. On average, recharge areas are about three times larger than their saline seep. The best time for seeding alfalfa is early spring. Alfalfa should be seeded without any companion crops because competition will deter establishment of the alfalfa stand.

Alfalfa has the ability to use atmospheric nitrogen through a symbiotic relationship with rhizobia nodule bacteria. Therefore, alfalfa inoculated with rhizobia will require less nitrogen fertilizer. Phosphorus, potassium and sulphur are important nutrients for optimum production. Alfalfa requires 5 kg of phosphorus per tonne of yield (10 lbs per ton of yield). This nutrient is very immobile in the soil and so application prior to seeding is highly recommended.

When alfalfa is seeded in a recharge area, it usually takes about five years to lower the water table in the associated saline seep. Once the water table is lowered to an acceptable level, the recharge area may be converted to cereal crops for a few years. The best approach is usually to establish a rotation of five years of alfalfa followed by three years of cereal crops. The cereals should be continuously cropped.

2.3.1.3 Salt-Tolerant Crops

Saline areas should not be left bare for extended periods. Very saline soils should be seeded to a mixture of salt-tolerant forage crops (Table 2). Saline areas are often wet, so crops may need to be tolerant of both salt and excess moisture. When electrical conductivity measurements exceed 8 to 10 mS/cm, salt-tolerant seed mixtures usually give the best results.

Establishing deep-rooted vegetation on a saline seep can be very difficult. Salt-tolerant grasses can be seeded in the fall when the saline seeps are dry and accessible. Seeding rates for saline seeps, especially when planted in the fall, should be double those for non-saline areas.

2.3.2 Structural Controls

2.3.2.1 Surface Drainage

Surface drainage of recharge areas and/or discharge areas can be used to control seeps (VanderPluym 1982). An open, shallow trench is normally used; deep trenches will obstruct farming operations. Trenches can be constructed with farm or contractor's equipment at a reasonable cost.

Grassed waterways are often used to drain excess surface water from recharge areas. Typical grassed waterways are broad, shallow channels with shallow slopes that carry water at slow speeds, preventing soil erosion. Grassed waterways ideally have channel slopes of less than 1% and side slopes of less than 25%. The channel should be at least 15 cm (5.9 in.) deep and 5 m (16 ft) wide. The grass should extend at least 5 m (16 ft) on both sides of the channel. A commonly used forage mix for grassed waterways is:

- brome or pubescent wheatgrass -

- creeping red fescue

- crested wheatgrass

- alfalfa

- fall rye

- 10 kg/ha (9 lbs/ac), plus

- 5 kg/ha (4.5 lbs/ac), plus

- 5 kg/ha (4.5 lbs/ac), plus

- 10 kg/ha (9 lbs/ac), plus

- 1 kg/ha (0.9 lbs/ac)

Table 2. Forage crops for saline soils and flooded areas (Henry et al. 1987)

Salinity Rating*	Forage Mix	Seeding Rate for Hay or Pasture (kg/ha)
	a. Soils with Little or No Spring Flooding (up to 2 wee	ks)
Slight to Moderate (2-6 dS/m)	bromegrass + Russian wild ryegrass + alfalfa (Rambler) bromegrass + slender wheatgrass + alfalfa (Rambler) Russian wild ryegrass + alfalfa altai wild ryegrass + alfalfa crested wheatgrass + alfalfa altai wild ryegrass slender wheatgrass + sweet clover (short-term stands and not over 1 week of flooding)	4+4+4 4+4+4 6+3 10+3 7+3 11
Severe (6-10 dS/m)	bromegrass + Russian wild ryegrass + slender wheatgrass altai wild ryegrass + alfalfa altai wild ryegrass tall wheatgrass (moist districts or seepage areas)	4+4+4 10+3 11 12
Very Severe (10-15 dS/m)	Russian wild ryegrass + slender wheatgrass altai wild ryegrass + alfalfa altai wild ryegrass tall wheatgrass (moist districts or seepage areas)	4+4 10+3 10 12
	b. Soils with Spring Flooding (2 to 5 weeks)	
Little or No (0-2 dS/m)	reed canarygrass + bromegrass reed canarygrass + timothy timothy + bromegrass altai wild ryegrass + alfalfa altai wild ryegrass	4+6 4+4 4+6 10+3
Slight to Moderate (2-6 dS/m)	reed canarygrass + bromegrass reed canarygrass + bromegrass + slender wheatgrass altai wild ryegrass + alfalfa altai wild ryegrass	4+6 4+6+6 10+3 11
Severe to Very Severe (6-15 dS/m)	altai wild ryegrass + alfalfa slender wheatgrass altai wild ryegrass tall wheatgrass	10+3 8 11 12

^{*} Electrical conductivity based on saturated paste

2.3.2.2 Subsurface Drainage

Although subsurface drainage has been used widely on irrigated lands and is considered to be an important control measure for irrigation salinity and waterlogging, its use for dryland saline seep control is much less common. Shallow groundwater in permeable material may be drained with tile drainage upslope from the seeps. If the water is of good quality, it could be stored and used for stock water.

2.3.2.3 Relief Wells

Soap holes or springs may be effectively controlled using relief wells. The wells should be completed in the pressurized water-bearing layer. The wells may flow free and could be connected to a buried pipe 1 to 2 m (3.3 to 6.6 ft) deep. If the water is of good quality, it could be used for domestic or livestock purposes.

3.0 Salinity Distribution

The statistical analysis in this section describes the number and size of saline seeps for all types of salinity and for contact/slope change salinity in the M.D. of Cypress. These two examples indicate the general tendencies for the other salinity types.

The M.D. has 2 656 saline seeps which occupy a total of 6 765 ha (16 724 ac). Table 3 presents the number and area of saline seeps for each of the eight salinity types. Depression bottom salinity is the most common type (35.0% of the saline land), followed by coulee bottom salinity (33.7%), irrigation salinity (10.8%), contact/slope change salinity (9.9%), natural/irrigation salinity (4.2%), slough ring salinity (4.1%), artesian salinity (2.2%), and outcrop salinity (0.1%).

Figure 9 shows the frequency of different size ranges for all saline seeps. The seep areas vary from about 100 m² to 330 ha (815 ac). Most of the seeps (2 081 seeps or 78% of total number) are between 0 and 1.9 ha (0 to 4.7 ac). Only one seep is greater than 180 ha (445 ac). It is in Seven Persons coulee and occupies 330 ha (815 ac).

The areas for the contact/slope change saline seeps show a similar distribution (Figure 10). Of the 549 contact/slope change seeps, 483 (88%) are between 0 and 1.99 ha (0 and 4.7 ac).

The typical measures to control contact/slope change salinity are to grow salt-tolerant grasses in the saline area and alfalfa in the recharge area. On average, recharge areas are about three times the size of their saline area. Thus the areal extent of contact/slope change saline seeps can be used to determine the area which should be seeded to salt-tolerant grasses, and, when multiplied by three, as a general guide to the number of hectares which should be converted to alfalfa.

The other types of salinity have similar distributions of seep areas. In general, most saline seeps are between 0 and 1.9 ha. The only exceptions are coulee bottom saline seeps and some depression bottom seeps.

Table 3. Salinity distribution by type in the M.D. of Cypress

Salinity Type	No. of Seeps	Area		Percent of Total Saline Area
		(ac)	(ha)	
contact/slope change salinity	549	1 675.22	675.19	9.9
2. outcrop salinity	21	13.01	5.26	0.1
3. artesian salinity	27	356.27	144.18	2.2
depression bottom salinity	1 071	5 847.16	3 366.31	35.0
5. coulee bottom salinity	266	5 621.48	2 274.98	33.7
6. slough ring salinity	153	700.02	283.29	4.1
7. irrigation canal seepage salinity	402	1 797.22	727.32	10.8
8. natural/irrigation salinity	167	713.21	288.63	4.2
Total	2 656	16 723.59	6 765.16	100.0

Figure 9. Number and Size of Saline Seeps in the M.D. of Cypress





Figure 10. Number and Size of Contact/Slope Change Saline Seeps in the M.D. of Cypress



4.0 References

Agriculture Canada. 1976. Glossary of terms in soil science. Agriculture Canada, Research Branch, Ottawa. Publication 1459, revised. 44 pp.

Bowser, W.E., Peters, T.W., and Kjearsgaard, A.A. 1963. Soil survey of eastern portion of St. Mary and Milk Rivers development irrigation project. University of Alberta, Edmonton.

Brown, P.L., Halvorson, A.D., Siddoway, F.H., Mayland, H.F. and Miller, M.R. 1982. Saline seep diagnosis, control, and reclamation. United States Department of Agriculture, Conservation Research Report No. 30. 22 pp.

Greenlee, G.M., Pawluk, S. and Bowser, W.E. 1968. Occurrence of soil salinity in the dry lands of southern Alberta. Canadian Journal of Soil Science. 48: 65-75.

Jackson, G.D. and Krall, J.L. 1978. The flexible method of recropping. Pages 7-23 *in* Dryland Saline Seep Control. Proceedings of the Meeting of the Subcommission on Salt-Affected Soils at the 11th International Soil Science Society Congress, Edmonton.

Kwiatkowski, J., Marciak, L.C, Wentz, D., and VanderPluym, H. 1994. Salinity mapping by landscape, County of Vulcan, Alberta. Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development and Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Edmonton.

Nicholaichuk, W. 1979. Snow management. Agriculture Canada, Research Station Swift Current Weekly Letter. No. 45.

Henry, L., Harron, B., and Flaten, D. 1987. The nature and management of salt-affected land in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Agriculture. Agdex 518. 23 pp.

Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration. various dates. Salinity investigation reports. Reports cover nine sites in the M.D. of Cypress and were prepared in the 1980s and 1990s.

St. Mary River Irrigation District. 1990. Saline/waterlogged lands map. Alberta Agriculture, Irrigation and Resource Management Division, Land Evaluation and Reclamation Branch.

VanderPluym, H. 1982. Salinity in western Canada. Pages 9-23 *in* First Annual Western Provincial Conference of Water and Soil Research and Management, Soil Salinity. Lethbridge.

VanderPluym, H. and Harron, B. 1992. Dryland salinity investigation procedures manual. Alberta Agriculture, Conservation and Development Branch, and Agriculture Canada, Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration.

Wyatt, F.A., and Newton, J.D. 1926. Soil survey of Medicine Hat sheet. University of Alberta, Edmonton. Bulletin No. 14.

Wyatt, F.A., Newton, J.A., Bowser, W.E. and Odynsky, W. 1941. Soil survey of Milk River sheet. University of Alberta, College of Agriculture, Edmonton.

Appendix: Glossary of Terms

Aquifer - A body of earth material capable of transmitting water through its pores at a rate sufficient for water supply purposes. (VanderPluym and Harron 1992)

Artesian groundwater - Groundwater confined under an aquiclude or an aquifuge, so that water rises above the base of the aquiclude or aquifuge in a non-pumping well which penetrates it. (VanderPluym and Harron 1992)

Bedrock - The solid rock that underlies the soil and regolith or that is exposed at the surface. (Agriculture Canada 1976)

Capillarity - 1) The degree to which a material or an object containing minute openings or passages, when immersed in a liquid, will draw the surface of the liquid above the hydrostatic level. Unless otherwise defined, the liquid is generally assumed to be water. 2) The phenomenon by which water is held in interstices above the normal hydrostatic level, due to attraction of the molecules in the walls of an interstice for the molecules of the water and the attraction of the water molecules for one another. (VanderPluym and Harron 1992)

Drain - 1) To provide channels, such as open ditches or buried pipes, so excess water can be removed. 2) To lose water from the soil by percolation. (Agriculture Canada 1976)

Electrical conductivity - A method of expressing salinity. An electrical conductivity (EC) measurement can be used to determine the salt content of soil in a saturated soil paste extract. The EC value is usually expressed in deciSiemens/metre (dS/m). For example, topsoil with an EC value of 2 dS/m is considered non-saline; topsoil with an EC value of 16 is very saline.

Flex cropping - Cropping according to spring soil moisture conditions. That is, seeding when the spring soil moisture is adequate.

Groundwater - 1) Water that is passing through or standing in the soil and the underlying strata. It is free to move by gravity. (Agriculture Canada 1976). 2) Water in the ground that is in the zone of saturation, from which wells, springs and groundwater runoff are supplied. (VanderPluym and Harron 1992)

Parent material - The unconsolidated and more or less chemically weathered mineral or organic matter from which the solum of a soil has developed by pedogenic processes. (Agriculture Canada 1976)

Permeability, soil - 1) The ease with which gases and liquids penetrate or pass through a bulk mass of soil or a layer of soil. Because different soil horizons vary in permeability, the specific horizon should be designated. 2) The property of a porous medium that relates to the ease with which gases or liquids can pass through it. (Agriculture Canada 1976)

Saline soil - A non-sodic soil containing enough soluble salts to interfere with the growth of most crop plants. The conductivity of the saturation extract is greater than 4 dS/m (at 25°C), the exchangeable sodium percentage is less than 15, and the pH is usually less than 8.5. (Agriculture Canada 1976)

Seepage - 1) The escape of water downward through the soil. (Agriculture Canada 1976).

2) The emergence of water from the soil along an extensive line, in contrast to a spring where water emerges from a local spot. (Agriculture Canada 1976). 3) The slow movement of water through small cracks, pores, interstices, etc. of a material into or out of a body of surface or subsurface water (VanderPluym and Harron 1992). 4) The loss of water by infiltration from a canal, reservoir or other body of water, or from a field. (VanderPluym and Harron 1992)

Till - Unstratified sediment deposited directly by a glacier and consisting of clay, sand, gravel and boulders intermingled in any proportion. (Agriculture Canada 1976)

For further information, please visit www.agric.gov.ab.ca