

Copy # _____
Submitted to: _____

INDEPENDENT REVIEW

of

CONTRACTED (INSURED) SURGICAL SERVICES

“Supplemental Report”
(Oral Surgery)

Alberta Health and Wellness

Proposal Assessment Process
(Stage 3.2.2)

Independent Third Party

John F. Stockton

Vancouver, B.C.
Canada

(604) 230-2254

This report is the sole and confidential property of Alberta Health & Wellness. It is not to be distributed or replicated without their expressed permission. The information contained within this report, while drawn from sources believed to be reliable, is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness.

THE DATE OF THIS REPORT IS OCTOBER 31, 2000

3.15 ORAL SURGERY (CHA) (8 AGREEMENTS)

- WALTER DOBROVOLSKY PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- KEVIN .E. LUNG PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- E. CHRISTOPHER. ROBINSON PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- DARRELL ANDREW PAUL GOTAAS PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- THOMAS R. STEVENSON PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- E. WAYNE TUNIS PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- RANDALL W. KREUTZ PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- TERENCE K. VANKKA PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

The decision rationales of the oral surgery proposal have been independently reviewed, adjudicated and validated using best judgment against the proposal assessment criteria provided in the document: *Consensus and Recommendations of the Proposal Assessment Team (PAT)*.

Based on this information the general finding is the:

- PAT rationales are: **Confirmed**

Qualification(s) & Comment:

On balance, there is sufficient evidence to concur that the proposal assessment criteria have been met to determine an acceptable level of compliance with the legislated requirements contained in Section 8 (3) (a) to (g) of the Health Care Protection Act.

3.23 ORAL SURGERY (CRHA) (8 AGREEMENTS)

- WHITESTONE PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- DONALD I. WAKEHAM PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- DOUGLAS J. VINCELLI PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- FRANCIS SKULSKY PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- HEDD-WYN LLOYD WILLIAMS PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- BRIAN ABRAMS PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- JOHN W. CONLEY PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
- TERENCE A. SUMMERS, (PRIVATE OWNERSHIP)

The decision rationales of the oral surgery proposal have been independently reviewed, adjudicated and validated using best judgment against the proposal assessment criteria provided in the document: *Consensus and Recommendations of the Proposal Assessment Team (PAT)*.

Based on this information the general finding is the:

- PAT rationales are: **Confirmed**

Qualification(s) & Comment:

On balance, there is sufficient evidence to concur that the proposal assessment criteria have been met to determine an acceptable level of compliance with the legislated requirements contained in Section 8 (3) (a) to (g) of the Health Care Protection Act.