

Transport Transports Canada Canada TP 13751E (09/2005)

# AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE & MANUFACTURING

# **INSPECTION AND AUDIT (CHECKLISTS) MANUAL**

SECOND EDITION



Please direct your comments, orders and inquiries to:

**Transport Canada** Civil Aviation Communications Centre (AARC) Place de Ville Tower C, 5th Floor 330 Sparks Street Ottawa ON K1A 0N8

 Telephone:
 1 800 305-2059

 Fax:
 613 957-4208

 E-mail:
 services@tc.gc.ca

#### © Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Transport (2005).

Permission is granted by the Department of Transport, Canada, to copy and/or reproduce the contents of this publication in whole or in part provided that full acknowledgment is given to the Department of Transport, Canada, and that the material be accurately reproduced. While use of this material has been authorized, the Department of Transport, Canada, shall not be responsible for the manner in which the information is presented, nor for any interpretations thereof.

The information in this copy of this publication may not be updated to reflect amendments made to original content. For up-to-date information contact the Transport Canada address above.

ISBN: 0-662-42193-0

TP 13751E Second Edition (09/2005)

TC-1001654

Catalogue No. T52-4/15-2005E

FORE	EWORI	D	I
RECO	ORD O	F AMENDMENTS	II
CHA	PTER	1 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND APPLICABILITY	1
1.1	PURP	OSE	1
1.2	APPL	ICABILITY	1
1.3	REVIS	SIONS TO THIS MANUAL	1
CHA	PTER	2 INSPECTION AND AUDIT POLICY / PROCEDURES	2
2.1	PURP	OSE	3
2.2		M - SPECIALTY / ELEMENT AREAS	
	2.2.1	GENERAL	3
2.3	INSPE	ECTIONS	3
2.4	AUDI	TS	3
	2.4.1	CLASSIFICATION	3
	2.4.2	COMBINED AUDITS	
2.5	2.4.3	SPECIALTY AUDIT T PLANNING	
2.3		GENERAL	
	2.5.1 2.5.3	GENERAL	
	2.5.4	NOTIFICATION - AUDITEE	
2.6	AUDI	T PLAN	5
2.7	PRE-A	AUDIT TEAM MEETING	5
2.8	ENTR	Y MEETING NOTES	5
2.9	FIND	INGS OF NON-CONFORMANCE	6
2.10	EXIT	MEETING NOTES	6
2.11	AUDI	T REPORT	6
2.12	AUDI	T REPORT REVIEW COMMITTEE	6
2.13	PARA	LLEL FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS	6
2.14	CORF	RECTIVE ACTION TRACKING	6

CHA	APTER 3 GUIDA	ANCE MATERIAL - APPENDICES	7
3.1	PURPOSE		7
	Appendix 1	Sample Appointment Memorandum - Audit Manager	8
	Appendix 2	Sample Appointment Memorandum - Team Leader	10
	Appendix 3	Sample Appointment Memorandum - Team Member	12
	Appendix 4	Notification Letter to Auditee	13
	Appendix 5	Audit Plan	14
	Appendix 6	Pre-audit Team Meeting Agenda	27
	Appendix 7	Entry Meeting Agenda	29
	Appendix 8	Exit Meeting Agenda	31
	Appendix 9	Audit Report Cover Letter	32
	Appendix 10	Audit Report	33
	Appendix 11	Corrective Action Tracking Form	41
	Appendix 12	Audit Administrative Requirements	42
CHA	APTER 4 CHEC	KLISTS	
4.1	PURPOSE		44
4.2	APPLICABILITY.		44
4.3	SPECIALTY ARE	A CHECKLISTS	44
4.4	CHECKLISTS		45
	4.4.1 GENERAL		45
		ECKLISTS	
	4.4.3 CHECKLIST	AMENDMENT	45
	INSPECTION AND A	UDIT CHECKLISTS	46

# FOREWORD

This manual contains the policies and procedures that are specific to the conduct of inspections and audits within the Aircraft Maintenance & Manufacturing Branch.

The material in this manual supplements the policy and procedures provided in the Inspection and Audit Manual (TP 8606).

Inspections and audits are key components in the Aircraft Maintenance & Manufacturing regulatory oversight program. To ensure consistency and fairness in carrying out tasks as AM&M Inspectors, it is imperative that the policies and procedures specified herein be followed.

D.B. Sherritt Director Aircraft Maintenance & Manufacturing

# **RECORD OF AMENDMENTS**

2nd Edition - September 2005 \*

Amendment No.	Date			Pages Affected	Date Entered			Initials

\* Replaces 1st Edition dated March 2001

# CHAPTER 1 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND APPLICABILITY

# 1.1 PURPOSE

Aircraft Maintenance & Manufacturing (AM&M) inspection and audit activities confirm for TCCA that a Canadian aviation document holder is conducting their business in compliance with regulatory requirements.

A company receives its approval on the basis that the program, submitted for TCCA approval, meets regulatory requirements. For the program to receive TCCA approval, company control manuals (i.e., Maintenance Policy Manual, Maintenance Control Manual, Quality Procedures Manual, etc.) must clearly explain how the organization intends to meet the requirements of the standards and regulations under which the company will be operating. The manuals are reviewed to ensure that the means of achieving compliance with regulatory requirements is referenced and documented by process.

Once approved, the company control manuals form important standards to which the organization will be evaluated. If for any reason procedures or processes specified in a manual are inadequate or are not being complied with by company personnel, such deficiencies must be brought to the attention of the company for rectification. Moreover, non-compliance with other regulatory requirements must also be brought to the attention of the company. Where a non-conformance is identified during an inspection or audit, a finding will be assigned citing examples of the deficiencies. Corrective action and follow-up will be conducted in accordance with the process specified in the Inspection and Audit Manual (IAM).

Checklists, forms and material presented or referred to in this manual are designed to provide inspectors/auditors with guidance information to accurately assess an organization's level of conformance with regulatory requirements. Checklists may be general in nature or refer to more specialized checklists, forms or other guidance material. Inspectors/Auditors may supplement these checklists with information contained in other authoritative publications as required.

# **1.2 APPLICABILITY**

The policies, procedures, checklists, forms and guidance material contained or referred to in this manual apply to the conduct of inspections and audits on the following:

- (a) air operators operating pursuant to Canadian Aviation Regulations, Part VII;
- (b) maintenance organizations pursuant to Canadian Aviation Regulations, Part V;
- (c) flight training units pursuant to Canadian Aviation Regulations, Part IV;
- (d) training organizations pursuant to Canadian Aviation Regulations, Part IV;
- (e) manufacturing organizations pursuant to Canadian Aviation Regulations, Part V;
- (f) private operators operating pursuant to Canadian Aviation Regulations, Part VI.

# **1.3 REVISIONS TO THIS MANUAL**

The AM&M Inspection and Audit (Checklists) Manual will be subject to on-going review and revision. Persons identifying errors or omissions, or those wishing to make recommendations for change, are asked to forward their observations to the Director, Aircraft Maintenance & Manufacturing (AARP).

# CHAPTER 2 INSPECTION AND AUDIT POLICY / PROCEDURES

# 2.1 PURPOSE

This chapter provides more specific policy and additional procedures that pertain to inspection and audit activities. Its purpose is to ensure that inspection and audit activities are conducted in a standardized manner thus providing a level playing field for those organizations for which AM&M inspectors hold oversight (surveillance, inspection and audit) responsibility.

Where applicable, material presented in this manual is intended to supplement that provided in the Inspection and Audit Manual (IAM); where any conflict exists between information provided in this document and that set out in the IAM, the IAM will take precedence.

Persons who identify such conflicting material are requested to bring this to the attention of the Director, Aircraft Maintenance & Manufacturing (AARP).

# 2.2 AM&M - SPECIALTY / ELEMENT AREAS

## 2.2.1 GENERAL

Aircraft Maintenance & Manufacturing has six (6) main specialty areas:

- (a) air operator maintenance requirements;
- (b) approved maintenance organizations;
- (c) flight training unit maintenance requirements;
- (d) approved manufacturing organizations;
- (e) approved training organizations;
- (f) private operator maintenance requirements.

Each specialty area is further broken into its systematic elements. Approaching the organization by systematic element permits an organized, more focused approach to the activity, which will aid in the organization's overall assessment.

The category, type and class of inspection or audit will determine which of the element areas that are to be inspected and which checklists and forms are applicable. The "element" area checklists and their use are discussed in more detail in Chapter 4.

# 2.3 INSPECTIONS

(To be added)

# 2.4 AUDITS

## 2.4.1 CLASSIFICATION

The two classes of Audits that apply to AM&M function:

- (a) Combined, large and small;
- (b) Specialty;

## 2.4.2 COMBINED AUDITS

For an air operator audit to be effective, a complete review of a company's operation and maintenance systems is normally conducted as a combined audit (i.e., jointly by Commercial & Business Aviation and AM&M). A combined audit should be the norm for air operators of any size and complexity, the process should address both operations and maintenance control system requirements. Combined audits may also include approved engineering or training organizations where appropriate.

Where logistical problems exist, combined audits may be conducted in a concurrent manner. Specialty audits conducted concurrently may be an alternative method that provides the same benefit of a combined audit. This approach will permit functional groups to perform their audit activities independently and with the autonomy required to manage their activities efficiently. Not only does this approach provide more flexibility in scheduling, it also may reduce the burden of the company having to deal with a larger Transport Canada team.

Large combined audits include both national and specific regional audits suitable for:

- (a) a national airline (705) air operator;
- (b) a regional airline (705) air operator that has a mixed aircraft fleet with numerous aircraft types and a varied route structure; and
- (c) a commuter (704) or an air taxi (703) air operator that has a diverse (including IFR and NVFR) operation with numerous aircraft types and a varied route structure that includes international points.

Small combined audits include regional audits suitable for:

- (a) an airline (705) air operator that uses one or two aircraft;
- (b) a commuter (704) or an air taxi (703) air operator operating within a region;
- (c) a flight training unit (403) operating within a region; and
- (d) a private air operator (604).

## 2.4.3 SPECIALTY AUDIT

This is the most common audit, focusing on one type of organization under the Branch's functional area. A specialty audit will encompass most organizational elements appropriate to the scope of approval.

# 2.5 AUDIT PLANNING

## 2.5.1 GENERAL

The following should be considered when scheduling an audit:

- the feasibility of the audit dates and time-periods with consideration given to statutory / summer holidays, seasonal industry and departmental busy periods;
- the availability of qualified personnel to manage and conduct the audit;
- the sufficiency of time allotted for pre-audit activities; the physical audit (including time to prepare any audit findings) and preparation of the audit report;
- team member travel requirements to, from and during the audit and the availability of team lodgings;
- the need and availability of administrative support;
- the availability of the audit report review committee where applicable; and
- the resource requirements for the production and distribution of the audit report.

## 2.5.2 NOTIFICATION – AUDIT MANAGEMENT

To effectively plan and prepare for an audit it is imperative that audit managers and where applicable, team leaders, be provided with sufficient notification to familiarise themselves with the terms of reference. The convening authority is responsible to notify and appoint the audit manager; the audit manager will provide letters notification/appointment to the team leaders. Sample memos of appointment can be found in Appendix 1 and 2. Alternatively, other methods of notification for appointment of audit managers and team leaders may be used at the discretion of the convening authority, ie e-mail. Alternate notification methods must clearly communicate the applicable terms of reference.

## 2.5.3 NOTIFICATION / OBTAINING - TEAM MEMBERS

Obtaining team members is one of the more challenging tasks faced by an audit manager and where applicable, team leaders. Sufficient lead time or prior planning will aid this task by providing branch and division managers time to identify available personnel resources.

It is important that audit management have input towards identifying skill sets in fulfilling team requirements; it is equally important that branch and/or division managers are involved in the selection process from the out-set. Audit managers and team leaders are directed to contact the responsible manager prior to discussing the proposed audit with individual inspectors. In many cases it is expected that an audit manager or team leader will identify potential team members by name, however it must be recognized that the branch/division manager ultimately approves an individual to participate.

Assistance may be available to help identify potential candidates to enhance team selection by a central audit agency within the Region, or from the National Audit Program. Where regional procedures have been established to assist in the creation of audit teams, it is expected that these procedures will be followed.

Once selected, team members are to be provided with a memorandum of appointment by the audit manager or team leader. A sample appointment memo can be found in Appendix 3. Alternatively, other methods of notification and appointment of team members may be used at the discretion of the convening authority or audit manager/team leader, ie e-mail. Alternate notification methods must clearly communicate the applicable terms of reference.

## 2.5.4 NOTIFICATION - AUDITEE

IAM section 3.2.1 refers. The auditee notification is accomplished by letter that has been prepared by the audit manager and signed by the convening authority. Audit Managers should ensure that ample notice is provided to the auditee relative to the type of audit. A sample letter can be found in Appendix 4.

# 2.6 AUDIT PLAN

IAM section 3.2.3 refers. The audit manager will develop the audit plan with assistance provided by the team leader(s) where applicable.

In addition to the information required by the IAM, the audit plan should provide the following specific information on the company:

- (a) aircraft fleet information (types, models, numbers);
- (b) main bases, sub-bases and approved points of operation;
- (c) training facilities and simulators used; and
- (d) employee numbers and their location (base of operation).

Plans will vary considerably based on the category, type and class of audit. A sample plan for a large combined audit is provided in Appendix 5.

A simplified audit plan may be used where the auditee has 15 or fewer employees under the applicable Certificate. A simplified audit plan sample is incorporated into Appendix 5. Use of this simplified process will be at the discretion of the convening authority.

# 2.7 PRE-AUDIT TEAM MEETING

The pre-audit team meeting is important in that it informs audit team members of the expectations of the team leader and/or the audit manager. This meeting also provides an opportunity for team members to clear up any questions that they may have. A sample agenda is provided in Appendix 6.

# 2.8 ENTRY MEETING NOTES

The entry meeting is important in that it establishes communications between the auditee's management personnel and the audit team. Sample meeting notes are provided in Appendix 7.

# 2.9 FINDINGS OF NON-CONFORMANCE

IAM section 3.3.4 refers. Findings of non-conformance become the foundation of the audit report, it is therefore important that findings be completed in accordance with the directions of the IAM. In addition to information in Section 3.3.4.3 of the IAM, the "non-conformance to" section of the audit finding form shall be filled out in the following manner:

- (a) state the source of the regulatory requirement using its acronym (CAR, CAR Std., MCM, MPM, QPM, etc.); and
- (b) identify the alpha-numeric designation of the provision [i.e., 705.127(1)(c)].

A non-conformance using the above examples would be written as "non-conformance to: CAR 705.127(1)(c)".

# 2.10 EXIT MEETING NOTES

The exit meeting is conducted to ensure that the auditee's senior management has been fully apprised on the results of the audit. Except for small owner/operator type companies, all findings identified during the audit should have been fully debriefed with the company personnel prior to the exit meeting. The exit meeting is the opportunity to provide a summary of the audit and outline company responsibilities rising from any findings. Sample exit meeting notes can be found in Appendix 8.

Specialty audits of small companies may include a debriefing of findings. The challenge often encountered with this type of dual purpose meeting is to avoid debates over specific findings.

# 2.11 AUDIT REPORT

IAM section 3.4.1 refers. An audit report will be prepared for each audit in accordance with the requirements of the IAM. Sample reports can be found in Appendices 9 and 10.

A simplified audit report may be used where the auditee has 15 or fewer employees under the applicable Certificate. A simplified audit report sample is incorporated into Appendix 10. Use of this simplified reporting process will be at the discretion of the convening authority.

# 2.12 AUDIT REPORT REVIEW COMMITTEE

IAM section 3.5.7 refers. Where requested by the Convening Authority, appropriate AM&M personnel will participate in the Audit Report Review Committee.

# 2.13 PARALLEL FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS

IAM Chapter 5 refers. AM&M personnel will make parallel findings and observations in accordance with the IAM. Finding and observation forms will be forwarded to the convening authority upon completion of the audit.

# 2.14 CORRECTIVE ACTION TRACKING

IAM section 3.5.4 refers. The National Aviation Company Information System (NACIS) provides a means to track audit findings for follow up purposes and will be used for all Maintenance & Manufacturing audits. Corrective Action Tracking Forms have also been developed. A sample form can be found in Appendix 11.

# CHAPTER 3 GUIDANCE MATERIAL - APPENDICES

# 3.1 PURPOSE

Guidance Material in the form of appendices to this section has been developed to aid Inspectors to plan, conduct, report and conclude the audit process. This material relates to the combined audit process and is easily tailored to other activities such as specialty, special purpose audits or routine inspections.

## APPENDIX 1 SAMPLE APPOINTMENT MEMORANDUM - AUDIT MANAGER

*Note:* see Section 2.5.2 for the use of alternate notification methods.

Memora	ndum	Note De Service
		Security Classification de sécurité Unclassified
To À	T. Smith MAH	Our File - Notre référence PAX 5258-23456-13
From De	R. Jonson Autorité de convocation	<b>Your File - Votre reference</b> Date           April 26, 2000
	Acme Aero Limited Audit – Appo e been appointed audit manager for the Acme Aer conducted during the period June 5-23, 2000. The	o Limited routine conformance audit which
		-
unat cour	d affect the safe operation of the operator, includin	ng:
	d affect the safe operation of the operator, includin intenance and related AMO and air operator progr	
a) ma		
a) ma b) flig	intenance and related AMO and air operator progr	
a) ma b) flig c) cat	intenance and related AMO and air operator prograther programmer of the second se	
a) ma b) flig c) cał d) the	intenance and related AMO and air operator prograth operations; bin safety;	
a) ma b) flig c) cat d) the e) avi	intenance and related AMO and air operator progr ght operations; bin safety; e transportation of dangerous goods; and	
a) ma b) flig c) cał d) the e) avi Your terr	intenance and related AMO and air operator progr ght operations; pin safety; e transportation of dangerous goods; and fation occupational safety and health.	rams;
a) ma b) fliş c) cat d) the e) avi Your terr a) you b) you	intenance and related AMO and air operator progr ght operations; bin safety; e transportation of dangerous goods; and fation occupational safety and health. ms of reference are as follows:	rams; rr audit duties; nce with policy and procedures specified in
<ul> <li>a) ma</li> <li>b) flig</li> <li>c) cat</li> <li>d) the</li> <li>e) avi</li> <li>Your terr</li> <li>a) you</li> <li>b) you</li> <li>the</li> <li>c) you</li> </ul>	intenance and related AMO and air operator progr ght operations; bin safety; e transportation of dangerous goods; and fation occupational safety and health. ms of reference are as follows: a will report directly to me until released from you a will conduct all audit related matters in accordan	rams; ar audit duties; nce with policy and procedures specified in functional area control manuals;

d) you are authorized to communicate directly with HQ Directors and Regional Managers to obtain the required personnel resources. This may be sub-delegated to team leaders at your discretion;

e) a responsibility center number will be authorized for expenses incurred during the audit.

Please prepare for me by May 30, 2000, an audit plan which is to include your proposal for sites / bases to be inspected, travel plans and other applicable planning strategies. Include estimates for travel, accommodation and overtime expenses. I also ask that you to prepare a letter, for my signature, informing Acme Aero Limited of the proposed audit. At the conclusion of the audit a report shall be prepared for my approval and signature; the report is to be forwarded to the company within ten days of the completion of the audit. Copies of all travel and overtime claims (including travel advances) and other audit-related expenses shall be forwarded to {XXX/A}.

Thank you for accepting this additional responsibility; I look forward to working with you on this audit.

R. Jonson

**Convening** Authority

Page 2 of 2

## APPENDIX 2 SAMPLE APPOINTMENT MEMORANDUM - TEAM LEADER

*Note:* see Section 2.5.2 for the use of alternate notification methods.

Memora	ndum	Note De Service					
To À	F. Lalonde, Maintenance J. Reynolds, Opérations	Security Classification de sécurité Unclassified Our File - Notre référence AARX 5258-23456-13					
From De	T. Smith Gestionnaire de la vérification	Your File - Votre reference					
		Date May 5, 2000					
Subject Objet	Acme Aero Limited Audit – App	ointment as Team Leader					
conduct	**	Limited routine conformance audit, which is to be of the audit will include all activities that could affect					
a) ma		rams;					
		rams;					
b) flig	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog	rams;					
b) flig c) cat	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog	rams;					
b) flig c) cat d) the	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog th operations; bin safety;	rams;					
b) flig c) cat d) the e) avi	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog th operations; bin safety; transportation of dangerous goods; and	rams;					
b) flig c) cat d) the e) avi Your terr	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog ght operations; bin safety; transportation of dangerous goods; and ation occupational safety and health.						
b) flig c) cat d) the e) avi Your terr a) yo b) all	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog th operations; bin safety; transportation of dangerous goods; and ation occupational safety and health. ms of reference are as follows:	ur audit duties; ance with policy and procedures specified in the					
b) flig c) cat d) the e) avi Your terr a) yo b) all Ins c) yo	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog ght operations; bin safety; transportation of dangerous goods; and ation occupational safety and health. ns of reference are as follows: u will report directly to me until released from yo audit related matters will be conducted in accord spection and Audit Manual and the appropriate fu	ur audit duties; ance with policy and procedures specified in the					
b) flig c) cab d) the e) avi Your terr a) yo b) all Ins c) yo	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog ght operations; bin safety; transportation of dangerous goods; and ation occupational safety and health. ns of reference are as follows: u will report directly to me until released from yo audit related matters will be conducted in accord spection and Audit Manual and the appropriate fu u will immediately contact me with a recommend	ur audit duties; ance with policy and procedures specified in the nctional area control manuals;					
b) flig c) cab d) the e) avi d'our terr a) yo b) all Ins c) yo avi	intenance and related AMO and air operator prog ght operations; bin safety; transportation of dangerous goods; and ation occupational safety and health. ns of reference are as follows: u will report directly to me until released from yo audit related matters will be conducted in accord spection and Audit Manual and the appropriate fu u will immediately contact me with a recommend	ur audit duties; ance with policy and procedures specified in the nctional area control manuals;					

You are to develop an audit plan proposal for your assigned area by May 26, 2000. This plan should include the composition of the team, proposed travel and overtime expense estimates and a proposed schedule of your activities. Arrangements for accommodations, briefing rooms and administrative support while at Acme Aero Limited will be my responsibility.

An audit manager/team leader meeting will take place at the Minto Hotel (Room 1201) on June 5, 2000 at 19:00 hrs. This will be an initial strategy meeting for co-ordinating our audit plan and required resources. Please have a copy of your audit plan available for this meeting.

Thank you for accepting this additional responsibility, I look forward to this opportunity to work you.

T. Smith

Audit Manager

Page 2 of 2

## APPENDIX 3 SAMPLE APPOINTMENT MEMORANDUM - TEAM MEMBER

*Note:* see Section 2.5.2 for the use of alternate notification methods.

	andum	Note De Service
_		Security Classification de sécurité Unclassified
To À	F. Lalonde, Maintenance J. Reynolds, Opérations	Our File - Notre référence PAX 5258-23456-13
From De	T. Smith Gestionnaire de la vérification	Your File - Votre reference
		May 7, 2000
Subjec Objet		ntment as Team Member
	we been appointed team member for the Acme Aero L	
the safe	ed during the period June 5-23, 2000. The scope of th operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements;	e audit will include an activities that could affect
the safe a) ma	operation of the operator, including:	e audit will include an activities that could affect
the safe a) ma b) air	operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements;	
the safe a) ma b) air c) Co	operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements; operator programs;	
the safe a) ma b) air c) Co Your ter	operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements; operator programs; ompany aircraft	
the safe a) ma b) air c) Co Your ter a) you b) all	operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements; operator programs; ompany aircraft rms of reference are as follows:	eleased from your audit duties; with policy and procedures specified in the
the safe a) ma b) air c) Co Your ter a) you b) all Ins c) you	operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements; operator programs; ompany aircraft rms of reference are as follows: u will report to the audit manager, through me, until r audit related matters will be conducted in accordance	eleased from your audit duties; with policy and procedures specified in the onal area control manuals; and
the safe a) ma b) air c) Co Your ter a) you b) all Ins c) you im	operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements; operator programs; ompany aircraft rms of reference are as follows: u will report to the audit manager, through me, until r audit related matters will be conducted in accordance spection and Audit Manual and the appropriate function u will immediately contact me, or the audit manager,	eleased from your audit duties; with policy and procedures specified in the onal area control manuals; and with a recommendation for action in the event an
the safe a) ma b) air c) Co Your ter a) you b) all Ins c) you im A pre-at Thank y	operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements; operator programs; ompany aircraft rms of reference are as follows: u will report to the audit manager, through me, until r audit related matters will be conducted in accordance spection and Audit Manual and the appropriate function u will immediately contact me, or the audit manager, mediate threat to aviation safety is identified.	eleased from your audit duties; with policy and procedures specified in the onal area control manuals; and with a recommendation for action in the event an in Room 1201 of the Minto Hotel.
the safe a) ma b) air c) Co Your ter a) you b) all Ins c) you im A pre-au Thank y working <i>Team L</i>	operation of the operator, including: aintenance and related AMO requirements; operator programs; ompany aircraft rms of reference are as follows: u will report to the audit manager, through me, until re- audit related matters will be conducted in accordance spection and Audit Manual and the appropriate function u will immediately contact me, or the audit manager, mediate threat to aviation safety is identified. udit team meeting is scheduled for June 7 at 19:00 hrs you for accepting this additional responsibility as a tea g with you.	eleased from your audit duties; with policy and procedures specified in the onal area control manuals; and with a recommendation for action in the event an in Room 1201 of the Minto Hotel.

Toronto, Ontario	
M5F 7J9	
Registered	PAX 5258-23456-13
May 2, 2000	
Mr. I. Stravinski	
(add correct title), Acme Aero Limi	ited
Macdonald-Cartier International Ai	rport
Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5L6	
Dear Mr. Stravinski:	
	ne Aero Limited is scheduled for the period June 12-23, 2000. This audit cdonald-Cartier International Airport as well as the two sub-bases at orval) airports.
ensure that legislative requirements audit procedures will be used incluc review of your company's approved	duct an analysis of Acme Aero Limited's policies and procedures to are met and an acceptable level of aviation safety is maintained. Standard ling interviews with key personnel, aircraft and facility inspections, a l programs and manuals. Prior to the audit, you will receive details of our of audit team members and their areas of responsibility.
meeting is planned for 11:00 hrs on is convenient. The purpose of the er review the audit process and ensure	your management personnel at 09:00 hrs. on June 12th and an exit June 23rd. Both meetings will be held at your facilities in Ottawa if that htry meeting is to introduce the audit team to company management, that company personnel are familiar with Transport Canada's audit ies. The exit meeting will summarize the audit results and identify where applicable.
Should you require any further info Terry Smith, at (416) 952-0002.	rmation or clarification, please contact the audit manager, Inspector
Yours truly,	
S. Jonson	

## APPENDIX 5 AUDIT PLAN

*Note:* Items marked with an asterisk (\*) can be included or removed from the audit plan at the discretion of the convening authority, refer to Section 2.6 for applicable criteria.

#### **Objective and Scope**

A Regional Routine Conformance audit will be conducted on Acme Aero Limited during the period of June 5-23, 2000.

The scope of the audit will include all activities that could affect the safe operation of the operator, including:

- (a) maintenance and related AMO and air operator programs;
- (b) flight operations;
- (c) cabin safety;
- (d) the transportation of dangerous goods; and
- (e) aviation occupational safety and health.

The audit will cover the period from June 20, 1997, to the present.

#### Company - General \*

Acme Aero Limited is an aviation company formed in 1984. It offers both a scheduled and non-scheduled domestic air service from the main base at Macdonald-Cartier International Airport, with sub-bases in Toronto/Lester B. Pearson International Airport and Montreal International (Dorval). The operator also transports certain dangerous goods by air.

Acme Aero Limited operates one PA31 and two DA-20 aircraft from its main base, one HS-748 from each sub-base, plus one PA31 from the sub-base in Toronto. The HS-748s offer a scheduled service between Toronto and Windsor, Ontario and between Montreal and Val D'Or, Quebec. The PA31s operate on a charter basis and are available for med-evac under a standing offer with the Ministry of Health for the Province of Ontario. The DA20s have been added to the AOC since the previous audit in June 1997 and operate under contract to a major courier company.

Aircraft maintenance is performed in-house at all three bases. There is a full-time staff of eight Aircraft Maintenance Engineers, five apprentices and two technicians. The Aircraft Technical Records are kept at the main base in Ottawa.

The company has experienced steady growth and now employs over one hundred people. More detailed company information is provided in Appendix A.

#### Methodology \*

Standard audit procedures specified in the Inspection and Audit Manual will be used. Specialty guidance materials, including checklists, forms and other guidance documents will be used where recommended in the applicable functional area control manual. The random sampling method will be employed where possible with deviations approved by the appropriate team leader.

Where we determine through our review that everything appears to be in order, we complete the specialty area summary for that area and go on to the next specialty area that we are responsible for.

Where Acme Aero Limited appears not to be performing in accordance with the Aeronautics Act, the Canadian Aviation Regulations (CARs), associated CARs Standards, or an approved company control manual, they are said to be in non-conformance. The following steps will then be followed:

- (a) define the area of possible non-conformance;
- (b) retain any clearly defined evidence;
- (c) prepare CRF (if necessary) and present to Team Leader for vetting and discussion;
- (d) complete the finding form (including 3 examples if possible) and attach any evidence or supporting documentation that is collected;
- (e) complete the specialty area summary for the applicable area; and
- (f) pass all documentation (finding form, CRF, evidence/supporting documentation, specialty area summary) to the appropriate Team Leader.

#### **Communications** \*

Discussions pertaining to the audit shall take place at a location that assures confidentiality; this is especially true when discussions take place on Acme Aero Limited's premises. Do not discuss the audit with persons other than audit team members and please refer any company questions to the audit manager or team leader through the appropriate company representative. Discussions with TCCA personnel outside of the audit team may occur with the knowledge and approval of the team leader.

#### Specialist Assistance/Foreign Travel

Specialist assistance and foreign travel is not required for this audit.

#### Parallel Findings/Observations \*

*Note:* as indicated, this paragraph may be omitted from the audit plan, it does not remove the need to submit parallel findings/observations where necessary.

Detection of a TCCA non-conformance to a regulatory requirement, or a non-regulatory policy, procedure or guideline shall be identified on a parallel finding form. Where a team member identifies the possible need to revise a regulatory requirement or a non-regulatory policy, procedure or guideline, this shall be identified using the parallel observation form. Completed forms are to be submitted to the Audit Manager for review. The audit manager will then forward parallel findings and observations to the Convening Authority upon completion of the audit.

## **Budget-Proposed**

	]	Maintenance	Ops	Manager	Sub-Total
Travel Expenses	\$	16,532.36	\$ 16,181.79	\$ 6,653.66	\$ 39,367.82
Overtime	\$	4,024.06	\$ 3,586.04	\$ 1,946.94	\$ 9,557.04
10% Contingency		2055.64	1976.78	860.06	\$ 4,892.49
Sub-Total	\$	22,612.07	\$ 21,744.61	\$ 9,460.66	
Total					\$ 53,817.34

Details for the proposed budget are in Appendix B to this plan. Team members are responsible to ensure that the appropriate team leader approves deviations from budgeted amounts.

#### **Company Management**

Name	Title	Telephone No.
I. Stravinski	President, Acme Aero Limited	(613) 974-2300
J. Anderson	Director, Maintenance	(613) 974-2306
T. Baynes-Armstrong	Quality Assurance Manager	(613) 974-2307
B. Mathers	Director, Flight Operations	(613) 974-2301
N. Schaffer	Chief Pilot (HS748, PA31)	(613) 974-2302
F. Duquette	Chief Pilot (DA20)	(613-974-2402
M. Tellier	Chief, Dispatch	(613) 974-2304
S. Lavallee	Director, Inflight Services	(613) 974-2305
D. McIntyre	Manager, Dangerous Goods	(613) 974-2308
C. Roberts	Safety Officer	(613) 974-2303

## Audit Team

Position	Name	Region	Phone	Cell/Pager**
Convening Authority	R. Jonson	Ont	416-952-0001	416-987-6542
Audit Manager	T. Smith	Ont	416-952-0002	416-987-6543
Administration	S. Brown	Ont	416-952-0003	416-987-6544
Team Leader (Ops)	J. Reynolds	Ont	416-952-0004	416-987-1234
Flight Ops	K. McLean	Ont	416-952-0005	416-987-1235
Flight Ops	M. Michaels	Ont	416-952-0006	416-987-1236
Cabin Safety	V. Bruce	Ont	416-952-0007	416-987-1237
Dangerous Goods	P. Gagnon	Ont	416-952-0008	416-987-1238
AOSH	N. White	Ont	416-952-0009	416-987-1239
Team Leader (Maint)	F. Lalonde	Ont	416-952-0010	416-987-2345
Maintenance	D. Jacobson	Ont	416-952-0011	416-987-2346
Maintenance	W. Preston	Ont	416-952-0012	416-987-2347
Maintenance	S. Wallace	Ont	416-952-0013	416-987-2348
Maintenance	J. Black	Ont	416-952-0014	416-987-2349

\*\* Note: the addition of cell or pager numbers is recommended for team communications.

## Maintenance Requirements Audit Plan

Legend:	FL - F. Lalonde	DJ-D. Jacobson	WP-W. Preston	SW - S. Wallace	e JB	-J. Black	
				Pre-Audi	t - June 5-9	9, 2000	
			05	06	07	08	09
Travel			FL, DJ, S	W WP, JB			
AOC-01	Previous Transport C	anada Audit	FL, DJ, S	W WP, JB			
AOC-02	Maintenance Control	Manual		All			
AOC-03	Person Responsible for	or Mtce		SW			
	Aircraft File Review			All	All	All	All
AOC-04	Evaluation Program			FL, DJ	FL, DJ		
AMO-02	Maintenance Policy M	Aanual	FL, DJ, S	W WP, JB			
AMO-03	Person Responsible for	or Mtce		SW			
AMO-08	Quality System			FL, DJ	FL, DJ		

			Physical Audit - June 12-23, 2000								
		12	13	14	15	16	17/1	19	20	21	22
Admini	Administrative		Ongoing								
Entry N	All										
AOC-02	Maintenance Control Manual		FL*			•	On	going			I.
AOC-03	Person Responsible for Mtce			SW*							
AOC-04	Evaluation Program								DJ		
AOC-05	Technical Publications						DJ C	Ongoing			
AOC-06	Technical Records	FL,D									
AOC-07	Weight and Balance Control										
AOC-08	Mtce Development Programs	N.A.									
AOC-09	Reliability Monitoring Programs				JB/D	JB/D					
AOC-10	ТВА										
AOC-11	Maintenance Planning		WP	WP	WP	WP					
AOC-12	Defect Recording, Rectification Control					DJ					
AOC-13	Airworthiness Directive, SB's			WP							
AOC-14	Extended Range Ops ETOPS	N.A.									
AOC-15	Minimum Equipment List							JB			
AOC-16	Category II-III All Weather Ops	N.A.									
AOC-17	Technical Dispatch Procedures							DJ			
AOC-18	Flight Authorities - Test - Ferry					SW					
AOC-19	Maintenance Arrangements				WP						
AOC-20	Training Program							SW/J			

		Physical Audit - June 12-23, 2000									
		12	13	14	15	16	17/1	19	20	21	22
								В			
AOC-21	Personnel Records						FL				
AOC-22	De-icing Procedures					SW					
AOC-23	Elementary Work										
AOC-24	TBA					Or	ngoing				
AOC-25	Servicing - Fuel, Lub, Oxygen							DJ/W P			
AOC-26	Control of Parts - Parts Pooling							DJ/W P			
AOC-27	Service Difficulty Reporting								JB		
With In	tegrated AMO										
AMO-02	Maintenance Policy Manual		DJ*								
AMO-03	Person Responsible for Mtce			SW*							
AMO-04	Facilities - General								DJ		
AMO-05	Technical Publications						DJ C	Ongoing			
AMO-06	Maintenance Records					DJ					
AMO-07	Maintenance Procedures					DJ					
AMO-08	Quality System	FL,D									
AMO-09	Maintenance Release Authorization				SW						
AMO-10	Qualification & Training Program						SW JB				
AMO-11	Personnel Records					SW	SW JB				
AMO-12	TBD				SW						
AMO-13	Control of Parts/ Aero Supplies							DJ,			
AMO-14	Support Overhaul Shops									WP	
AMO-15	Testing/ Measuring Equipment								SW		
AMO-16	Maintenance Arrangements							WP			
AMO-17	TBD								WP		
AMO-18	TBD								WP		
AMO-19	Service Difficulty Reporting								JB*		
AMO-2X	NDT, Various										

## **Operations Audit Plan \***

			Pre-Audit - June 5-9, 2000						
		05	06	07	08	09			
Travel		JR, KM, MM	VB	PG, NW					
FO-1	Previous Transport Canada Audit	JR, KM, MM	VB	PG. NW					
FO-2	Air Operator Certificate and Operations Specifications		KM, MM, VB	PG, NW					
FO-3	Company Manuals			All					
FO-5	Management Personnel and Operations Coordination			All					
FO-6	Company Check Pilot Program			KM, MM					
FO-7	Flight Crew Training Program			KM, MM					
FO-12	Aircraft Documentation				KM, MM				
FO-13	Minimum Equipment List					MM			
CS-1	Cabin Safety			VB	VB	VB			
CS-2	Flight Attendant Training Program			VB	VB	VB			
DG-1	Dangerous Goods				PG	PG			
AOSH-1	Aviation Occupational Safety and Health				NW	NW			

		Physical Audit - June 12-23, 2000											
							13	14	15	16	17-18	19-22	23
FO-2	Air Operator Certificate and Ops Specifications			JR*									
FO-3	Company Manuals							JR*					
FO-4	Publications Library	KM*											
FO-5	Mgmt Personnel and Operations Coordination							JR*					
FO-6	Company Check Pilot Program				MM*								
FO-7	Flight Crew Training Program	KM, MM	KM*, MM										
FO-8	Flight Crew Training Records		KM, MM	KM*, MM									
FO-9	Operational Control System			KM, MM	MM								
FO-10	Flight Documentation							KM*, MM					
FO-11	Aircraft Inspection						KM, MM	KM*					
FO-12	Aircraft Documentation					KM, MM	KM, MM	MM*					
FO-13	Minimum Equipment Lists	MM*											
FO-14	Flight Inspection and Route Check				KM	KM, MM		KM*, MM					
FO-15	Aircraft Performance and Operating Limitations							MM*					
FO-16	Flight Safety Program					JR*							
CS-1	Cabin Safety				VB	VB	VB	VB*					
CS-2	Flight Attendant Training Program	VB	VB*										
CS-3	Flight Attendant Training Records		VB	VB*									
DG-1	Dangerous Goods	PG	PG	PG	PG	PG*							
AOSH-1	Aviation Occupational Safety and Health	NW	NW	NW	NW	NW*							

\* denotes the person responsible for the specialty area summary and the day it is due.

Legend:	JR - J. Reynolds	KM - K. McLean	VB - V. Bruce	MM - M. Michaels	PG - P. Gagnon	NW - N. White
---------	------------------	----------------	---------------	------------------	----------------	---------------

# **APPENDIX A - DETAILED COMPANY INFORMATION \***

#### Personnel

Acme Aero Limited has a total staff of 110 people. The operational breakdown is as follows:

Pilots	24
Flight Attendants	12
AMEs	8
Apprentice AMEs	5
Technical Assistants	2

## **Bases (including Maintenance)**

Main Base	Macdonald-Cartier International Airport	(CYOW)
Sub-Base	Lester B. Pearson Airport	(CYYZ)
Sub-Base	Montreal Airport - Dorval	(CYUL)

## **Company Aircraft**

HS74	C-GXNP	CYYZ
HS74	C-FRLM	CYUL
PA31	C-FNGT	CYOW
PA31	C-FTVL	CYYZ
DA20	C-GTXR	CYOW
DA20	C-CVND	CYOW

## **Maintenance Staff**

Name	Position	Base	License/Endorsements
J. Anderson	Director, Maintenance	CYOW	M123123 (M1, M2)
T. Baynes-Armstrong	Quality Assurance	CYOW	M234567 (M1, M2)
J. Townsend	AME	CYOW	M345678 (M1)
C. Cochrane	AME	CYYZ	M456677 (M1, M2)
P. Cameron	AME	CYYZ	M567876 (M1, M2)
T. Henry	AME	CYUL	M987654 (M1, M2)
F. Jones	AME	CYOW	M758687 (M1, M2)
B. White	AME	CYOW	M751287 (M1, M2)
B. Boyd	AME Apprentice	CYOW	N/A
M. Pelletier	AME Apprentice	CYYZ	N/A
S. Jones	AME Apprentice	CYYZ	N/A
F. Cormier	AME Apprentice	CYUL	N/A
A. Lafleur	AME Apprentice	CYUL	N/A
L. Pierce	Store Keeper	CYOW	N/A
B. Cohen	Technical Records	CYOW	N/A

## **Flight Operations Staff**

	CYOW
N. Shaeffer A123456 HS74 & PA31	
F. Smith A654321 HS74	CYYZ
N. Granger A345612 HS74	CYYZ
B. Charles (Type A CCP) A456123 HS74	CYYZ
K. Williams A561234 HS74	CYYZ
D. Beck A612345 HS74	CYYZ
R. Collins (Type B CCP) A435612 HS74	CYYZ
C. Roberts A789012 HS74	CYUL
P. Tanguay A890123 HS74	CYUL
N. Connaught A901234 HS74	CYUL
R. Peterson A908765 HS74	CYUL
J. Altman A987654 HS74	CYUL
G. Gregory A776655 PA31	CYOW
B. Beliveau C123456 PA31	CYOW
W. Copeland C234561 PA31	CYOW
R. Scott A665544 PA31	CYYZ
A. Spencer C223344 PA31	CYYZ
B. Ferguson C334455 PA31	CYYZ
F. Duquette A172635 DA20	CYOW
H. Chang (Type A CCP) A192837 DA20	CYOW
R. Beverly A828374 DA20	CYOW
P. Dole A674914 DA20	CYOW
J. Green A5466277 DA20	CYOW
M. Martin A338495 DA20	CYOW

# **APPENDIX B - PROPOSED TRAVEL AND OVERTIME EXPENSES**

## **Travel Expenses-Proposed**

Name	Days	Hotel	Composite	Airfare	Car	Misc.	Sub-Total	Totals
T. Smith	18	\$1,620.00	\$ 966.60	\$500.00	\$600.00	\$ 73.73	\$3,760.33	
S. Brown	18	\$1,620.00	\$ 966.60	\$250.00		\$ 56.73	\$2,893.33	
Mgr Total								\$ 6,653.66
Ops								
J. Reynolds	18	\$1,620.00	\$ 966.60	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$ 71.73	\$3,658.33	
K. McLean	16	\$1,440.00	\$ 859.20	\$500.00		\$ 55.98	\$2,855.18	
M. Michaels	16	\$1,440.00	\$ 859.20	\$500.00		\$ 55.98	\$2,855.18	
V. Bruce	15	\$1,350.00	\$ 805.50	\$350.00	\$300.00	\$ 56.11	\$2,861.61	
P. Gagnon	10	\$ 900.00	\$ 537.00	\$500.00		\$ 38.74	\$1,975.74	
N. White	10	\$ 900.00	\$ 537.00	\$500.00		\$ 38.74	\$1,975.74	
<b>Ops Total</b>								\$ 16,181.79
Maint								
F. Lalonde	18	\$1,620.00	\$ 966.60	\$350.00	\$750.00	\$ 73.73	\$3,760.33	
D. Jacobson	17	\$1,530.00	\$ 912.90	\$500.00	\$750.00	\$ 73.86	\$3,766.76	
W. Preston	17	\$1,530.00	\$ 912.90	\$500.00		\$ 58.86	\$3,001.76	
S. Wallace	17	\$1,530.00	\$ 912.90	\$500.00		\$ 58.86	\$3,001.76	
J. Black	17	\$1,530.00	\$ 912.90	\$500.00		\$ 58.86	\$3,001.76	
Maint Total								\$ 16,532.36
Total								\$ 39,367.82

## **Overtime Proposed**

Name	# Hrs. Week 1		# H	Irs. Week 2 Manage		Hrs. Wee	k 3	Totals	
	x 1.5 x 1.75	x 2	x 1.5	x 1.75 x 2		x 1.75	x 2		
T. Smith	4	2	6	2	6			1173.44	
S. Brown	4	2	4	2	4			773.50	
Mgr Total									\$ 1,946.94
				Op	s				
J. Reynolds	4	2	6	2	6			1091.52	
K. McLean	2	1	5	1	2			596.93	
M. Michaels	3	1	5	1	2			648.09	
V. Bruce	1	1	5	1	2			476.00	
P. Gagnon	1	1	5	1				386.75	
N. White	1	1	5	1				386.75	
<b>Ops Total</b>									\$ 3,586.04
				Mai	nt				
F. Lalonde	4	2	6	2	6			1175.04	
D. Jacobson	2	1	5	1	4			699.46	
W. Preston	3	1	5	1	4			750.64	
S. Wallace	2	1	5	1	4			699.46	
J. Black	2	1	5	1	4			699.46	
<b>Maint Total</b>									\$ 4,024.06
<b>Total Overtime</b>									\$ 9,557.04

## APPENDIX 6 PRE-AUDIT TEAM MEETING AGENDA

Location: Room 1201, Minto Hotel

**Date:** June 7, 2000

**Time:** 19:00 hrs

#### Agenda:

#### Item Subject

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Administrative Details
  - hotel room numbers and cell phone numbers
  - autos (incl. weekend use)
  - start / finish times (pre-audit / audit)
  - dress
  - weekends
- 3. Tele-conference w/ CA (where applicable)
- 4. Audit Plan
  - functional area assignments / functional summaries
  - work plan
  - schedule
  - inflights
  - scheduled points / sub-base visits
- 5. Budget
  - importance of accurate tracking
  - overtime/expenses
  - claim procedures (electronic)
- 6. Conflict of Interest / Confidentiality
  - shred all working drafts of findings, summaries, etc.
- 7. Access to Information
- 8. Forms Administration
  - electronic / written
  - audit findings
  - parallel audit findings
  - confirmation requests

- 9. Checklists (use of / amendment to)
- 10. Communications
  - on-site / off-site
  - within TC Civil Av
  - outside of TC Civil Av
- 11. Pre-Audit Review
  - previous audit / follow-up
  - files
  - company
  - aircraft
  - inspection reports (inflight, ramp, etc)
  - compliance records
  - CADORS
  - authorizations
  - company manuals
  - respect those around you
  - the glass is half full!
- 12. Physical Audit
  - site familiarization
  - maintenance
  - operational
  - occupational health and safety
  - company PI (role during audit)
  - daily team meetings (incl. members on road)
  - use of forms
  - immediate threat
  - paperwork expected
  - audit/parallel findings (drafting of)
- 13. Questions ?

## APPENDIX 7 ENTRY MEETING AGENDA

#### **Acknowledgments**

Thank the company officials for their attendance, co-operation and use of their facilities.

#### **Purpose**

Explain the purpose of the meeting:

- 1. introduce the audit team members;
- 2. define the objective and scope of the audit;
- 3. define the methodology used during the audit; and
- 4. co-ordinate staff and facilities.

#### **Introductions**

Introduce the audit manager, team members, specialists and observers; and company representatives.

#### **Objective and Scope**

The objective and scope of this audit is:

- (a) to conduct an analysis of the policies, standards, procedures and facilities of (company name) to
  ensure that delegated authorities and Transport Canada's legislative requirements are being met
  and that maximum effort is made to ensure flight safety; and
- (b) to ensure compliance with the Aeronautics Act, CARs, CASS and company operations manual (COM).

#### <u>Depth</u>

The audit will

- (a) encompass, but not be limited to, the specialty areas identified, as covered by the appropriate audit checklists; and
- (b) cover the period from \_\_\_\_\_ (date) to \_\_\_\_\_ (date).

#### **Communications**

The following communication protocols will be observed:

- (a) initial communication in each audit area will be between the auditor for that area and the company official specified by\_\_\_\_\_ (company) as the contact for that area;
- (b) where problems or questions arise, team members will advise me and I will contact \_\_\_\_\_\_(company representative); and
- (c) if the company has a problem or questions, it is to contact the audit manager, who will meet daily with the team leaders to discuss the day's findings and address any questions.

#### **Methodology**

Standard audit procedures are those set out in the IAM and will include:

- (a) interviews with personnel to discuss the areas of responsibility;
- (b) the examination of records, such as those for training, CCP and flight documentation;
- (c) in-flight inspections;
- (d) aircraft inspections; and
- (e) the review of manuals and directives.

In every case, the purpose of the audit is to determine the company's level of conformance to the CARs, associated standards and to company policy and procedures set out in manuals such as the COM. Our concern is adherence to standards.

Where it is determined that an examined area appears to be in order, we will move on to the next area. When the company appears to be violating a regulatory requirement, it is said to be in non-conformance. If questions arise regarding potential or definite non-conformances:

- (a) approach the company to determine whether we are interpreting the data correctly (there may occasionally be ambiguities);
- (b) direct the company to provide missing data within a specific timeframe;
- (c) where it is determined that our perception is correct, or where the company does not respond adequately to our queries within the specified timeframe, these items will be drawn up as audit findings;
- (d) where it is determined that our interpretation of the data is correct and that flight safety is being jeopardized, the audit manager will approach the director of flight operations or designate to obtain the authorization to take appropriate action immediately; and
- (e) should the need arise, the convening authority will be approached for further guidance on appropriate action.

Queries regarding the audit should be addressed to the appropriate team leader or to the audit manager.

Every effort will be made to conduct all audit activities with minimal disruption to the company. The fact that flight operations are ongoing will be respected. Should an interview be requested, for example, it will be conducted at a mutually satisfactorily time. We will tailor our hours to the company's normal working hours and team leaders will inform their staff of the protocol discussed at this meeting, with regard to communications in particular.

The auditee should be prepared to instruct the teams on OSH related issues.

#### Exit Meeting

The exit meeting is proposed for	(location) on	(date) at	(time).
----------------------------------	---------------	-----------	---------

#### **Question Period**

A question period will follow.

## APPENDIX 8 EXIT MEETING AGENDA

#### **Introductions**

Audit Manager -

#### **Opening Remarks**

Convening Authority - (where applicable)

#### **Executive Summaries**

- Maintenance -
- Operations -

#### Audit Findings

Where possible, explain that there will not be a discussion on findings as these have been discussed during the teams daily meetings with the company and that further discussion may take place through the Corrective Action Plan approval process.

#### Post-Audit

Explain the next stage of the audit:

- Inform the attendees that the audit report will be completed in ten working days (review briefly the content of the report).
- Explain that the report will be reviewed by the Convening Authority and his managers/representative from Maintenance & Manufacturing, Maintenance and Manufacturing as well as Aviation Enforcement (indicate the possibility of Aviation Enforcement action). Also indicate that the audit management team will be involved with the approval process.
- State that the company will have thirty working days (from the date of receipt of the report) to respond with a Corrective Action Plan that highlights the short- and long-term actions proposed to rectify any non-conformance.
- Explain that the company can expect follow-up inspections after the Corrective Action Plan has been completed to confirm the effectiveness of that action plan.
- Indicate that the company will be advised when the audit is formally closed.

#### Access to Information

(Discuss briefly)

#### **Closing Remarks**

Invite Company Pres/CEO to make any closing comments; and Audit Manager close meeting

	ario	
M5F 7J9		
Registered		5258-1-23456
July 6, 2000		
Mr. I. Stravin	ski	
(add correct t	itle), Acm	ne Aero Limited
Macdonald-C	artier Inte	ernational Airport
Ottawa, Onta	rio K1P 5	L6
Dear Mr. Stra	vinski:	
Limited comp (y) Operation convenience,	oleted on J s audit fin you will f	er you will find two copies of the Audit Report resulting from the regulatory audit of Acme A June 23rd, 2000. I am pleased to inform you that while the report contains (x) Maintenance a addings, the Audit Manager has assured me that Acme Aero is operating in a safe manner. For find a summary of the most significant audit findings in Part II of the report.
both short ter	m correcti	and in writing to each audit finding. The proposed Corrective Action Plan (CAP) should detain ive action to correct the specific deficiencies cited and, where applicable, long term corrective action should focus on modifying the system to prevent recurrence of similar deficience
	-	d to complete the Corrective Action Form on the reverse of each Finding Form and forward t an Aug 22nd, 2000.
The co-opera	tion exten	ded to the audit team by you and your staff during the audit was appreciated.
Yours truly,		
R. Jonson		
Convening A	uthority	
Attachments (2	!)	

Acme Aero Limited Audit June 5 - 23, 2000

*Note:* Items marked with an asterisk (\*) can be included or removed from the audit report at the discretion of the convening authority, refer to Section 2.11 for applicable criteria.

#### Audit Report

This report consists of four parts:

#### • Part I – Introduction

Includes the executive summary and summarizes the audit process and the content of the audit report.

#### • Part II – Maintenance Specialty Area Summaries

contains the maintenance specialty area element (checklist) summaries

#### • Part III – Operations Specialty Area Summaries

contains the operations specialty area element (checklist) summaries;

#### • Part IV – Audit Findings

contains the Audit Findings

#### PART I - INTRODUCTION

#### **Executive Summary**

#### <u>General</u>

The audit examined Acme Aero's Maintenance and Operations Divisions using applicable Maintenance and Operations checklists referenced from the Inspection and Audit Manual (IAM). A total of 10 Maintenance and 17 Operations audit findings were submitted. These findings identified examples of nonconformance to the Canadian Aviation Regulations (CARs), standards or Acme Aero's policies or procedures. A number of the findings were administrative in nature and can be easily corrected, whereas others were systemic and will require particular attention to ensure that corrective actions are effective in addressing the identified system faults.

#### <u>Maintenance</u>

The Transport Canada maintenance team reviewed all of the specialty areas applicable to Acme Aero Limited. The Acme Aero maintenance requirements and system was assessed to the Canadian Aviation Regulations (amendment 20-1) and individual Maintenance Control (Original May 31, 1997) and Maintenance Policy (Rev. 3, dated August 13, 1999) Manuals. The Maintenance Policy Manual (MPM) was approved October 16, 1996. Items that failed to conform to the above documents were recorded as audit findings.

The audit team sampled two HS74, one PA31 and two DA20 aircraft. Notice of Inspection forms were issued for two aircraft that had minor discrepancies. During aircraft inspections the absence of exterior placards on some aircraft resulted in the company completing an immediate fleet wide campaign to insure all safety placards were in place. Overall, the quality of the aircraft inspected was found to meet the applicable standards. Company facilities were found to be adequate for the scope of work and are well maintained.

The greatest areas of concern were found in the areas of the Maintenance Control Manual (MCM), Airworthiness Directive compliance and Technical Records. In addition to this, the maintenance evaluation program and quality assurance system require enhancements to achieve full functionality. Company management have demonstrated a positive attitude towards the audit and have acted proactively towards findings that have been identified.

### **Operations**

The Operations Team audited 21 specialty area elements in accordance with the provisions of the Inspection and Audit Manual to ensure that Acme Aero operations conform to the requirements of the Canadian Aviation Regulations (CARs), associated standards and company control manuals. In addition to a comprehensive review of company documentation, control procedures and management personnel qualifications, the audit team conducted a series of in-flight and ramp inspections.

Deficiencies were noted in nine specialty areas resulting in sixteen audit findings. Most of these represent deficiencies in administrative procedures and guidelines that are to be specified in the company control manuals, specifically the Company Operations Manual, the Flight Attendant Manual and the HS-748 Minimum Equipment List.

The areas requiring immediate attention are: the flight crew training programs, which currently lack some essential elements; flight crew training records, which require a detailed system to ensure flight crews have met all training requirements; and procedures to monitor and report on CCP activities. The company will also want to review the HS-748 MEL and the MCM which currently contain conflicting procedures

The above deficiencies notwithstanding, the review revealed that Acme Aero Limited is conducting a safe operation and that a knowledgeable, competent management team has been assembled to oversee a staff and crews that have the ability and desire to operate within the regulatory framework. The company's response upon learning of any deficiency was immediate and indicative of Acme Aero's focus on safety.

#### **Objective and Scope**

This routine conformance audit was conducted on Acme Aero Limited during the period of June 5-23, 2000. The audit covered the period from June 20, 1997 to June 5th, 2000 and included reviews in the following areas:

- a) maintenance (AMO and air operator programs);
- b) flight operations;
- c) cabin safety;
- d) the transportation of dangerous goods; and
- e) aviation occupational safety and health.

#### Company - General \*

Acme Aero Limited is an aviation company formed in 1984. It offers both a scheduled and non-scheduled domestic air service from the main base at Macdonald-Cartier International Airport, with sub-bases in Toronto/Lester B. Pearson International Airport and Montreal International (Dorval).

Acme Aero Limited operates one PA31 and two DA20 aircraft from its main base, one HS-748 from each sub-base, plus one PA31 from the sub-base in Toronto. The HS-748s offer a scheduled service between Toronto and Windsor, Ontario and between Montreal and Val D'Or, Quebec. The PA31s operate on a charter basis and are available for med-evac under a standing offer with the Ministry of Health for the Province of Ontario. The DA20s have been added to the Air Operator Certificate since the previous audit in June 1997 and operate under contract to a major courier company. The operator transports dangerous goods by air.

Aircraft maintenance is performed in-house at all three bases. There is a full-time staff of eight Aircraft Maintenance Engineers, five apprentices and two technicians. The Aircraft Technical Records are kept at the main base in Ottawa.

The company has experienced steady growth and now employs over one hundred people.

### Audit Synopsis

Mr. R. Jonson, Regional Director Civil Aviation, Ontario Region, convened Acme Aero's 2000 audit under the provisions of the Inspection and Audit Manual (IAM). The audit assessed Acme Aero's level of conformance with the regulatory requirements governing operations of Canadian air operators. The audit was conducted in accordance with policy and procedures detailed in the IAM employing standard, industrial auditing techniques. These techniques included interviews with key personnel, review of approved documents, sampling of relevant files, and random inspections throughout Acme Aero's system. The audit entry meeting was held in Acme Aero's executive offices at Ottawa's Macdonald-Cartier International Airport on June 12th, 2000. During this meeting, the audit manager briefed the operator's management on the general audit process and the team's specific plans for the audit of Acme Aero. Throughout the audit, team leaders kept Acme Aero's officials informed of the audit progress and of all audit findings submitted. During the audit, Maintenance and Operations team members inspected specialty areas within the main base in Ottawa as well as Acme Aero's route structure. The audit was completed and the exit meeting held at Acme Aero's executive offices on June 23rd, 2000 with Acme Aero's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Ian Stravinski, and the Convening Authority in attendance.

#### <u>Audit Team</u>

Position	Name	Region	Phone	Cell/Pager**
Convening Authority	R. Jonson	Ont	416-952-0001	416-987-6542
Audit Manager	T. Smith	Ont	416-952-0002	416-987-6543
Administration	S. Brown	Ont	416-952-0003	416-987-6544
Team Leader (Ops)	J. Reynolds	Ont	416-952-0004	416-987-1234
Flight Ops	K. McLean	Ont	416-952-0005	416-987-1235
Flight Ops	M. Michaels	Ont	416-952-0006	416-987-1236
Cabin Safety	V. Bruce	Ont	416-952-0007	416-987-1237
Dangerous Goods	P. Gagnon	Ont	416-952-0008	416-987-1238
AOSH	N. White	Ont	416-952-0009	416-987-1239
Team Leader (Maint)	F. Lalonde	Ont	416-952-0010	416-987-2345
Maintenance	D. Jacobson	Ont	416-952-0011	416-987-2346
Maintenance	W. Preston	Ont	416-952-0012	416-987-2347
Maintenance	S. Wallace	Ont	416-952-0013	416-987-2348
Maintenance	J. Black	Ont	416-952-0014	416-987-2349

\*\* Note: use of cell or pager numbers in the audit report is optional.

#### Company Management

Name	Title	Telephone No.
I. Stravinski	President, Acme Aero Limited	(613) 974-2300
J. Anderson	Director, Maintenance	(613) 974-2306
T. Baynes-Armstrong	Quality Assurance Manager	(613) 974-2307
B. Mathers	Director, Flight Operations	(613) 974-2301
N. Schaffer	Chief Pilot (HS748, PA31)	(613) 974-2302
F. Duquette	Chief Pilot (DA20)	(613-974-2402
M. Tellier	Chief, Dispatch	(613) 974-2304
S. Lavallee	Director, Inflight Services	(613) 974-2305
D. McIntyre	Manager, Dangerous Goods	(613) 974-2308
C. Roberts	Safety Officer	(613) 974-2303

#### **Corrective Action Plan**

Audit Findings identify a situation where an Acme Aero policy, procedure, or activity does not conform to an approved company manual or to the applicable regulatory standard. The company must respond in writing to each audit finding, detailing short term corrective action to correct the specific examples listed, and long term systemic corrective action to prevent recurrence of similar situations. Transport Canada Civil Aviation will monitor implementation of Acme Aero's Corrective Action Plan through the audit follow-up process described in the IAM.

#### **Review of Findings by Aviation Enforcement**

Aviation Enforcement routinely reviews all audit findings after an audit and will advise Acme Aero through normal channels if it proposes to take action concerning any finding(s).

#### PART II - MAINTENANCE SPECIALTY AREA SUMMARIES \*

*Note:* if specialty area summaries are not included in the report, the executive summary must clearly outline those areas where findings were raised.

The audit focused on 26 areas relating to Air Operator Maintenance Requirements and 17 areas attributed to that of the Approved Maintenance Organization. A brief description of the areas where findings were made is provided.

#### Air Operator Maintenance Requirements \*

#### AOC – 02 Maintenance Control Manual \*

The company utilizes individual Maintenance Control and Maintenance Policy Manuals. A discrepancy relating to policy and procedures for the control of maintenance / service instruction information was raised. The responsibility for obtaining and making technical information available resides with the Air Operator, whereby MCM policy and procedures must specify how the operator will ensure that publications are available and current. Other minor deficiencies were noted in the MCM; these are documented under a separate finding.

#### AOC – 04 Evaluation Program \*

Audits are generally completed as indicated in the MPCM and follow up procedures have been completed, or are in the completion process. Independent Inspection anomalies, illegible entries and data entry errors and omissions were identified during this audit indicating that the daily sampling of log books and weekly surveillance is not being accomplished as required.

#### AOC – 06 Technical Records \*

Conformity Certificates providing the details of maintenance performed were not generated as required or were found to be incomplete. In other instances, the company did not always comply with requirements to transcribe maintenance details into the permanent technical record.

#### AOC – 12 Defect Recording, Rectification and Control Procedures \*

A review was conducted on a sampling of each type of aircraft in the Acme Aero fleet. Findings indicated recurring defects are not identified as such because the company definition differs from the Canadian Aviation Regulations.

#### AOC – 13 Airworthiness Directives / Service Bulletins Compliance \*

On one occasion the company permitted the operation of an aircraft for up to 43 hours with an outstanding Airworthiness Directive requirement. In addition, this aircraft was operated while a particular Airworthiness Directive was not fully complied with. The company immediately removed the aircraft from active service while a follow up review with the AMO that completed the work was conducted

#### **Approved Maintenance Organization \***

#### AMO – 10 Qualification and Training \*

The maintenance training requirements of CAR 573.06 and 706.12 are being met, although no formal procedures for the planning and control of maintenance training have been developed. The company indicated that an amendment to the MPM is underway and will resolve this deficiency when completed.

#### PART III - OPERATIONS SPECIALTY AREA SUMMARIES \*

*Note:* if specialty area summaries are not included in the report, the executive summary must clearly outline those areas where findings were raised.

The audit focused on 21 areas of company operations resulting in 17 findings. Specialty area elements not mentioned below were found to meet regulatory requirements.

#### FO – 3 Company Manuals \*

During the review of the company manuals, several areas were identified where procedures were either not linked from one manual to another or were lacking elements needed to fully comply with regulatory requirements. These, as well as shortcomings in the Dispatch Manual (see FO-9) and the Flight Attendant Manual (see CS-2), were identified under a single audit finding to simplify preparation of the corrective action plan and subsequent post-audit follow-up. A finding was also assigned to the Company Operations Manual for the lack of any mention of the Flight Safety Program, a requirement for all 705 operations.

#### FO – 6 Company Check Pilot (CCP) Program \*

Acme Aero has three Type A CCPs and one Type B CCP. One of the Type A CCP's was found to have conducted 2 PPCs while his authority had expired due to a monitor ride not being done. This resulted in the company removing two pilots from flight status until such time as their PPCs could be renewed by a qualified CCP. It was also noted that the company had not implemented the required monitoring system to ensure that a CCP's authority is valid prior to scheduling the CCP to conduct a flight check. In addition to this, the monthly schedule of proposed flight checks was not being submitted to Transport Canada, nor was notification of CCPs who were no longer with the company.

#### FO – 7 Flight Crew Training Program \*

The company flight crew training programs were reviewed and a number of deficiencies were identified. These resulted in three audit findings being assigned. A number of training programs were missing essential elements, the most important of which was the lack of night flying training during HS74 initial flight training, and emergency procedures practical training that is not being conducted in accordance with the standard. In addition to this, joint CRM training is not being conducted with flight attendants. It was also noted that some training programs were lacking the training examinations that are to be used to confirm that the candidate has grasped the required training.

### FO – 8 Flight Crew Training Records \*

The training records of 19 pilots were reviewed and a number of errors and omissions were noted. In one case, Acme Aero was requested to provide documentation indicating that the annual technical ground training for three HS74 flight crew had in fact been completed. The company was unable to do so. Further to this, company senior management were unaware that training had not been completed and that extensions had been granted by the TC Regional office. The reason for this was that the extensions had not been placed in the appropriate training files.

It was also noted that the company does not maintain a record of all required training elements where those elements are imbedded in other training programs (ex., CRM, HAI and MEL training are part of the annual ground training program and therefore not identified individually in the training records).

#### FO – 9 Operational Control System \*

The flight dispatch office consists of a manager, two duty managers, 4 dispatchers and crew scheduling and operations co-ordination staff. The operational control system is well-organized with only a few areas in the Dispatch Manual requiring clarification. One Finding was made that pertained to the reporting relationships of the flight dispatch duty managers. They should not report to the Vice President, Operations on operational matters, since that position is not required to be filled by a certified flight dispatcher.

#### FO – 13 Minimum Equipment List \*

A review of the company's Minimum Equipment List manuals and procedures resulted in two audit findings. These pertained to MEL procedures that were in conflict with maintenance procedures specified in the MCM, and out-of-date amendments.

### CS – 2 Flight Attendant Training Program \*

Although some discrepancies between the Initial Flight Attendant Program and the Flight Attendant Manual were noted, the overall Flight Attendant Program was being well maintained under the guidance of the Company's Learning and Development Co-ordinator. The variances were recorded under Company Manuals (finding FO-3-2).

#### DG – 1 Dangerous Goods \*

The dangerous goods program at Acme Aero is satisfactory and company personnel are knowledgeable and professional in the conduct of their assigned tasks. One shortcoming was noticed where training records for two individuals did not contain a copy of the required training certification.

#### AOSH-1 Aviation Occupational Safety and Health (A-OSH) \*

Acme Aero's A-OSH program is maintained by two conscientious officers who exhibit support for the safety and health of employees. Through co-ordination and co-operation between the offices of Safety and the Facilities Environment and Site Safety, the appropriate occupational safety and health reports are provided in accordance with the standard with one exception that pertained to incomplete documentation and follow-up to an on board flight attendant injury.

### PART IV — AUDIT FINDINGS

- Maintenance Audit Findings
- Operations Audit Findings

# APPENDIX 11 CORRECTIVE ACTION TRACKING FORM

\* can be used in addition to NACIS, see section 2.14

Functior	nal Area	Finding #	Compl Date (proposed)	Milestones/Pro g Review Pts	Follow-up (O/A) Date	Completion Date
AOC-02	Maintenance Control Manual					
AOC-03	Person Responsible for Mtce					
AOC-04	Evaluation Program Technical Publications					
AOC-05	Technical Publications Technical Records					
AOC-06	Technical Records Evaluation Program					
AOC-07	Weight and Balance Control					
AOC-08	Mtce Development Programs					
AOC-09	Reliability Monitoring Programs					
AOC-10	ТВА					
AOC-11	Maintenance Planning					
AOC-12	Defect Recording, Rectification Control					
AOC-13	Airworthiness Directive, SB's					
AOC-14	Extended Range Ops ETOPS					
AOC-15	Minimum Equipment List					
AOC-16	Category II-III All Weather Ops					
AOC-17	Technical Dispatch Procedures					
AOC-18	Flight Authorities - Test - Ferry					
AOC-19	Maintenance Arrangements					
AOC-20	Training Program					
AOC-21	Personnel Records					
AOC-22	De-icing Procedures Elementary Work					
AOC-23	Elementary Work De-icing Procedures					
AOC-24	ТВА					

Follow-up OPI

Date

## **APPENDIX 12** AUDIT ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Desumentar	Copy of Transport Canada phone
<b>Documents:</b> Make sume that you have the most surrout revisions!	numbers/contacts
Make sure that you have the most current revisions!	
Copy of the IAM	Copy of the Audit Plan
□ Copy of CAR and STD 571	Copy of company's MPM/MCM
□ Copy of CAR and STD 573	(circle one or both as applicable)
Copy of MPM/MCM Guide	□ Blank Audit Finding Forms (10)
(circle one or both as applicable)	□ Blank Confirmation Request Forms (CRFs) (5)
Audit Manager only:	Audit Information Report Summary
□ CRFs Control Sheet	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
□ Audit Report Template (MS Word)	
Computer/Communications:	
	$\Box  \frac{1}{2} \text{``Floppy drive}$
□ Laptop	$\Box  \frac{1}{2}$ "Floppy discs Printer and cables (if
$\Box  CARs (CD)$	applicable)
□ Phone line cable	Cell Phone
$\Box  \text{Power cable}$	□ Activate office call-forward/extended absence
	message
Factors/Factor Data :	
Entry/Exit Data:	
Start/Stop times:/:	Date final Audit Rpt. delivered:
	Add 30 working days for CAP
Daily De-Brief:	Date CAP MUST BE submitted:
Exit Mtg. data/time:	
Exit Mtg. date/time:/:	
Inspector:	
Transportation/Accommodations:	Official Credentials:
Vehicle booked and confirmation number	Delegation Card
(if required)#	Transport Canada I.D.
Hotel booked and confirmation number	□ Airport Pass
(11 required)#	Business Cards
(if required)# ☐ Airline tickets arranged for and confirmation	Business Cards
	Business Cards

## **Office Equipment / Consumables**

- □ Straight Edge
- □ Stapler
- □ Hole punch
- Printer Paper (if applicable)
   Highlighters (*different colours*)

- $\Box \quad \text{Pens} (2)$
- Liquid Paper
- □ Post-It© Notes
- Notepaper Pads
- Elastic bands
- Paper clips

Notes:

## <u>Forms</u>

24.0000	
24-0008	Aircraft Inspection
24-0018/-18A	Letter of Notification, Foreign Reg'd (-18A follow-up)
24-0019/19A	Letter of Notification (-19A is follow-up)
24-0038	SDR Form
24-0031	Distributership, Certificate of Approval
24-0043	C of A Application
24-0044	Flight Permit Application
24-0045	Conformity Certificate - Repair or Modification
24-0049	Export Certificate of Airworthiness
24-0050	C of A for Export Application
24-0059	AAIR
24-0064	AMO Aircraft Limitations
24-0066	AMO Certificate
24-0070	AMO Application
24-0073	C of A
24-0074	C of A, Special
24-0075	Flight Authorization
24-0083	AME Application
	AME Application Supplement-Technical Examinations
26-0365	Notice of Detention of Aircraft
26-0367	Notice of Suspension-Immediate Threat
26-0370	Notice of Suspension
26-0471	Detection Notice
61-0008	Inspection Snag Sheet
26-0471	Detection Notice
61-0008	Inspection Snag Sheet

# CHAPTER 4 CHECKLISTS

# 4.1 PURPOSE

Inspection and audit checklists have been developed to provide a systematic approach to the inspection of an organizations' various element areas. The checklists are designed to guide auditors through specific items within the element area. Questions contained within the checklist(s) are intended to stimulate analysis of the element considering the performance based nature of our regulations. Normally, each question contains a reference(s) to applicable regulatory requirements for the auditor's convenience. Where an operator fails to comply with requirements, they will be considered in non-compliance, which will necessitate corrective action.

The AM&M Inspection and Audit (Checklists) Manual is made available to any organization or operator; it is hoped that they will make use of the material presented in this manual as they evaluate their systems for effectiveness and compliance with regulatory requirements. It is anticipated that companies will further develop checklists and materials that pertain to processes and procedures described in their company manuals.

# 4.2 APPLICABILITY

Audit checklists will:

- (a) be used in the inspection of a process, procedure or program;
- (b) be amended to reflect the current revision of the applicable regulation or standard
- (c) be supplemented to include processes specified in the appropriate control manual;
- (d) be completed or have areas that are not completed so annotated; and
- (e) be signed and dated by the team member responsible for that specialty area or specialty area sub-group.

# 4.3 SPECIALTY AREA CHECKLISTS

The following specialty areas have checklists developed, which cater to Maintenance and Manufacturing functional responsibilities:

Certificate Holder / Canadian Aviation Regulation	Checklist Ref.
Air Operator maintenance requirements / CAR 706	AOC 702 / 703
	AOC 704 / 705
Flight Training Unit maintenance requirements / CAR 403	FTU 403
Private Operator maintenance requirements / CAR 604	POC 604
Approved Maintenance Organization / CAR 573	AMO 573
Approved Training Organization CAR 403	ATO 566
Approved Manufacturing Organization AWM 561	MAN 561

# 4.4 CHECKLISTS

## 4.4.1 GENERAL

Inspection checklists, forms and other guidance materials will guide inspectors through the inspection process of a particular element area. Regardless of the purpose for the inspection (routine; annual inspection, special purpose audit) the checklists, forms and guidance materials are to be used as indicated. This ensures that all inspections are conducted in a uniform manner and that the results of the activity are appropriately documented.

# 4.4.2 SPECIFIC CHECKLISTS

Certain element area checklists or guidance documents provide a level of detail that is suitable for completing certification activities. The checklist or guidance document may, when completed, ensure a complete review of that particular aspect or characteristic of a company. Often, these checklists aid or assist inspectors (and operators who use the checklists during their certification activities) to interpret regulatory requirements in a standardized manner.

An example of this is the Master Minimum Equipment List / Minimum Equipment List Policy and Procedures Manual TP 9155. When the requirements of this manual have been addressed and all items have been assessed "OK", then the operator would be ready to utilize specific MELs once approved for their aircraft type.

As indicated in section 3.3.3.3 of the IAM, there are times when it is not possible or necessary to examine 100% of a company's systems. Sampling principles would then apply and inspectors are authorized to use this technique in meeting their objective. It is also important that the inspector document what element(s) were covered by the activity undertaken and what portions of the checklist(s) that were completed and what were not. This is simply indicated by using the "N/C" (not checked) box on the checklist or by providing a short narrative. Checklists utilized are to be appended to the appropriate company audit file and therefore will be available for review by follow-on inspection personnel/ audit teams; this will allow future inspections to focus on those areas that were not reviewed during the previous activity.

## 4.4.3 CHECKLIST AMENDMENT

Checklist(s) will be revised as required. Amendments are published as complete Parts. Current checklist revision date noted in the lower left corner of the checklist page footer should match the date for the applicable Part noted on the next page.

## INSPECTION AND AUDIT CHECKLISTS

- Part 1 Air Operator maintenance requirements CAR 706, organizations certified under CAR 704 or CAR 705 (March 2001)
- Part 2 Air Operator maintenance requirements CAR 706, organizations certified under CAR 702 or CAR 703 (March 2001)
- Part 3 Maintenance Organization requirements CAR 573 (August 2005)
- Part 4 Flight Training Unit maintenance requirements CAR 403 (March 2001)
- Part 5 Manufacturing Organization requirements AWM 561 (March 2001, under review)
- Part 6 Training Organization requirements CAR 403 / Std. 566 (March 2001)
- Part 7 Private Operator maintenance requirements CAR 604 (May 2001)

# Part 1

# CAR 704 / 705 Air Operator Maintenance Requirements Checklists

# Table of Contents

# (March 2001)

AOC-01	Previous Transport Canada Audit	3
	Maintenance Control Manual	
AOC-03	Person Responsible for Maintenance	.13
AOC-04	Evaluation Program	.15
AOC-05	Technical / Regulatory Publications	.19
	Technical Records	
	Weight & Balance	
AOC-08	Maintenance Development Program (MPD)	.29
	Reliability Monitoring Programs	
AOC-10	Reserved	.49
AOC-11	Maintenance Planning	.50
AOC-12	Defect Recording, Rectification, Deferral, and Control Procedures	.55
AOC-13	Airworthiness Directive, Service Bulletin	.60
	ETOPS - Extended Range Operations	
AOC-15	Minimum Equipment List - MEL, OMEL, GMEL	.67
AOC-16	All Weather Operations - Category II, III	.71
	Technical Dispatch Procedures	
AOC-18	Flight Authorities - Test / Ferry	.78
AOC-19	Maintenance Arrangements	.81
AOC-20	Training Program	.86
	Personnel Records	
	De-Icing Procedures	
AOC-23	Elementary Work, Servicing	.93
AOC-24	Reserved	.96
AOC-25	Servicing - Fueling, De-fueling & Lubrication, Oxygen	.97
	Control of Parts, Parts Pooling	
AOC-27	Service Difficulty Reporting SDR	103

Intentionally Blank

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

File 5015-

Date:

AO	AOC-01 Previous Transport Canada Audit					
1.	What was the purpose and date of the previous audit?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
2.	<ul><li>Were there a significant number of Audit Findings?</li><li>What conclusions were drawn from the audit?</li></ul>	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
3.	<ul> <li>Was the audit follow-up completed?</li> <li>List any outstanding audit findings respecting the previous audit?</li> </ul>	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
4.	Since the last audit, are there indications of high managerial staff turnover?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
5.	Is there any indications of high staff, flight crew or maintenance personnel turnover?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
6.	Have there been any changes in the company's scope, size, aircraft, type of service since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

AO	AOC-01 Previous Transport Canada Audit					
7.	Have there been any additional Operations Specifications or a change to the Maintenance Scope of Approval / Authorized since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
8.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

## Comments/Summary:

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (	CAR 7	706)
Company:		

File 5015-

Date:

AOC-02 Maintenance Control Manual					
	Maintenance Control - Adm	inistrativ	е		
1.	Does the manual have a table of contents?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(a)				
2.	Does the manual reflect the business or trade name?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(b)				
3.	Does the company have a fixed place of business?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)(a)				
4.	Does the manual have a description of the	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>organization and size?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>state the geographic location and sub base facilities?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>state the type and number of aircraft operated?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>the nature and operation?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(c)				
5.	Does the statement reflect approvals listed on the Operations Certificates / Limitations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(c)				
6.	Is there a signed statement (by the certificate holder) indicating that the manual is their means of complying with the Canadian	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-02 Maintenance Con	trol Ma	nual			
	Aviation Regulations?					
	<ul> <li>Is there a listing of the incorporated documents?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Is the list covered by the certification statement made by the certificated holder?</li> </ul>					
	Note: there's no compelling regulation for the AOC to change certification if the certificate holder position changes					
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(d)					
7.	Has each Incorporated by Reference Document been "certified" by the PRM or person responsible for that management function as the Air Operator's means of compliance with the CARs?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(2)(d)					
8.	Does the manual describe an amendment procedure?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)					
9.	Does the manual describe the page identification process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(f)					
10.	Is there an LEP and is it approved by Transport Canada?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(f)					
11.	Do the pages contained in the manual match those listed in the LEP?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

AO	AOC-02 Maintenance Control Manual						
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(f)						
12.	Does the manual specify distribution procedures and the identity of manual holders / locations?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(g)						
13.	Is the amendment procedure followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)						
14.	Are manuals up to date?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)						
15.	Are distribution procedures followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)						
16.	Are manuals physically located at the designated site or with the specified person?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)						
17.	Complete separate PRM Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not	Checked 🗌			
18.	Does the manual contain a chart depicting the organizational	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

AO	C-02 Maintenance Con	trol Ma	nual			
	responsibilities?					
	<ul> <li>If not, is one required for clarity?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(h)(iii)					
19.	Does the manual state the communication equipment to be used in the conduct of the type of business intended?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Is it adequate?					
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)(b)					
20.	Are they able to contact the aircraft / crew when away from the main base or remotely operated?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.06(2)					
21.	How is updating of the control manual actioned or the need generated?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(h)					
22.	Does the company have facilities adequate for the control of maintenance, elementary work and servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.04 / CASS 726.04(2)(a)					
23.	Complete separate Evaluation Program Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not C	Checked		
Mai	ntenance Control - Operatior	nal				
24.	Is there sufficient number of personnel to control all of the required maintenance, scheduling, elementary work, servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	_
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(b)					

AO	C-02 Maintenance Con	trol Ma	nual	
25.	Complete separate Technical, Regulatory Publication Checklist …	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
26.	Complete separate Technical Records Checklist …	N/A 🗌	Not Checked	
27.	Complete separate Weight & Balance Checklist …	N/A 🗌	Not Checked	
28.	Does the manual specify how maintenance actions are to be recorded?	N/A 🗌	OK Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(1)(k)			
29.	Complete separate Maintenance Development Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked 🗌	
30.	Complete separate Reliability Monitoring Checklist	N/A	Not Checked	
31.	Complete separate Corrosion Control & Aging Aircraft Checklist	N/A	Not Checked	
32.	Is there a person identified that is responsible for developing a maintenance schedule?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(a)			
33.	Does the manual identify the maintenance schedules appropriate to the type of equipment / aircraft operated by the company?	N/A 🗌	OK Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Have any maintenance schedules been omitted?</li> </ul>			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(I)			
34.	Does the manual indicate a procedure for adding additional aircraft to the operating certificate?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>If so, do they follow the</li> </ul>			

AO	C-02 Maintenance Con	trol Manı	ıal
	procedure? Ref:		
35.	Complete separate Maintenance Planning Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked
36.	Complete separate Defect Control Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked
37.	Complete separate Airworthiness Directive / Service Bulletin Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked
38.	Complete separate instructions, job cards	N/A 🗌	Not Checked
39.	Complete separate Technical Dispatch Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked
40.	Complete separate MEL / GMEL / OMEL Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
41.	Complete separate EROPS Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
42.	Complete separate CAT II Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked
43.	Complete separate Flight Authority - Test - Ferry Flight Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
44.	Complete separate Maintenance Arrangements Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked
45.	Complete separate Training Program Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked
46.	Complete separate Personnel Records Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked
47.	Complete separate Elementary Work / Servicing Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
48.	Complete separate Ramp Procedures Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
49.	Complete separate De-Icing Procedures Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
50.	Complete separate Complete separate Servicing - fuel, oil, lubricants Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked

AO	AOC-02 Maintenance Control Manual						
51.	Complete separate Control of Parts / Parts Pooling Checklist 	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌				
52.	Are sufficient spare parts available, located where needed?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR 706.04(1)(e)						
53.	Are sufficient supplies and spare parts available to ensure timely rectification of defects / MEL provisions? Ref: CAR 706.04(2)(e)	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
54.	Complete separate SDR Checklist	N/A	Not Checked				
55.	Complete separate Sample Aircraft Checklist	N/A	Not Checked				
56.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			

# Comments/Summary:

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

File 5015-

Date:

AO	C-03 Person Respons	ible for	Mainte	enance	
1.	Review TC company files, is there documentation to support the evaluation of the PRM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>completion of the CARs examination (AMEs exempt)?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>check for convictions under Section 7.3 of the AA or CAR 605.84/85/86?</li> </ul>				
	assessment by interview?				
	Ref: CASS 726.03(1)(a) & (3) / CASS 726.03(4) & (5) / CASS 726.03(1)(b)(ii)				
2.	If the AOC holder also holds an AMO Certificate, is the PRM for the Air Operator the same person as the PRM for the AMO?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.03(2)				
3.	Does the PRM fully understand the responsibilities of the position?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.03(b)				
4.	Is there evidence to suggest that the PRM did not remove aircraft from service when they failed to comply with airworthiness or operational requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.03(1)c) / CASS 726.03(7)				
5.	Does the PRM sub delegate any of the responsibility?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• If so, is the identity / position of the individual and their duties fully described in the manual?				
	<ul> <li>Does the description specify which functions or activities that are to be assigned?</li> </ul>				

AC	AOC-03 Person Responsible for Maintenance				
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(h)				
6.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref.				

# Comments/Summary:

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

File 5015-

Date:

AOC-04 Evaluation Program						
1.	Is the requirement to evaluate the company maintenance system identified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.07 / CASS 726.07(1)					
2.	Is the person responsible for evaluating the program identified in the manual? If not the PRM, are this person's duties clearly defined in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.03(3) / CASS 726.07(2)					
3.	Has the operator conducted an initial evaluation at the end of 12 months?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(a)					
4.	Does the evaluation program cover all functions defined in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.07(2) & (3)					
5.	Does the evaluation program cover regulatory changes?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.07(2)					
6.	Is there evidence (records) indicating that formal review of maintenance requirements have been undertaken?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	• Were records kept according to the requirements specified in the manual?					

AO	C-04 Evaluation Progra	am			
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(c)				
7.	Does the operator use checklists for the evaluation process?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Are examples available and are they comprehensive?				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)				
8.	Does the operator follow the frequency of evaluation as specified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(a)				
9.	Does the operator follow the stated corrective action process? (sample, are persons required to provide input in the process, involved?)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(c)				
10.	Are the correct forms as stated in the manual in use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.07				
11.	Has action taken as a result of a non-compliance finding included immediate and long term action to correct the root cause of the finding?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(e)				
12.	Is there any evidence to suggest that follow-up activities have been carried out?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(f)				

AO	C-04 Evaluation Progra	ım			
13.	Is there any record of a maintenance schedule review being carried out or the evaluation of supplemental maintenance requirements for applicability and inclusion to the operators program?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• Were they included?				
	• Does the outcome deviate from manufacturers recommendations; are the conclusions stated and decisions substantiated? (see std 625)				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4) / 625.86(5)(b)(l)				
14.	Are aircraft operational and environmental conditions considered in the evaluation program? (sample, review actual process)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.07(3)				
15.	*Is there evidence that the operator has reviewed and evaluated Service Bulletins and other technical information for applicability to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence of a maintenance specialist being involved in the decision process?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4) / ANB055				
16.	How would the company handle a service bulletin issued today which affects their fleet? (sample / interview, does the response reflect stated procedures, follow process through?)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.07				
17.		N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

AO	AOC-04 Evaluation Program					
	Ref.					

## *Comments/Summary:*

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

File 5015-

Date:

AOC-05 Technical / Regulatory Publications						
1.	Is the person responsible for keeping publications current aware of his / her responsibilities (interview)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.12(1)					
2.	Does the operator have technical and regulatory publications according to the list in their approved manual? Note: CASS 726.04(2) is not explicit in the requirement for technical or regulatory information, the following is a <u>possible</u> list	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Aeronautics Act					
	<ul> <li>Canadian Aviation Regulations &amp; appropriate standards</li> </ul>					
	• type certificates;					
	• supplemental type certificates;					
	• FAA Advisory Circulars 43.13					
	<ul> <li>Airworthiness Directives, applicable to the aircraft / equipment operated;</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>manufacturer's maintenance, illustrated parts, overhaul manuals, and service bulletin and service letters,</li> </ul>					
	MCM or MPM					
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)					
3.	Are publications / manuals current, i.e. amendments up-to- date?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)					
4.	*Is the system for monitoring manual currency effective?	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

AO	C-05 Technical / Regu	latory F	Publica	tions	
	Ref: CASS 726.07				
5.	Are appropriate portions of publications made available to company personnel, contractors as outlined in the manual?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)/CASS 726.08(1)(j)				
6.	How is the operator made aware of technical information, updates, bulletins, etc. applicable to the aircraft type they operate?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)				
7.	<ul> <li>Does the company have equipment, i.e. Computers, fiche reader / printer etc., necessary for reproducing this information?</li> <li>Does the equipment function?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)				
8.	If regulatory publications are to be available via the Internet, have the company demonstrate their access to and navigate the information.	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Ref: CASS 726.04(2) If publications are to be made available to the operator via a contract for maintenance, does the operator ensure that the technical manual applies to their particular aircraft via serial number or model or year? Ref: CASS 726.04(2)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
10.	How does the operator control out of date / historical publications / training notes?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AOC-05 Technical / Regulatory Publications							
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)						
11.	Is the library distributed and is there a master list which identifies each publication and location? (sample locations)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)						
12.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						
Con	Comments/Summary:						

Com	ments	/Sum	mary:
-----	-------	------	-------

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706) 

File 5015-

Company:

Г

	Is the location of records in	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	accordance with the manual?				
	Ref: CAR 726.04(2)(f)				
	Does the use of job / segmented / task cards, require the operator to update a computer software program after completion?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, is there any evidence of missed entries or incorrect times being input?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>				
-	*Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the operator's or the AMO's?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is this according to manual requirements?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>				
•	Does the operator ensure that completed inspection packages are reviewed?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.96(2)				
	Are the maintenance check sheets used as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Approved Maintenance Schedule				
•	Cross check completed check sheets with the aircraft log books, is there evidence of maintenance being completed prior to certifying the Technical Log entry? (sample).	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

	Ref: CAR605.92(1)				
7.	Sample aircraft technical records (including Journey Log). Do the recorded actions meet regulatory requirements? For example:	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	certifications;				
	• time between checks;				
	<ul> <li>weight and balance report;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>inspections completed on time;</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / CAR 605.96 / CAR 571 / Std. AWM 571 Appendix C				
8.	Are established procedures being followed to record and control maintenance items? For example:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>scheduled maintenance and inspection;</li> </ul>				
	Ad's and special instructions;				
	<ul> <li>components;</li> </ul>				
	TBOs and life-limited times;				
	<ul> <li>calendar-time items;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>pitot-static and altimeter calibration checks;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>compass calibration;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>weight and balance</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>independent control checks / CMR / critical maintenance tasks.</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.96 / CAR 571.10(4) / CAR 726.08(1)(m)				
9.	Are maintenance records acceptable for completeness and final certification? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std. AWM 571.10(2) -(4)/ CAR605.92(1)				
10.	Does the operator transfer information from the journey log to the permanent technical record in accordance to regulatory and MCM requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

AO	C-06 Technical Record	S			
	MCM requirements?				
	Ref: CAR 605.96(2)				
11.	Does the operator maintain technical records in a dry, secure area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)(f)				
12.	*Do company personnel record information and defects in the journey log or other technical record in accordance with MCM procedures?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(k)				
13.	Is the technical record (including journey log) a consecutive, unbroken history?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.93(4)				
14.	Is computer software used as a sole support technical history?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, is there evidence of original entries being updated?</li> </ul>				
	• Is the original entry protected?				
	Ref: CAR 605.93(2) / CAR 103.4(a) / Std. 625.93(3)				
15.	*Is the primary method for controlling maintenance tasks generated / tracked by computer?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, it must be a secure system, (see technical records)?</li> </ul>				
16.	Ref: CAR 103.04 Where computer maintenance	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	software is used, is the ACA holder identified in the				

AO	AOC-06 Technical Records						
	computerized record ?						
	Ref: CAR 605.93(1)(b)						
17.	Is there evidence of maintenance releases, which are issued "subject to satisfactory test flight", not having the corresponding final certification by the pilot-in- command?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
4.0	Ref: Std. AWM 571.10(4)						
18.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)

File 5015-

Company:
----------

AO	C-07 Weight & Balance				
1.	Is the person responsible for weight and balance control knowledgeable of their duties and responsibilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.12(1)				
2.	Are any of the aircraft operated subject to the five year re-weigh requirement? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix C, (8)				
3.	Are weight and balance reports carried on board each aircraft?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there more than one report which may be contradictory?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Type Certificate				
4.	Is there evidence of changes being made to aircraft basic weight without amendments to the weight and balance report?	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (2)				
5.	Does each amendment to the weight and balance report include revision dates and revised equipment lists?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				
6.	Are empty weight and c of g calculations computed in the amended report?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				

AO	C-07 Weight & Balance				
7.	Has a maintenance release been signed for each change to the weight & balance report?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				
8.	Does each aircraft's weight & balance report demonstrate continuity back to the time the aircraft was weighed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR605.92 / Std. 571 Appendix C				
9.	Do company personnel follow the approved procedures when moving from one aircraft configuration to another?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (3)				
10.	For each aircraft configuration established, has a maintenance release been signed? (note: the current configuration must be identified however a maintenance release is not required for the entry when changing from one configuration to another)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				
11.	Does the system of control ensure accurate weight and balance information is used by the pilot-in- command?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(u) / Std. 571 Appendix C				
12.	Does the weight and balance report / equipment list include all items specified in the aircraft type certificate?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does it also include additional equipment required / installed for the operation of the aircraft?</li> </ul>				

AO	C-07 Weight & Balance					
	• Does it include any equipment installed as a result of an STC or modification?					
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (1)(a)					
13.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
ι <u> </u>						

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 704 / 705 A	Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)
Company:	

File 5015-

AOC-08 Maintenance Development Program (MPD)							
Prog	gram Application						
1.	Does the manual state the objective of the maintenance development program?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
2.	Does the manual state policy of how Maintenance Development activities will influence the maintenance schedule?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
3.	Is the maintenance schedule / program, or portion thereof, to be affected by the program clearly defined?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
4.	Does the operator have internal maintenance capabilities i.e. QA / production systems (essential for effective control) and access to engineering support (aid in evaluating)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
Adn	ninistration						
5.	<ul><li>Is the MPD a self contained document?</li><li>If so, does the MCM enable it's use by reference?</li></ul>	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
6.	If self contained, is there an amendment process described?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

AOC-08 Maintenance Development Program (MPD)						
Poli	icy Changes & Authority					
7.	Does the program require TC approval before changes are to be instituted?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
8.	Does the document specify procedures for establishing or policy with regards to the program?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
9.	<ul> <li>Does the program include a graphic portrayal of the program operation?</li> <li>Is one required for clarity? (process chart)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
10.	<ul> <li>Is reliability monitoring to be part of this program?</li> <li>If so, complete separate Reliability Monitoring Checklist.</li> </ul>	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
Initi	al Maintenance Requirement	s				
11.	<ul> <li>Does the manual describe how an initial maintenance schedule is to be developed or what it is based upon?</li> <li>Is it based upon an MRB and other operator data?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
12.	Does the manual specify the amount of in service data needed prior to making considering changes to the maintenance schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

AOC-08 Maintenance Development Program (MPD)						
13.	Is the MPD process dependent on reliability monitoring process?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
Fva	luation of Information					
14.	Does the program consider information from other sources, for example: manufacturers, other operators, airworthiness authorities?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
15.	How is this information obtained from other sources controlled and processed within the organization?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
16.	<ul><li>Does the description of the program contain a diagram of the organizational structure?</li><li>If not, is one required for clarity?</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
17.	Does the manual define lines of authority and responsibility	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
18.	Does the scope of maintenance activity to be control under this program require a comprehensive system for evaluating aircraft fleet / or product performance or is	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

	C-08 Maintenance Development Simple means acceptable?	-			· ·
Per	formance Influences:				
19.	Does the program consider the operating environment, where and when aircraft fly, land and layover such as hot, cold, humid, dusty, salty, etc.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
20.	Does the program consider the operating schedule, flight hours per cycle, cycles per day, flight hours per day, variation within fleets due to short / long routing.	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
21.	Does the program consider the effect of adding or deleting aircraft on the population samples, resource and maintenance capabilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
22.	Does the program consider the modification status of components in the program?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
23.	Does the program consider the integration of used equipment / aircraft?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
24.	Is the system of data collection fully described and does it specify typical sources of information?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AOC-08 Maintenance Development Program (MPD)							
25.	If sampling is used, the method, number of samples, time on the samples, when sampling and intervals spelled out.	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
26.	Does the operator define tasks such as "inspection", "check" for their scope of purpose?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
27.	Escalation procedure - required series or sequence of checks?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
28.	Are task interval parameters tailored to operators desired units?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
29.	<ul> <li>Does the operator participate in the MRB process?</li> <li>If so is their utilization comparable to that of the world fleet leaders?</li> </ul>	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
30.	Are alternate or substitute inspection methods used to qualify maintenance requirements, i.e. X- ray substituted for ultrasonic?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		

AO	AOC-08 Maintenance Development Program (MPD)							
31.	Does the operator have a procedure or the capability to enhance manufacturers maintenance instructions?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
32.	Are the enhancements driven by reliability processes or other feedback mechanisms?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
33.	Procedures for making other changes from one primary maintenance process to another, for example changing from Hard Time to On Condition	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
34.	Does the system obtain data from units only under operational conditions?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
35.	Does the manual provide samples of how data justifying actions is to be collected?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
Data	a Analysis & Application to the temperature of the second se	he Mainte	enance F	Program:				
36.	Does it describe how data analysis will influence adjustments to maintenance control ?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			

37.	Are the duties and responsibilities for initiating program revision clearly defined?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
38.	Does the operator use trending as a means for determining maintenance requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
39.	Is the documentation required to be used to effect changes in maintenance program described?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
40.	Procedures provided to assure that any TBO adjustment or other program change does not conflict with a corrective action program established by a previous reliability analysis.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
41.	Program contains a statement that the TC Office will be advised when increases to time limitations or other program changes controlled by the program occur.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
42.	Does the program include a graphic display of major system/component TBO escalation.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

AO	AOC-08 Maintenance Development Program (MPD)							
43.	Does the program identify organizational elements responsible for approval of amendments to the maintenance schedule?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
44.	Is it singular or quorum based?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
Pro	cedures for Maintenance Co	ntrol Cha	anges :					
45.	Program describes the procedures used for making changes to maintenance controls.	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
46.	Organizational elements responsible to prepare substantiation reports to justify maintenance control changes identified.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
47.	Procedures included relative to manual revisions concerning time increases and what will be required prior to pursuing a subsequent time increase.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
48.	Procedures provided for revision to the Operations Specifications when and if required.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			

AO	AOC-08 Maintenance Development Program (MPD)					
49.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:	

File 5015-

AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs										
Pro	Program Application: FAA AC / AMA									
1.	Does the reliability program clearly define the components, systems, or complete aircraft which are to be controlled?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked					
2.	Is the maintenance program, or portion thereof, to be controlled by reliability program clearly defined?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked					
3.	Does the program reference the operator's MCM where details pertaining to overhaul and inspections periods, work content, and other maintenance program activities that are guided by the program may be found?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked					
Org	anizational Structure:									
4.	Does the description of the program contain a diagram of the organizational structure?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked					
	<ul> <li>If not, is one required for clarity?</li> </ul>									
5.	Does the manual define lines of authority and responsibility.	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked					
6.	Is the appropriate authority delegated to each organizational element?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked					

AC	AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs									
	initions:									
7.	Does the program clearly define significant terms?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked					
8.	Are acronyms or abbreviations peculiar to the program defined?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked					
Data 9.	a Collection System: Is the data collection system fully described and does it specify typical sources of information?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked					
10.	Does the system obtain data from units only under operational conditions?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked					
11.	Does the data relate directly to established levels of performance?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked					
12.	Does the manual provide samples of data and how it is to be collected?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked					

AO	AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs							
13.	If sampling techniques are to be used, is the method of sampling - number of / in service time / intervals, specified?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
Esta	ablishing Performance Stand	lards and	d Revisi	on:				
14.	Does the program include an initial performance standard that defines acceptable performance requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
15.	Does the manual describe methods used to evaluate and control performance? Are various methods in use?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
16.	<ul> <li>Are performance standards for system or component failures expressed in mathematical terms ? For example:</li> <li>1000 hours of aircraft operation ?</li> <li>Number of landings ?</li> <li>Operating cycles ?</li> <li>Departure delays ?</li> <li>Pilot reports ?</li> <li>Other findings under operational conditions ?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
17.	When a performance standard is exceeded, is an active investigation required ?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			

AO	C-09 Reliability Monito	ring Pro	ograms	5	
18.	<ul> <li>Are statistical techniques used in reliability measurement supportive of maintenance control actions ?</li> <li>Are actuarial or engineering studies used to determine maintenance changes.</li> <li>Are studies made involving inspection frequency and content, overhaul limits and times ?</li> <li>Do they show aircraft, system, or component modification ?</li> <li>Does it show other actions peculiar to prevailing conditions ?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
19.	Are there provisions for the re- evaluating performance standards that lose their value ?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
20.	Are there provisions to establish initial performance standards for new aircraft being placed in service ?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
21.	Are there provisions for the initial alert values to be adjusted based on carrier's first year of experience ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
22.	If PIREPS per 1000 departures is the standard, is 5 PIREPS per 1000 added to rate for past year to set alert value?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
23.	Are alert levels set up on a combination of past performance	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs								
	plus "good judgment" ?								
24.	Is a statistical approach used to establish alerts ?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
25.	<ul><li>Are alert values equal to mean plus 3 standard deviations?</li><li>If not, what criterion is used?</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
Data	a Analysis Methodology & A	pplicatio	n to Maii	ntenance:					
26.	Does the manual contain a description of the data analysis process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
27.	Does it describe how the analysis will influence adjustments to maintenance control ?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
28.	Types of action appropriate to the trend or level of reliability experienced.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
29.	Are procedures for evaluating critical failures established?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				

AO	AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs								
30.	Are the statistical techniques that are used to determine reliability levels described?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
31.	Is the manner in which information is exchanged between organizational elements fully described?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
32.	Are the activities and responsibility of each organizational element defined?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				
33.	<ul> <li>Does the program include a graphic portrayal of the program operation?</li> <li>Is one required for clarity? (process chart)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
Data	a Display & Corrective Action	n Proces	s:						
34.	Does the program describe the reports, charts, operating experience in use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
35.	Does the description contain essential information for each item	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				

AO	AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs								
	controlled by program?								
36.	Is each system and component identified by appropriate ATA code number? If not what method is used?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
37.	Does the data display method show trends as well as the current month's performance?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				
38.	Is there a description of the corrective action process?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				
39.	Does the corrective action process include a requirement to establish planned completion dates?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
40.	Is there a status (real time) of corrective action available?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
41.	Is corrective action description appropriate to circumstances ?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				

AO	AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs							
Pro	gram Revision:							
42.	Does the manual contain procedures for implementing changes to the reliability program?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
43.	Does the program identify which organizational elements are responsible for approving amendments to the reliability program? • Is this a quorum approach?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
44.	Are the duties and responsibilities for initiating program revision clearly defined?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
45.	Do procedures identify and isolate areas which will require TC approval prior to implementation?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
46.	Does the program require periodic reviews be accomplished which would validate the performance standard in use is still realistic?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
47.	Is a method of distribution and implementation of approved revisions to the reliability program	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			

AO	AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs								
	included in the manual?								
Pro	cedures for Implementing M	aintenan	ce Conti	rol Change	S:				
48.	Does the program describe procedures which are used for making changes to maintenance controls?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
49.	Is the documentation used to effect changes in maintenance program described?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				
50.	Are substantiation reports justifying maintenance control changes required / prepared / produced by the organizational elements responsible for such changes?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				
51.	Are specific parameters identified which are to be used to determine changes in maintenance control?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				
52.	Are procedures provided which cover all maintenance program activities controlled by the reliability program?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
53.	Are procedures specified for changing items from "Hard Time" to "On Condition" or "Condition	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				

AO	C-09 Reliability Monito Monitoring?"	ring Pro	ograms	5	
	wontoning?				
54.	Are procedures specified for making other changes from one primary maintenance process to another?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
55.	Are procedures included concerning maintenance interval changes and the subsequent action required should an additional time increase be pursued?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
56.	Is a feedback process described for influencing revision to Operations Specifications if and when required?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
57.	Has a procedure been established to ensure that any program change / TBO adjustment, does not conflict with a corrective action process established by a previous reliability analysis?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
58.	Does the program include a graphic display detailing major system / component TBO escalation process?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
59.	Are details of Airworthiness Directives or Service Bulletins taken into consideration or evaluated for applicability to the program?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

AO	AOC-09 Reliability Monitoring Programs									
Pro	gram Approval:									
60.	Are procedure describing approval process clearly identified?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked					
61.	Does the manual contain a requirement to notify / advise the TC Office responsible when increases to time limitations or other processes / changes controlled by the program occur?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked					
62.		N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked					
	Ref.									

Items completed by:		Date:	

File 5015-

AOC-10 Reserved

# Reserved

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)

\_\_\_\_\_

Company:

File 5015-

AOC-11 Maintenance Planning							
	Note: As maintenance planning is procedural specific to the operator, a supplemental checklist may need to be developed from the approved manual in support of the audit.						
1.	Is the individual responsible for the program knowledgeable of the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.12(1)						
2.	Is the method or process used to monitor / schedule maintenance activities / repetitive AD's (computer / status system / other) being followed by the organization?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	• Does this include supplemental maintenance items (such as STC's added after MS approval, floats & skis etc., safety equipment)?						
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)c) / CASS 726.08(1)(m)						
3.	Are the same method(s) used at all locations and sub bases?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)						
4.	*Is the primary method for controlling maintenance tasks generated / tracked by computer?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>If so is it a secure system, (see technical records)?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR 103.04 / CAR 605.93(2)						
5.	Is the method used up-to-date and current?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		

AU	C-11 Maintenance Plan	iiiig			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)				
5.	*Is there operational or additional equipment that is not addressed in the maintenance schedule? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 625.86				
7.	Is there evidence that maintenance requirements have been missed or actioned late?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)				
3.	Are there service bulletins that were or should have been adopted / installed / integrated into the maintenance program? (sample)	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m) / CAR 625.86(6)				
).	Is the frequency and use of tolerances planned or excessive?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8) / TP13094				
10.	Are maintenance releases made to facilitate the use of the tolerance prior to it's use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8)				
11.	Is aircraft operating information (aircraft daily flight time / condition / status) relayed to the individual making decisions on maintenance requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Is this in accordance with the instruction / procedures				

AO	C-11 Maintenance Plan	ning			
	specified in the manual?				
	<ul> <li>Does this information include a requirement for - defects, MELs, special inspections,hard landings, lighting strikes, etc</li> <li>Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)</li> </ul>				
10					
12.	*For aircraft operating under MEL authorities, how is repair interval planned and actioned?	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)				
13.	Is the liaison between the operator and the maintenance contractor effective?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence that the communication process does not work?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 706.09(2)(a) / CASS 726.04(1)(g)				
14.	*Is the maintenance contractor consulted in the defect deferral process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(g) / CASS 726.08(1)(v)				
15.	Are maintenance requirements communicated to the AMO in accordance with manual requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(g) / CASS 726.08(1)(v)				
16.	Does the operator maintain current inspection sheets as identified in the Maintenance Schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)				
17.	Do inspection sheets cover all applicable areas of the aircraft as	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

	described in the program?					
	Move to MS Checklist !!					
8.	Are the appropriate forms and information supplied to the maintenance organization or are they to be reproduced by the AMO?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Is this in accordance with the stated process?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)					
9.	Did AMO personnel use the latest check sheet (revision) during the last few inspections?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)					
Э.	Where the operator writes or re- writes job / segmented / task cards, does the operator follow the process stated in the manual for controlling revision of the cards?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>Does the card contain a reference link to the original instructions?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix D (3)(a)(vi)					
1.	For computer controlled maintenance planning, is the repeat interval self generated or is this a manual input operation?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	• Is the process consistent with the description in the manual?					
	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence that repeat interval maintenance requirements have been overlooked or entered incorrectly?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR 706.08(1)(m) / CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)					
2.		N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

## **AOC-11 Maintenance Planning**

Ref.

Comments/Summary:

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AOC-12 Defect Recording, Rectification, Deferral, and Control Procedures							
Rec	Recording						
1.	Does the company record defects in accordance with the procedures specified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(o) / CAR 605.94(1)						
2.	Are defects recorded in the proper record / log; are they identified appropriately and handled in accordance with stated procedures?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 706.05(a) / CAR 605.94(1)						
3.	Does this recording procedure apply to defects found by flight crew during normal operations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Does the method indicated conflict with regulatory requirements?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR 706.05(a) / CAR 605.94(1)						
4.	Are defects found during maintenance recorded in accordance with procedures stated by the operator or by the maintenance contractor?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
5.	*Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the operator's or the AMO's?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Is this according to manual requirements?</li> </ul>						
-	Ref: CAR 706.05(a)						
6.	Is there evidence of defects not being recorded:	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		

	C-12 Defect Recording, ocedures	Rectif	ication	, Deferral	, and Control
	• by the flight crew?				
	<ul> <li>while the aircraft is away from base?</li> </ul>				
	during maintenance?				
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1)				
7.	How does the company handle self generated / recorded fault logs (Built In Test Equipment)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(o)				
Def	erral				
8.	For aircraft that released under MEL authority, complete MEL Checklist	N/A	Not Chec	ked 🗌	
9.	How does the operator determine a defects suitability for deferral?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are technical / regulatory manuals and type certificate information available / consulted in the process?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.06(a)				
10.	Are defects assessed against mandatory or required operating equipment limitations (also includes Type Certificates Data Sheets and basis of certification)?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.09 / CAR 605.10 / CAR 605 General				
11.	*Are environmental factors and operational role of the aircraft considered in the defect's assessment?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 602 Division II /CAR 605.06(b)				

	C-12 Defect Recording, ocedures	, Rectifi	cation	, Deferral	, and Control
12.	*Is the maintenance contractor consulted in the deferral process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.08(1)(o)				
13.	Are deferrals entered in the journey log or other recording system in accordance with stated procedures?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.08(1)(o) / CAR 605.94(1)				
14.	Are procedures for placarding deferred defects being followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std 625.08 CAR 605.10(2)(b)				
15.	Are personnel that defer authorized?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.10(2)(c) / CAR				
Rec	<sup>706.08(1)(o)</sup> urring Defect				
16.	Is there any evidence indicating recurring defects are not being addressed according to stated procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.05(2) / CASS 726.05(1)				
17.	Is the operator's stated procedure effective in detecting the reoccurrence of defects?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the defect system highlight defects that recur to</li> </ul>				

	the flight crew and					
	maintenance organization?					
	Ref: CAR 706.05(a) / CASS 726.05(1)					
8.	Does the operator's criteria, for classifying recurring defects, meet regulatory requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.05(3)					
Cor	ntrol					
19.	*For aircraft operating under MEL authorities, how is repair interval planned and actioned?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)					
20.	Troubleshooting, part removal. Does the manual indicate how a part removed for troubleshooting purposes must be handled?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	• If so, does the company follow procedures for storage, time limits and maintenance release requirements?					
	<ul> <li>parts removed from another aircraft for troubleshooting?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(r) / CAR 571.13 / CAR 706.08(1)(m)					
21.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Comments/Summary	
------------------	--

Items completed by:	Date:

AO	C-13 Airworthiness Dire	ective,	Service	e Bulletin	
1.	Does the operator have all Airworthiness Directives applicable to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2) / Std. AWM 593 Appendix B (2)(a)				
2.	Does the operator ensure timely action of Airworthiness Directives?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m) / CASS 726.07(4)				
3.	Are the details, of maintenance actions used during the accomplishment of Airworthiness Directives, recorded in the appropriate technical record?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• For Service Bulletins?				
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1) / Std. AWM 571.03 / Std. AWM 571.10(4) / Std. 625 Appendix H (1)(b)				
4.	Does the operator have direct access to Service Bulletin and other technical information applicable to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	• If access is by way of a contract, is the access adequate? (sample, have operator demonstrate)				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)				
5.	*Is there evidence that the operator has reviewed and evaluated Service Bulletins and other technical information for applicability to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence of a maintenance specialist being involved in the decision process?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4) / ANB055				

AO	AOC-13 Airworthiness Directive, Service Bulletin							
6.	Is the implementation of AD / SB or other technical information actioned directly from the manufacturers technical information or is the process re written into task cards or action sheets?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>If re written, is there a control process or review mechanism in place which ensures the accuracy of the information?</li> </ul>							
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix D (3)(vi)							
7.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			

			<u> </u>
Items completed by:		Date:	
······································	 		

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator	<sup>r</sup> Requirements	(CAR	706)
Commonstra			

Company:
----------

AO	AOC-14 ETOPS - Extended Range Operations						
1.	Is the individual responsible for the program knowledgeable of the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
2.	Is propulsion system reliability data forwarded to Transport Canada on a monthly basis?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref: TP 6327 4.9.1						
3.	Is the engine and APU oil consumption being monitored as described in the manual?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: TP 6327 4.4						
4.	Does the curriculum for the ETOPS training consider all factors and consideration of the program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: TP 6327 4.10						
5.	Have personnel that certify ETOPS departure checks been trained and authorized? (sample)	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: TP 6327 4.10						
6.	Parts / components may be sensitive to ETOPS requirements; does the operator have a program to control modification status of individual components?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: TP 6327 4.2.1						

AO	C-14 ETOPS - Extended	d Range	e Opera	ations	
7.	Do part pooling activities consider ETOPS CMP requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 4.11				
8.	Does the aircraft configuration and modification status conform to the requirements specified in the CMP document? (sample, component changes) Ref:	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Is there any evidence to suggest that the operator has conducted a verification process after engine shutdown or primary system failure or critical maintenance activities? (sample)	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 4.6				
10.	Does the operator continue to input unscheduled / scheduled engine removal data into the ETOPS program?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 4.9.2				
11.	Are thrust reductions due to abnormal causes logged and tracked for program input?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
12.	Does the operator adhere to the approved reliability program and are ETOPS sensitive items covered by the program, i.e. propulsion, systems, structure?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				

AO	C-14 ETOPS - Extended	d Range	e Oper	ations	
13.	Does the operator continue to collect and advise TC of data relevant to the health of the approved ETOPS program as defined in the approved document or MCM?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 4.7.1				
14.	Does the operator adhere to maintenance procedures and tasks specified in the manual, maintenance schedule or operator's ETOPS control document?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 4.2				
15.	Are ETOPS aircraft being maintained in conformance with the manufacturer's ETOPS type configuration?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 4.2				
16.	Is the ETOPS program now in use in line with the program approved by TC?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
17.	Is the operator incorporating changes required by configuration document amendments?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 4.2.1				
18.	Is the operator reviewing and modifying the ETOPS program to reflect control document amendments in a timely manner?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-14 ETOPS - Extended	d Rang	e Oper	ations	
	Ref:				
19.	Is the operator following the ETOPS control document or program as outlined in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
20.	*Does the operator release aircraft participating in the ETOPS program in accordance with the approved MEL document?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: TP 6327 3.4.2				
21.	Does the operator adhere to the enhanced training, approved in the manual or operator's ETOPS control document?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 4.10				
22.	Is there any evidence of the operator dispatching aircraft (ETOPS qualified) after a power unit or primary failure without reference to the approved MEL?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref:				
23.	Ref.	N/A	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

Items completed by:	Date:

AO	C-15 Minimum Equipm	ent List	- MEL,	OMEL, (	GMEL
1.	Is the MEL TC Civil Aviation approved?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.07(3) / CAR 704.07(2)(d) / CAR 705.07(2)(e)				
2.	Does the operator have a MEL training program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Does the program include training of contract maintenance personnel?				
	Ref: TP9155 - 3.16				
3.	Is a copy of the approved MEL carried on board the aircraft? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.09(1)(b)				
4.	Is the on-board copy at the corrective revision? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.09(1)(b)				
5.	Are MEL numbers entered in the journey log for deferred MEL items?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP9155 Appendix R1.3				
6.	Are only <u>authorized personnel</u> releasing or dispatching aircraft with inoperative items?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP9155 Appendix R1.3 / R1.4				

AO	C-15 Minimum Equipm	ent List	- MEL	, OMEL, (	GMEL
7.	Are appropriate maintenance instructions carried on board the aircraft to facilitate conformance to the MEL?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP9155				
8.	Are aircraft with multiple deferred MEL items operated for extended periods through maintenance bases and terminating airports?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP9155				
9.	*For aircraft that released under MEL authority, are they done so in accordance with the correct procedure?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	placarding				
	<ul> <li>authorized person</li> </ul>				
	category				
	time limits				
	Ref: CAR 605.09				
10.	Does the operator implement revisions to their MEL within a reasonable time after the release of a revision to the Master MEL?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: TP9155				
11.	*Does the company have MEL self extension authority?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Are MEL self extensions coordinated between operations and maintenance?				
	Ref:				
12.	Is there any evidence to suggest that this privilege is being abused, i.e. excessive or repetitive extensions for the same item; late or untimely actioning of the	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-15 Minimum Equipme	ent List	- MEL	, OMEL, (	GMEL
	defect?				
	Ref:				
13.	Is there evidence of notification being sent to Transport Canada when MEL self extensions are granted?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
14.	Does the organization follow the policies and procedures for conformance to the MEL as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
15.	*Does the operator release aircraft participating in the ETOPS program in accordance with the approved MEL document?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 3.4.2				
16.	*Have individual aircraft MELs been reviewed / amended to reflect the additional maintenance procedures necessary for the ETOPS operation?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327 3.4.2 a)				
17.	*Does the ETOPS departure check cover items such as maintenance sensitive items, MEL deferral review, deferred item review, system verification, log book review?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: TP 6327 4.2.1 c)				_
18.	How is aircraft configuration management (CMP) integrated / factored / coordinated into the ETOPS and MEL processes?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

AOC-15 Minimum Equipment List - MEL, OMEL, GMEL					
K 🔲 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌					

Items completed by:	_ Date:

AO	OC-16 All Weather Operations - Category II, III							
1.	Does the operator have All Weather Operations (AWO) approval to Category II - III limits?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref: TP 1490							
2.	Does the operator have an approved maintenance program in support of the AWO?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: TP 1490							
3.	Are aircraft down graded / returned to Category II - III status in accordance with approved procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: TP 1490							
4.	Are the equipment and systems required for an AWO maintained in accordance with the approved maintenance schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: TP 1490							
5.	Are modifications to Category II - III equipment and systems approved in accordance with Chapter 513 of the Airworthiness Manual?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: TP 1490							
6.	Does the operator maintain a current list of personnel authorized to certify the company aircraft to Category II - III?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>Is the list available to the person responsible for dispatching the aircraft?</li> </ul>							
	Ref: TP 1490							

AO	C-16 All Weather Opera	tions -	Catego	ory II, III	
7.	Have Category II - III certified maintenance personnel received initial and recurrent training?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 1490				
8.	Does the operator utilize contract maintenance?	N/A	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• If so, can it be verified that the contracting maintenance organization is approved by TC Civil Aviation to properly maintain the Category II - III systems?				
	Ref: TP 1490				
9.	If a foreign maintenance organization is used, does it meet the minimum requirements for approval to properly maintain the Category II - III systems?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 1490				
10.	Are defects which effect AW Operations rectified in a timely manner?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 1490				
11.	Is there any evidence to suggest that aircraft are operated to limits which do not meet configuration requirements?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 1490				
12.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 704 / 705 A	Aircraft Operator	Requirements (CAR 7	06)
Company:			

AO	C-17 Technical Dispate	h Proc	edures		
1.	Are maintenance entries attesting to serviceability and continuation of safe operation made each time a tolerance is used?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8)				
2.	Have authorized personnel issued the tolerance in accordance with the manual requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1) / CASS 726.06(1)				
3.	Is there any evidence to suggest that Airworthiness Directives are also given the tolerance?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 625.86(8) / CAR 605.84(10)(b)				
4.	Does tolerance use conflict with the requirements outlined in the respective maintenance schedules?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std 625.86 Appendix C				
5.	Is there any evidence to suggest that the pilot-in-command is given adequate notification of the next scheduled maintenance activity? (particular attention to operations from remote locations)	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.06(2)				
6.	Do the procedures ensure that aircraft are not operated in an unairworthy condition?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(q)				

AO	C-17 Technical Dispate	h Proc	edures	5	
7.	How is the PRM advised of the status while aircraft are away from base?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.06(1)(a) / CASS 726.06(2)				
8.	*Are environmental factors and operational role of the aircraft considered in the dispatch of an aircraft with a defect?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 602 Division II				
9.	Is the procedure followed for returning the aircraft to service when aircraft become unserviceable away from base?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(q)				
10.	Are procedures followed for transmitting maintenance information to aircraft operating away from the base?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(q)				
11.	Is deferred maintenance taken into consideration when dispatching aircraft?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.05(d)				
12.	For aircraft that have additional (dual) flight authorities, are procedures followed for invoking the applicable flight authority?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.06(2) / CASS 726.08(1)(q) / CAR 507.08				
13.	*For companies that have self	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-17 Technical Dispate	h Proc	edures	5	
	flight permit authority issuance privileges, are procedures followed for invoking or issuing the flight permit?				
	<ul> <li>Check Company Operations Manual for complimentary procedures?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 706.06(2) / CASS 726.08(1)(q) / CAR 507.08 / CAR 507.04(4) AWM 505 Appendix F				
14.	*Does the fleet weight and balance control program for dispatching aircraft meet requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is the pilot-in-command always aware of the aircraft's operating weight and configuration?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 706.06(3) Note: 2000-12-14 Fleet W&B presently undefined in the CARs				
15.	Are maintenance entries regarding aircraft weight and balance status recorded in the journey log?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1) / CAR Std 571 Appendix C				
16.	For aircraft operated under ETOPS or All Weather Operation, are the operations and technical dispatch in accordance with stated procedures. (refer to separate checklists)	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: TP 6327				
17.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Comments/Summary:	
-------------------	--

Items completed by:	Date:	

AO	C-18 Flight Authorities	- Test /	Ferry		
1.	*Does the technical dispatch system flag to the pilot-in- command when test flight requirements are to be used?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are operations and maintenance required to communicate with each other?</li> </ul>				
	Ref:				
2.	<ul> <li>For maintenance test flights, is the final certification of "satisfactory flight" made by the pilot in command?</li> <li>Is there any evidence to suggest passengers may have carried on board during the test flight?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 571.10(4) / Std. 571.10(4) Table Item F / CAR 605.85(2) & (3)				
3.	*For aircraft that have additional (dual) flight authorities are procedures followed for invoking the applicable flight authority?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 507.08(1)&(3), CAR 571.06(3) / CAR706.06(2) / CASS 726.08(1)(q)				
4.	Are flight and maintenance crews familiar with "away from base" ferry-flight procedures as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref:				
5.	Does the operator follow the procedures described in the manual when exercising ferry-flight authorization?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
6.	Where self flight permit authorization is given, when used has the company followed	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

AO	AOC-18 Flight Authorities - Test / Ferry						
	has the company followed procedures and have the required company authorizations been obtained?						
	Ref:						
7.	Is the proper (company) form being used? Does the F/P form become part of the permanent record?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref:						
8.	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence of misuse or aircraft positioning that may have taken place under flight permit authority?</li> <li>Do the conditions specified seem reasonable or complete?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
9.	Are ferry flights being reported to the TC Civil Aviation as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref:						
10.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

AO	C-19 Maintenance Arra	ngemei	nts		
1.	Does the manual state a policy for initiating or governing maintenance contracts?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
2.	Does the manual specify criteria which must be identified or included in the maintenance contract?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• Does it include a description of contract administration and the scope of work?				
	<ul> <li>If the manual does not contain specific procedures, are maintenance contracts to be individually approved by TC?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CASS 726.09 Info note.				
3.	How is the provision of emergency maintenance services addressed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the manual specify procedures for obtaining these services?</li> </ul>				
	• If so, is the process followed?				
	Ref: CASS 726.09(1) / CASS 726.09 Info note.				
4.	Is a communication procedure included in the arrangement to advise maintenance contractors of planned or unscheduled maintenance requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the procedure identify responsibilities of the maintenance coordinator?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>*Does this include how AD requirements are to be communicated between the parties?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
5.	Is direction included specifying	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-19 Maintenance Arra	ngeme	nts			
	which maintenance instructions are to be used by the contractor when performing maintenance?					
	• Does the process include the provision / use of forms and for providing copies of the appropriate maintenance schedules?					
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					
6.	Are all contracts entered into, identified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.08(v)					
7.	*Does the contract permit operator evaluation (evaluation program) of the contracted organization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					
8.	For individual contracts,	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Are all parties' responsibilities clearly defined in the manual and the contract?</li> </ul>					
	• Does the company follow the procedures for transmitting records between parties as established in the manual?					
	<ul> <li>Are requirements for maintenance releases specified, which documents and what entries in which technical record?</li> </ul>					
	• Is a requirement for contractor feedback specified in the maintenance arrangement, i.e. problems, SDR information, evaluation program,?					
	<ul> <li>Does it include providing feedback information in support approved reliability</li> </ul>					

AO	AOC-19 Maintenance Arrangements						
	program where required?						
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)						
9.	Where the work is performed outside of Canada, has the person been approved by a manual approved under the Foreign States' requirements?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>Is a "maintenance specification" required for work that is routinely carried out in a Foreign State?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR 571.11(3) / CASS 726.09(2)						
10.	Are both parties in possession of contract-substantiating documents for reliability programs and MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)						
11.	Does the contractor have the facilities, capability and the excess capacity to perform the additional work?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)						
12.	Is the contractor approved to operate and maintain the same type of aircraft as the operator?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	• If the operator utilizes the contractor's maintenance information / systems, has compatibility been established between the operator's and the contractor's aircraft?						
	<ul> <li>Does the maintenance program address equipment unique to the operator?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Have inspections intervals, cycle / calendar time and other variances been adjusted to suit the operator?</li> </ul>						

AO	C-19 Maintenance Arra	ngemei	nts		
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
13.	<ul> <li>Have the applicability and authority of the publications been delineated in the contract?</li> <li>Has the operator adopted any contract facility processes, i.e. repair methods and/or techniques?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
14.	Does the contract state the responsibilities and involvement of both parties in all or part of the reliability program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is the operators fleet combined with the contractors aircraft for reliability and statistical purposes?</li> </ul>				
	Do the aircraft operate in similar environments?				
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
15.	Does the contractor subcontract to a third party any part of the work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• Where actioned, does the main contract identify the contracting responsibilities between the operator and the first AMO?				
	• Have all phases of the subcontractor's arrangements been investigated using the same procedures as for the main contractor?				
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
16.	Is the contract significant enough to warrant Transport Canada review?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				

AO	AOC-19 Maintenance Arrangements						
17.	Have all phases of aircraft maintenance (e.g. heavy and light engine maintenance / structural maintenance) been established?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)						
18.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Opera	tor Requirements (CAR 706)
Company:	

AO	C-20 Training Program				
1.	Does the operator state a policy with respect to training for those individuals required to action functions of the organization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.12				
2.	Does the operator's manual contain a description of training requirements with respect to other duties carried out CAR 706 requirements? (note: this statement is broadly applied, training may be required for technical dispatch, weight and balance, etc.) • Has training covering	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	regulations and standards pertinent to the activity or function, been carried out?				
	Ref: CAR 706.12 / Std. 706.12				
3.	Has training for critical or independent maintenance tasks been provided to those individuals who will exercise the privilege?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.13)(b)				
4.	*Are training records for Elementary Work and Servicing available?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref:				
5.	Is training conducted according to the training cycle specified in the approved manual or is it driven by the results of the evaluation program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 726.12(5) / Std. 726.12(2)(c)				
6.	Does the manual specify a update	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-20 Training Program				
	training cycle (3 years) or is it driven by the results of the evaluation program?				
	Ref: Std. 726.12(5)				
7.	Is there evidence of update training that has been carried out?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Was it conducted as a result of regulatory or operator program changes?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 726.12 / Std. 726.12 (2)(c)				
8.	Is there evidence of training that is / was a direct result of a finding made in conjunction with the evaluation program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there training that should have transpired as a result of a finding made under this program?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 726.12 (2)(c)				
9.	The Commercial Air Service Standard permits certain elements of the training program to be administered under an AMO's MPM.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, does the MCM must make reference to items in the contained in the MPM?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 726.12(6)				
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706) \_\_\_\_\_ File 5015-

# Company:

AO	AOC-21 Personnel Records					
1.	Do personnel records consist of the items described in the manual and are they being maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: Std. 726.08(t)					
2.	Are there records in use which are not described in the manual?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
3.	Does the operator keep records of training provided for two years since the last entry?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.13(1)					
4.	Is a record provided to the person who has received the authorization to accomplish the function?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.13(2)					
5.	Do the personnel records for management assigned personnel contain their "qualifications"?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.13(1)(a)					
6.	Are any management functions sub delegated?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If so, is there a record of the individuals qualifications available?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR706.13(1)(a)					
7.	*Is there a list of personnel	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

AO	C-21 Personnel Record	ds				
	indicating their qualifications and authorizations?					
	Ref:					
8.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706) \_\_\_\_\_ File 5015-

Company:

AOC-22 De-Icing Procedures					
: check with C&BA de-icing require	ements ma	y be cove	ered under the	e company's COM.	
Does the manual have a policy statement regarding de-icing activities? Ref:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
Does the operator have it's own de-icing capabilities or is this function contracted? • Does this include de-icing	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
activities at any of the line stations?					
<ul> <li>Does the manual specify how de- icing will be conducted by the contractor?</li> <li>Where a de-icing contractor is utilized, is the requirement for inspecting the contractor and equipment specified in the manual?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
Are personnel conducting the de- icing operation trained and knowledgeable with de-icing procedures? Ref:	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
Is a record of training held by the operator?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
Does the manual specify the type	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>check with C&amp;BA de-icing require Does the manual have a policy statement regarding de-icing activities?</li> <li>Ref:         <ul> <li>Does the operator have it's own de-icing capabilities or is this function contracted?</li> <li>Does this include de-icing activities at any of the line stations?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Ref:         <ul> <li>Does the manual specify how de- icing will be conducted by the contractor?</li> <li>Where a de-icing contractor is utilized, is the requirement for inspecting the contractor and equipment specified in the manual?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Ref:         <ul> <li>Are personnel conducting the de- icing operation trained and knowledgeable with de-icing procedures?</li> <li>Ref:                 <ul> <li>S a record of training held by the operator?</li> <li>Ref:</li> <li>S a record of training held by the</li> <li>S a record of training held by the</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	check with C&BA de-icing requirements ma   Does the manual have a policy statement regarding de-icing activities?   Ref:   Does the operator have it's own de-icing capabilities or is this function contracted?   • Does this include de-icing activities at any of the line stations?   Ref:   Does the manual specify how de-icing will be conducted by the contractor?   • Where a de-icing contractor is utilized, is the requirement for inspecting the contractor and equipment specified in the manual?   Ref:   Are personnel conducting the de-icing operation trained and knowledgeable with de-icing procedures?   Ref:   Is a record of training held by the operator?   N/A □	check with C&BA de-icing requirements may be covered to be the manual have a policy statement regarding de-icing activities?   Does the manual have a policy statement regarding de-icing activities?   Ref:   Does the operator have it's own de-icing capabilities or is this function contracted?   • Does this include de-icing activities at any of the line stations?   Ref:   Does the manual specify how de-icing will be conducted by the contractor?   • Where a de-icing contractor is utilized, is the requirement for inspecting the contractor and equipment specified in the manual?   Ref:   Are personnel conducting the de-icing procedures?   Ref:   Is a record of training held by the operator?	check with C&BA de-icing requirements may be covered under the Does the manual have a policy statement regarding de-icing activities?   N/A OK   Ref:   Does the operator have it's own de-icing capabilities or is this function contracted?   • Does this include de-icing activities at any of the line stations?   Ref:   Does the manual specify how de-icing contractor?   • Where a de-icing contractor is utilized, is the requirement for inspecting the contractor and equipment specified in the manual?   Ref:   Are personnel conducting the de-icing procedures?   Ref:   Is a record of training held by the operator?   N/A   OK   Finding   notes the requirement for inspecting the conducting the de-icing operation trained and knowledgeable with de-icing procedures?   Ref:   Ref:   Is a record of training held by the operator?	

AO	C-22 De-Icing Procedu	res				
	<ul><li>of fluids to be used?</li><li>Are these fluids types</li></ul>					
	considered for maintenance problems?					
	Ref:					
7.	Is there a requirement to identify container of de-icing fluids?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
8.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:		Date:	

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 70	6)
Company:	

AO	C-23 Elementary Work,	Servic	ing		
1.	Does the company use procedures other than manufacturers stated in the performance of Elementary Work or Servicing?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are these procedures specified in the manual?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 726.08(1)(j)				
2.	Are authorization records for elementary work and servicing available and current?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the company follow the training process as described in the manual?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Are the authorizations supported by an available training record?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 706.04(1)(f) / CAR 706.10 / CAR 706.13(b)				
3.	Do any of the authorized tasks conflict with Appendix A, Subpart 625?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 726.10				
4.	Do authorizations include the performance of certain AD requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, has the training remained up to date with revisions to the AD, if issued?</li> </ul>				
	Ref:				
5.	Is the system of control for Elementary Work and Servicing adequate?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.11 / Std. 726.08(1)(j)				
6.	Does the company follow	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-23 Elementary Work	, Servic	ing			
	procedures for the recording of Elementary Work and Servicing?					
	Ref: Std. 726.08(1)(k)					
7.	For flight crew members that request contract servicing, have they been trained in the proper methods and techniques themselves?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
8.	Are the necessary tools and equipment for elementary work and servicing available?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)(d)					
9.	Does the company have facilities adequate for the performance of maintenance and servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
10.		N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Items c	omple	ted b	oy:
---------------------	---------	-------	-------	-----

Date:

AOC-24 Reserved

# Reserved

Does the company follow stated	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
procedures for fuel handling and controlling?				
Ref: Std. 706.08(1)(r)				
Is the fuel regularly monitored and tested for quality?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
Ref:				
What standard is used for the companies in support of their fuel handling?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
Are their practices in conformance with the standard?				
Ref:				
Are there records for inspection of the fueling facility?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
<ul> <li>For each base or fueling facility?</li> </ul>				
Ref:				
Drum fueling; is the method used to filter the fuel as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Ref:				
Is there a time limit for storage of fuel in being respected as described in the manual?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌

AO	C-25 Servicing - Fueling	g, De-fu	eling a	& Lubrica	ition, Oxygen
7.	Is the condition of the fueling facility, i.e., hoses (bonded), nozzle caps, filters, grounding cables and fire extinguishers being monitored?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref:				
8.	Is the fueling / defueling equipment properly identified and maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
9.	*Are the aircraft refueling points properly identified and placarded?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
10.	*Is the training program for personnel operating fueling / defueling equipment followed as described in the MCM?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref:				
11.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraf	t Operator Requirements (CAR 706)
Company:	

AO	C-26 Control of Parts, F	Parts Po	ooling		
1.	Are parts and materials owned or used by the company acquired and stored per the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
2.	Is the individual responsible for the control of parts / material knowledgeable about the procedures described in the manual?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
3.	<ul> <li>Does the company use a quarantine storage system?</li> <li>Are there procedures stated for limited access and control?</li> <li>Is it segregated, locked?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
4.	Does the quarantine store contain unserviceable parts, components,	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Material and equipment?</li> <li>Are these parts being handling according to procedures?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Are these items properly identified and held in temporary transit status?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>				
5.	Does the company's system ensure that there are no unserviceable, unidentified or untagged parts in bonded stores?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
6.	Is there a stated policy and	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	AOC-26 Control of Parts, Parts Pooling						
	requirement for the acquisition of parts and materials used during the performance of elementary work and servicing?						
	Ref: Std. 706.08(1)(r)						
7.	Are shelf-lifed items controlled as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
8.	Are flammable fluids and materials stored in fireproof cabinets in a separate area?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
9.	Are material batches numbered as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
10.	Are scrap items mutilated as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
11.	Does the acquisition procedure involve parts pooling agreements with other operators?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>If parts pooling is used, are limits on their use, and certification requirements specified in the manual / contract?</li> </ul>						
	Is traceability back to the						

AO	C-26 Control of Parts, F	Parts Po	ooling		
	original certification available?				
	Ref: Std. 706.08(1)(r)				
12.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 704 / 705 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

AO	AOC-27 Service Difficulty Reporting SDR							
1.	Are other personnel knowledgeable about the procedures to be followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01							
2.	Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01							
3.	Does the Operator submit service difficulty reports (SDRs) as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	• each time the same or a similar defect is encountered?							
	Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std 591.01							
4.	Sample defect entries / maintenance worksheets, are service difficulties being reported?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence that the operator is not filing Service Difficulty Reports?</li> </ul>							
	Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std 591.01							
5.	Does the Operator report unapproved parts via the SDR system?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: Std 591.01 Appendix D							
6.	Are the reports forwarded within the time frames established in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			

AOC-27 Service Difficulty Reporting SDR						
	Ref: Std. 591.01					
7.	Are SDR records maintained as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std. 591.01					
8.	Is the operator's responsibility for the SDRs, which are discovered by the maintenance contractor, covered in the manual or specified in the maintenance contract?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	• Are these requirements met?					
	Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std. 591.01					
9.	Are all data sources feeding the SDR system functioning as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std. 591.01					
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					



Items completed by:	Items c	omple	ted b	oy:
---------------------	---------	-------	-------	-----

# CAR 702 / 703 Air Operator Maintenance Requirements Checklists

# Table of Contents

# (March 2001)

AOC-01	Previous Transport Canada Audit	3
AOC-02	Maintenance Control Manual	5
AOC-03	Person Responsible for Maintenance	12
	Evaluation Program	
AOC-05	Technical / Regulatory Publications	18
	Technical Records	
AOC-07	Weight & Balance	25
AOC-08	Reserved	28
AOC-09	Reserved	29
AOC-10	Reserved	30
AOC-11	Maintenance Planning	32
AOC-12	Defect Recording, Rectification, Deferral, and Control Procedures	37
AOC-13	Airworthiness Directive, Service Bulletin	42
AOC-14	Reserved	44
AOC-15	Reserved	45
AOC-16	Reserved	47
AOC-17	Technical Dispatch Procedures	48
AOC-18	Flight Authorities - Test / Ferry	51
AOC-19	Maintenance Arrangements	54
AOC-20	Training Program	59
AOC-21	Personnel Records	62
AOC-22	De-Icing Procedures	64
AOC-23	Elementary Work, Servicing	66
AOC-24	Reserved	69
AOC-25	Servicing - Fueling, De-fueling & Lubrication, Oxygen	70
AOC-26	Control of Parts, Parts Pooling	73
AOC-27	Service Difficulty Reporting SDR	76

Intentionally Blank

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

AO	AOC-01 Previous Transport Canada Audit							
1.	What was the purpose and date of the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
2.	<ul><li>Were there a significant number of Audit Findings?</li><li>What conclusions were drawn from the audit?</li></ul>	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
3.	<ul> <li>Was the audit follow-up completed?</li> <li>List any outstanding audit findings respecting the previous audit?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
4.	Since the last audit, are there indications of high managerial staff turnover?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
5.	Is there any indications of high staff, flight crew or maintenance personnel turnover?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
6.	Have there been any changes in the company's scope, size, aircraft, type of service since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			

AOC-01 Previous Transport Canada Audit							
7.	Have there been any additional Operations Specifications or a change to the Maintenance Scope of Approval / Authorized since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
8.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 702 / 703 A	ircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)
Company:	

AOC-02 Maintenance Control Manual							
	Maintenance Control - Adm	inistrativ	е				
1.	Does the manual have a table of contents?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(a)						
2.	Does the manual reflect the business or trade name?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(b)						
3.	Does the company have a fixed place of business?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)(a)						
4.	Does the manual have a description of the	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>organization and size?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>state the geographic location and sub base facilities?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>state the type and number of aircraft operated?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>the nature and operation?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(c)						
5.	Does the statement reflect approvals listed on the Operations Certificates / Limitations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(c)						
6.	Is there a signed statement (by the certificate holder) indicating that the manual is their means of complying with the Canadian	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		

AO	C-02 Maintenance Con	trol Ma	nual			
	Aviation Regulations?					
	<ul> <li>Is there a listing of the incorporated documents?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Is the list covered by the certification statement made by the certificated holder?</li> </ul>					
	Note: there's no compelling regulation for the AOC to change certification if the certificate holder position changes					
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(d)					
7.	Has each Incorporated by Reference Document been "certified" by the PRM or person responsible for that management function as the Air Operator's means of compliance with the CARs?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(2)(d)					
8.	Does the manual describe an amendment procedure?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)					
9.	Does the manual describe the page identification process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(f)					
10.	Is there an LEP and is it approved by Transport Canada?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(f)					
11.	Do the pages contained in the manual match those listed in the LEP?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

AO	AOC-02 Maintenance Control Manual							
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(f)							
12.	Does the manual specify distribution procedures and the identity of manual holders / locations?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(g)							
13.	Is the amendment procedure followed?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)							
14.	Are manuals up to date?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)							
15.	Are distribution procedures followed?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)							
16.	Are manuals physically located at the designated site or with the specified person?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(e)							
17.	Complete separate PRM Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not	Checked 🗌				
18.	Does the manual contain a chart depicting the organizational	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			

AO	C-02 Maintenance Con	trol Ma	nual			
	responsibilities?					
	<ul> <li>If not, is one required for clarity?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(h)(iii)					
19.	Does the manual state the communication equipment to be used in the conduct of the type of business intended?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Is it adequate?					
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)(b)					
20.	Are they able to contact the aircraft / crew when away from the main base or remotely operated?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.06(2)					
21.	How is updating of the control manual actioned or the need generated?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(h)					
22.	Does the company have facilities adequate for the control of maintenance, elementary work and servicing?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.04 / CASS 726.04(2)(a)					
23.	Complete separate Evaluation Program Checklist	N/A	Not C	Checked		
	Maintenance Control - Oper	rational				
24.	Is there sufficient number of personnel to control all of the required maintenance, scheduling, elementary work, servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(b)					

AO	C-02 Maintenance Con	trol Ma	nual	
25.	Complete separate Technical, Regulatory Publication Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked	
26.	Complete separate Technical Records Checklist …	N/A 🗌	Not Checked	
27.	Complete separate Weight & Balance Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked	
28.	Does the manual specify how maintenance actions are to be recorded?	N/A	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(1)(k)			
29.	Is there a person identified that is responsible for developing a maintenance schedule?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(a)			
30.	Does the manual identify the maintenance schedules appropriate to the type of equipment / aircraft operated by the company?	N/A	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Have any maintenance     schedules been omitted?			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(I)			
31.	Does the manual indicate a procedure for adding additional aircraft to the operating certificate?	N/A	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, do they follow the procedure?</li> </ul>			
	Ref:			
32.	Complete separate Maintenance Planning Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
33.	Complete separate Defect Control Checklist …	N/A 🗌	Not Checked	

AO	C-02 Maintenance Con	trol Ma	nual
34.	Complete separate Airworthiness Directive / Service Bulletin Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
35.	Complete separate instructions, job cards	N/A	Not Checked
36.	Complete separate Technical Dispatch Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked
37.	Complete separate Flight Authority - Test - Ferry Flight Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
38.	Complete separate Maintenance Arrangements Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
39.	Complete separate Training Program Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked
40.	Complete separate Personnel Records Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
41.	Complete separate Elementary Work / Servicing Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
42.	Complete separate Ramp Procedures Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
43.	Complete separate De-Icing Procedures Checklist	N/A	Not Checked
44.	Complete separate Complete separate Servicing - fuel, oil, lubricants Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked
45.	Complete separate Control of Parts / Parts Pooling Checklist 	N/A 🗌	Not Checked
46.	Are sufficient spare parts available, located where needed?	N/A	OK Finding Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.04(1)(e)		
47.	Are sufficient supplies and spare parts available to ensure timely rectification of defects / MEL provisions?	N/A	OK Finding Not Checked

AO	AOC-02 Maintenance Control Manual						
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)(e)						
48.	Complete separate SDR Checklist	N/A	Not Checked				
49.	Complete separate Sample Aircraft Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked				
50.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref.						

Itoms completed by:	Date:
Items completed by:	

٩C	C-03 Person Respons	ible for	Mainte	enance		
1.	Review TC company files, is there documentation to support the evaluation of the PRM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>completion of the CARs examination (AMEs exempt)?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>check for convictions under Section 7.3 of the AA or CAR 605.84/85/86?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>assessment by interview?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CASS 726.03(1)(a) & (3) / CASS 726.03(4) & (5) / CASS 726.03(1)(b)(ii)					
2.	If the AOC holder also holds an AMO Certificate, is the PRM for the Air Operator the same person as the PRM for the AMO?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 706.03(2)					
3.	Does the PRM fully understand the responsibilities of the position?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.03(b)					
ŀ.	Is there evidence to suggest that the PRM did not remove aircraft from service when they failed to comply with airworthiness or operational requirements?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.03(1)c) / CASS 726.03(7)					
•	Does the PRM sub delegate any of the responsibility?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If so, is the identity / position of the individual and their duties fully described in the manual?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Does the description specify which functions or activities that are to be assigned?</li> </ul>					

AC	AOC-03 Person Responsible for Maintenance				
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(h)				
6.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

AOC-04 Evaluation Program						
Is the requirement to evaluate the company maintenance system identified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Ref: CAR 706.07 / CASS 726.07(1)						
Is the person responsible for evaluating the program identified in the manual? If not the PRM, are this person's duties clearly defined in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Ref: CAR 706.03(3) / CASS 726.07(2)						
Has the operator conducted an initial evaluation at the end of 12 months?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(a)						
Does the evaluation program cover all functions defined in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Ref: CASS 726.07(2) & (3)						
Does the evaluation program cover regulatory changes?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
Ref: CASS 726.07(2)						
<ul> <li>Is there evidence (records) indicating that formal review of maintenance requirements have been undertaken?</li> <li>Were records kept according to the requirements specified in the manual?</li> </ul>	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Is the requirement to evaluate the company maintenance system identified in the manual?         Ref: CAR 706.07 / CASS 726.07(1)         Is the person responsible for evaluating the program identified in the manual? If not the PRM, are this person's duties clearly defined in the manual?         Ref: CAR 706.03(3) / CASS 726.07(2)         Has the operator conducted an initial evaluation at the end of 12 months?         Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(a)         Does the evaluation program cover all functions defined in the manual?         Ref: CASS 726.07(2) & (3)         Does the evaluation program cover regulatory changes?         Ref: CASS 726.07(2)         Is there evidence (records) indicating that formal review of maintenance requirements have been undertaken?         • Were records kept according to the requirements specified	Is the requirement to evaluate the company maintenance system identified in the manual?       N/A         Ref: CAR 706.07 / CASS 726.07(1)       Is the person responsible for evaluating the program identified in the manual? If not the PRM, are this person's duties clearly defined in the manual?       N/A         Ref: CAR 706.03(3) / CASS 726.07(2)       N/A       Image: Cass 726.07(2)         Has the operator conducted an initial evaluation at the end of 12 months?       N/A       Image: Cass 726.07(4)(a)         Does the evaluation program cover all functions defined in the manual?       N/A       Image: Cass 726.07(2) & (3)         Does the evaluation program cover regulatory changes?       N/A       Image: Cass 726.07(2) & (3)         Ref: CASS 726.07(2)       State evaluation program cover regulatory changes?       N/A       Image: Cass 726.07(2)         Is there evidence (records) indicating that formal review of maintenance requirements have been undertaken?       N/A       Image: Cass 726.07(2)         Is there evidence requirements specified       N/A       Image: Cass 726.07(2)       Image: Cass 726.07(2)	Is the requirement to evaluate the company maintenance system identified in the manual?       N/A       OK         Ref: CAR 706.07 / CASS 726.07(1)       N/A       OK         Is the person responsible for evaluating the program identified in the manual? If not the PRM, are this person's duties clearly defined in the manual?       N/A       OK         Ref: CAR 706.03(3) / CASS 726.07(2)       N/A       OK          Has the operator conducted an initial evaluation at the end of 12 months?       N/A       OK          Does the evaluation program cover all functions defined in the manual?       N/A       OK          Ref: CASS 726.07(2) & (3)       N/A       OK          Does the evaluation program cover all functions defined in the manual?       N/A       OK          Ref: CASS 726.07(2) & (3)       N/A       OK           Does the evaluation program cover regulatory changes?       N/A       OK           Ref: CASS 726.07(2) & (3)       N/A       OK            Does the evaluation program cover regulatory changes?       N/A       OK	Is the requirement to evaluate the company maintenance system identified in the manual? N/A OK Finding   Ref: CAR 706.07 / CASS 726.07(1) N/A OK Finding   Is the person responsible for evaluating the program identified in the manual? If not the PRM, are this person's duties clearly defined in the manual? N/A OK Finding   Ref: CAR 706.03(3) / CASS 726.07(2) N/A OK Finding    Has the operator conducted an initial evaluation at the end of 12 months? N/A OK Finding   Does the evaluation program cover all functions defined in the manual? N/A OK Finding   Ref: CASS 726.07(2) & (3) N/A OK Finding    Does the evaluation program cover regulatory changes? N/A OK Finding    Ref: CASS 726.07(2) & (3) N/A OK Finding    Is there evidence (records) indicating that formal review of maintenance requirements have been undertaken? N/A OK Finding		

AO	C-04 Evaluation Progra	am			
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(c)				
7.	Does the operator use checklists for the evaluation process?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Are examples available and are they comprehensive?				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)				
8.	Does the operator follow the frequency of evaluation as specified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(a)				
9.	Does the operator follow the stated corrective action process? (sample, are persons required to provide input in the process, involved?)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(c)				
10.	Are the correct forms as stated in the manual in use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.07				
11.	Has action taken as a result of a non-compliance finding included immediate and long term action to correct the root cause of the finding?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(e)				
12.	Is there any evidence to suggest that follow-up activities have been carried out?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)(f)				

AO	C-04 Evaluation Progra	ım			
13.	Is there any record of a maintenance schedule review being carried out or the evaluation of supplemental maintenance requirements for applicability and inclusion to the operators program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• Were they included?				
	• Does the outcome deviate from manufacturers recommendations; are the conclusions stated and decisions substantiated? (see std 625)				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4) / 625.86(5)(b)(l)				
14.	Are aircraft operational and environmental conditions considered in the evaluation program? (sample, review actual process)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.07(3)				
15.	*Is there evidence that the operator has reviewed and evaluated Service Bulletins and other technical information for applicability to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence of a maintenance specialist being involved in the decision process?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4) / ANB055				
16.	How would the company handle a service bulletin issued today which affects their fleet? (sample / interview, does the response reflect stated procedures, follow process through?)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.07				
17.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

AOC-04 Evaluation Program				
	Ref.			

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requ	irements (CAR 706)
Company:	

File 5015-

Company:

Date:

٦

AC	AOC-05 Technical / Regulatory Publications						
1.	Is the person responsible for keeping publications current aware of his / her responsibilities (interview)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.12(1)						
2.	Does the operator have technical and regulatory publications according to the list in their approved manual? Note: CASS 726.04(2) is not explicit in the requirement for technical or regulatory information, the following is a <u>possible</u> list	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Aeronautics Act						
	<ul> <li>Canadian Aviation Regulations &amp; appropriate standards</li> </ul>						
	• type certificates;						
	• supplemental type certificates;						
	• FAA Advisory Circulars 43.13						
	<ul> <li>Airworthiness Directives, applicable to the aircraft / equipment operated;</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>manufacturer's maintenance, illustrated parts, overhaul manuals, and service bulletin and service letters,</li> </ul>						
	MCM or MPM						
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)						
3.	Are publications / manuals current, i.e. amendments up-to- date?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)						
4.	*Is the system for monitoring manual currency effective?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		

AC	C-05 Technical / Regu	latory F	Publica	tions	
	Ref: CASS 726.07				
5.	Are appropriate portions of publications made available to company personnel, contractors as outlined in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)/CASS 726.08(1)(j)				
6.	How is the operator made aware of technical information, updates, bulletins, etc. applicable to the aircraft type they operate?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)				
7.	<ul> <li>Does the company have equipment, i.e. Computers, fiche reader / printer etc., necessary for reproducing this information?</li> <li>Does the equipment function?</li> </ul>	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)				
8.	If regulatory publications are to be available via the Internet, have the company demonstrate their access to and navigate the information.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Ref: CASS 726.04(2) If publications are to be made	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
э.	available to the operator via a contract for maintenance, does the operator ensure that the technical manual applies to their particular aircraft via serial number or model or year?			. monny	
10	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
10.	How does the operator control out of date / historical publications / training notes?	N/A			

AOC-05 Technical / Regulatory Publications						
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)					
11.	Is the library distributed and is there a master list which identifies each publication and location? (sample locations)	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2)					
12.		N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
	nments/Summary:					

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)

File 5015-

Company:

AO	C-06 Technical Record	S			
1.	Is the location of records in accordance with the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.04(2)(f)				
2.	Does the use of job / segmented / task cards, require the operator to update a computer software program after completion?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, is there any evidence of missed entries or incorrect times being input?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>				
3.	*Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the operator's or the AMO's?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is this according to manual requirements?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>				
4.	Does the operator ensure that completed inspection packages are reviewed?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.96(2)				
5.	Are the maintenance check sheets used as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Approved Maintenance Schedule				
6.	Cross check completed check sheets with the aircraft log books, is there evidence of maintenance being completed prior to certifying the Technical Log entry? (sample).	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-06 Technical Record	S			
	Ref: CAR605.92(1)				
7.	Sample aircraft technical records (including Journey Log). Do the recorded actions meet regulatory requirements? For example:	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	certifications;				
	<ul> <li>time between checks;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>weight and balance report;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>inspections completed on time;</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / CAR 605.96 / CAR 571 / Std. AWM 571 Appendix C				
8.	Are established procedures being followed to record and control maintenance items? For example:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>scheduled maintenance and inspection;</li> </ul>				
	Ad's and special instructions;				
	<ul> <li>components;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>TBOs and life-limited times;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>calendar-time items;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>pitot-static and altimeter calibration checks;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>compass calibration;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>weight and balance</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>independent control checks / CMR / critical maintenance tasks.</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.96 / CAR 571.10(4) / CAR 726.08(1)(m)				
9.	Are maintenance records acceptable for completeness and final certification? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. AWM 571.10(2) -(4)/ CAR605.92(1)				
10.	Does the operator transfer information from the journey log to the permanent technical record in accordance to regulatory and MCM requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-06 Technical Record	S			
	MCM requirements?				
	Ref: CAR 605.96(2)				
11.	Does the operator maintain technical records in a dry, secure area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)(f)				
12.	*Do company personnel record information and defects in the journey log or other technical record in accordance with MCM procedures?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(k)				
13.	Is the technical record (including journey log) a consecutive, unbroken history?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.93(4)				
14.	<ul> <li>Is computer software used as a sole support technical history?</li> <li>If so, is there evidence of original entries being updated?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is the original entry protected?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.93(2) / CAR 103.4(a) / Std. 625.93(3)				
15.	*Is the primary method for controlling maintenance tasks generated / tracked by computer?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, it must be a secure system, (see technical records)?</li> <li>Ref: CAR 103.04</li> </ul>				
16.	Where computer maintenance software is used, is the ACA holder identified in the	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	AOC-06 Technical Records					
	computerized record ?					
	Ref: CAR 605.93(1)(b)					
17.	Is there evidence of maintenance releases, which are issued "subject to satisfactory test flight", not having the corresponding final certification by the pilot-in- command? Ref: Std. AWM 571.10(4)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
10	Rel. 3(d. AWM 571.10(4)	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
18.	Ref.					

Items completed by:	_ Date:

CAR 702 / 703	Aircraft Operator	Requirements	(CAR	706)

Com	pany:
-----	-------

AO	AOC-07 Weight & Balance							
1.	Is the person responsible for weight and balance control knowledgeable of their duties and responsibilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CASS 726.12(1)							
2.	Are any of the aircraft operated subject to the five year re-weigh requirement? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix C, (8)							
3.	Are weight and balance reports carried on board each aircraft?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>Is there more than one report which may be contradictory?</li> </ul>							
	Ref: Type Certificate							
4.	Is there evidence of changes being made to aircraft basic weight without amendments to the weight and balance report?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (2)							
5.	Does each amendment to the weight and balance report include revision dates and revised equipment lists?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C							
6.	Are empty weight and c of g calculations computed in the amended report?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C							

AO	C-07 Weight & Balance				
7.	Has a maintenance release been signed for each change to the weight & balance report?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				
8.	Does each aircraft's weight & balance report demonstrate continuity back to the time the aircraft was weighed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR605.92 / Std. 571 Appendix C				
9.	Do company personnel follow the approved procedures when moving from one aircraft configuration to another?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (3)				
10.	For each aircraft configuration established, has a maintenance release been signed? (note: the current configuration must be identified however a maintenance release is not required for the entry when changing from one configuration to another)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				
11.	Does the system of control ensure accurate weight and balance information is used by the pilot-in- command?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(u) / Std. 571 Appendix C				
12.	Does the weight and balance report / equipment list include all items specified in the aircraft type certificate?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does it also include additional equipment required / installed for the operation of the aircraft?</li> </ul>				

AO	C-07 Weight & Balance					
	• Does it include any equipment installed as a result of an STC or modification?					
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (1)(a)					
13.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
L						

Items completed by:	Date:	

Date:

AOC-08 Reserved

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator	Requirements (CAR 706)
Company:	

Date:

AOC-09 Reserved

Date:

AOC-10 Reserved

# Reserved

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)

Company:

File 5015-

AO	AOC-11 Maintenance Planning							
	Note: As maintenance planning is procedural specific to the operator, a supplemental checklist may need to be developed from the approved manual in support of the audit.							
1.	Is the individual responsible for the program knowledgeable of the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CASS 726.12(1)							
2.	Is the method or process used to monitor / schedule maintenance activities / repetitive AD's (computer / status system / other) being followed by the organization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	• Does this include supplemental maintenance items (such as STC's added after MS approval, floats & skis etc., safety equipment)?							
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)c) / CASS 726.08(1)(m)							
3.	Are the same method(s) used at all locations and sub bases?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)							
4.	*Is the primary method for controlling maintenance tasks generated / tracked by computer?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>If so is it a secure system, (see technical records)?</li> </ul>							
	Ref: CAR 103.04 / CAR 605.93(2)							
5.	Is the method used up-to-date and current?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			

	C-11 Maintenance Plan	9			
6.	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m) *Is there operational or additional equipment that is not addressed in the maintenance schedule? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 625.86				
7.	Is there evidence that maintenance requirements have been missed or actioned late?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)				
8.	Are there service bulletins that were or should have been adopted / installed / integrated into the maintenance program? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m) / CAR 625.86(6)				
9.	Is the frequency and use of tolerances planned or excessive?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8) / TP13094				
10.	Are maintenance releases made to facilitate the use of the tolerance prior to it's use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8)				
11.	Is aircraft operating information (aircraft daily flight time / condition / status) relayed to the individual making decisions on maintenance requirements?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Is this in accordance with the instruction / procedures				

AO	C-11 Maintenance Plan	ning			
	specified in the manual?				
	<ul> <li>Does this information include a requirement for - defects, MELs, special inspections,hard landings, lighting strikes, etc</li> <li>Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)</li> </ul>				
10		N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
12.	*For aircraft operating under MEL authorities, how is repair interval planned and actioned?				
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)				
13.	Is the liaison between the operator and the maintenance contractor effective?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence that the communication process does not work?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 706.09(2)(a) / CASS 726.04(1)(g)				
14.	*Is the maintenance contractor consulted in the defect deferral process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(g) / CASS 726.08(1)(v)				
15.	Are maintenance requirements communicated to the AMO in accordance with manual requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(1)(g) / CASS 726.08(1)(v)				
16.	Does the operator maintain current inspection sheets as identified in the Maintenance Schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)				
17.	Do inspection sheets cover all applicable areas of the aircraft as	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

	described in the program?					
	Move to MS Checklist !!					
8.	Are the appropriate forms and information supplied to the maintenance organization or are they to be reproduced by the AMO?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Is this in accordance with the stated process?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)					
9.	Did AMO personnel use the latest check sheet (revision) during the last few inspections?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)					
).	Where the operator writes or re- writes job / segmented / task cards, does the operator follow the process stated in the manual for controlling revision of the cards?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Does the card contain a reference link to the original instructions?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix D (3)(a)(vi)					
1.	For computer controlled maintenance planning, is the repeat interval self generated or is this a manual input operation?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	• Is the process consistent with the description in the manual?					
	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence that repeat interval maintenance requirements have been overlooked or entered incorrectly?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR 706.08(1)(m) / CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)					
2.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

### **AOC-11 Maintenance Planning**

Ref.

*Comments/Summary:* 

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

	C-12 Defect Recording, ocedures	Rectifi	cation,	Deferral	, and Control
Rec	ording				
1.	Does the company record defects in accordance with the procedures specified in the manual?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(o) / CAR 605.94(1)				
2.	Are defects recorded in the proper record / log; are they identified appropriately and handled in accordance with stated procedures?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.05(a) / CAR 605.94(1)				
3.	Does this recording procedure apply to defects found by flight crew during normal operations?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Does the method indicated conflict with regulatory requirements?				
	Ref: CAR 706.05(a) / CAR 605.94(1)				
4.	Are defects found during maintenance recorded in accordance with procedures stated by the operator or by the maintenance contractor?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
5.	*Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the operator's or the AMO's?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is this according to manual requirements?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 706.05(a)				
6.	Is there evidence of defects not being recorded:	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

	C-12 Defect Recording, ocedures	, Rectif	ication	, Deferral	, and Control
	• by the flight crew?				
	<ul> <li>while the aircraft is away from base?</li> </ul>				
	during maintenance?				
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1)				
7.	How does the company handle self generated / recorded fault logs (Built In Test Equipment)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(o)				
Def	erral				
8.	For aircraft that released under MEL authority, complete MEL Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Chec	ked 🗌	
9.	How does the operator determine a defects suitability for deferral?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are technical / regulatory manuals and type certificate information available / consulted in the process?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.06(a)				
10.	Are defects assessed against mandatory or required operating equipment limitations (also includes Type Certificates Data Sheets and basis of certification)?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.09 / CAR 605.10 / CAR 605 General				
11.	*Are environmental factors and operational role of the aircraft considered in the defect's assessment?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 602 Division II /CAR 605.06(b)				

	C-12 Defect Recording	, Rectifi	ication	, Deferral	, and Control
12.	*Is the maintenance contractor consulted in the deferral process?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.08(1)(o)				
13.	Are deferrals entered in the journey log or other recording system in accordance with stated procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.08(1)(o) / CAR 605.94(1)				
14.	Are procedures for placarding deferred defects being followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std 625.08 CAR 605.10(2)(b)				
15.	Are personnel that defer authorized?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.10(2)(c) / CAR 706.08(1)(o)				
Rec	urring Defect				
16.	Is there any evidence indicating recurring defects are not being addressed according to stated procedures?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.05(2) / CASS 726.05(1)				
17.	Is the operator's stated procedure effective in detecting the reoccurrence of defects?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the defect system highlight defects that recur to</li> </ul>				

	C-12 Defect Recording, ocedures	Rectifi	cation	, Deferral	, and Control
	the flight crew and maintenance organization?				
	Ref: CAR 706.05(a) / CASS 726.05(1)				
18.	Does the operator's criteria, for classifying recurring defects, meet regulatory requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.05(3)				
Cor	itrol				
19.	*For aircraft operating under MEL authorities, how is repair interval planned and actioned?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m)				
20.	Troubleshooting, part removal. Does the manual indicate how a part removed for troubleshooting purposes must be handled?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	• If so, does the company follow procedures for storage, time limits and maintenance release requirements?				
	<ul> <li>parts removed from another aircraft for troubleshooting?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(r) / CAR 571.13 / CAR 706.08(1)(m)				
21.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Comments/Summary	
------------------	--

		,
Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

-

AO	C-13 Airworthiness Dire	ective,	Service	e Bulletin	
1.	Does the operator have all Airworthiness Directives applicable to their fleet?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.04(2) / Std. AWM 593 Appendix B (2)(a)				
2.	Does the operator ensure timely action of Airworthiness Directives?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(m) / CASS 726.07(4)				
3.	Are the details, of maintenance actions used during the accomplishment of Airworthiness Directives, recorded in the appropriate technical record? • For Service Bulletins?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1) / Std. AWM 571.03 / Std. AWM 571.10(4) / Std. 625 Appendix H (1)(b)				
4.	Does the operator have direct access to Service Bulletin and other technical information applicable to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If access is by way of a contract, is the access adequate? (sample, have operator demonstrate)</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4)				
5.	*Is there evidence that the operator has reviewed and evaluated Service Bulletins and other technical information for applicability to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence of a maintenance specialist being involved in the decision process?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CASS 726.07(4) / ANB055				

AO	C-13 Airworthiness Dire	ective, S	Service	e Bulletin	
6.	Is the implementation of AD / SB or other technical information actioned directly from the manufacturers technical information or is the process re written into task cards or action sheets?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If re written, is there a control process or review mechanism in place which ensures the accuracy of the information?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix D (3)(vi)				
7.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

Items completed by:	Date:

Date:

AOC-14 Reserved

Date:

AOC-15 Reserved

Date:

AOC-16 Reserved

CAR 702 / 703 A	rcraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)
Company:	

AO	C-17 Technical Dispate	ch Proc	edures	5	
1.	Are maintenance entries attesting to serviceability and continuation of safe operation made each time a tolerance is used?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8)				
2.	Have authorized personnel issued the tolerance in accordance with the manual requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1) / CASS 726.06(1)				
3.	Is there any evidence to suggest that Airworthiness Directives are also given the tolerance?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 625.86(8) / CAR 605.84(10)(b)				
4.	Does tolerance use conflict with the requirements outlined in the respective maintenance schedules?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std 625.86 Appendix C				
5.	Is there any evidence to suggest that the pilot-in-command is given adequate notification of the next scheduled maintenance activity? (particular attention to operations from remote locations)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.06(2)				
6.	Do the procedures ensure that aircraft are not operated in an unairworthy condition?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(q)				

AO	C-17 Technical Dispate	h Proc	edures	5	
7.	How is the PRM advised of the status while aircraft are away from base?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.06(1)(a) / CASS 726.06(2)				
8.	*Are environmental factors and operational role of the aircraft considered in the dispatch of an aircraft with a defect?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 602 Division II				
9.	Is the procedure followed for returning the aircraft to service when aircraft become unserviceable away from base?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(q)				
10.	Are procedures followed for transmitting maintenance information to aircraft operating away from the base?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CASS 726.08(1)(q)				
11.	Is deferred maintenance taken into consideration when dispatching aircraft?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.05(d)				
12.	For aircraft that have additional (dual) flight authorities, are procedures followed for invoking the applicable flight authority?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.06(2) / CASS 726.08(1)(q) / CAR 507.08				
13.	*For companies that have self	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-17 Technical Dispate	h Proc	edures	;	
	flight permit authority issuance privileges, are procedures followed for invoking or issuing the flight permit?				
	<ul> <li>Check Company Operations Manual for complimentary procedures?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 706.06(2) / CASS 726.08(1)(q) / CAR 507.08 / CAR 507.04(4) AWM 505 Appendix F				
14.	Are maintenance entries regarding aircraft weight and balance status recorded in the journey log?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1) / CAR Std 571 Appendix C				
15.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:
······································	

CAR 702 / 703 A	Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)
Company:	

AO	C-18 Flight Authorities	- Test /	Ferry		
1.	*Does the technical dispatch system flag to the pilot-in- command when test flight requirements are to be used?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are operations and maintenance required to communicate with each other?</li> </ul>				
	Ref:				
2.	<ul> <li>For maintenance test flights, is the final certification of "satisfactory flight" made by the pilot in command?</li> <li>Is there any evidence to suggest passengers may have carried on board during the</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	test flight? Ref: CAR 571.10(4) / Std. 571.10(4)				
	Table Item F / CAR 605.85(2) & (3)				
3.	*For aircraft that have additional (dual) flight authorities are procedures followed for invoking the applicable flight authority?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 507.08(1)&(3), CAR 571.06(3) / CAR706.06(2) / CASS 726.08(1)(q)				
4.	Are flight and maintenance crews familiar with "away from base" ferry-flight procedures as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
5.	Does the operator follow the procedures described in the manual when exercising ferry-flight authorization?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
6.	Where self flight permit authorization is given, when used has the company followed	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

AO	OC-18 Flight Authorities - Test / Ferry					
	has the company followed procedures and have the required company authorizations been obtained?					
	Ref:					
7.	Is the proper (company) form being used? Does the F/P form become part of the permanent record?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
8.	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence of misuse or aircraft positioning that may have taken place under flight permit authority?</li> <li>Do the conditions specified seem reasonable or complete?</li> </ul>	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
9.	Are ferry flights being reported to the TC Civil Aviation as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
10.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
L						

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

AO	C-19 Maintenance Arra	ngeme	nts		
1.	Does the manual state a policy for initiating or governing maintenance contracts?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
2.	Does the manual specify criteria which must be identified or included in the maintenance contract?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	• Does it include a description of contract administration and the scope of work?				
	• If the manual does not contain specific procedures, are maintenance contracts to be individually approved by TC?				
	Ref: CASS 726.09 Info note.				
3.	How is the provision of emergency maintenance services addressed?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the manual specify procedures for obtaining these services?</li> </ul>				
	• If so, is the process followed?				
	Ref: CASS 726.09(1) / CASS 726.09 Info note.				
4.	Is a communication procedure included in the arrangement to advise maintenance contractors of planned or unscheduled maintenance requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• Does the procedure identify responsibilities of the maintenance coordinator?				
	• *Does this include how AD requirements are to be communicated between the parties?				
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
5.	Is direction included specifying	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

AO	C-19 Maintenance Arra	ngeme	nts			
	which maintenance instructions are to be used by the contractor when performing maintenance?					
	• Does the process include the provision / use of forms and for providing copies of the appropriate maintenance schedules?					
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					
6.	Are all contracts entered into, identified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 706.08(v)					
7.	*Does the contract permit operator evaluation (evaluation program) of the contracted organization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					
8.	For individual contracts,	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	• Are all parties' responsibilities clearly defined in the manual and the contract?					
	• Does the company follow the procedures for transmitting records between parties as established in the manual?					
	• Are requirements for maintenance releases specified, which documents and what entries in which technical record?					
	• Is a requirement for contractor feedback specified in the maintenance arrangement, i.e. problems, SDR information, evaluation program,?					
	<ul> <li>Does it include providing feedback information in support approved reliability</li> </ul>					

AU	C-19 Maintenance Arra	ngeme	1115		
	program where required?				
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
9.	Where the work is performed outside of Canada, has the person been approved by a manual approved under the Foreign States' requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is a "maintenance specification" required for work that is routinely carried out in a Foreign State?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 571.11(3) / CASS 726.09(2)				
10.	Are both parties in possession of contract-substantiating documents for reliability programs and MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
11.	Does the contractor have the facilities, capability and the excess capacity to perform the additional work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
12.	Is the contractor approved to operate and maintain the same type of aircraft as the operator?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• If the operator utilizes the contractor's maintenance information / systems, has compatibility been established between the operator's and the contractor's aircraft?				
	Does the maintenance     program address equipment     unique to the operator?				
	<ul> <li>Have inspections intervals, cycle / calendar time and other variances been adjusted to suit the operator?</li> </ul>				

AO	AOC-19 Maintenance Arrangements					
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					
13.	<ul> <li>Have the applicability and authority of the publications been delineated in the contract?</li> <li>Has the operator adopted any contract facility processes, i.e. repair methods and/or techniques?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					
14.	Does the contract state the responsibilities and involvement of both parties in all or part of the reliability program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Is the operators fleet combined with the contractors aircraft for reliability and statistical purposes?</li> </ul>					
	Do the aircraft operate in similar environments?					
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					
15.	Does the contractor subcontract to a third party any part of the work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	• Where actioned, does the main contract identify the contracting responsibilities between the operator and the first AMO?					
	• Have all phases of the subcontractor's arrangements been investigated using the same procedures as for the main contractor?					
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					
16.	Is the contract significant enough to warrant Transport Canada review?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)					

AOC-19 Maintenance Arrangements					
17.	Have all phases of aircraft maintenance (e.g. heavy and light engine maintenance / structural maintenance) been established?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 726.08(v)				
18.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 702 /	703 Aircraft	Operator	Requirements	(CAR	706)

### Company:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AOC-20 Training Program					
1.	Does the operator state a policy with respect to training for those individuals required to action functions of the organization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.12				
2.	<ul> <li>Does the operator's manual contain a description of training requirements with respect to other duties carried out CAR 706 requirements? (note: this statement is broadly applied, training may be required for technical dispatch, weight and balance, etc.)</li> <li>Has training covering regulations and standards pertinent to the activity or function, been carried out?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.12 / Std. 706.12				
3.	Has training for critical or independent maintenance tasks been provided to those individuals who will exercise the privilege?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.13)(b)				
4.	*Are training records for Elementary Work and Servicing available? Ref:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
5.	Is training conducted according to the training cycle specified in the approved manual or is it driven by the results of the evaluation program?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 726.12(5) / Std. 726.12(2)(c)				
6.	Does the manual specify a update	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-20 Training Program				
	training cycle (3 years) or is it driven by the results of the evaluation program?				
	Ref: Std. 726.12(5)				
7.	Is there evidence of update training that has been carried out?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Was it conducted as a result of regulatory or operator program changes?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 726.12 / Std. 726.12 (2)(c)				
8.	Is there evidence of training that is / was a direct result of a finding made in conjunction with the evaluation program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there training that should have transpired as a result of a finding made under this program?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 726.12 (2)(c)				
9.	The Commercial Air Service Standard permits certain elements of the training program to be administered under an AMO's MPM.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, does the MCM must make reference to items in the contained in the MPM?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 726.12(6)				
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)

File 5015-

# Company:

Г

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AO	C-21 Personnel Record	ls			
1.	Do personnel records consist of the items described in the manual and are they being maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 726.08(t)				
2.	Are there records in use which are not described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
3.	Does the operator keep records of training provided for two years since the last entry?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.13(1)				
4.	Is a record provided to the person who has received the authorization to accomplish the function?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.13(2)				
5.	Do the personnel records for management assigned personnel contain their "qualifications"?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 706.13(1)(a)				
6.	Are any management functions sub delegated?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, is there a record of the individuals qualifications available?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR706.13(1)(a)				
7.	*Is there a list of personnel	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-21 Personnel Record	ds				
	indicating their qualifications and authorizations?					
	Ref:					
8.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)  File 5015-

Company:

AO	AOC-22 De-Icing Procedures						
Note	Note: check with C&BA de-icing requirements may be covered under the company's COM.						
1.	Does the manual have a policy statement regarding de-icing activities?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
2.	Does the operator have it's own de-icing capabilities or is this function contracted?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Does this include de-icing activities at any of the line stations?</li> </ul>						
	Ref:						
3.	<ul> <li>Does the manual specify how de- icing will be conducted by the contractor?</li> <li>Where a de-icing contractor is utilized, is the requirement for inspecting the contractor and equipment specified in the manual?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
4.	Are personnel conducting the de- icing operation trained and knowledgeable with de-icing procedures?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
5.	Is a record of training held by the operator?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
6.	Does the manual specify the type	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

AOC-22 De-Icing Procedures						
	of fluids to be used?					
	<ul> <li>Are these fluids types considered for maintenance problems?</li> </ul>					
	Ref:					
7.	Is there a requirement to identify container of de-icing fluids?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
8.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operato	r Requirements	(CAR	706)
Company			

Company:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

	C-23 Elementary Work,		-			
•	Does the company use procedures other than manufacturers stated in the performance of Elementary Work or Servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Are these procedures specified in the manual?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: Std. 726.08(1)(j)					
2.	Are authorization records for elementary work and servicing available and current?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>Does the company follow the training process as described in the manual?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Are the authorizations supported by an available training record?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR 706.04(1)(f) / CAR 706.10 / CAR 706.13(b)					
3.	Do any of the authorized tasks conflict with Appendix A, Subpart 625?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std. 726.10					
4.	Do authorizations include the performance of certain AD requirements?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If so, has the training remained up to date with revisions to the AD, if issued?</li> </ul>					
	Ref:					
5.	Is the system of control for Elementary Work and Servicing adequate?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 706.11 / Std. 726.08(1)(j)					

AO	C-23 Elementary Work	, Servic	ing			
	procedures for the recording of Elementary Work and Servicing?					
	Ref: Std. 726.08(1)(k)					
7.	For flight crew members that request contract servicing, have they been trained in the proper methods and techniques themselves?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
8.	Are the necessary tools and equipment for elementary work and servicing available?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 706.04(2)(d)					
9.	Does the company have facilities adequate for the performance of maintenance and servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
10.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AOC-24 Reserved

# Reserved

AOC-25 Servicing - Fueling, De-fueling & Lubrication, Oxygen						
1.	Does the company follow stated procedures for fuel handling and controlling?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: Std. 706.08(1)(r)					
2.	Is the fuel regularly monitored and tested for quality?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
3.	What standard is used for the companies in support of their fuel handling?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Are their practices in conformance with the standard?</li> </ul>					
	Ref:					
4.	Are there records for inspection of the fueling facility?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>For each base or fueling facility?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>					
5.	Drum fueling; is the method used to filter the fuel as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
6.	Is there a time limit for storage of fuel in being respected as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					

AO	AOC-25 Servicing - Fueling, De-fueling & Lubrication, Oxygen						
7.	Is the condition of the fueling facility, i.e., hoses (bonded), nozzle caps, filters, grounding cables and fire extinguishers being monitored?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
8.	Is the fueling / defueling equipment properly identified and maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
9.	*Are the aircraft refueling points properly identified and placarded?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
10.	*Is the training program for personnel operating fueling / defueling equipment followed as described in the MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
11.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

AO	C-26 Control of Parts, F	Parts Po	oling		
1.	Are parts and materials owned or used by the company acquired and stored per the procedures described in the manual?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
2.	Is the individual responsible for the control of parts / material knowledgeable about the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
3.	<ul> <li>Does the company use a quarantine storage system?</li> <li>Are there procedures stated for limited access and control?</li> <li>Is it segregated, locked?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
4.	<ul> <li>Does the quarantine store contain unserviceable parts, components, material and equipment?</li> <li>Are these parts being handling according to procedures?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are these items properly identified and held in temporary transit status?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>				
5.	Does the company's system ensure that there are no unserviceable, unidentified or untagged parts in bonded stores?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
6.	Is there a stated policy and	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AO	C-26 Control of Parts, F	Parts Po	ooling			
	requirement for the acquisition of parts and materials used during the performance of elementary work and servicing?					
	Ref: Std. 706.08(1)(r)					
7.	Are shelf-lifed items controlled as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
8.	Are flammable fluids and materials stored in fireproof cabinets in a separate area?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
9.	Are material batches numbered as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
10.	Are scrap items mutilated as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
11.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Comments/Summary	
------------------	--

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 702 / 703 Aircraft Operator Requirements (CAR 706)	
Company:	

AOC-27 Service Difficulty Reporting SDR						
Are other personnel knowledgeable about the procedures to be followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01						
Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01						
Does the Operator submit service difficulty reports (SDRs) as described in the manual?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
• each time the same or a similar defect is encountered?						
Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std 591.01						
Sample defect entries / maintenance worksheets, are service difficulties being reported? Is there evidence that the	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
operator is not filing Service Difficulty Reports?						
Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std 591.01						
Does the Operator report unapproved parts via the SDR system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Ref: Std 591.01 Appendix D						
Are the reports forwarded within the time frames established in the manual?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Are other personnel knowledgeable about the procedures to be followed? Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01 Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is? Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01 Does the Operator submit service difficulty reports (SDRs) as described in the manual? • each time the same or a similar defect is encountered? Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std 591.01 Sample defect entries / maintenance worksheets, are service difficulties being reported? • Is there evidence that the operator is not filing Service Difficulty Reports? Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std 591.01 Does the Operator report unapproved parts via the SDR system? Ref: Std 591.01 Appendix D Are the reports forwarded within the time frames established in the	Are other personnel       N/A         knowledgeable about the       N/A         procedures to be followed?       N/A         Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) /       N/A         Do company personnel have a       N/A         clear understanding of what a       service difficulty is?         Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) /       N/A         Std 591.01       N/A         Does the Operator submit service       N/A         difficulty reports (SDRs) as       N/A         described in the manual?       N/A         • each time the same or a       N/A         similar defect is encountered?       N/A         Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std 591.01       N/A         Sample defect entries /       N/A         maintenance worksheets, are       service Difficulty Reports?         • Is there evidence that the       operator is not filing Service         Difficulty Reports?       N/A         Does the Operator report       N/A         unapproved parts via the SDR       N/A         system?       N/A         Are the reports forwarded within       N/A         the time frames established in the       N/A	Are other personnel knowledgeable about the procedures to be followed?       N/A       OK         Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01       N/A       OK         Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is?       N/A       OK         Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01       N/A       OK          Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is?       N/A       OK          Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01       N/A       OK          Does the Operator submit service difficulty reports (SDRs) as described in the manual?       N/A       OK          • each time the same or a similar defect is encountered?       N/A       OK          Sample defect entries / maintenance worksheets, are service difficulties being reported?       N/A       OK          Is there evidence that the operator is not filing Service Difficulty Reports?       N/A       OK          Does the Operator report unapproved parts via the SDR system?       N/A       OK          Ref: Std 591.01 Appendix D       N/A       OK           Are the reports forwarded within the time frames established in the       N/A       OK	Are other personnel knowledgeable about the procedures to be followed?       N/A       OK       Finding         Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01       N/A       OK       Finding         Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is?       N/A       OK       Finding         Ref: CAR 706.14 / Std: 726.08(1)(p) / Std 591.01       N/A       OK       Finding		

AO	AOC-27 Service Difficulty Reporting SDR						
	Ref: Std. 591.01						
7.	Are SDR records maintained as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: Std. 591.01						
8.	Is the operator's responsibility for the SDRs, which are discovered by the maintenance contractor, covered in the manual or specified in the maintenance contract?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	• Are these requirements met?						
	Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std. 591.01						
9.	Are all data sources feeding the SDR system functioning as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: Std. 726.14 / Std. 591.01						
10.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						



Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## CAR 573 Approved Maintenance Organization Checklists

## Table of Contents

## (August 2005)

AMO-01 Previous Transport Canada Audit	3
AMO-02 Maintenance Policy Manual	5
AMO-03 Person Responsible for Maintenance	10
AMO-04 Facilities - General	12
AMO-05 Technical / Regulatory Publications	14
AMO-06 Maintenance Records	17
AMO-07 Maintenance Procedures	19
AMO-08 Quality System	22
AMO-09 Maintenance Release	27
AMO-10 Qualification & Training Program	31
AMO-11 Personnel Records	35
AMO-12 Reserved	
AMO-13 Control of Parts - Aeronautical Supplies	38
AMO-14 Support, Overhaul Shops	
AMO-15 Test, Measuring Equipment	46
AMO-16 Maintenance Arrangements	48
AMO-17 Reserved	
AMO-18 Reserved	52
AMO-19 Service Difficulty Reporting	53
AMO-20 NDT, Personnel Certification	55
AMO-21 NDT, Liquid Penetrant	59
AMO-22 NDT, Eddy Current	
AMO-23 NDT, Magnetic Particle	68
AMO-24 NDT, Radiographic (X-ray)	73
AMO-25 NDT, Ultrasonic	78
AMO-26 Welding	82
AMO-27 European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) Supplement	87

Intentionally Blank

AM	AMO-01 Previous Transport Canada Audit							
1.	What was the purpose and date of the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
2.	<ul><li>Were there a significant number of Audit Findings?</li><li>What conclusions were drawn from the audit?</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
3.	<ul> <li>Was the audit follow-up completed?</li> <li>List any outstanding audit findings respecting the previous audit?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
4.	Since the last audit, are there indications of high managerial staff turnover?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
5.	Is there any indications of high staff, flight crew or maintenance personnel turnover?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
6.	Have there been any changes in the company's scope, size, aircraft, type of service since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			

AM	O-01 Previous Transpor	t Canad	la Audi	t	
7.	Have there been any additional Operations Specifications or a change to the Maintenance Scope of Approval / Authorized since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
8.	Ref.	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

Ē

-

AM	AMO-02 Maintenance Policy Manual				
	Administrative				
1.	Does the manual have:	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• a table of contents?				
	<ul> <li>reflect the legal business and if applicable trade name?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(a) & (b)				
2.	Does the manual have a description or state:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	• of the organization and size,				
	<ul> <li>the geographic location and sub base facilities,</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>the general layout of the facilities,</li> </ul>				
	• the scope of work intended to be performed?				
	• Is this description current?				
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(b)				
3.	Does the AMO certificate and limitation sheet(s) reflect the privileges approved in the organization's MPM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	• Is it current?				
4.	Ref: CAR 573.02(2)&(3) Is there any evidence that the company may have exceeded the	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	limitations of its approval?				
	Ref: CAR 573.02(3)				
5.	Is there a signed statement by the AMO certificate holder confirming that the MPM and documents incorporated by reference reflect the certificate holder's means of compliance with the regulations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(c)				

AM	O-02 Maintenance Policy	/ Manua	al		
6.	If the manual utilizes "Incorporated by Reference Documents", is there a reference list or clear reference to the incorporation embodied in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>has each reference document and each amendment thereto been certified by the as meeting the requirements of the policy document?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 573.10(2)(b) & (c)				
7.	Does the manual describe an amendment procedure or process?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(d)				
8.	Is the amendment procedure followed and signed off at the appropriate stages?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(d)				
9.	Does the manual describe the page identification process?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(e)				
10.	Do the pages contained in the manual match those listed in the LEP?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(e)				
11.	<ul> <li>Does the manual specify a distribution system and the identity of manual holders / locations?</li> <li>Are distribution procedures followed?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

AM	O-02 Maintenance Policy	/ Manua	al		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(f)				
12.	Do manual holders keep their copies up to date?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 573.10(8)				
13.	Is the distribution sufficient to ensure individuals performing maintenance have access to the document?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 573.10(7), Std 573.10(7)				
14.	Complete separate PRM Checklist. (also complete one for individuals holding managerial responsibility for the quality and production functions)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.04(3)				
15.	Have management functions (which ensure compliance with the CARs) been assigned to persons other than the PRM, and are the extent of those functions and the specific duties described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 573.04 / Std 573.04(2)		<b>-</b>		
16.	If persons are assigned total management responsibility for Quality or Production activities, do they meet the standards of competence required by Standard?	N/A 🛄	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 573.04 / Std 573.04(3) / Std 573.03				
17.	Does the manual contain a chart depicting the organizational responsibilities? Is an organizational chart	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	required for clarity? Ref: Std 573.04(2)(b)				

AM	O-02 Maintenance Policy	y Manual
18.	Is there a process for company personnel to identify or recommend a change to the manual?	N/AOK Finding Not Checked
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)	
19.	Does the manual contain any information which contradicts TC Civil Aviation Regulations?	N/AOK Finding Not Checked
	Ref: Std 573.10	
	Required Content	
20.	Complete separate Facilities - General Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
21.	Complete separate Technical, Regulatory Publications Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
22.	Complete separate Maintenance Records Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
23.	Complete separate Maintenance Procedures Checklist. 2 instructions, job cards	N/A D Not Checked
24.	Complete separate Quality Program Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
25.	Complete separate Maintenance Release Checklist.	N/A D Not Checked
26.	Complete separate Qualifications - Training Program Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
27.	Complete separate Personnel Records Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
28.	Complete separate Control of Parts - Aeronautical Products Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌

AM	O-02 Maintenance Policy	/ Manual
29.	Complete separate Certification of Maintenance Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
30.	Complete separate Support - Overhaul Shops Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
31.	Complete separate Test - Measuring Equipment Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
32.	Complete separate Maintenance Arrangements Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
33.	Complete separate SDR Checklist.	N/A 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
34.		N/A 🗌 OK 🗌 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
	Ref.	

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	AMO-03 Person Responsible for Maintenance				
1.	Review TC company files, is there documentation to support the evaluation of the PRM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>completion of the CARs examination? (AMEs exempt)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>check for convictions under Section 7.3 of the AA or CAR 571.10 / .11</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>are experience requirements appropriate to the type of AMO?</li> </ul>				
	assessment by interview?				
	<ul> <li>Is there a letter on file from the PRM accepting his/her responsibilities for the position?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 573.03(1)(b) / Std 573.03(1); CAR 573.03(2)				
2.	If the AMO Certificate holder also holds an AOC, is the PRM for the Air Operator the same person as the PRM for the AMO?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 706.03(2)				
3.	Has the PRM continued to demonstrate their competence and ability to fulfill the responsibilities of the position?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 573.03				
4.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Comments/Summary:		
	·	
Items completed by:	Date:	

## 

AM	O-04 Facilities - General					
1.	Does the organization have suitable heated and lighted hangars, docks, workshops, clean rooms and other housing facilities to enable maintenance to be performed in clean conditions and protected from the elements? Ref: CAR573.08(2), Std573.08	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
2.	Are these facilities capable of accommodating the largest aircraft listed in the scope of approval? Ref: Std 573.08(3)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
3.	Is maintenance, other than unforeseen or routine line maintenance as defined in the MPM, performed in the specified facilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 573.08(2)					
4.	In the case of conducting maintenance outside of the specified facilities in support of a maintenance arrangement, is the maintenance controlled in accordance with the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.08(5)					
5.	Does the organization have suitable tools, jigs, fixtures, inspection aids, measuring devices and other equipment for the type of work undertaken?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 573.08(1)					

AM	O-04 Facilities - General				
6.	Are the maintenance facilities, equipment and level of work performed at each base as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 573.08(1)				
7.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

٦

## Company:

AMO-05 Technical / Regulatory Publications							
1.	Is the person responsible for keeping publications current aware of his / her responsibilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: MPM						
2.	Does the organization have technical and regulatory publications according to scope of work performed or listed in their approved manual? Refer to company MPM for identification of publications. The following is a possible list;	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Aeronautics Act						
	<ul> <li>Canadian Aviation Regulations &amp; appropriate Standards</li> </ul>						
	Type Certificates;						
	<ul> <li>Supplemental Type Certificates;</li> </ul>						
	• FAA Advisory Circulars 43.13						
	<ul> <li>Airworthiness Directives, applicable to the aircraft / equipment operated;</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>manufacturer's maintenance, illustrated parts, overhaul manuals, and service bulletin and service letters,</li> </ul>						
	MCM or MPM						
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(i)						
3.	Are publications / manuals current, i.e. amendments up-to- date?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 571.02(1)						

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AMO-05 Technical / Regulatory Publications						
4.	Is the system for monitoring the currency of publications effective?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	• Does this include publications received from and provided to outside sources?					
	<ul> <li>Is there a list, which identifies each publication's status and location?</li> </ul>					
	Does the list cover     publications at sub-bases?					
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(i)					
5.	Are there publications which the company should have but do not currently hold?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 571.02(1)					
6.	Are appropriate portions of publications made available, as outlined to:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>company personnel, contractors?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>shops,(i.e. those in shops and sub-bases, management, and contractors)?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(i)					
7.	Where technical information is available in different mediums, does the company have equipment, i.e. Computers, fiche reader / printer etc., necessary for reading and reproducing this information?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(i)					
8.	If applicable, has the company demonstrated their ability to access Internet publications?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(i)					

AMO-05 Technical / Regulatory Publications						
9.	*Are all training materials and manuals clearly marked "for training purposes only"? Ref:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
10.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	O-06 Maintenance Recor	ds				
1.	Does the organization follow its approved methods, as described in the MPM, for recording of work performed and ensuring defects are recorded in appropriate documents?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Are the methods effective / appropriate?					
2.	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(j) Is the process or procedure used to transmit maintenance information between bases / personnel as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(j)					
3.	*Does the manual identify the standards, if other than those required by 571.02(1)(b), utilized for the performance of work?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 573.08(3)					
4.	Does the MPM describe the system being used to record the performance of work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(j)					
5.	Are maintenance records complete?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(j)					
6.	<ul><li>Does the manual describe the procedures being used to control the distribution of and completion of assigned tasks?</li><li>Are the work packages used</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>Are the work packages used as described in the MPM?</li> <li>Ref: Std 573.10(1)(k)</li> </ul>					

AM	AMO-06 Maintenance Records					
7.	Does the manual specify how maintenance tasks are to be recorded?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
8.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<i>ке</i> .					

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	AMO-07 Maintenance Procedures							
	Note: Procedures are often specific to the organization, therefore supplement checklist questions should be developed based on those procedures.							
1.	Is there sufficient number of personnel to conduct all of the required maintenance, scheduling, elementary work, servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR 573.03(3)							
2.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref.							
3.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
4.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
5.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
6.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref.							

AM	AMO-07 Maintenance Procedures							
7.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
8.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
9.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
10.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
11.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
12.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
40					Nat Chaolicad			
13.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			

AM	AMO-07 Maintenance Procedures							
	Ref.							
14.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref.							
15.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref.							
16.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref.							
17.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref.							

Comments/Summary:						
Items completed by:		Date:				

Approved Maintenance Organization Requirements 573

\_\_\_\_\_

## Company:

AM	AMO-08 Quality System							
1.	Does the MPM contain a detailed description of the quality assurance system?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(I)							
2.	Does the internal audit program include all functions defined in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(b)							
3.	Is the Quality program under the sole control of either the PRM, or a person assigned this management function?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(a)							
4.	Does the quality program include all elements necessary to confirm that the AMO is in compliance with the applicable regulations and the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(c)							
5.	Does the quality program ensure that all referenced procedures remain applicable and effective?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(d)							
6.	Is the quality program responsive to any changes within the AMO that could affect the manual and/or the AMO certificate ratings?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<ul> <li>Is, or has, the MPM been reviewed to ensure it remains compliance with current regulations?</li> </ul>							

AM	O-08 Quality System				
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(e)				
7.	Does the quality program address the need for manual amendments resulting from such changes?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(e)				
8.	Does the quality assurance program of surveillance or internal audit provide a check of the system's own effectiveness?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: <b>CAR 573.09</b>				
9.	Does the quality program employ audit checklists to identify all functions controlled by the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>sub-bases and deployed maintenance</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>any maintenance arrangements [Std 573.10(1)(u)]</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(f)				
10.	Are checklists sufficiently detailed to ensure that all maintenance functions are addressed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(f)				
11.	Has an initial internal audit been completed within 12 months of the certificate is issue.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Did the audit checklists cover all aspects of the AMO's technical activities?				
	Ref: <b>Std 573.09(2)(f)(i)</b>				

AM	AMO-08 Quality System						
12.	Is the recurring cycle of internal audits, conducted at intervals established in the approved manual? (audits may be conducted on a progressive or segmented basis provided that the entire organization system is verified within the applicable interval)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref: Std 573.09(2)(f)(ii) / Std 573.09(3)						
13.	Are records of findings of compliance and non-compliance resulting from these audits available? Ref: <b>Std 573.09(2)(f)(iii)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
4.4		N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
14.	Are there procedures to ensure that the findings of the audits are communicated to the PRM and AMO Certificate holder? Ref: <b>Std 573.09(2)(f)(iv)</b>						
15.	Have immediate and long term	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	actions to correct the root cause of each non-compliance been noted, developed and/or actioned? Ref: <b>Std 573.09(2)(f)(v)</b>						
16		N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
16.	Have follow-up procedures been carried out to ensure that corrective actions (both immediate and long term) instituted by the AMO are effective? Ref: <b>Std 573.09(2)(f)(vi)</b>						
17		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
17.	Is there a record detailing audit findings, corrective actions, and follow up inspections? Ref: <b>Std 573.09(2)(f)(vii)</b>						

AM	AMO-08 Quality System						
18.	Do these records go back two complete audit cycles?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: <b>Std 573.09(2)(f)(vii)</b>						
19.	Individuals that performing quality assurance duties are not to have been directly involved in specific function or performance or certification of those tasks? Is there any evidence of such? Ref: <b>Std 573.09(4)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
20.	Does the organization ensure that quality assurance takes precedence for personnel with responsibilities relating to both the quality system and other functional areas?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: <b>Std 573.09(5)</b>						
21.	When performing quality assurance functions, do the individuals report solely / directly to the quality manager?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref:						
22.	Are functions related to quality assurance performed by external agents? Ref: <b>Std 573.09(5)</b>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
23.	Where contracted training is utilized, does the organization perform a quality assurance review of the training?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref:						

AM	AMO-08 Quality System						
24.	*Does the quality assurance program influence the need for or when training is required within the organization? Ref: <b>Std 573.06(3)</b>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
25.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

### Company:

AM	AMO-09 Maintenance Release						
1.	Does the organization have a system to authorize staff for signing a maintenance release?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Does the organization follow the system stated?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(q)						
2.	How does the organization evaluate candidates for maintenance release authorization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	• Do they follow this process?						
	Ref: CAR 573.05 / Std 573.05(2)						
3.	Do maintenance personnel which have been authorized to sign maintenance releases meet one of the following criteria:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>holder of a valid Canadian AME license?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>person approved under a foreign state (party to an agreement)?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>knowledgeable (determined to be equivalent by the Minister)?</li> </ul>						
	For commercially operated aircraft or parts:						
	• are authorized by the MPM?						
	<ul> <li>a person from an approved foreign organization pursuant to local regulations and the organization has approved that individual to make a maintenance release(party to an agreement)?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>is a holder of an Restricted Certification Authority?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>for parts, an authorized person that is a member of International Airlines Technical Pool?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR 573.05 / Std 573.05; CAR 571.11(1) - (4) / Std 571.11(1) - (4)						

AM	AMO-09 Maintenance Release						
4.	Have individuals, authorized to sign a maintenance release, been trained according to the manual's requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Are training records available to support the authorization process?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR 573.05(1) / Std 573.05(1)						
5.	For organizations that have implemented a Shop Certification Authority process, were personnel evaluated according to the manual's requirements? Ref: CAR 573.05 / Std 573.05(2)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
6.	Do the personnel records for ACA & SCA holders reflect the evaluation of their knowledge and experience?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Does the AMO assure itself of the holder's understanding of the regulatory requirements for the work to be performed?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: Std 573.05(2)(b)						
7.	Where an organization has accepted the holders experience as the basis for the SCA:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>is there a record to support the authorization in the individual's file?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Did the experience requirement meet regulatory minimums?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR 573.05(2) / Std 573.05(2)(a)(b) Std 573.05(4)						
8.	Have they implemented an MPM policy for issuing of maintenance release authorization credential to each individual holder?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	• Are they following the policy? Ref: Std 573.05(4)						

AM	AMO-09 Maintenance Release						
9.	Does the system ensure that only qualified personnel are authorized to sign a maintenance release? Does the system monitor or cater to:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>individuals for expired licenses?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>staff departures?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>individuals that are not qualified to certify all aircraft maintained by the organization?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR 573.05 / Std 573.05(2)						
10.	How do they identify the holder of an ACA and/or SCA?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>If the organization uses approval stamps, are the stamps properly maintained and controlled?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>If the organization uses electronic signatures, how are they controlled?</li> </ul>						
	Ref:						
11.	Does the stamp control process cover how the organization will deal with replacement of stamps?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	• lost / stolen?						
	• worn out?						
	succession?						
	Ref:						
12.	Are maintenance releases actioned by electronic methods?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>If so does the organization have a system or method back up and protect the electronic record system?</li> </ul>						
10	Ref: CAR 103.04	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗖	Not Checked		
13.	For individual permitted to certify at the sub task level, have they been provided sufficient training in the task undertaken?			Finding 🗌			
	Ref: Std 573.06(1)						

AM	AMO-09 Maintenance Release						
14.	Is the work area supervisor required to be knowledgeable of the individual's qualifications for the work undertaken?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.06(1)						
15.	Does the organization permit limited NDT work to be carried out under Appendix K requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	• Are they following the policy?						
	Ref: Std 573.05(3)(b)						
16.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Comments/Summary:		
Items completed by:	Date:	

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AM	AMO-10 Qualification & Training Program						
1.	Does the company follow the training policy described in their MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR 573.06(1), Std 573.10(1)(r)						
2.	Is the person responsible for the training program knowledgeable about his or her duties and responsibilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR 573.03						
3.	Does the training program cover initial, update or additional training?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 573.06(2)						
4.	Does the initial training program cover training in regulations, standards and the approved manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	• Have all employees with technical responsibilities received the required initial training, as specified in MPM?						
	• Does initial training cover all technical employees, not just ACA or SCA holders?						
	Ref: CAR 573.06(1)						
5.	Is "update training" conducted on an initial 3 year cycle, unless modified by the results of a quality assurance program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>Has update training been completed?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR 573.06(2) / Std 573.06(2); Std 573.06(3)						

AM	O-10 Qualification & Trai	ining P	rogram	1	
6.	Does the organization have a process to determine or identify what "additional training" is and when it is required?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Are there examples of this being carried out?				
	Ref: Std 573.06(3)				
7.	Is there an accurate and current record-keeping system tracking all training as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 573.07(1)(c)				
8.	Does the personnel record contain training documentation necessary to support the individuals' present duties and responsibilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 573.06(2)				
9.	Are non-TC approved aircraft training courses controlled to ensure the quality?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref:				
10.	Is there a training program for specialists (e.g., shop personnel, (NDT personnel, supervisors) and is it followed as described in the manual? Ref:	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
11.	Does the training provided assure that all staff with technical responsibilities are competent in the areas for which they are responsible? Ref: Std 573.06(1)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AM	O-10 Qualification & Trai	ining P	rogram	1	
12.	Does the organization hold an approval to conduct aircraft type courses?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>If so, use the Approved Training Organizations checklist, s. 3.7.</li> <li>Ref: CAR 571.02(3)</li> </ul>				
13.	Do the records reflect: (see Personnel Records)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>the type of training;</li> </ul>				
	• the location;				
	• the duration of training;				
	<ul> <li>the recurrent training program;</li> </ul>				
	• examination control;				
	• the certificates issued; and				
	• the failure rate?				
	Ref: Std 573.07(1)				_
14.	Does the quality assurance program influence the need for or when training is required within the organization?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.06(3)				
15.	Are all training materials and manuals clearly marked "for training purposes only"?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref:				
16.	For individual permitted to certify at the sub task level, have they been provided sufficient training	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	in the task undertaken?				
	Ref: Std 573.06(1)				
17.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref:				

Comments/Summary:		
Items completed by:	Date:	

### Company:

AM	AMO-11 Personnel Records							
1.	<ul> <li>Are personnel records kept as described in the manual, maintained?</li> <li>Are there records in use which are not described in the manual?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref:							
2.	Does the certificate holder keep records of training provided for two years since the last entry? Ref: CAR 573.07(1)	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
3.	Are there examples of training	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	records or certificates provided to the individual that has undergone training?							
4	Ref: CAR 573.07(2)							
4.	Is a record provided to the person who has received the authorization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR 573.07(2)							
5.	Is there a list of personnel indicating their qualifications and authorizations? Ref: CAR 573.07(1)(b)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
6.	Does the manual specify other documents separate from it, for which the recording of authorizations are to be kept?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: Std 573.07							

7.	Do the personnel records contain "qualifications" for management assigned personnel? Ref: CAR 573.07(1)(a)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
8.	Is any management functions sub delegated? If so, is there a record of the individual's	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	qualifications available? Ref: CAR 573.07(1)(a)				
9.	Do the records reflect: (see Qualifications & Training)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>the type of training;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>the location;</li> </ul>				
	• the duration of training;				
	<ul> <li>the recurrent training program;</li> </ul>				
	examination control;				
	• the certificates issued; and				
	• the failure rate?				
	Ref: Std 573.07(1)				
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AMO-12 Reserved

## Reserved

AM	AMO-13 Control of Parts - Aeronautical Supplies						
	Receiving Inspections						
1.	Is the individual responsible for receiving inspections knowledgeable with the procedures described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
2.	Does the receiving inspector ensure that parts, material and components are properly identified with traceability back to the originator?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
3.	Does the receiving inspection process identify unapproved parts and ensure that they are quarantined for further action?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
4.	Does the receiving inspector ensure that compliance with airworthiness directives regarding parts, materials and components upon receipt?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
5.	Does the organization follow the policies and procedures for receiving inspections as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
Cor	trol of Parts / Material						
6.	Is the individual responsible for the control of parts / material knowledgeable about the procedures described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						

AM	AMO-13 Control of Parts - Aeronautical Supplies					
7.	Is access to bonded stores restricted and controlled as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
8.	Does the system provide traceability back to the original certification?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
9.	Are aeronautical products properly certified or re-certified as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
10.	Is material batch coding utilized and are batches numbered as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
11.	<ul> <li>Are aeronautical products stored in an organized manner?</li> <li>Are aeronautical products isolated from non- aeronautical products?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
12.	Is raw material stock (e.g. sheet, bars, extrusions) identified and stored as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					

AM	AMO-13 Control of Parts - Aeronautical Supplies						
13.	Does the company's system ensure that there are no unserviceable or unidentified or untagged parts located in the bonded stores?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
14.	Does the organization follow the procedures in the MPM for the control of shelf-lifed items?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	• Are there items located in the stores area past their expiration date?						
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
15.	<ul> <li>Is there a system of quarantine?</li> <li>is it segregated?</li> <li>lockable?</li> <li>contain unserviceable parts, components, material and equipment which have been</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	stored for an excessive amount of time?						
40	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
16.	Are quarantine items properly identified including those held in temporary transit status?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						
17.	<ul> <li>Does the company redistribute parts? If so, is this specified in its letter of approval?</li> <li>If not approved to do so, do they provide the original certification when distributing the part?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						

AM	AMO-13 Control of Parts - Aeronautical Supplies					
18.	Are flammable fluids / materials stored in a fireproof cabinet, located in a separate area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
19.	Are scrap items mutilated as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
20.	Are customer-returned or unserviceable parts held in quarantine?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
21.	Are airworthiness certifications attached to products and verified prior to packaging and shipping?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
22.	Does the organization follow the policies and procedures for control of parts/material as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)					
23.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

Comments/Summary:		
Items completed by:	Date:	

AM	AMO-14 Support, Overhaul Shops						
1.	Does the organization follow the policies and procedures for shops as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)						
2.	Is the individual responsible for the shop knowledgeable about the procedures described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(7)						
3.	Does the organization ensure the competence of shop personnel through training as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(q)						
4.	How is the shop supervisor made aware of the technicians training and qualifications for the work under process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(q)						
5.	Do shops have the up-to-date manuals required to accomplish the task as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>Compare manuals to the location register.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Do shop personnel have access to the MPM?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(I)						
6.	Do shops follow control and traceability procedures for all incoming and outgoing parts, materials and components as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(m)						

AM	AMO-14 Support, Overhaul Shops						
7.	Does the organization ensure that work orders and inspection sheets are completed in accordance with the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(k)						
8.	Are inspection sheets as described in the MPM utilized and kept up to date?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(k)						
9.	How does the technician record additional defects discovered during the inspection process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(j)						
10.	Are the individual technicians permitted to change the work scope?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(k)						
11.	For work that is temporarily suspended or delayed, is there an adequate technical pass over system for the work when restarted? Ref: Std 573.10(1)(j)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
12.	Does the company utilize	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	computer software for the control of work processes?						
	<ul> <li>Is the computer record the sole historical record? If so, is there a back up data process or method?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(j)						

AM	AMO-14 Support, Overhaul Shops						
13.	Have individual shops developed unique work processes / processes that should be included in the MPM or a procedures manual? Ref: Std 573.10(1)(j)&(k)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
14.	Do the shops contain adequate tooling, fixtures, jigs and specialty tools for the intended work?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref: Std 573.08(1) & (2)						
15.	Do shops have special tools and equipment properly calibrated to accomplish the tasks required as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.08(1) & (2) / Std 573.10(1)(w)						
16.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by	Data
Items completed by:	Date:

File 5015-

AM	AMO-15 Test, Measuring Equipment						
1.	Does the organization follow the policies and procedures for control and calibration of test and measuring equipment as described in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 573.08 Std 573.10(1)(w) CAR 571.02(2)						
2.	Is the individual responsible for this control knowledgeable about the procedures detailed in the MPM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(w)						
3.	Does the system provide traceability for all calibrated equipment?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 573.08 Std 573.10(1)(w) CAR 571.02(2)						
4.	Are these items properly identified and maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: Std 573.10(1)(w)						
5.	Does the company ensure that all test and measuring equipment is controlled and that calibration is up to date?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR 571.02(2); Std 573.10(1)(w)						
6.	Does the organization clearly state which equipment will require calibration, e.g. Measuring equipment utilized to verify maintenance specifications / parameters? Ref: Std 573.10(1)(w)	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		

AMO-15 Test, Measuring Equipment						
7.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

### Company:

AM	O-16 Maintenance Arran	gement	ts		
1.	Has the AMO developed specific and administrative approval procedures governing maintenance arrangements to entered into by the AMO?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>If not, are contracts submitted individually to the Minister for approval?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std 573.11(1)				
2.	Does the AMO contracting out the work specify the tasks to be performed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	• Do they also specify who is responsible for the completion and certification of the work to be performed?				
	Ref: Std 573.11(2)				
3.	Where the work is undertaken by a non-approved organization, who is responsible to certify the work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	• Did the AMO personnel certifying the work have "direct supervision" over the person from the organization tasked with completion of the work re: CAR 571.11(5)?				
	Ref: Std 573.11(3)				
4.	Is the quality assurance group involved in assessing the contract before it's awarded?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	• Does QA audit the proposed contractor for facilities, work scope and capabilities prior to the work starting?				
	• Are all parties' responsibilities clearly defined in the manual and the contract?				
	Ref: Std 573.11(1)				
5.	Have the applicability and authority of the publications been delineated in the contract?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std 573.11(1)				
		I			

AM	O-16 Maintenance Arran	gemen	ts		
6.	<ul> <li>Has the AMO adopted any of contract facility publications, i.e. repair methods and techniques?</li> <li>Do these methods / techniques require TCCA approval?</li> <li>Ref: Std 573.11(1)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
7.	Does the contract include capturing information which may effect an approved reliability program, therefore required to be submitted to the aircraft or aeronautic products owner?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
8.	Does the sub contractor in turn, subcontract any part of the work? Ref: Std 573.11(1)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
9.	Have all phases of the subcontractor's arrangements been investigated using the same procedures as for the main contractor? Ref:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
10.	Does the organization follow the policy and procedures specified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
11.	Ref: Std 573.11(1) Where the work is to be performed outside of Canada, has the person been approved by a manual approved under the Foreign States' requirements? Ref: CAR 571.11(3)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AMO-16 Maintenance Arrangements							
12.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AMO-17 Reserved

# Reserved

AMO-18 Reserved

# Reserved

AM	AMO-19 Service Difficulty Reporting					
1.	Are other personnel knowledgeable about the procedures to be followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: Std: 573.12 / Std 591.01					
2.	Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.12 / Std 591.01					
3.	Does the AMO submit service difficulty reports (SDRs) as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>each time the same or a similar defect is encountered?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>How do they report or advise aircraft or aeronautical product owner that an SDR was submitted is that one is required to be?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: Std 573.12 / Std 591.01					
4.	Sample defect entries / maintenance worksheets, are service difficulties being reported?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence that the operator is not filing Service Difficulty Reports?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: Std 591.01					
5.	Does the AMO report unapproved parts via the SDR system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 591.01 Appendix D					

AM	AMO-19 Service Difficulty Reporting					
6.	Are the reports forwarded within the time frames established in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: Std 573.12 / Std 591.01					
7.	Are SDR records maintained as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.12 / Std 591.01					
8.	Is the operator's responsibility for the SDRs, which are discovered by the maintenance contractor, covered in the manual or specified in the maintenance contract? • Are these requirements met?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.12 / Std 591.01					
9.	Are all data sources feeding the SDR functioning as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: Std 573.12 / Std 591.01					
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
		1				
Con	Comments/Summary:					

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	AMO-20 NDT, Personnel Certification						
famil	ce to audit personnel: The quality of an audit iar with the Standard utilized. For pre audit action supplement checklist questions are derived directions are directions	ivities, ens	ure that a	copy of the St	andard is available and		
1.	Identify which Standard the organization has qualified/certified personnel to:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>National Aerospace Standard (NAS) 410?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Canadian General Standards Board (CGSB); CAN/ CGSB -48. 9712-00 Nondestructive Testing and Certification of Personnel?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>International Standard ISO 9712-99, Nondestructive Testing - Qualification and Certification of Personnel?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>European NDT Standard EN 473, Qualification and Certification of NDT Personnel - General Principles?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Is an alternate Standard in use? If so, does this publication have an identification number?</li> </ul>						
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
3.	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c) Are qualification /certification records up-to-	N/A 🗌	ок 🗆	Finding	Not Checked		
5.	date and available for <u>each</u> of the NDT personnel?						
	<ul> <li>do they specify level, method, and techniques for which individual is certified?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>identify current certification expiration(s) date(s)?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>training history identifying source / dates of training, course hours, grades?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>for in-house certification systems, do they contain test scores of qualification examinations?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>contain results of physical examinations?</li> </ul>						
	demonstrate a history sufficient to satisfy experience requirements for certification?						

AM	O-20 NDT, Personnel Certificati	on
4.	For those organizations that adopt an in- house technician qualification / certification process, are procedures adequate to control or reflect information concerning:	N/A OK Finding Not Checked
	• is there re examination required under this system?	
	<ul> <li>are tests periodically revised or changed?</li> </ul>	
	• is there a grading system?	
	• is there minimum number of questions and does the question content cover the level and method being reviewed?	
	<ul> <li>do examination questions reflect the equipment and procedures in use at the facility?</li> </ul>	
	• do the Level II practical tests state the number of parts to be evaluated?	
	<ul> <li>does the Level III technician meet the requirements of the certification standard?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>are tests results filed and available for review ?</li> </ul>	
		*auditors may utilize appropriate ATO checklists for the control of training program elements if required.
5.	Do technicians undergo eye examinations at the required frequency?	N/A OK Finding Not Checked
	<ul> <li>do the test results meet the requirements of the standard?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>was the examination carried out by a recognized organization or by someone approved by an appropriate individual?</li> </ul>	
6.	Is the level of NDT activity sufficient to allow technicians to maintain their qualifications?	N/A OK Finding Not Checked
7.	Does the organization follow any type of recurrency training for personnel as it applies to the NDT process or by equipment changes?	N/A OK Finding Not Checked

AM	O-20 NDT, Personnel Ce	rtificati	on		
8.	For NDT Radiographic, do the tech hold a certified device operator per handling isotopes?		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Fi	nding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
Арр	endix K				
9.	<ul> <li>Has the (AMO) technician complied with the training requirements?</li> <li>received specified training to carry-out the applicable Appendix K task and procedure within the method</li> <li>is the trainer CGSB level II or III certified for the appropriate NDT method?</li> <li>does the training meet the CGSB level I standard for the method?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked
10.	Review records for comparison to confirm Appendix K operations restricted to the training received.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
11.	<ul> <li>Is a contract in place with a recognized training organization or an AMO holding appropriate ratings?</li> <li>does the contract provide for MPM quality system input and on-going support?</li> <li>does the contract include provisions to audit the applicant annually?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
12.	<ul> <li>Is documented evidence of successful training and test completion available?</li> <li>does documentation cover all procedures that are authorized</li> <li>are training records retained</li> <li>are examinations and test</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AM	AMO-20 NDT, Personnel Certification					
	parts available?					
13.	<ul> <li>Is allowable scope of NDT work listed in the AMO's personnel training records?</li> <li>is a record of work kept by the AMO?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
14.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	AMO-21 NDT, Liquid Penetrant						
famil	<b>Notice to audit personnel:</b> The quality of an audit of this area is dependent upon the auditor becoming familiar with the Standard utilized. For pre audit activities, ensure that a copy of the Standard is available and that supplement checklist questions are derived directly from the requirements contained in the Standard.						
1.	Are liquid penetrant inspections conducted in accordance with the following standard(s):	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)?</li> </ul>						
	American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)?						
	<ul> <li>International Standard (ISO)?</li> </ul>						
	Other standards? (List)						
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.						
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)						
3.	Is the organization utilizing a comprehensive checklist / survey procedure for the purposes of conducting a self audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌		
Λ	Doos the organization follows	Note: indust	oK	Finding	Not Checked		
4.	Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written procedures?						
	<ul> <li>If so, do written procedures conform?</li> </ul>						
		Note: Indust	try guidance	is available in AT	ΓΑ 100 and ASNT		

AM	O-21 NDT, Liquid Penetra	ant			
5.	<ul><li>Are NDT procedures approved by Level III or traceable to an OEM certification?</li><li>Is Level III services provided</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	by the use of outside agency?				
Part	Preparation / Pre-cleaning	•			
6.	Does the part preparation / pre- cleaning process adequately prepare the surface for application of the penetrant?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
7.	Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or instructions available and followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Pene	etrant Selection and Application				
8.	Are the penetrant/emulsifier tanks clearly marked to identify their contents?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
9.	Do the materials used in the inspection process meet the requirements of the approved process specification?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
10.	Are the materials used in the inspection process protected from contamination?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AM	O-21 NDT, Liquid Penetra	ant			
11	Is there a means to verify parameters such as dwell times or temperatures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Drye	r Controls				
12.	Are temperatures controls and levels monitored according to procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Syst	em Performance Checks				
For t	he items 13 - 20 below, the following	questions	may appl	y to each:	
a) H	las a check / test procedure been de	eveloped?	Is one red	quired?	
b) [	Does the procedure require the use o	of special to	ools to be	used in the pe	erformance of the check / test?
c) I	s the individual performing the check	. / test beer	n trained t	o do so?	
d) \	Vhat is the frequency of the check / t	est?			
e) A	Are there records of the checks / test	s and do th	ney indicat	e acceptable	results?
13.	<ul> <li>Are the following quality assurance tests performed on penetrants:</li> <li>penetrant sensitivity?</li> <li>water wash-ability?</li> <li>penetrant brightness test</li> <li>water content of water- washable penetrants (reused)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked

AM	O-21 NDT, Liquid Penetr	ant			
14.	Are the following quality assurance tests performed on the emulsifier:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>sensitivity?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>water wash-ability?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>contamination with penetrant?</li> </ul>				
	• contamination with water?				
	<ul> <li>Lipophilic Emulsifiers water content (reused)</li> </ul>				
	Hydrophilic Emulsifiers     concentration				
15.	Are quality assurance tests performed on the developer, if applicable:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	• dry developer characteristics?				
	<ul> <li>aqueous developer characteristics / concentration (soluble and suspended) ?</li> </ul>				
16.	Is a penetrant system performance check carried out using a known defect standard and applicable acceptance criteria (for example nickel- chrome cracked panels)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
17.	Are records available for all of the quality assurance tests performed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
Ligh	ting				
18.	Are the ultraviolet (black) lights checked for intensity and for operating condition in accordance with specifications?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>are calibrated meters available?</li> </ul>				

AM	O-21 NDT, Liquid Penetra	ant			
19.	Are white light levels monitored and within specifications?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>are calibrated meters available?</li> </ul>				
20.	Are records available for all of the quality assurance tests performed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Insp	ection				
21.	Do the inspectors practice dark adaptation prior to commencing inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
22.	Are steps taken to eliminate extraneous visible light sources from the darkened inspection area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
23.	Is the inspection performed at the proper stage of the manufacturing /overhaul process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Post	Cleaning	I			
24.	Are parts properly cleaned following the inspection process?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Part	Disposition	·			
25.	Are there steps in place for proper disposition of the part after inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AM	AMO-21 NDT, Liquid Penetrant				
26.	Does the handling of the part, (for example - work processing, routing documents / travelers, tagging, certification) follow general AMO procedures or is a unique system in use for NDT?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
27.	Does the company calibration procedures ensure NDT equipment is controlled / removed from service at the appropriate interval?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
28.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	AMO-22 NDT, Eddy Current				
famil	<b>ce to audit personnel:</b> The quality of liar with the Standard utilized. For pre supplement checklist questions are o	e audit activ	vities, ens	ure that a cop	y of the Standard is available and
1.	Are eddy current inspections conducted in accordance with the following standards;	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>International Standard (ISO)?</li> </ul>				
	Other standards (List)				
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.				
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)				
3.	Is the organization utilizing a comprehensive checklist / survey procedure for the purposes of conducting a self audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
		Note: indus	try develope	d checklists are a	vailable for comparison
4.	Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, do written procedures conform?</li> </ul>	Note: Indus	try guidance	is available in AT	TA 100 and ASNT
5.	<ul> <li>Are NDT procedures approved by Level III or traceable to an OEM certification?</li> <li>Are Level III services provided by the use of outside agency?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	-				

AM	O-22 NDT, Eddy Current				
6.	<ul> <li>Is the eddy current test equipment calibrated prior to each inspection, using the prescribed reference standard?</li> <li>is the correct probe in use?</li> <li>correct conductivity standards used?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
7.	<ul> <li>Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or technical instructions followed?</li> <li>Are the appropriate reference standards and / or test pieces and the correct eddy current probes clearly identified in the inspection procedures / technical instructions?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
8.	Are parts / components properly cleaned prior to eddy current testing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
9.	Is the operator using the specified eddy current testers?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
10.	Is the eddy current equipment periodically calibrated to the equipment manufacturer's original electrical / electronic design standards?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
11.	Are the reference standards, probes and probe cables stored properly when not in use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AM	AMO-22 NDT, Eddy Current				
12.	Does the handling of the part, (for example - work processing, routing documents / travelers, tagging, certification) follow general AMO procedures or is a unique system in use for NDT?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
13.	Does the company calibration procedures ensure NDT equipment is controlled / removed from service at the appropriate interval?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	AMO-23 NDT, Magnetic Particle					
famil	<b>Notice to audit personnel:</b> The quality of an audit of this area is dependent upon the auditor becoming familiar with the Standard utilized. For pre audit activities, ensure that a copy of the Standard is available and that supplement checklist questions are derived directly from the requirements contained in the Standard.					
1.	<ul> <li>Are magnetic particle inspections conducted in accordance with the following standards:</li> <li>SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)?</li> <li>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)?</li> <li>International Standard (ISO)?</li> <li>Other standards? (List)</li> <li>Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.</li> </ul>	N/A OK Finding Not Checked				
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version? Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)	N/AOK Finding Not Checked				
3.	Is the organization utilizing a comprehensive checklist / survey procedure for the purposes of conducting a self audit?	N/A OK Finding Not Checked				
4.	<ul> <li>Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written procedures?</li> <li>If so, do written procedures conform?</li> </ul>	N/A OK Finding Not Checked				
5.	<ul> <li>Are NDT procedures approved by Level III or traceable to an OEM certification?</li> <li>Is Level III services provided by the use of outside agency?</li> </ul>	N/A OK Finding Not Checked				

AM	AMO-23 NDT, Magnetic Particle					
6.	Does the pre-cleaning process adequately prepare the surface for application of the magnetic particles?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
7.	Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or instructions followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
8.	Do the materials used in the inspection process meet the requirements of the approved process specification?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
9.	Are the materials used in the inspection process adequately protected from contamination?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
10.	Do the inspectors practice dark adaptation prior to commencing inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
11.	Are steps taken to eliminate extraneous visible light sources from the darkened inspection area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	the items 12-19 below the following q					
	Has a check / test procedure been de			•		
-	Does the procedure require the use on Is the individual performing the check	•		•	enormance of the check / test?	

AM	AMO-23 NDT, Magnetic Particle					
d) \	What is the frequency of the check / t	est?				
e) A	Are there records of the checks / test	s and do th	ney indicat	e acceptable	results?	
12.	Are magnetic particle settling tests and viscosity tests performed to monitor bath concentration?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌	
13.	Do the bath concentration settling test records show that the concentration levels meet or have been adjusted to those of the prescribed standard?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
14.	Are the ultraviolet (black) lights checked for intensity and for operating condition?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
15.	Is the visible inspection light source checked for intensity?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
16.	Is a light intensity check for visible light performed in the black light inspection area?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
17.	Do the light intensity records show that the light intensity levels meet the acceptability standards?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

AM	O-23 NDT, Magnetic Part	icle			
18.	<ul> <li>Is a calibration for magnetizing current output performed on the magnetic particle inspection unit?</li> <li>Is the ammeter gauge calibrated?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
19.	Is a calibration check (dead weight lift) performed on each magnetic yoke?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
20.	Are the calibration records available for the applicable checks?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
21.	Are the parts checked for residual magnetism after demagnetization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
22.	Are field indicators tested?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
23.	Are the parts properly cleaned following the inspection process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AM	AMO-23 NDT, Magnetic Particle					
24.	Are there steps in place for proper disposition of the part after inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
25.	Is the inspection performed at the proper stage of the manufacturing / overhaul process?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
26.	Does the handling of the part, (for example - work processing, routing documents / travelers, tagging, certification) follow general AMO procedures or is a unique system in use for NDT?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
27.	Does the company calibration procedures ensure NDT equipment is controlled / removed from service at the appropriate interval?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
28.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
<b>A</b>	Commonto/Cummonus					

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	AMO-24 NDT, Radiographic (X-ray)					
famil	<b>Notice to audit personnel:</b> The quality of an audit of this area is dependent upon the auditor becoming familiar with the Standard utilized. For pre audit activities, ensure that a copy of the Standard is available and that supplement checklist questions are derived directly from the requirements contained in the Standard.					
1.	Are radiographic inspections conducted in accordance with the following standards:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	SAE Aerospace Material     Specification (AMS)?					
	<ul> <li>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)?</li> </ul>					
	• International Standard (ISO)?					
	Other standard? (List)					
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.					
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)					
3.	Is the organization utilizing a comprehensive checklist / survey procedure for the purposes of conducting a self audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
		note: indust	ry develope	d chacklists are a	vailable for comparison	
4.	Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written procedures?	N/A 🗌	OK []	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If so, do written procedures conform?</li> </ul>					
		Note: Indus	try guidance	is available in Al	A 100 and ASNT	
5.	Are NDT procedures approved by Level III or traceable to an OEM certification?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Is Level III services provided					

AM	O-24 NDT, Radiographic	(X-ray)	)		
	by the use of outside agency?				
6.	Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or instructions followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
7.	Are current calibration curves available for each X-Ray generating device?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
8.	Are the specified penetrameters or image quality indicators visible in the radiographs?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Are the densitometers correctly calibrated at the prescribed intervals?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
10.	<ul> <li>Are the radiographs properly identified with the following information:</li> <li>the date of exposure?</li> <li>the aircraft registration or part/serial number?</li> <li>the inspection technique, exposure and film location numbers?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
11.	Are appropriately certified film interpretation reports available for each inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

AM	O-24 NDT, Radiographic	(X-ray)			
12.	Are appropriate facilities and equipment available for film development?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
For t	he items 13, 14 below the following c	questions n	nay apply	to each:	
a) H	las a check / test procedure been de	eveloped?	Is one rec	quired?	
b) [	Does the procedure require the use of	of special to	ools to be	used in the pe	erformance of the check / test?
,	s the individual performing the check		n trained t	o do so?	
,	Vhat is the frequency of the check / t				
e) A	Are there records of the checks / test	s and do th	ney indicat	e acceptable	results?
13.	Are developer solution control checks performed at a specified periodicity and is a log of the results maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
14.	Are film viewer intensity checks performed at prescribed intervals and are records maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
15.	Are appropriate facilities and equipment available for film interpretation?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
16.	Are the maximum readable film densities posted on each film viewer?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
17.	Is the illumination (lighting / reflections) in the film	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AM	O-24 NDT, Radiographic	(X-ray)			
	interpretation area such that there are no reflections on the film under examination?				
18.	Is the film handled, processed and stored in accordance with manufacturer recommendations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
19.	Are radiographic procedures performed so that persons do not receive radiation dosages exceeding the maximum allowed by the applicable radiation safety codes?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
20.	<ul> <li>Are appropriate radiation safety measures in place?</li> <li>are personnel radiation safety records kept?</li> <li>are badges, meters, dosimeters utilized?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
21.	Does the handling of the part, (for example - work processing, routing documents / travelers, tagging, certification) follow general AMO procedures or is a unique system in use for NDT?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
22.	Does the company calibration procedures ensure NDT equipment is controlled / removed from service at the appropriate interval?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
23.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AM	AMO-24 NDT, Radiographic (X-ray)				
	Ref.				

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AM	AMO-25 NDT, Ultrasonic					
famil	<b>Notice to audit personnel:</b> The quality of an audit of this area is dependent upon the auditor becoming familiar with the Standard utilized. For pre audit activities, ensure that a copy of the Standard is available and that supplement checklist questions are derived directly from the requirements contained in the Standard.					
1.	Are ultrasonic inspections conducted in accordance with the following standard(s):	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>International Standards Organization (ISO)</li> </ul>					
	Other standards? (List).					
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.					
2.	Is the standard which is identified, the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)					
3.	Does the organization utilize a checklist / survey procedure for the purpose of conducting self- audits?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
4.	Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written ultrasonic inspection procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Do the procedures conform to the standard?					
5.	Is the approval of ultrasonic inspection procedures traceable to a Level III or OEM certification?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

AM	O-25 NDT, Ultrasonic				
6.	Are part surfaces adequately prepared prior to commencement of the ultrasonic inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
7.	Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or instructions followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
8.	Are the testers, electrical cables and transducers properly maintained and stored?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
For t	he item 9 below the following questic	ons may ap	ply to eac	:h:	
	Has a check / test procedure been de				
b)	Does the procedure require the use c	of special to	ools to be	used in the pe	erformance of the check / test?
c)	s the individual performing the check	/ test bee	n trained t	o do so?	
d) '	What is the frequency of the check / t	est?			
e) /	Are there records of the checks / test	s and do th	ney indica	te acceptable	results?
9.	Is the equipment calibrated in accordance with a company calibration system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	If not, is it checked / tested for:				
	• for linearity of the time base and amplifier?				
	<ul> <li>for scale calibration for transverse waves?</li> </ul>				
	for sensitivity?				
	for resolution?				
	<ul> <li>for zero point of angle transducers?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>for exit point of angle transducers?</li> </ul>				

AM	O-25 NDT, Ultrasonic				
	<ul> <li>for refracted angle of angle transducers?</li> </ul>				
10.	Is the equipment tested prior to / and during each inspection using a test piece prescribed in the inspection procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
11.	Are calibration records available for each item calibrated?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
12.	Are the test pieces and calibration standards properly identified and stored?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
13.	Are parts properly cleaned after the inspection process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
14.	Is the inspection performed at the proper stage of the manufacturing / overhaul process?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
15.	Are there procedures in place, and followed for proper disposition of the part after inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
16.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

AM	O-25 NDT, Ultrasonic	
	Ref.	

Comments/Summary:		
Items completed by:	Date:	

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AM	AMO-26 Welding				
famil	<b>ce to audit personnel:</b> The quality of liar with the Standard utilized. For pre- supplement checklist questions are of	e audit acti	vities, ens	ure that a cop	by of the Standard is available and
Pers	onnel Certification				
1.	Identify which Standard the organization has qualified / certified personnel to:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>SAE Standard AMS-STD- 1595</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Canadian Aviation Maintenance Council ISBN 1- 896602-04-05</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Is an alternate Standard in use? If so, does this publication have an identification number?</li> </ul>				
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)				
3.	Are qualification /certification records up-to-date and available for <u>each</u> of the Welding personnel?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>do they specify level, method, and techniques for which individual is certified?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>identify current certification expiration(s) date(s).</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>training history identifying source / dates of training, course hours, grades.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>for in-house certification systems, do they contain test scores of qualification examinations?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>contain results of physical examinations?</li> <li>demonstrate a history</li> </ul>				

AM	O-26 Welding				
	sufficient to satisfy experience requirements for certification?				
Meta	Ilic Arc Welding				
4.	Does the organization utilize a Standard for the performance of the work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.				
5.	Are the electrode manufacturer's recommendations or drawing requirements observed with regard to current, polarity, and so on?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
6.	Is the type of electrode used suitable for the material being welded?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
7.	Are pre-heat and post-heat requirements adhered to?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
8.	Are electrodes identified and properly stored?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Tung	gsten Inert Gas (TIG) Shielded-Arc	Welding			
9.	Does the organization utilize a Standard for the performance of the work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AM	O-26 Welding				
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.				
10.	Are the various types of metals to be welded cleaned in compliance with the process standards applicable to each type?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
11.	Is the time lapse between cleaning and welding minimized?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
12.	Are welding rods identified and properly stored?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
13.	Is the post-heat furnace temperature controlled?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
14.	Are titanium parts inspected to ensure that they are free from oxide, scale, oil, grease or other contaminants prior to welding?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
15.	Are titanium parts visually inspected for discoloration (which is acceptable when welded surfaces are silver to light straw-yellow in colour)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
16.	Are titanium parts welded in the open air or in a vacuum	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

AM	O-26 Welding					
	chamber?					
17.	Are titanium test coupons used for contamination checks of the inert atmosphere in the welding chamber?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
Fusi	on Welding					
18.	Does the organization utilize a standard or specification for the performance of the work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>If so, what is the identity of the document?</li> </ul>					
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.					
19.	Are the surfaces of all parts to be joined free from foreign matter (oil, grease, paint, dirt, scale, electroplating or other contaminants)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
20.	Are the interior walls of all tubing thoroughly cleaned of filings, chips and other foreign matter?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
21.	Are welded joints free from slag, flux, and so on?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
22.	<ul><li>Are fusion-welded parts visually inspected for:</li><li>convexity, concavity and size</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	

AM	O-26 Welding				
	beads?				
	• undercutting, overlapping and excessive penetration?				
	<ul> <li>cracks, porosity and inclusions?</li> </ul>				
	• other metallic discontinuities?				
23.	Are NDT or pressure-test inspections carried out in accordance with the relevant schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
24.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

## AMO-27 European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) Supplement

familia Arrang the lat	<b>Notice to audit personnel:</b> The quality of an audit of this area is dependent upon the auditor becoming familiar with the Special Conditions included in the guidance material for the TCCA/EASA Administrative Arrangement on Maintenance <b>(AAM-G)</b> (Superseding former JAA TGL 23). For pre audit activities, ensure that the latest copy of AAM-G is available. The auditor must sample work packages and release documents to verify compliance with EASA requirements.								
For ar	Administrative and Procedural findings should be handled through the normal Corrective Action Plan process. For any safety related findings against the work performed on European Products, the findings must be forwarded to the Office of Principal Interest in Headquarters.								
Link t	Link to the AAM-G: http://www.easa.eu.int/home/org_appro_cao_part145_ca_en.html								
EASA	EASA Supplement to Maintenance Policy Manual								
1.	Is the EASA Supplement attached to the organization's Maintenance Policy Manual current?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌				
	<ul> <li>Does the supplement reflect the legal business and if applicable trade name?</li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>Does the supplement meet the current requirements of AAM-G?</li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>Is the amendment procedure for the supplement followed and signed off by the identified person?</li> </ul>								
	Ref: EASA AAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 2 and 3)								
2.	Is the EASA Supplement Statement signed by the current Accountable Manager?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌				
	<u>Note</u> : The signature must be that of the Accountable Manager and not that of the PRM or QA Manager.								
	Ref: EASA AAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 4)								
3.	Does the organization have access to Airworthiness Directives applicable to specific EASA-National Airworthiness Authorities (EASA-NAA) for the component or aircraft?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌				
	<ul> <li>Does the AMO request the customer to provide the relevant applicable ADs?</li> </ul>								
	• Does the AMO have a system to identify if the origin of the part is compatible with the requirements of the country of registration of the aircraft or parts?								
	<ul> <li>Does the AMO hold a copy of applicable ADs for 2 years after completion of the AD?</li> </ul>								
	Ref: EASA AAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 7)								

Are major repairs and alterations being	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
carried out in accordance with the specific EASA-National Airworthiness Authorities (EASA-NAA) requirements?				
• Does the AMO request the customer to provide the written proof from the operators EASA-NAA for the approved data to carry out major repairs and major modifications?				
Ref: EASA AAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 8)				
Does the organization have a procedure for Components Release on TCCA Form 24-0078 after maintenance?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Does the organization follow the procedure stated?				
<ul> <li>Is the EASA Approval Number included in Block 13 for a European Community customer?</li> </ul>				
Does the TCCA AMO approval number appear in Block 21?				
<ul> <li>Do both boxes of block 19 thick to signify compliance with Canadian and EASA requirements.</li> </ul>				
• Does Block 13 contain details of the approved data used to perform any maintenance and reference to any ADs or SBs embodied during maintenance?				
• Does the AMO identify those staff that are authorized to issue the TCCA Form 24-0078 on behalf for the AMO?				
Ref: EASA AMM-G, (Appendix 1, para 9)				
Does the EASA Supplement contain procedures for components authorized for use during maintenance & alteration?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
• Do the new components fitted during maintenance meet EASA-AMM-G Appendix 1 certification requirements? Example: For USA OEM's and PC holders release should be on the FAA form 8130-3 as a new part (Conformity statement is not acceptable).				
• Do the used components fitted during maintenance meet EASA-AMM-G Appendix 1 certification requirements? Example: Used				

AMO	D-27 European Aviation Safety	Agenc	y (EAS	SA) Suppl	ement
	components obtained from a USA repair station NOT EASA approved must NOT be used. Used components from Canadian CAR 573 Approved maintenance organizations NOT EASA approved must NOT be used even if accompanied by what form.				
	Ref: EASA TAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 9)				
7.	Does the organization have a procedure for the release of aircraft after maintenance?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>Does the organization follow the procedure stated?</li> </ul>				
	• Does the AMO ensure the Certificate of Airworthiness is valid before signing a release to service statement? This verification is done by making sure that the Airworthiness Review Certificate (ARC) of the aircraft is not expired.				
	• Does the release to service statement of a European Community operator's aircraft include both the EASA Certificate Number and the TCCA AMO number?				
	Ref: EASA AAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 10 & 11)				
8.	Does the organization have a Quality Monitoring System containing an independent audit system and a management/control and follow up system?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	• Are the sample audits conducted within a one year period or twice per year for AMOs with less than 10 people (with an external auditor)?				
	• Is independence for the audit function established by ensuring that audits are not conducted by the personnel responsible for the function, procedure or product being audited?				
	• Does the Quality Monitoring System include all applicable CAR 573 & 571 paragraphs and the EASA Special conditions of AAM-G? ( <i>Note: CAR</i> 573 & 571 requirements can be met by the Quality Assurance Program approved in the AMO's MPM). The				

AMC	D-27 European Aviation Safety	Agenc	y (EAS	A) Suppl	ement
	Special conditions subjects are; EASA airworthiness directives, EASA approved/accepted major modifications and repairs, Aircraft certificate of airworthiness validity periods (if applicable), Aircraft release certification (if applicable), Reporting of unairworthy conditions, Maintenance record retention, Approved spare parts, Line stations (if applicable).				
	<ul> <li>Is a report raised for each audit carried out describing what was checked and any resulting findings?</li> </ul>				
	Are the findings rectified?				
	Ref: EASA AAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 12 and 15)				
9.	Does the organization have a procedure for reporting serious defects to EASA and to the European Customer?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the organization follow the procedure stated?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Is the serious defect reported within 3 days of discovery to the EASA and to the European Customer?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Is the TCCA Service Difficulty Report (SDR) form used for reporting the serious defect?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: EASA AAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 13)				
10.	Does the organization list each line station and the associated capabilities in the EASA supplement used by aircraft operated under the regulatory control of an European Union Member State?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	• Does the organization follow the line station process or procedure?				
	Ref: EASA AAM-G, (Appendix 1, para 14)				

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

# CAR 403 Flight Training Unit Certificate Holder Maintenance Requirements Checklists

## Table of Contents

#### (March 2001)

	Previous Transport Canada Audit	
FTU-02	Maintenance Control Manual	5
	Person Responsible for Maintenance	
FTU-04	Evaluation Program	14
FTU-05	Technical / Regulatory Publications	18
FTU-06	Technical Records	21
FTU-07	Weight & Balance	25
FTU-08	Maintenance Planning	28
FTU-09	Defect Recording, Rectification, Deferral, and Control Procedures	33
	Airworthiness Directive, Service Bulletin	
FTU-11	Technical Dispatch Procedures	39
FTU-12	Flight Authorities - Test / Ferry	42
FTU-13	Maintenance Arrangements	45
FTU-14	Training Program	49
	Personnel Records	
FTU-16	De-Icing Procedures	54
FTU-17	Elementary Work, Servicing	56
FTU-18	Servicing - Fueling, De-fueling & Lubrication	59
FTU-19	Control of Parts, Parts Pooling	62
FTU-20	Service Difficulty Reporting SDR	65

Intentionally Blank

CAR 403 Fligh	t Training Unit Operator Requirements 4	106
Company:		

FT	J-01 Previous Transpo	rt Cana	da Auc	lit	
1.	What was the purpose and date of the previous audit?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
2.	<ul><li>Were there a significant number of Audit Findings?</li><li>What conclusions were drawn from the audit?</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
3.	<ul> <li>Was the audit follow-up completed?</li> <li>List any outstanding audit findings respecting the previous audit?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
4.	Since the last audit, are there indications of high managerial staff turnover?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
5.	Is there any indications of high staff, flight crew or maintenance personnel turnover?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
6.	Have there been any changes in the company's scope, size, aircraft, type of service since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

FT	U-01 Previous Transpo	rt Cana	da Auc	dit	
7.	Have there been any additional Operations Specifications or a change to the Maintenance Scope of Approval / Authorized since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
8.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 403 Flight Training U	nit Operator Requirements 40	6
Company:		

FT	U-02 Maintenance Cont	rol Mar	nual		
	Maintenance Control - Adm	inistrativ	е		
1.	Does the manual have a table of contents?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(a)				
2.	Does the manual reflect the business or trade name?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(d)				
3.	Does the company have a fixed place of business?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(d)/(e)				
4.	Does the manual have a description of the	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	• organization and size?				
	<ul> <li>state the geographic location and sub base facilities?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>state the type and number of aircraft operated?</li> </ul>				
	• the nature and operation?				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(e)/(f)				
5.	Does the statement reflect approvals listed on the Operations Certificates / Limitations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(f)				
6.	Is there a signed statement (by the certificate holder) indicating that the manual is their means of complying with the Canadian	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-02 Maintenance Con	trol Mar	nual			
	Aviation Regulations?					
	<ul> <li>Is there a listing of the incorporated documents?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Is the list covered by the certification statement made by the certificated holder?</li> </ul>					
	Note: there's no compelling regulation for the FTU to change certification if the certificate holder position changes					
	Ref: CAR 406.38(2) / CAR Std. 426.38(g)					
7.	Has each Incorporated by Reference Document been "certified" by the PRM or person responsible for that management function as the Air Operator's means of compliance with the CARs?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR 406.35					
8.	Does the manual describe an amendment procedure?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(i)					
9.	Does the manual describe the page identification process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(i)					
10.	Is there an LEP and is it approved by Transport Canada?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(c)(i)					
11.	Do the pages contained in the manual match those listed in the LEP?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

FT	U-02 Maintenance Cont	trol Mar	nual			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(c)					
12.	Does the manual specify distribution procedures and the identity of manual holders / locations?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(j)					
13.	Is the amendment procedure followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(j)					
14.	Are manuals up to date?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(j)					
15.	Are distribution procedures followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(j)					
16.	Are manuals physically located at the designated site or with the specified person?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(j)					
17.	Complete separate PRM Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not	Checked 🗌		
18.	Does the manual contain a chart depicting the organizational	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

FTU-02 Maintenan	e Control Manua	I	
responsibilities?			
<ul> <li>If not, is one require clarity?</li> </ul>	d for		
Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(j)			
19. Does the manual state the communication equipment used in the conduct of the business intended?	nt to be	Finding Not Checked	]
<ul> <li>Is it adequate?</li> </ul>			
Ref: CAR Std. 426.378(2)(b)			
20. Are they able to contact aircraft / crew when awa main base or remotely o	from the	Finding Not Checked	]
Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(2)(b)			
21. How is updating of the c manual actioned or the r generated?		E Finding Not Checked	]
Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4)			
22. Does the company have adequate for the control maintenance, elementar and servicing?	of	E Finding Not Checked	]
Ref: CAR 406.37 / CAR Std.	426.37(2)		
23. Complete separate Ev Program Checklist	Iluation N/A	Not Checked	
Maintenance Cont	ol - Operational		
		🗌 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌	]
24. Is there sufficient numbe personnel to control all o required maintenance, s elementary work, servici	the cheduling,		

FT	U-02 Maintenance Cont	rol Ma	nual			
25.	Complete separate Technical, Regulatory Publication Checklist …	N/A	Not Ch	necked		
26.	Complete separate Technical Records Checklist …	N/A 🗌	Not Ch	necked		
27.	Complete separate Weight & Balance Checklist …	N/A	Not Ch	necked 🗌		
28.	Does the manual specify how maintenance actions are to be recorded?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(m)					
29.	Is there a person identified that is responsible for developing a maintenance schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(a)					
30.	Does the manual identify the maintenance schedules appropriate to the type of equipment / aircraft operated by the company?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Have any maintenance     schedules been omitted?					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(o)					
31.	Does the manual indicate a procedure for adding additional aircraft to the operating certificate?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If so, do they follow the procedure?</li> </ul>					
	Ref:					
32.	Complete separate Maintenance Planning Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Ch	necked		
33.	Complete separate Defect Control Checklist …	N/A 🗌	Not Ch	necked 🗌		

FT	U-02 Maintenance Cont	rol Ma	nual		
34.	Complete separate Airworthiness Directive / Service Bulletin Checklist	N/A	Not Checked		
35.	Complete separate instructions, job cards	N/A	Not Checked		
36.	Complete separate Technical Dispatch Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked		
37.	Complete separate Flight Authority - Test - Ferry Flight Checklist	N/A	Not Checked		
38.	Complete separate Maintenance Arrangements Checklist	N/A	Not Checked		
39.	Complete separate Training Program Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked		
40.	Complete separate Personnel Records Checklist	N/A	Not Checked		
41.	Complete separate Elementary Work / Servicing Checklist	N/A	Not Checked		
42.	Complete separate Ramp Procedures Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked		
43.	Complete separate De-Icing Procedures Checklist	N/A	Not Checked		
44.	Complete separate Complete separate Servicing - fuel, oil, lubricants Checklist …	N/A	Not Checked		
45.	Complete separate Control of Parts / Parts Pooling Checklist 	N/A	Not Checked		
46.	Are sufficient spare parts available, located where needed?	N/A	OK Finding Not Checked		
	Ref:				
47.	Are sufficient supplies and spare parts available to ensure timely rectification of defects / MEL provisions?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌		

FT	FTU-02 Maintenance Control Manual							
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(2)(e)							
48.	Complete separate SDR Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked					
49.	Complete separate Sample Aircraft Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked					
50.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
	Ref.							

Itoma completed by	Deter
Items completed by:	Date:

FT	TU-03 Person Responsible for Maintenance							
1.	Review TC company files, is there documentation to support the evaluation of the PRM?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>completion of the CARs examination (AMEs exempt)?</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>check for convictions under Section 7.3 of the AA or CAR 605.84/85/86?</li> </ul>							
	assessment by interview?							
	Ref: CAR 406.36(1) / CAR Std. 426.36(1) / (2)							
2.	If the AOC holder also holds an AMO Certificate, is the PRM for the Air Operator the same person as the PRM for the AMO?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR 406.36(2)							
3.	Does the PRM fully understand the responsibilities of the position?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR 406.36(1)(a)							
4.	Is there evidence to suggest that the PRM did not remove aircraft from service when they failed to comply with airworthiness or operational requirements?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR 406.36(1)(b)							
5.	Does the PRM sub delegate any of the responsibility?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>If so, is the identity / position of the individual and their duties fully described in the manual?</li> </ul>							
	• Does the description specify which functions or activities that are to be assigned?							

FT	FTU-03 Person Responsible for Maintenance						
	Ref: CAR 406.36(3)						
6.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 403 Flight	t Training Unit Operator Requirements 4	06
Company:		

FT	FTU-04 Evaluation Program							
1.	Is the requirement to evaluate the company maintenance system identified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR 406.47(1) / CAR Std. 426.47(1)							
2.	Is the person responsible for evaluating the program identified in the manual? If not the PRM, are this person's duties clearly defined in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(2)							
3.	Has the operator conducted an initial evaluation at the end of 12 months?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4)(a)							
4.	Does the evaluation program cover all functions defined in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(1) / (2)							
5.	Does the evaluation program cover regulatory changes?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(2)							
6.	Is there evidence (records) indicating that formal review of maintenance requirements have been undertaken?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	• Were records kept according to the requirements specified in the manual (6 years)?							

FT	FTU-04 Evaluation Program							
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(1)(b)							
7.	Does the operator use checklists for the evaluation process?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Are examples available and are they comprehensive?							
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4)							
8.	Does the operator follow the frequency of evaluation as specified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4)(b)							
9.	Does the operator follow the stated corrective action process? (sample, are persons required to provide input in the process, involved?)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4)(c)-(g)							
10.	Are the correct forms as stated in the manual in use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4)(c)							
11.	Has action taken as a result of a non-compliance finding included immediate and long term action to correct the root cause of the finding?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4)(e)							
12.	Is there any evidence to suggest that follow-up activities have been carried out?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4)(f)							

FT	FTU-04 Evaluation Program								
13.	Is there any record of a maintenance schedule review being carried out or the evaluation of supplemental maintenance requirements for applicability and inclusion to the operators program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
	• Were they included?								
	• Does the outcome deviate from manufacturers recommendations; are the conclusions stated and decisions substantiated? (see std 625)								
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(3) & (4) / 625.86(5)(b)(l)								
14.	Are aircraft operational and environmental conditions considered in the evaluation program? (sample, review actual process)	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(3)								
15.	*Is there evidence that the operator has reviewed and evaluated Service Bulletins and other technical information for applicability to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence of a maintenance specialist being involved in the decision process?</li> </ul>								
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(4) / ANB055								
16.	How would the company handle a service bulletin issued today which affects their fleet? (sample / interview, does the response reflect stated procedures, follow process through?)	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.47(1)								
17.		N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				

FT	FTU-04 Evaluation Program					
	Ref.					
<u> </u>						

Items completed by:		Date:	
---------------------	--	-------	--

CAR 403 Flight Training	Unit Operator Requirements 40	)6
Company:		

FT	U-05 Technical / Regula	atory Pu	ublicati	ions	
1.	Is the person responsible for keeping publications current aware of his / her responsibilities (interview)?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)				
2.	Does the operator have technical and regulatory publications according to the list in their approved manual? Note: the Standard is not explicit in the requirement for technical or regulatory information, the following is a <u>possible</u> list	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Aeronautics Act				
	Canadian Aviation Regulations     & appropriate standards				
	• type certificates;				
	• supplemental type certificates;				
	• FAA Advisory Circulars 43.13				
	<ul> <li>Airworthiness Directives, applicable to the aircraft / equipment operated;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>manufacturer's maintenance, illustrated parts, overhaul manuals, and service bulletin and service letters,</li> </ul>				
	MCM or MPM				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)				
3.	Are publications / manuals current, i.e. amendments up-to- date?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)				
4.	*Is the system for monitoring manual currency effective?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-05 Technical / Regula	atory P	ublicat	ions	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)				
5.	Are appropriate portions of publications made available to company personnel, contractors as outlined in the manual?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.38(1)(c) / CAR Std. 426.38(I) / CAR Std. 426.39(a)				
6.	How is the operator made aware of technical information, updates, bulletins, etc. applicable to the aircraft type they operate?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)				
7.	<ul><li>Does the company have equipment, i.e. Computers, fiche reader / printer etc., necessary for reproducing this information?</li><li>Does the equipment function?</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)				
8.	If regulatory publications are to be available via the Internet, have the company demonstrate their access to and navigate the information. Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
9.	If publications are to be made available to the operator via a contract for maintenance, does the operator ensure that the technical manual applies to their particular aircraft via serial number or model or year? Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
10.	How does the operator control out of date / historical publications / training notes?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

FT	U-05 Technical / Regula	atory P	ublicat	ions		
	training notes?					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)					
11.	Is the library distributed and is there a master list which identifies each publication and location? (sample locations)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(I)					
12.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
Con	nments/Summary:					

Items completed by: Date:	

CAR 403 Flight Training Unit Operator Requirement	ts 406
Company:	

FT	J-06 Technical Records	6			
1.	Is the location of records in accordance with the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(2)(f)				
2.	Does the use of job / segmented / task cards, require the operator to update a computer software program after completion?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, is there any evidence of missed entries or incorrect times being input?</li> </ul>				
3.	Ref: *Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the operator's or the AMO's?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is this according to manual requirements?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>				
4.	Does the operator ensure that completed inspection packages are reviewed?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.96(2)				
5.	Are the maintenance check sheets used as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Approved Maintenance Schedule				
6.	Cross check completed check sheets with the aircraft log books, is there evidence of maintenance being completed prior to certifying the Technical Log entry? (sample).	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-06 Technical Records	S			
	Ref: CAR605.92(1)				
7.	Sample aircraft technical records (including Journey Log). Do the recorded actions meet regulatory requirements? For example:	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	certifications;				
	• time between checks;				
	• weight and balance report;				
	<ul> <li>inspections completed on time;</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / CAR 605.96 / CAR 571 / Std. AWM 571 Appendix C				
8.	Are established procedures being followed to record and control maintenance items? For example:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>scheduled maintenance and inspection;</li> </ul>				
	• Ad's and special instructions;				
	• components;				
	• TBOs and life-limited times;				
	• calendar-time items;				
	<ul> <li>pitot-static and altimeter calibration checks;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>compass calibration;</li> </ul>				
	• weight and balance				
	<ul> <li>independent control checks / CMR / critical maintenance tasks.</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.96 / CAR 571.10(4) / CAR Std. 426.38(m)				
9.	Are maintenance records acceptable for completeness and final certification? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: Std. AWM 571.10(2) -(4)/ CAR605.92(1)				
10.	Does the operator transfer information from the journey log to the permanent technical record in accordance to regulatory and MCM requirements?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-06 Technical Records	5			
	MCM requirements?				
	Ref: CAR 605.96(2)				
11.	Does the operator maintain technical records in a dry, secure area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(2)(f)				
12.	*Do company personnel record information and defects in the journey log or other technical record in accordance with MCM procedures?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(m)				
13.	Is the technical record (including journey log) a consecutive, unbroken history?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 605.93(4)				
14.	<ul> <li>Is computer software used as a sole support technical history?</li> <li>If so, is there evidence of original entries being updated?</li> <li>Is the original entry protected?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.93(2) / CAR 103.4(a) / Std. 625.93(3)				
15.	*Is the primary method for controlling maintenance tasks generated / tracked by computer?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, it must be a secure system, (see technical records)?</li> </ul>				
16.	Ref: CAR 103.04 Where computer maintenance software is used, is the ACA holder identified in the	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-06 Technical Records	S			
	computerized record ?				
	Ref: CAR 605.93(1)(b)				
17.	Is there evidence of maintenance releases, which are issued "subject to satisfactory test flight", not having the corresponding final certification by the pilot-in- command?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. AWM 571.10(4)				
18.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 403 Fligh	t Training Unit Operator Requirements 4	406
Company:		

FT	U-07 Weight & Balance				
1.	Is the person responsible for weight and balance control knowledgeable of their duties and responsibilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(u)				
2.	Are any of the aircraft operated subject to the five year re-weigh requirement? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix C, (8)				
3.	Are weight and balance reports carried on board each aircraft?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there more than one report which may be contradictory?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Type Certificate				
4.	Is there evidence of changes being made to aircraft basic weight without amendments to the weight and balance report?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (2)				
5.	Does each amendment to the weight and balance report include revision dates and revised equipment lists?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				
6.	Are empty weight and c of g calculations computed in the amended report?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				

FT	U-07 Weight & Balance				
7.	Has a maintenance release been signed for each change to the weight & balance report?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				
8.	Does each aircraft's weight & balance report demonstrate continuity back to the time the aircraft was weighed?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR605.92 / Std. 571 Appendix C				
9.	Do company personnel follow the approved procedures when moving from one aircraft configuration to another?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (3)				
10.	For each aircraft configuration established, has a maintenance release been signed? (note: the current configuration must be identified however a maintenance release is not required for the entry when changing from one configuration to another)	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C				
11.	Does the system of control ensure accurate weight and balance information is used by the pilot-in- command?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(u) / Std. 571 Appendix C				
12.	Does the weight and balance report / equipment list include all items specified in the aircraft type certificate?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does it also include additional equipment required / installed for the operation of the aircraft?</li> </ul>				

FT	U-07 Weight & Balance					
	Does it include any equipment installed as a result of an STC or modification?					
	Ref: Std. 571 Appendix C (1)(a)					
13.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	 Date:

CAR 403 Flight Training Unit Operator Requirements 406
Company:

File 5015-

FT	FTU-08 Maintenance Planning					
	As maintenance planning is procedural spe- ved manual in support of the audit.	cific to the op	erator, a sup	plemental check	list may need to be developed from the	
1.	Is the individual responsible for the program knowledgeable of the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(p)					
2.	Is the method or process used to monitor / schedule maintenance activities / repetitive AD's (computer / status system / other) being followed by the organization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	• Does this include supplemental maintenance items (such as STC's added after MS approval, floats & skis etc., safety equipment)?					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(p)					
3.	Are the same method(s) used at all locations and sub bases?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(p)					
4.	*Is the primary method for controlling maintenance tasks generated / tracked by computer?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If so is it a secure system, (see technical records)?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR 103.04 / CAR 605.93(2)					
5.	Is the method used up-to-date and current?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(p)					

FT	U-08 Maintenance Plan	ning			
6.	*Is there operational or additional equipment that is not addressed in the maintenance schedule? (sample)	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 625.86				
7.	Is there evidence that maintenance requirements have been missed or actioned late?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(m)				
8.	Are there service bulletins that were or should have been adopted / installed / integrated into the maintenance program? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(m) / CAR 625.86(6)				
9.	Is the frequency and use of tolerances planned or excessive?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8) / TP13094				
10.	Are maintenance releases made to facilitate the use of the tolerance prior to it's use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8)				
11.	Is aircraft operating information (aircraft daily flight time / condition / status) relayed to the individual making decisions on maintenance requirements?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>Is this in accordance with the instruction / procedures specified in the manual?</li> </ul>				

FT	U-08 Maintenance Plan	ning			
	<ul> <li>Does this information include a requirement for - defects, MELs, special inspections,hard landings, lighting strikes, etc</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(m)				
12.	Is the liaison between the operator and the maintenance contractor effective?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence that the communication process does not work?</li> <li>Ref:</li> </ul>				
13.	*Is the maintenance contractor consulted in the defect deferral process?	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding 🛄	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(1)(e)				
14.	Are maintenance requirements communicated to the AMO in accordance with manual requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(1)(b) / (c)				
15.	Does the operator maintain current inspection sheets as identified in the Maintenance Schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)				
16.	Are the appropriate forms and information supplied to the maintenance organization or are they to be reproduced by the AMO?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is this in accordance with the stated process?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)				
17.	Did AMO personnel use the latest check sheet (revision) during the last few inspections?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-08 Maintenance Plan	ning			
	Ref: CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)				
18.	Where the operator writes or re- writes job / segmented / task cards, does the operator follow the process stated in the manual for controlling revision of the cards?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the card contain a reference link to the original instructions?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix D (3)(a)(vi)				
19.	For computer controlled maintenance planning, is the repeat interval self generated or is this a manual input operation?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	• Is the process consistent with the description in the manual?				
	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence that repeat interval maintenance requirements have been overlooked or entered incorrectly?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(2)(c) / CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)				
20.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

	TU-09 Defect Recording, Rectification, Deferral, and Control Procedures						
Rec	ording						
1.	Does the company record defects in accordance with the procedures specified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR 406.41 / CAR Std. 426.37(m) & (e) / CAR 605.94(1)						
2.	Are defects recorded in the proper record / log; are they identified appropriately and handled in accordance with stated procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 406.41 / CAR Std. 426.37(m) & (e) / CAR 605.94(1)						
3.	Does this recording procedure apply to defects found by flight crew during normal operations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	• Does the method indicated conflict with regulatory requirements?						
	Ref: CAR 406.41 / CAR Std. 426.37(m) & (e) / CAR 605.94(1)						
4.	Are defects found during maintenance recorded in accordance with procedures stated by the operator or by the maintenance contractor?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref:						
5.	*Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the operator's or the AMO's?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>Is this according to manual requirements?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(m) & (w)						
6.	Is there evidence of defects not	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

	U-09 Defect Recording, ocedures	Rectifie	cation,	Deferral,	and Control
	being recorded:				
	• by the flight crew?				
	<ul> <li>while the aircraft is away from base?</li> </ul>				
	during maintenance?				
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1)				
Def	erral				
7.	How does the operator determine a defects suitability for deferral?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are technical / regulatory manuals and type certificate information available / consulted in the process?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 605.06(a)				
8.	Are defects assessed against mandatory or required operating equipment limitations (also includes Type Certificates Data Sheets and basis of certification)?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.09 / CAR 605.10 / CAR 605 General				
9.	*Are environmental factors and operational role of the aircraft considered in the defect's assessment?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 602 Division II /CAR 605.06(b)				
10.	*Is the maintenance contractor consulted in the deferral process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.40 / CAR Std. 426.37(1)(e)				
11.	Are deferrals entered in the	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

	U-09 Defect Recording, ocedures	Rectific	cation,	Deferral,	and Control
	journey log or other recording system in accordance with stated procedures?				
	Ref: CAR 406.41 / CAR Std. 426.38(w)				
12.	Are procedures for placarding deferred defects being followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std 625.08 CAR 605.10(2)(b)				
13.	Are personnel that defer authorized?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.10(2)(c)				
Rec	urring Defect				
14.	Is there any evidence indicating recurring defects are not being addressed according to stated procedures?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.41 / CAR Std. 426.41(1)(2)				
15.	<ul> <li>Is the operator's stated procedure effective in detecting the reoccurrence of defects?</li> <li>Does the defect system highlight defects that recur to the flight crew and maintenance organization?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.41 / CAR Std. 426.41(1)(2)				
16.	Does the operator's criteria, for classifying recurring defects, meet regulatory requirements?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

	FTU-09 Defect Recording, Rectification, Deferral, and Control Procedures					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.41(3)					
Cor	ntrol					
17.	Troubleshooting, part removal. Does the manual indicate how a part removed for troubleshooting purposes must be handled?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	• If so, does the company follow procedures for storage, time limits and maintenance release requirements?					
	<ul> <li>parts removed from another aircraft for troubleshooting?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(w)					
18.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Items completed by:		Date:	

CAR 403 Flight Training Unit Operator Requirements	<b>; 406</b>
Company:	

FT	U-10 Airworthiness Dire	ctive, S	Service	Bulletin	
1.	Does the operator have all Airworthiness Directives applicable to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(2) / CAR Std. 426.38(I) / Std. AWM 593 Appendix B (2)(a)				
2.	Does the operator ensure timely action of Airworthiness Directives?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: / CAR Std. 426.38(p)				
3.	Are the details, of maintenance actions used during the accomplishment of Airworthiness Directives, recorded in the appropriate technical record?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	For Service Bulletins?				
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1) / Std. AWM 571.03 / Std. AWM 571.10(4) / Std. 625 Appendix H (1)(b)				
4.	Does the operator have direct access to Service Bulletin and other technical information applicable to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If access is by way of a contract, is the access adequate? (sample, have operator demonstrate)</li> </ul>				
	Ref: / CAR Std. 426.38(I)				
5.	*Is there evidence that the operator has reviewed and evaluated Service Bulletins and other technical information for applicability to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence of a maintenance specialist being involved in the decision process?</li> </ul>				

FT	FTU-10 Airworthiness Directive, Service Bulletin					
	Ref: / CAR Std. 426.38(I) / ANB055					
6.	Is the implementation of AD / SB or other technical information actioned directly from the manufacturers technical information or is the process re written into task cards or action sheets?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If re written, is there a control process or review mechanism in place which ensures the accuracy of the information?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: Std. 625 Appendix D (3)(vi)					
7.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	

Items completed by:	_ Date:

CAR 403 Flight Training	Unit Operator Req	uirements 406
Company:		

FT	U-11 Technical Dispatc	h Proce	dures		
1.	Are maintenance entries attesting to serviceability and continuation of safe operation made each time a tolerance is used?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 625.86(8)				
2.	Have authorized personnel issued the tolerance in accordance with the manual requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: / CAR Std. 426.38(v)				
3.	Is there any evidence to suggest that Airworthiness Directives are also given the tolerance?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 625.86(8) / CAR 605.84(10)(b)				
4.	Does tolerance use conflict with the requirements outlined in the respective maintenance schedules?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std 625.86 Appendix C				
5.	Is there any evidence to suggest that the pilot-in-command is given adequate notification of the next scheduled maintenance activity? (particular attention to operations from remote locations)	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(p) / (v)				
6.	Do the procedures ensure that aircraft are not operated in an unairworthy condition?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(p) / (v)				

FT	U-11 Technical Dispatc	h Proce	dures		
7.	How is the PRM advised of the status while aircraft are away from base?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(v) / CAR Std. 426.37(b) / (c)				
8.	*Are environmental factors and operational role of the aircraft considered in the dispatch of an aircraft with a defect?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 602 Division II				
9.	Is the procedure followed for returning the aircraft to service when aircraft become unserviceable away from base?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(v)				
10.	Are procedures followed for transmitting maintenance information to aircraft operating away from the base?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(v) / CAR Std. 426.37(b) / (c)				
11.	Is deferred maintenance taken into consideration when dispatching aircraft?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.40(a)- (c)				
12.	For aircraft that have additional (dual) flight authorities, are procedures followed for invoking the applicable flight authority?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.40(b) / CAR 507.08				

FT	U-11 Technical Dispatc	h Proce	edures		
13.	*For companies that have self flight permit authority issuance privileges, are procedures followed for invoking or issuing the flight permit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Check Company Operations Manual for complimentary procedures?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.40(c) / CAR 507.08 / CAR 507.04(4) AWM 505 Appendix F				
14.	Are maintenance entries regarding aircraft weight and balance status recorded in the journey log?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 605.94(1) / CAR Std 571 Appendix C				
15.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 403 Flight Training	Unit Operator Requirements 4	06
Company:		

FT	U-12 Flight Authorities -	Test /	Ferry		
1.	*Does the technical dispatch system flag to the pilot-in- command when test flight requirements are to be used?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are operations and maintenance required to communicate with each other?</li> </ul>				
	Ref:				
2.	For maintenance test flights, is the final certification of "satisfactory flight" made by the pilot in command?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence to suggest passengers may have carried on board during the test flight?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 571.10(4) / Std. 571.10(4) Table Item F / CAR 605.85(2) & (3)				
3.	*For aircraft that have additional (dual) flight authorities are procedures followed for invoking the applicable flight authority?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 507.08(1)&(3), CAR 571.06(3) / CAR 406.40(c) / CAR Std. 426.38(v)				
4.	Are flight and maintenance crews familiar with "away from base" ferry-flight procedures as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Pofe				
5.	Ref: Does the operator follow the	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
Э.	procedures described in the manual when exercising ferry-flight authorization?				
	Ref:				
6.	Where self flight permit authorization is given, when used has the company followed	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-12 Flight Authorities -	Test /	Ferry		
	has the company followed procedures and have the required company authorizations been obtained?				
	Ref:				
7.	Is the proper (company) form being used? Does the F/P form become part of the permanent record?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
8.	<ul> <li>Is there any evidence of misuse or aircraft positioning that may have taken place under flight permit authority?</li> <li>Do the conditions specified seem reasonable or complete?</li> </ul>	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
9.	Are ferry flights being reported to the TC Civil Aviation as described in the manual?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 403 Flight Training Unit Operator Requ	irements 406
Company:	

FT	FTU-13 Maintenance Arrangements						
1.	Does the manual state a policy for initiating or governing maintenance contracts?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(q)						
2.	Does the manual specify criteria which must be identified or included in the maintenance contract?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Does it include a description of contract administration and the scope of work?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>If the manual does not contain specific procedures, are maintenance contracts to be individually approved by TC?</li> </ul>						
	Ref:						
3.	How is the provision of emergency maintenance services addressed?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Does the manual specify procedures for obtaining these services?</li> </ul>						
	• If so, is the process followed?						
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(q)						
4.	Is a communication procedure included in the arrangement to advise maintenance contractors of planned or unscheduled maintenance requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Does the procedure identify responsibilities of the maintenance coordinator?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>*Does this include how AD requirements are to be communicated between the parties?</li> </ul>						
	Ref:						
5.	Is direction included specifying	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		

FT	U-13 Maintenance Arrar	ngemer	nts		
	are to be used by the contractor when performing maintenance?				
	Does the process include the provision / use of forms and for providing copies of the appropriate maintenance schedules?				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(q)				
6.	Are all contracts entered into, identified in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(r)				
7.	For individual contracts,	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are all parties' responsibilities clearly defined in the manual and the contract?</li> </ul>				
	• Does the company follow the procedures for transmitting records between parties as established in the manual?				
	<ul> <li>Are requirements for maintenance releases specified, which documents and what entries in which technical record?</li> </ul>				
	• Is a requirement for contractor feedback specified in the maintenance arrangement, i.e. problems, SDR information, evaluation program,?				
	<ul> <li>Does it include providing feedback information in support approved reliability program where required?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(q)				
8.	Where the work is performed outside of Canada, has the person been approved by a manual approved under the Foreign States' requirements?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌

FT	U-13 Maintenance Arrai	ngemer	nts		
	<ul> <li>Is a "maintenance specification" required for work that is routinely carried out in a Foreign State?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.39				
9.	Does the contractor have the facilities, capability and the excess capacity to perform the additional work?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
10.	<ul> <li>Have the applicability and authority of the publications been delineated in the contract?</li> <li>Has the operator adopted any contract facility processes, i.e. repair methods and/or techniques?</li> </ul>	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(q)				
11.	<ul> <li>Is the operator involved in a engine on condition maintenance program?</li> <li>Does the contract state the responsibilities and involvement of both parties in all or part of the reliability program?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(q)				
12.	Is the contract significant enough to warrant Transport Canada review?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref:				
13.	Have all phases of aircraft maintenance (e.g. heavy and light engine maintenance / structural maintenance) been established?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	FTU-13 Maintenance Arrangements					
	Ref:					
14.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 403 Flight Training	Unit Operator Requirements 4	06
Company:		

FT	U-14 Training Program				
1.	Does the operator state a policy with respect to training for those individuals required to action functions of the organization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.45				
2.	<ul> <li>Does the operator's manual contain a description of training requirements with respect to other duties carried out CAR 406 requirements? (note: this statement is broadly applied, training may be required for technical dispatch, weight and balance, etc.)</li> <li>Has training covering regulations and standards pertinent to the activity or function, been carried out?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
0	Ref: CAR 406.45 / CAR Std. 426.45(1)	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
3.	Has training for critical or independent maintenance tasks been provided to those individuals who will exercise the privilege?				
	Ref:				
4.	*Are training records for Elementary Work and Servicing available? Ref:	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
5.	Is training conducted according to the training cycle specified in the approved manual or is it driven by the results of the evaluation program?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.45				
6.	Does the manual specify a update	N/A	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-14 Training Program					
	training cycle or is it driven by the results of the evaluation program?					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.45(1)(b)					
7.	Is there evidence of update training that has been carried out?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Was it conducted as a result of regulatory or operator program changes?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.45(1)(b)					
8.	Is there evidence of training that is / was a direct result of a finding made in conjunction with the evaluation program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>Is there training that should have transpired as a result of a finding made under this program?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.45(1)(c)					
9.	The Standard permits certain elements of the training program to be administered under an AMO's MPM.	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If so, does the MCM must make reference to items in the contained in the MPM?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.45(2)					
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 403 Flight Training	g Unit Operator Requirements 40	)6
Company:		

FT	U-15 Personnel Record	S			
1.	Do personnel records consist of the items described in the manual and are they being maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.46(1) / CAR Std. 426.46				
2.	Are there records in use which are not described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
3.	Does the operator keep records of training provided for two years since the last entry?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.46(1)				
4.	Is a record provided to the person who has received the authorization to accomplish the function?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.37(1)(f)				
5.	Do the personnel records for management assigned personnel contain their "qualifications"?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.46(a)				
6.	Are any management functions sub delegated?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, is there a record of the individuals qualifications available?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.46(a)				
7.	*Is there a list of personnel	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-15 Personnel Record	ls				
	indicating their qualifications and authorizations?					
	Ref:					
8.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 403 Flight Training Unit Operator	<b>Requirements 406</b>
Company:	

FT	FTU-16 De-Icing Procedures					
Note	: check with C&BA de-icing require	ements ma	y be cove	ered under th	e company's COM.	
1.	Does the manual have a policy statement regarding de-icing activities?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
2.	Does the operator have it's own de-icing capabilities or is this function contracted?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Does this include de-icing activities at any of the line stations?</li> </ul>					
	Ref:					
3.	<ul><li>Does the manual specify how de- icing will be conducted by the contractor?</li><li>Where a de-icing contractor is</li></ul>	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	utilized, is the requirement for inspecting the contractor and equipment specified in the manual?					
	Ref:					
4.	Are personnel conducting the de- icing operation trained and knowledgeable with de-icing procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
5.	Is a record of training held by the operator?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
6.	Does the manual specify the type	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

FT	U-16 De-Icing Procedu	res			
	of fluids to be used?				
	<ul> <li>Are these fluids types considered for maintenance problems?</li> </ul>				
	Ref:				
7.	Is there a requirement to identify container of de-icing fluids?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
8.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 403 Flight Tr	aining Unit Operator Requirements 40	6
Company:		

FT	U-17 Elementary Work,	Servici	ng		
1.	Does the company use procedures other than manufacturers stated in the performance of Elementary Work or Servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are these procedures specified in the manual?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 406.44 / CAR Std. 426.46(b)				
2.	Are authorization records for elementary work and servicing available and current?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Does the company follow the training process as described in the manual?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Are the authorizations supported by an available training record?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 406.44 / CAR Std. 426.46(b) / CAR Std. 426.38(t)				
3.	Do any of the authorized tasks conflict with Appendix A, Subpart 625?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 406.44 / CAR Std. 426.46(b)				
4.	Do authorizations include the performance of certain AD requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, has the training remained up to date with revisions to the AD, if issued?</li> </ul>				
	Ref:				
5.	Is the system of control for Elementary Work and Servicing adequate?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(t)				
6.	Does the company follow	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	TU-17 Elementary Work, Servicing						
	procedures for the recording of Elementary Work and Servicing?						
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(m)						
7.	For flight crew members that request contract servicing, have they been trained in the proper methods and techniques themselves?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref:						
8.	Are the necessary tools and equipment for elementary work and servicing available?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(n)						
9.	Does the company have facilities adequate for the performance of maintenance and servicing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref:						
10.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						
L							

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CAR 403 Flight Training Unit Operator Requirements	; <b>406</b>
Company:	

FT	U-18 Servicing - Fueling	g, De-fu	eling 8	Lubrica	tion	
1.	Does the company follow stated procedures for fuel handling and controlling?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: Std. 706.08(1)(r)					
2.	Is the fuel regularly monitored and tested for quality?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
3.	What standard is used for the companies in support of their fuel handling?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Are their practices in conformance with the standard?</li> </ul>					
	Ref:					
1.	<ul><li>Are there records for inspection of the fueling facility?</li><li>For each base or fueling</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	facility?					
	Drum fueling; is the method used to filter the fuel as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
5.	Is there a time limit for storage of fuel in being respected as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					

FT	U-18 Servicing - Fueling	g, De-fu	eling 8	Lubrica	tion
7.	Is the condition of the fueling facility, i.e., hoses (bonded), nozzle caps, filters, grounding cables and fire extinguishers being monitored?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
8.	Is the fueling / defueling equipment properly identified and maintained?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
9.	*Are the aircraft refueling points properly identified and placarded?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
10.	*Is the training program for personnel operating fueling / defueling equipment followed as described in the MCM?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
11.		N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CAR 403 Flight Training	Unit Operator Requirements 4	06
Company:		

FT	U-19 Control of Parts, P	arts Po	oling		
1.	Are parts and materials owned or used by the company acquired and stored per the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
2.	Is the individual responsible for the control of parts / material knowledgeable about the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:				
3.	<ul> <li>Does the company use a quarantine storage system?</li> <li>Are there procedures stated for limited access and control?</li> <li>Is it segregated, locked?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref:	···· 🗖			
4.	Does the quarantine store contain unserviceable parts, components, material and equipment?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🛄	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Are these parts being handling according to procedures?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Are these items properly identified and held in temporary transit status?</li> </ul>				
	Ref:				
5.	Does the company's system ensure that there are no unserviceable, unidentified or untagged parts in bonded stores?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref:				
6.	Is there a stated policy and	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-19 Control of Parts, P	arts Po	oling			
	requirement for the acquisition of parts and materials used during the performance of elementary work and servicing?					
	Ref: CAR Std. 426.38(s)					
7.	Are shelf-lifed items controlled as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
8.	Are flammable fluids and materials stored in fireproof cabinets in a separate area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
9.	Are material batches numbered as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
10.	Are scrap items mutilated as described in the MPM/MCM?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref:					
11.	Def	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Comments/Summary	
------------------	--

Items completed by:	Date:	

CAR 403 Flight Training Unit Operator Requirements	406
Company:	

FT	U-20 Service Difficulty F	Reporti	ng SDR	2	
1.	Are other personnel knowledgeable about the procedures to be followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.42 / CAR Std 591.01				
2.	Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.42 / CAR Std 591.01				
3.	Does the Operator submit service difficulty reports (SDRs) as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>each time the same or a similar defect is encountered?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR 406.42 / CAR Std 591.01				
4.	<ul> <li>Sample defect entries / maintenance worksheets, are service difficulties being reported?</li> <li>Is there evidence that the operator is not filing Service Difficulty Reports?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.42 / CAR Std 591.01				
5.	Does the Operator report unapproved parts via the SDR system?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std 591.01 Appendix D				
6.	Are the reports forwarded within the time frames established in the manual?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

FT	U-20 Service Difficulty I	Reporti	ng SDF	र	
	Ref: Std. 591.01				
7.	Are SDR records maintained as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: Std. 591.01				
8.	Is the operator's responsibility for the SDRs, which are discovered by the maintenance contractor, covered in the manual or specified in the maintenance contract?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Are these requirements met? Ref: CAR 406.42 / CAR Std 591.01				
9.	Are all data sources feeding the SDR system functioning as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 406.42 / CAR Std 591.01				
10.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## Part 5

# AWM 561 3.8 Manufacturing Processes Checklists

#### Table of Contents

(March 2001, Currently Under Review)

Previous Transport Canada Audit	
Quality Program Manual (QPM)	5
Bonding Processes	
Cadmium Plating	19
Chemical Milling of Aluminum Alloys	21
Chemical Conversion Coatings for Aluminum Alodine	23
Chromic/Sulphuric Acid Anodizing of Aluminum	25
Copper Plating	27
Dichromate Treatment of Magnesium Alloys	30
Heat Treatment of Aluminum Alloys (Air Furnace)	33
Heat Treatment of Aluminum Alloys (Salt Bath)	
Heat Treatment of Steel	38
Sulphuric Acid/Sodium Dichromate Etch	41
Vapour Degreasing Specification Materials	
Aluminum Brazing (Dip Brazing)	45
Welding	48
NDT, Personnel Certification	54
NDT, Liquid Penetrant	59
NDT, Eddy Current	
NDT, Magnetic Particle	68
NDT, Radiographic (X-ray)	74
NDT, Ultrasonic	79
Non-Conforming Material Review Board (MRB)	83
Special Processes	
Control of Suppliers (Part I)	87
Control of Suppliers (Part II)	
Tool and Gauge Control1	03
	Quality Program Manual (QPM)         Bonding Processes.         Cadmium Plating         Chemical Milling of Aluminum Alloys         Chemical Conversion Coatings for Aluminum Alodine         Chromic/Sulphuric Acid Anodizing of Aluminum         Copper Plating         Dichromate Treatment of Magnesium Alloys         Heat Treatment of Aluminum Alloys (Air Furnace)         Heat Treatment of Aluminum Alloys (Salt Bath)         Heat Treatment of Steel         Sulphuric Acid/Sodium Dichromate Etch         Vapour Degreasing Specification Materials         Aluminum Brazing (Dip Brazing)         Welding         NDT, Personnel Certification         NDT, Eddy Current         NDT, Kadiographic (X-ray)         NDT, Radiographic (X-ray)         NDT, Ultrasonic         Non-Conforming Material Review Board (MRB)         Special Processes         Control of Suppliers (Part I)         Control of Suppliers (Part II)

Intentionally Blank

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

Company:

File \$	5015-
---------	-------

3.8.0 Previous Transport Canada Audit					
1.	What was the purpose and date of the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
2.	<ul><li>Were there a significant number of Audit Findings?</li><li>What conclusions were drawn from the audit?</li></ul>	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
3.	<ul> <li>Was the audit follow-up completed?</li> <li>List any outstanding audit findings respecting the previous audit?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
4.	Since the last audit, are there indications of high managerial staff turnover?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
5.	Is there any indications of high staff or maintenance personnel turnover?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
6.	Have there been any changes in the company's scope, size, type of service since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8.0 Previous Transport Canada Audit					
7.	Have there been any additional Scope of Approval / Authorizations since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
8.		N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:

Manufacturing Organization Requirements AWM561

Company:

Date:

3.8	3.8.1 Quality Program Manual (QPM)							
1.	Does the QPM describe the organization, its size, its nature and the scope of its work?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
2.	Does the QPM contain a statement of the manual's purpose, including the system of amendments and distribution controls?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
3.	Does the organizational chart describe the duties and responsibilities attaching to each position?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
4.	Does the QPM identify the director of quality assurance, the quality manager and the chief inspector?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
5.	Do these individuals meet TC requirements?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
6.	Does the QPM describe the quality assurance system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			

3.8	3.8.1 Quality Program Manual (QPM)						
7.	Does the QPM describe the system used to record the performance of work?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
8.	Does the QPM identify the standards observed in the performance of work?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
9.	Does the QPM describe the procedures used to perform the work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
10.	Does the QPM describe the method used to ensure that authorised personnel sign a release certification?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
11.	Does the QPM describe the facilities and equipment?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
12.	Does the QPM distribution list include all required personnel, i.e. directors, chiefs, foremen, those at sub-bases, TC Civil Aviation and	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

3.8.1 Quality Program Manual (QPM)						
	so on?					
13.	Do all QPM holders keep their copies up to date with TC-approved amendments?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
14.	Does the QPM contain a copy of the TC Civil Aviation Certificate of Approval and List of Limitations, and do these require revision?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
15.	Does the company exceed the limitations on its approval?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
16.	Does the manual contain any information inconsistent with TC Civil Aviation regulations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
17.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

Comments/Summary	
------------------	--

Items completed by:	Date:	

Manufacturing Organization Requirements AWM561

Date:
-------

3.8	3.8.2 Bonding Processes							
1.	Does the manufacturer have approved process specifications and inspection procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
2.	Are these procedures available to the personnel employed in the bonding of aircraft parts and assemblies?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
Mate	rial Qualification							
3.	Are the materials used in accordance with the process specification requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
4.	Are the material certifications current?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
5.	Are the materials traceable through batch number identification?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
6.	Are materials subject to prescribed shelf-life conditions? does shelf life begin when the material leaves the manufacturer's plant?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			

3.8	3.8.2 Bonding Processes						
7.	Are shelf-life materials past their expiration date re-tested and recertified?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
Stora	age						
8.	Are materials stored as prescribed in the manufacturers' bonding process specifications?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
9.	Are temperature, humidity and cleanliness controlled?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
10.	Are shelf-life materials past their expiration date removed from stores and quarantined?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Mixii	ng of Adhesives						
11.	Are detailed mixing procedures available to the operators?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		

3.8	.2 Bonding Processes				
12.	Is the mixing contained in accordance with the process specifications?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
Job	Cards				
13.	Do the job cards specify bonding details for parts and assemblies?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
14.	Do the job cards record part, batch and serial numbers, test specimens, and operations and inspection acceptance?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
15.	Do the job cards detail the cleaning operations and materials to be used?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
Clea	ning				
16.	Are parts vapour-degreased or hand-cleaned?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
17.	Are parts cleaned using alkaline cleaning methods?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	3.8.2 Bonding Processes							
18.	Are parts etched in a solution of sodium dichromate and sulphuric acid and rinsed in demineralized water?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
19.	Is care taken to prevent acid entrapment in corners or hidden areas during cleaning?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
20.	Do all cleaning operations comply with the process specifications?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
Hand	dling							
21.	Are clean, dry, lint-free, white cotton gloves always worn by personnel when handling clean parts?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
22.	Is the elapsed time between cleaning, the application of adhesive primer, and curing controlled in accordance with the process specifications?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			

3.8	.2 Bonding Processes	5			
23.	Are parts stored in a clean, controlled atmosphere?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
24.	Are parts wrapped in clean craft paper?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
25.	Are the temperature and humidity controlled in storage areas?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
26.	Is the handling of clean parts controlled in accordance with the requirements of the process specification requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
Surf	ace treatment prior to bonding				
27.	Are the adhesive primers applied immediately after cleaning?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
28.	Is the thickness of the prime coat controlled?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	3.8.2 Bonding Processes						
App	ication of Adhesives						
29.	Are only approved adhesives used?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
30.	Are the adhesives tested by the manufacturers' test laboratory prior to use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
31.	Are adhesives' batch numbers recorded at the time of application?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
32.	Does the application of adhesives conform to the process specifications?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
Load	ling of parts into fixtures						
33.	Are the fixtures inspected for cleanliness and freedom from foreign matter before parts are loaded?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		

3.8	.2 Bonding Processes				
34.	Are the fixtures approved for production runs?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
35.	Is an inspection conducted for fitting and clamping in accordance with the process specifications?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
Certi	fication and Control of Hot Presse	s, Autoclav	es and Ji	gs	
36.	Have the hot presses, autoclaves and jigs been approved?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
37.	Are temperature uniformity surveys conducted and the results recorded?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
38.	Are the clamping devices maintained in good condition?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
39.	Are the temperature recorders calibrated?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	3.8.2 Bonding Processes						
40.	Are the timing devices calibrated?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
41.	Does the equipment comply with the process specification requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
Curi	ng						
42.	Are the temperature, pressure, time in and time out recorded during curing?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
43.	Are the test specimens positioned and cured with the production run?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
44.	Are the inspection results for test specimens recorded?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
45.	Are the test specimens traceable to a specific production run?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

3.8	3.8.2 Bonding Processes						
Insp	ection of Bonded Parts						
46.	Are the laboratory results of test specimens verified for acceptability?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
47.	Are all items inspected for conformance to the drawing and process specifications?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
48.	Which non-destructive testing method is used during the final inspection?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
49.	Is final acceptance based on documentary evidence of previous inspection acceptance, in addition to satisfactory results of test coupons and a final visual/non-destructive inspection?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
50.	Do all bonding operations and equipment and comply with the requirements of the manufacturing bonding process?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		

3.8	3.8.2 Bonding Processes						
51.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Items completed by:	Date:	

Manufacturing Organization Requirements AWM561

File 5015-	
------------	--

3.8	.3 Cadmium Plating				
1.	Are specifications and procedures available to the operators and are they used?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
2.	Are parts vapour-degreased?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
3.	Are steel parts pickled in hydrochloric acid to remove surface rust and oxidisation?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
4.	Are copper parts bright-dipped in sulphuric acid?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
5.	Is adequate rinsing carried out throughout the finishing process?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
6.	Are baking ovens subject to temperature uniformity checks?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.3 Cadmium Plating				
7.	Is the finished product visually inspected for evidence of defective plating?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
8.	Are the following tests carried out using approved methods and calibrated instruments:	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

Items completed by:

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

File	501	5-
------	-----	----

Date:

3.8	3.8.4 Chemical Milling of Aluminum Alloys						
1.	Are specifications and procedures available to the operator and are they used?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
2.	Are the temperature and etch rate of the chemical mill solution analysed and recorded?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
3.	Is there sufficient agitation of the solution to process the parts properly?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
4.	Are parts in preparation for milling suitably racked to minimize gas entrapment?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
5.	Are viscosity checks of the masking solution carried out and recorded?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
6.	Do the operators observe cleanliness and good housekeeping practices throughout the process?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		

3.8	3.8.4 Chemical Milling of Aluminum Alloys					
7.	Are the internal surfaces of the tubes inspected for a powdery appearance of the coating?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
8.	Are chemically milled parts properly protected from damage?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
9.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by	:	Date:

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561
manaotanng	organization	1 logun onnonito	/

File 501	5-	
Date:		

3.8	3.8.5 Chemical Conversion Coatings for Aluminum Alodine					
1.	Are specifications and procedures available and are they used?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
2.	Are parts vapour-degreased prior to alodine application?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
3.	Are parts rinsed with de-ionized water?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
4.	Is the solution concentrate analyzed periodically?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
5.	Is the immersion time monitored?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
6.	Are parts visually inspected for a complete coverage of coating?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	3.8.5 Chemical Conversion Coatings for Aluminum Alodine						
7.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Items completed by:	Date:

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

File 5015-	
Date:	

3.8	3.8.6 Chromic/Sulphuric Acid Anodizing of Aluminum						
1.	Is excess oil or grease removed by vapour degreasing or is an alternative approved method being used?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
2.	Are parts supported in aluminum alloy or titanium racks in such a way so as to facilitate drainage and prevent solution entrapment? NOTE: Racked parts must be tightly clamped and spaced far enough apart to prevent "shading."	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
3.	Is the anodising current controlled correctly?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
4.	Is post-anodic treatment adequately controlled?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
5.	Are quality control inspections of the equipment conducted regularly?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
6.	Are solutions analyzed periodically?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

3.8.6 Chromic/Sulphuric Acid Anodizing of Aluminum						
7.	Are analysis records kept on file?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
8.	Are solutions adequately controlled to prevent contamination?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
9.		N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:

Manufacturing Organization Requirements AWM561

File 5015-	
------------	--

1.	Are specifications and procedures	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Are specifications and procedures available to the operators and are they used?					
2.	Is a copper cyanide bath used for depositing metal?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
3.	Is copper plating applied directly to the basic metal or following the copper strike?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
4.	Are parts examined for plating adherence, crystalline appearance, porosity, blisters and pits?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
5.	Are tanks clean and in good working condition?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
6.	Are calibration decals affixed to the equipment? Are they current?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8.7 Copper Plating						
7.	Is embrittlement relief of plated parts performed when parts are not subsequently heat-treated or brazed?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
8.	Are areas of parts not requiring plating masked off?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
9.	Are parts rinsed in clean, cold water?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:	

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

File	5015-
------	-------

Data	
Date.	

3.8	3.8.8 Dichromate Treatment of Magnesium Alloys					
1.	Are specifications and procedures available to the operators and are they in use?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
2.	Are machining or forming operations completed prior to the dichromate treatment?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
3.	Is the solution concentration analysed?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
4.	Are analysis records available?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
5.	Are the temperature gauges calibrated?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
6.	Are calibration decals current?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

\_\_\_\_\_

3.8.8 Dichromate Treatment of Magnesium Alloys					
7.	Is the method used to remove surface contamination acceptable?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
8.	Are parts rinsed thoroughly following the dichromate treatment?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Are parts dried thoroughly following rinsing?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	Date:	

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

File 5015	j
Date:	

3.8	3.8.9 Heat Treatment of Aluminum Alloys (Air Furnace)					
1.	Does the manufacturer have qualified personnel to inspect and control the heat-treatment process?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
2.	Are process instructions available to the operators and inspectors?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
3.	Are temperature uniformity surveys carried out? Are results of surveys recorded and kept on file?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
4.	Is pyrometric testing and calibration of equipment carried out?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
5.	Are parts cleaned before any heating operation? Are parts racked to allow circulation?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
6.	Are parts quenched as rapidly as possible? Is water agitated during quenching?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	3.8.9 Heat Treatment of Aluminum Alloys (Air Furnace)				
7.	How is the refrigeration temperature controlled for the storage of heat-treated parts?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
8.	Is batch number or work order number, time in and time out, and date recorded on temperature instrument charts?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
9.		N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.				

Items completed by:	 Date:

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

File	5015-	

Date:

1.	Does the manufacturer have personnel qualified to inspect and control the heat-treatment process?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
2.	Are process instructions available to the operators and inspectors?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
3.	Are temperature uniformity surveys conducted? • Are the survey results recorded and kept on file?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
4.	Is material identified before processing?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
5.	Are parts cleaned prior to heat treatment?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
6.	Are parts quenched as rapidly as possible?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

3.8.10 Heat Treatment of Aluminum Alloys (Salt Bath)				
Is the surface of the salt bath clean?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
<ul><li>Are salt-bath analyses conducted?</li><li>Are records available?</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
Are instrument certification decals current?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
Is the batch number or work order number recorded on the temperature instrument chart?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	Is the surface of the salt bath clean?         Are salt-bath analyses conducted?         • Are records available?         Are instrument certification decals current?         Is the batch number or work order number recorded on the temperature instrument chart?	Is the surface of the salt bath clean?       N/A          Are salt-bath analyses conducted?       N/A          • Are records available?       N/A          • Are instrument certification decals current?       N/A          Is the batch number or work order number recorded on the temperature instrument chart?       N/A          N/A        N/A	Is the surface of the salt bath clean?       N/A       OK         Are salt-bath analyses conducted?       N/A       OK         • Are records available?       N/A       OK         • Are instrument certification decals current?       N/A       OK         Is the batch number or work order number recorded on the temperature instrument chart?       N/A       OK         N/A       OK       OK	Is the surface of the salt bath clean?       N/A       OK       Finding         Are salt-bath analyses conducted?       N/A       OK       Finding         • Are records available?       OK       Finding       Image: Conducted in the set of the

Items completed by:	Date:	
	Duit.	

File 5015-	
------------	--

Date:

3.8.11 Heat Treatment of Steel							
1.	Does the manufacturer have personnel qualified to inspect and control the heat-treatment process?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
2.	Are process instructions available to the operators and inspectors?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
3.	<ul> <li>Are temperature uniformity surveys conducted?</li> <li>Are the survey results recorded and kept on file?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
4.	Are the batch numbers or work order numbers entered on the temperature recording charts?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
5.	Are pyrometric testing and calibration of equipment carried out? Are certificates available?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
6.	<ul> <li>Is the following data recorded for each furnace load:</li> <li>the part and batch number;</li> <li>the time in, time out and</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		

3.8.11 Heat Treatment of Steel							
	date; and						
	the quantity?						
7.	Are laboratory test specimens included with the furnace load when required?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
8.	Are parts hardness tested after heat-treatment?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
9.	Are mechanical or metallurgical tests performed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
10.		N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref.						

Items completed by:	Date:	

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

Company:

Date:	
Duite.	

1.	Are specifications and processing procedures available to the operator and are they in use?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
2.	Are parts adequately cleaned prior to the sulphuric acid/sodium dichromate etching?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
3.	At what temperature are the baths maintained?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
4.	Is de-ionized water used to spray-rinse parts?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
5.	Are records of the etch solution analysis kept on file?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
6.		N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

3.8.12 Sulphuric Acid/Sodium Dichromate Etch					
Comments/Summary:					
Items completed by:	Date:				

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561
	e gana a a o		

Company:

File	5015-	
------	-------	--

-	
Dato	
Date.	

•	Which of the following materials are used:	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>trichloroethylene;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>tetrachloroethylene (perchloroethylene);</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>trichloroethane;</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>sodium carbonate, or</li> </ul>				
	• other?				
2.	Are there any restrictions on the types of material that can be degreased?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
3.	Are parts placed in baskets to ensure adequate draining?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
4.	Is the vapour degreaser tank covered when not in use?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
5.	Is the temperature of the degreaser boiling chamber maintained within the proper range?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
5.	If the operating temperature exceeds the recommended temperature range, what action is taken?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8.13 Vapour Degreasing Specification Materials						
7.		N/A		ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref.					

Date:

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

Company:

File	5015-
------	-------

3.8.14 Aluminum Brazing (Dip Brazing)					
1.	Are operators qualified/certified?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
2.	Is the temperature-recording equipment calibrated?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
3.	Are circulating air ovens used to preheat parts? Are the ovens temperature-controlled?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
4.	Are all parts brazed according to an approved schedule?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
5.	Are test pieces available? Do test pieces undergo NDT?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
6.	Are approved cleaning procedures adhered to?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

3.8.14 Aluminum Brazing (Dip Brazing)					
7.	Are all brazed joints usually inspected? Are fillets of a uniform radius?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
8.	Are parts checked for distortion?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Are parts checked for porosity and cracks open to the surface?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
10.	Do parts undergo NDT for internal defects?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
11.	Ref.	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

		<u> </u>
Reasonable for th	Data	
Items completed by:	Date:	

Manufacturing Organization Requirements AWM561

Company:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

3.8	3.8.15 Welding					
with	<b>Notice to audit personnel:</b> The quality of an audit of this area is dependent upon the auditor becoming familiar with the Standard utilized. For pre audit activities, ensure that a copy of the Standard is available and that supplement checklist questions are derived directly from the requirements contained in the Standard.					
Pers	onnel Certification					
1.	Identify which Standard the organization has qualified / certified personnel to:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	SAE Standard AMS-STD-1595					
	<ul> <li>Canadian Aviation Maintenance Council ISBN 1- 896602-04-05</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Is an alternate Standard in use ? If so, does this publication have an identification number?</li> </ul>					
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version? Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
3.	Are qualification /certification records up-to-date and available for each of the Welding personnel ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>do they specify level, method, and techniques for which individual is certified?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>identify current certification expiration(s) date(s) ?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>training history identifying source / dates of training, course hours, grades ?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>for in-house certification systems, do they contain test scores of qualification examinations ?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>contain results of physical examinations ?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>demonstrate a history sufficient to satisfy experience</li> </ul>					

	requirements for certification?				
Meta	allic Arc Welding				
4.	Does the organization utilize a Standard for the performance of the work?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.				
5.	Are the electrode manufacturer's recommendations or drawing requirements observed with regard to current, polarity, and so on?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
6.	Is the type of electrode used suitable for the material being welded?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
7.	Are pre-heat and post-heat requirements adhered to?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
8.	Are electrodes identified and properly stored?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
Tun 9.	gsten Inert Gas (TIG) Shielded-Arc	Welding	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
ອ.	Standard for the performance of the work?				

3.8	.15 Welding					
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.					
10.	Are the various types of metals to be welded cleaned in compliance with the process standards applicable to each type?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
11.	Is the time lapse between cleaning and welding minimized?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
12.	Are welding rods identified and properly stored?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
13.	Is the post-heat furnace temperature controlled?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
14.	Are titanium parts inspected to ensure that they are free from oxide, scale, oil, grease or other contaminants prior to welding?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
15.	Are titanium parts visually inspected for discoloration (which is acceptable when welded surfaces are silver to light straw-yellow in colour)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	3.8.15 Welding					
16.	Are titanium parts welded in the open air or in a vacuum chamber?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
17.	Are titanium test coupons used for contamination checks of the inert atmosphere in the welding chamber?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
Fusi	on Welding					
18.	<ul><li>Does the organization utilize a standard or specification for the performance of the work?</li><li>If so, what is the identity of the document?</li></ul>	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.					
19.	Are the surfaces of all parts to be joined free from foreign matter (oil, grease, paint, dirt, scale, electroplating or other contaminants)?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
20.	Are the interior walls of all tubing thoroughly cleaned of filings, chips and other foreign matter?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
21.	Are welded joints free from slag, flux, and so on?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	.15 Welding				
22.	<ul> <li>Are fusion-welded parts visually inspected for:</li> <li>convexity, concavity and size beads?</li> <li>undercutting, overlapping and excessive penetration?</li> <li>cracks, porosity and inclusions?</li> <li>other metallic discontinuities?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
23.	Are NDT or pressure-test inspections carried out in accordance with the relevant schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
24.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Company:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

3.8	3.8.16 NDT, Personnel Certification				
with	<b>Notice to audit personnel:</b> The quality of an audit of this area is dependent upon the auditor becoming familiar with the Standard utilized. For pre audit activities, ensure that a copy of the Standard is available and that supplement checklist questions are derived directly from the requirements contained in the Standard.				
1.	Identify which Standard the organization has qualified/certified personnel to : • National Aerospace Standard	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>(NAS) 410 ?</li> <li>Canadian General Standards Board (CGSB); CAN/ CGSB - 48. 9712-00 Nondestructive Testing and Certification of Personnel ?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>International Standard ISO 9712-99, Nondestructive Testing - Qualification and Certification of Personnel ?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>European NDT Standard EN 473, Qualification and Certification of NDT Personnel</li> <li>General Principles ?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Is an alternate Standard in use ? If so, does this publication have an identification number?</li> </ul>				
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)				
3.	Are qualification /certification records up-to-date and available for <u>each</u> of the NDT personnel ?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>do they specify level, method, and techniques for which individual is certified?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>identify current certification expiration(s) date(s) ?</li> </ul>				
l	<ul> <li>training history identifying source / dates of training,</li> </ul>				

3.8	3.16 NDT, Personnel Certific	ation
	course hours, grades ?	
	<ul> <li>for in-house certification systems, do they contain test scores of qualification examinations ?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>contain results of physical examinations ?</li> </ul>	
	demonstrate a history sufficient to satisfy experience requirements for certification?	
4.	For those organizations that adopt N/A an in-house technician qualification / certification process, are procedures adequate to control or reflect information concerning:	OK Finding Not Checked
	<ul> <li>is there re examination required under this system ?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>are tests periodically revised or changed ?</li> </ul>	
	• is there a grading system ?	
	<ul> <li>is there minimum number of questions and does the question content cover the level and method being reviewed ?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>do examination questions reflect the equipment and procedures in use at the facility ?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>do the Level II practical tests state the number of parts to be evaluated?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>does the Level III technician meet the requirements of the certification standard?</li> </ul>	
		ditors may utilize appropriate ATO checklists for the control of ing program elements if required.
5.	Do technicians undergo eye N/A examinations at the required frequency?	OK Finding Not Checked
	<ul> <li>do the test results meet the requirements of the standard?</li> </ul>	

3.8	.16 NDT, Personnel Cer	rtificatio	on		
	<ul> <li>was the examination carried out by a recognized organization or by someone approved by an appropriate individual?</li> </ul>				
6.	Is the level of NDT activity sufficient to allow technicians to maintain their qualifications?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
7.	Does the organization follow any type of recurrency training for personnel as it applies to the NDT process or by equipment changes?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
8.	For NDT Radiographic, do the technicians hold a certified device operator permit for handling isotopes?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
Арр	endix K				
9.	<ul> <li>Has the (AMO) technician complied with the training requirements?</li> <li>received specified training to carry-out the applicable</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>Appendix K task and procedure within the method</li> <li>is the trainer CGSB level II or III certified for the appropriate</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>does the training meet the CGSB level I standard for the method?</li> </ul>				

0.	Review records for comparison to confirm Appendix K operations restricted to the training received.	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
1.	Is a contract in place with a recognized training organization or an AMO holding appropriate ratings?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>does the contract provide for MPM quality system input and on-going support?</li> <li>does the contract include provisions to audit the</li> </ul>				
2.	applicant annually? Is documented evidence of successful training and test	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>completion available?</li> <li>does documentation cover all procedures that are authorized</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>are training records retained</li> <li>are examinations and test parts available?</li> </ul>				
3.	Is allowable scope of NDT work listed in the AMO's personnel training records?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>is a record of work kept by the AMO?</li> </ul>				
1.		N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

Items completed by:	Date:	

# Company:

Date:

3.8	.17 NDT, Liquid Penetra	Int				
Notic with a	the Standard utilized. For pre audit ac lement checklist questions are derive	f an audit of tivities, ens	ure that a	copy of the St	andard is available and that	iar
1.	Are liquid penetrant inspections conducted in accordance with the following standard(s):	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)?</li> </ul>					
	International Standard (ISO)?					
	Other standards? (List)					
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.					
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)					
3.	Is the organization utilizing a comprehensive checklist / survey procedure for the purposes of conducting a self audit?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
		Note: indust	ry developed	d checklists are a	vailable for comparison	
4.	Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>If so, do written procedures conform?</li> </ul>					
		Note: Indust	ry guidance	is available in AT	A 100 and ASNT	
5.	Are NDT procedures approved by Level III or traceable to an OEM certification?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	.17 NDT, Liquid Penetra	ant				
	Is Level III services provided by the use of outside agency?					
Part	Preparation / Pre-cleaning					
6.	Does the part preparation / pre- cleaning process adequately prepare the surface for application of the penetrant?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
7.	Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or instructions available and followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
Pene	etrant Selection and Application					
8.	Are the penetrant/emulsifier tanks clearly marked to identify their contents?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
9.	Do the materials used in the inspection process meet the requirements of the approved process specification?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
10.	Are the materials used in the inspection process protected from contamination?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
1	Is there a means to verify	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	.17 NDT, Liquid Penetrant	
	parameters such as dwell times or temperatures?	
-	er Controls	
12.	Are temperatures controls and N/A OK Finding Not Checked	
Syst	em Performance Checks	
*For	the items 13 - 20 below, the following questions may apply to each:	
	Has a check / test procedure been developed? Is one required?	
b) [	Does the procedure require the use of special tools to be used in the performance of the check / test?	
	s the individual performing the check / test been trained to do so?	
d) \	What is the frequency of the check / test?	
e) A	Are there records of the checks / tests and do they indicate acceptable results?	
13.	Are the following quality assurance N/A OK Finding Not Checked	
	penetrant sensitivity?	
	water wash-ability?	
	penetrant brightness test	
	<ul> <li>water content of water- washable penetrants (reused)</li> </ul>	
14.	Are the following quality assurance N/A OK Finding Not Checked	
	sensitivity?	
	water wash-ability?	
	contamination with penetrant?	
	contamination with water?	

3.8	.17 NDT, Liquid Penetra	ant				
	Lipophilic Emulsifiers water content (reused)					
	Hydrophilic Emulsifiers     concentration					
15.	<ul> <li>Are quality assurance tests performed on the developer, if applicable:</li> <li>dry developer characteristics?</li> <li>aqueous developer characteristics / concentration (soluble and suspended) ?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
16.	Is a penetrant system performance check carried out using a known defect standard and applicable acceptance criteria (for example nickel-chrome cracked panels)?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
17.	Are records available for all of the quality assurance tests performed ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
Ligh	ting					
18.	<ul> <li>Are the ultraviolet (black) lights checked for intensity and for operating condition in accordance with specifications?</li> <li>are calibrated meters available?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
19.	Are white light levels monitored and within specifications?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	.17 NDT, Liquid Penetra	ant				
	are calibrated meters     available?					
20.	Are records available for all of the quality assurance tests performed ?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
Insp	ection					
21.	Do the inspectors practice dark adaptation prior to commencing inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
22.	Are steps taken to eliminate extraneous visible light sources from the darkened inspection area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
23.	Is the inspection performed at the proper stage of the manufacturing /overhaul process ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
Post	Cleaning					
24.	Are parts properly cleaned following the inspection process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
Part	Disposition					
25.	Are there steps in place for proper disposition of the part after inspection ?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	1

3.8	.17 NDT, Liquid Penetra	ant				
26.	Does the handling of the part, (for example - work processing, routing documents / travelers, tagging, certification) follow general AMO procedures or is a unique system in use for NDT?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
27.	Does the company calibration procedures ensure NDT equipment is controlled / removed from service at the appropriate interval?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
28.		N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	

Items completed by:	Date:
· · ·	

Manufacturing Organization Requirements AWM561	File 5015-
Company:	Date:

3.8	.18 NDT, Eddy Current				
with	<b>ce to audit personnel:</b> The quality o the Standard utilized. For pre_audit a element checklist questions are derive	ctivities, ens	ure that a	copy of the St	tandard is available and that
1.	Are eddy current inspections conducted in accordance with the following standards :	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)?				
	American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)?				
	International Standard (ISO)?				
	Other standards (List)				
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.				
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)				
3.	Is the organization utilizing a comprehensive checklist / survey procedure for the purposes of conducting a self audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
		Note: indus	try develope	d checklists are a	available for comparison
4.	Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	If so, do written procedures conform?				
					A 100 and ASNT
5.	Are NDT procedures approved by Level III or traceable to an OEM	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.18 NDT, Eddy Current				
	certification?				
	Are Level III services provided by the use of outside agency?				
6.	<ul> <li>Is the eddy current test equipment calibrated prior to each inspection, using the prescribed reference standard ?</li> <li>is the correct probe in use?</li> <li>correct conductivity standards used?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
7.	<ul> <li>Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or technical instructions followed ?</li> <li>Are the appropriate reference standards and / or test pieces</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	and the correct eddy current probes clearly identified in the inspection procedures / technical instructions ?				
8.	Are parts / components properly cleaned prior to eddy current testing ?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Is the operator using the specified eddy current testers ?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
10.	Is the eddy current equipment periodically calibrated to the equipment manufacturer's original electrical / electronic design	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.18 NDT, Eddy Current				
	standards ?				
11.	Are the reference standards, probes and probe cables stored properly when not in use ?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
12.	Does the handling of the part, (for example - work processing, routing documents / travelers, tagging, certification) follow general AMO procedures or is a unique system in use for NDT?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
13.	Does the company calibration procedures ensure NDT equipment is controlled / removed from service at the appropriate interval?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

Items completed by:	_ Date:

٦

# Company:

Г

3.8	3.8.19 NDT, Magnetic Particle				
with	ce to audit personnel: The quality o the Standard utilized. For pre audit a lement checklist questions are derive	ctivities, ens	sure that a	copy of the St	andard is available and that
1.	Are magnetic particle inspections conducted in accordance with the following standards:	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>International Standard (ISO)?</li> </ul>				
	Other standards? (List)				
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.				
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)				
3.	Is the organization utilizing a comprehensive checklist / survey procedure for the purposes of conducting a self audit?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
		Note: indus	try develope	d checklists are a	vailable for comparison
4.	Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written procedures?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>If so, do written procedures conform?</li> </ul>				
					A 100 and ASNT
5.	Are NDT procedures approved by Level III or traceable to an OEM	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.19 NDT, Magnetic Parti	cle				
	certification?					
	<ul> <li>Is Level III services provided by the use of outside agency?</li> </ul>					
6.	Does the pre-cleaning process adequately prepare the surface for application of the magnetic particles?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
7.	Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or instructions followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
8.	Do the materials used in the inspection process meet the requirements of the approved process specification?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
9.	Are the materials used in the inspection process adequately protected from contamination?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
10.	Do the inspectors practice dark adaptation prior to commencing inspection?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
11.	Are steps taken to eliminate extraneous visible light sources from the darkened inspection area?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	.19 NDT, Magnetic Part	icle			
*For	the items 12-19 below the following c	uestions m	ay apply to	each:	
a) H	Has a check / test procedure been de	veloped? Is	s one requi	red?	
b) [	Does the procedure require the use o	f special too	ols to be us	sed in the perf	ormance of the check / test?
c) l	s the individual performing the check	/ test been	trained to	do so?	
d) \	What is the frequency of the check /	test?			
e) /	Are there records of the checks / test	s and do th	ey indicate	e acceptable re	esults?
12.	Are magnetic particle settling tests and viscosity tests performed to monitor bath concentration?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
13.	Do the bath concentration settling test records show that the concentration levels meet or have been adjusted to those of the prescribed standard?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
14.	Are the ultraviolet (black) lights checked for intensity and for operating condition?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
15.	Is the visible inspection light source checked for intensity?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
16.	Is a light intensity check for visible light performed in the black light inspection area?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.19 NDT, Magnetic Parti	cle			
17.	Do the light intensity records show that the light intensity levels meet the acceptability standards?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
18.	<ul><li>Is a calibration for magnetizing current output performed on the magnetic particle inspection unit?</li><li>Is the ammeter gauge calibrated?</li></ul>	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
19.	Is a calibration check (dead weight lift) performed on each magnetic yoke?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
20.	Are the calibration records available for the applicable checks?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
21.	Are the parts checked for residual magnetism after demagnetization?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
22.	Are field indicators tested?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.19 NDT, Magnetic Parti	cle			
23.	Are the parts properly cleaned following the inspection process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
24.	Are there steps in place for proper disposition of the part after inspection?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
25.	Is the inspection performed at the proper stage of the manufacturing / overhaul process?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
26.	Does the handling of the part, (for example - work processing, routing documents / travelers, tagging, certification) follow general AMO procedures or is a unique system in use for NDT?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
27.	Does the company calibration procedures ensure NDT equipment is controlled / removed from service at the appropriate interval?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
28.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

Items completed by:	Date:

\_\_\_\_\_

Company:

Date:

3.8	3.8.20 NDT, Radiographic (X-ray)				
with	<b>ce to audit personnel:</b> The quality of the Standard utilized. For pre audit ac lement checklist questions are derived	tivities, ens	ure that a	copy of the Sta	andard is available and that
1.	<ul> <li>Are radiographic inspections conducted in accordance with the following standards:</li> <li>SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)?</li> <li>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)?</li> <li>International Standard (ISO)?</li> <li>Other standard? (List)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.				
2.	Is the Standard identified the most recent or latest version?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)				
3.	Is the organization utilizing a comprehensive checklist / survey procedure for the purposes of conducting a self audit?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
		note: industr	y developed	checklists are av	vailable for comparison
4.	<ul><li>Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written procedures?</li><li>If so, do written procedures conform?</li></ul>	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
		Note: Indust	ry guidance i	s available in AT	A 100 and ASNT
5.	Are NDT procedures approved by Level III or traceable to an OEM certification?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.20 NDT, Radiographic (	(X-ray)			
	Is Level III services provided by the use of outside agency?				
6.	Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or instructions followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
7.	Are current calibration curves available for each X-Ray generating device?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
8.	Are the specified penetrameters or image quality indicators visible in the radiographs?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
9.	Are the densitometers correctly calibrated at the prescribed intervals?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
10.	<ul> <li>Are the radiographs properly identified with the following information:</li> <li>the date of exposure?</li> <li>the aircraft registration or part/serial number?</li> <li>the inspection technique, exposure and film location numbers?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
11.	Are appropriately certified film	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	20 NDT, Radiographic (X-ray)
	interpretation reports available for each inspection?
10	Are appropriate facilities and N/A OK Finding Not Checked
12.	Are appropriate facilities and N/A OK Finding Not Checked dequipment available for film development?
*For	the items 13, 14 below the following questions may apply to each:
	las a check / test procedure been developed? Is one required?
-	Does the procedure require the use of special tools to be used in the performance of the check / test?
	s the individual performing the check / test been trained to do so?
	Vhat is the frequency of the check / test?
e) A	are there records of the checks / tests and do they indicate acceptable results?
13.	Are developer solution control N/A OK Finding Not Checked checks performed at a specified periodicity and is a log of the results maintained?
14.	Are film viewer intensity checks N/A OK Finding Not Checked
15.	Are appropriate facilities and N/A OK Finding Not Checked
16.	Are the maximum readable film N/A OK Finding Not Checked

	densities posted on each film viewer?				
17.	Is the illumination (lighting / reflections) in the film interpretation area such that there are no reflections on the film under examination?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
18.	Is the film handled, processed and stored in accordance with manufacturer recommendations ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
19.	Are radiographic procedures performed so that persons do not receive radiation dosages exceeding the maximum allowed by the applicable radiation safety codes ?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
20.	<ul> <li>Are appropriate radiation safety measures in place?</li> <li>are personnel radiation safety records kept?</li> <li>are badges, meters, dosimeters utilized?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
21.	Does the handling of the part, (for example - work processing, routing documents / travelers, tagging, certification) follow general AMO procedures or is a unique system in use for NDT?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8.20 NDT, Radiographic (X-ray)							
22.	Does the company calibration procedures ensure NDT equipment is controlled / removed from service at the appropriate interval?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
23.		N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref.						

Items completed by:	Date:	

Manufacturing Organization Requirements AWM561

Company:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

3.8.21 NDT, Ultrasonic							
with	<b>Notice to audit personnel:</b> The quality of an audit of this area is dependent upon the auditor becoming familiar with the Standard utilized. For pre audit activities, ensure that a copy of the Standard is available and that supplement checklist questions are derived directly from the requirements contained in the Standard.						
1.	<ul> <li>Are ultrasonic inspections conducted in accordance with the following standard(s) :</li> <li>SAE Aerospace Material Specification (AMS)</li> <li>American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)</li> <li>International Standards Organization (ISO)</li> <li>Other standards ? (List ).</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Note: OEM may provide process, standard or procedure.						
2.	Is the standard which is identified, the most recent or latest version ?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	Ref: CAR 573.02(1)(c)						
3.	Does the organization utilize a checklist / survey procedure for the purpose of conducting self-audits ?	N/A 🛄	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
4.	<ul> <li>Does the organization follow a standard for the development of written ultrasonic inspection procedures ?</li> <li>Do the procedures conform to the standard ?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
5.	Is the approval of ultrasonic inspection procedures traceable to a Level III or OEM certification ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		

3.8.21 NDT, Ultrasonic							
6.	Are part surfaces adequately prepared prior to commencement of the ultrasonic inspection ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
7.	Are the prescribed inspection procedures and/or instructions followed ?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
8.	Are the testers, electrical cables and transducers properly maintained and stored ?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	the item 9 below the following question						
ŕ	Has a check / test procedure been de	•					
	Does the procedure require the use o				ormance of the check / test?		
ŕ	Is the individual performing the check		trained to	do so?			
-	What is the frequency of the check /						
e) /	Are there records of the checks / test	s and do th	ley indicate	e acceptable re	esuits ?		
9.	Is the equipment calibrated in accordance with a company calibration system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
	If not, is it checked / tested for:						
	• for linearity of the time base and amplifier?						
	for scale calibration for transverse waves?						
	for sensitivity?						
	for resolution?						

3.8	.21 NDT, Ultrasonic				
	for zero point of angle     transducers?				
	for exit point of angle     transducers?				
	for refracted angle of angle     transducers?				
10.	Is the equipment tested prior to / and during each inspection using a test piece prescribed in the inspection procedures ?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
11.	Are calibration records available for each item calibrated ?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
12.	Are the test pieces and calibration standards properly identified and stored ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
13.	Are parts properly cleaned after the inspection process ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
14.	Is the inspection performed at the proper stage of the manufacturing / overhaul process ?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
15.	Are there procedures in place, and	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.21 NDT, Ultrasonic					
	followed for proper disposition of the part after inspection ?					
16.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
10.						
	Ref.					

literate a second a face of law of	Deter
Items completed by:	Date:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

File 501	5-	
Date:		

3.8	3.8.22 Non-Conforming Material Review Board (MRB)							
1.	Does the Material Review Board (MRB) include representatives from the quality control/assurance and engineering departments?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
2.	Does the company have a current list of approved MRB members?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
3.	Are rejected parts/materials tagged, identified and quarantined?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
4.	Are parts/materials considered scrap properly identified and/or disposed of?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
5.	Do MRB records include the part number, quantity, effectivity date, corrective action taken, description of defects and proper signature?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
6.	Do parts requiring reworking comply with the engineering disposition? Are these parts re-inspected and recertified?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			

3.8.22 Non-Conforming Material Review Board (MRB)						
7.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref.					

Items completed by:	Date:

1.	What type of special process does the company use?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
2.	Are all processes performed covered by appropriate and approved specifications?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
3.	Do the process specifications used establish realistic acceptance criteria that are classified so as to ensure that all articles and products processed and accepted conform to the approved design data?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
4.	Are process specifications readily available and used by inspection personnel?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
5.	<ul> <li>Who approves the operators and equipment?</li> <li>According to what specifications are the operators approved? What type of training is available?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
6.		N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8.23	Special Processes	
--------	-------------------	--

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date:

3.8.24 Control of Suppliers (Part I)								
PAF	PART I CERTIFICATE HOLDERS							
ENG	INEERING							
1.	Does the certificate holder's facility control supplier design, including changes?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
QUA								
2.	Has there been a high turnover rate of key quality management personnel which could have an effect on the quality assurance program?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
INSP	ECTION ACTIVITIES							
3.	Are initial and periodic evaluations of suppliers made by the certificate holder, as necessary?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
4.	Does the evaluation include a review of corrective actions taken to correct deficiencies found in the system?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
5.	Does the certificate holder evaluate and monitor its suppliers capability to perform required manufacturing activities?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			

3.8	3.8.24 Control of Suppliers (Part I)							
6.	Are inspections and tests accomplished in accordance with the certificate holder's quality audit procedures, to ensure the product conforms to its type design?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
7.	Does the certificate holder's inspection system provide adequate instructions, tools and data necessary, to perform and control quality audits?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
8.	Does the certificate holder establish when inspections are required at a suppliers facility?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
9.	Does the certificate holder assume full responsibility for all contract activity?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
10.	When deficiencies are discovered, are they recorded and monitored to prevent recurrence?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
11.	Is the quality assurance program working to identify weaknesses and to correct them within the system?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			

3.8	3.8.24 Control of Suppliers (Part I)							
12.	Is the relevant information on corrective actions submitted for Management's review?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
13.	Are Internal Quality Audits carried out by personnel independent of those having a direct responsibility for performing the work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
14.	Does the evaluated facility act on supplier notifications of suspected problems with previously delivered products?	N/A	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
15.	Is there a system established which provides for delegation of inspection authority to suppliers? Is the delegation system used adequately?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
16.	Is the suppliers quality performance reviewed at intervals consistent with the nature of the product and / or service?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
17.	Does the inspection system comprise of predetermined inspection stages for the acceptance of purchased products on receipt or at the supplier?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			

3.8	3.8.24 Control of Suppliers (Part I)							
18.	Does the certificate holder assist TCCA personnel to carry out inspections and tests, on itself and its suppliers; to ascertain compliance with the CARs and conformity with design requirements of the product?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
19.	Does the certificate holder have a supplier rating system?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
20.	Does the certificate holder place special emphasis on the control of foreign suppliers located in non bilateral countries, in particular those that are shipping products directly to a user operator?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked			
21.	Does the certificate holders quality assurance program for its control of supplier(s) provide adequate control for direct shipment?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
22.	Does the certificate holder list:	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>(i) all suppliers who perform major inspection / material review?</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>(ii) contact person / name / title / phone number at supplier to furnish required information to appropriate TCCA personnel?</li> </ul>							
	<ul><li>(iii) where and by whom part or service will undergo inspection?</li></ul>							

3.8	3.8.24 Control of Suppliers (Part I)								
APP	APPROVAL ACTIVITIES								
23.	Are suppliers approved by the certificate holder prior to their use?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				
24.	Is the quality manual of a part supplier approved by the certificate holders organization?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
25.	Does the certificate holder ensure that its suppliers do not contract any work to another supplier without written consent?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked				
26.	Does the certificate holder realize that it is responsible for all the work carried out by its suppliers and for the final certification of the product?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
27.	Is training conducted to the extent necessary to provide adequate knowledge and skill levels to all applicable personnel?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked				
28.	Where required, is update training conducted in regulatory and technical areas?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked				

3.8	.24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part I	)			
29.	Does the certificate holder accept third party surveillance of its suppliers?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	(ie: Society of Automotive Engineers; National Aerospace and Defense Contractors Accreditation Program; The American Society of Mechanical Engineers; or an ISO 9000 registraar accredited by a group such as the Registraar Accreditation Board)					
30.	Does the certificate holder maintain adequate surveillance over its suppliers in accordance with its quality assurance program for conformity to type design?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
DAT	A CONTROL					
31.	Is buyer-furnished material controlled?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
32.	Is the purchasing document reviewed prior to release to ensure that it includes the necessary quality clauses?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
33.	Is the essential documentation for the accomplishment of all work established, maintained and controlled by the certificate holder?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	.24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part	I)		
34.	Does the certificate holder control electronic data entry?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
35.	Are only delegated individuals allowed to change information through password entry into system?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
36.	Does the certificate holder grant the suppliers data entry privileges?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
МАТ	ERIAL HANDLING				
37.	Does the quality system provide for the clear identification of materials and components at receipt and during all stages of storage, manufacturing, assembly, shipping and delivery?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
38.	Are purchased products verified in accordance with defined procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
39.	Do procedures define the methods for material protection from undesirable environmental conditions, possible damage	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part I	)		
	identification throughout the manufacturing cycle and storage limitations?				
40.	Are secure storage facilities provided for the protection of materials pending shipment?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
41.	Does the certificate holder purchasing documentation contain a complete and clear description of the material and services ordered, with adequate direction for verifying its quality?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
42.	Does the certificate holder control standard and commercial parts for inclusion into the product?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
43.	Are purchased shelf-life materials and products verified to ensure that specification requirements are met?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
44.	Are material and parts awaiting certification segregated?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
45.	Are records of receiving and inspection generated and	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part I	)		
	maintained?				
46.	Does quality assurance review purchase documents prior to issuance?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
47.	Is raw material, including process material (such as weld rod, etc.), verified and identified?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
48.	Is receiving inspection required to verify that supplier-furnished parts / service conform to the approved design data?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
COM	MUNICATION WITH TCCA (Transp	ort Canad	a Civil Av	iation)	
49.	Does the certificate holder organization make information available to TCCA regarding all delegation of authority to suppliers to make major inspection of any products / parts thereof?	N/A		Finding	Not Checked
50.	Does the certificate holder maintain a list of all new suppliers located in other countries, and of the receipt of first articles produced by those suppliers?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part I	)		
51.	Does the certificate holder maintain a list of suppliers in other countries authorized to direct ship?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
52.	Does the certificate holder maintain its manufacturing and quality program capabilities in accordance with TCCA approval?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
		OL			
MAN	AGEMENT			<u> </u>	
53.	Does upper management review and analyze non-conforming material data to detect adverse trends and determine appropriate levels of corrective and preventive actions required?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
ENG	INEERING				
54.	Are engineering personnel reviewing non-conforming material to identify major or minor changes to the approved type design?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
QUA	LITY				
55.	Are repaired and reworked products re-inspected in accordance with documented Engineering and / or Quality Material Review Board (MRB) decisions?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

# Company:

### Date: \_\_\_\_\_

3.8	3.8.24 Control of Suppliers (Part II)						
SAI	MPLING INSPECTION OF SU	IPPLIER	S				
CON CERT	<b>E TCCA</b> PERSONNEL DOES NOT EVALU TROL SYSTEM ESTABLISHED BY THE CENTRICATE HOLDERS CONTROL OF ITS SU THICATE HOLDERS CONTROL OF ITS SUP	RTIFICATE H PPLIERS, SI	IOLDER. EN	IPHASIS MUST 1	THEREFORE BE PLACE	ED ON THE	
1.	Is the supplier given authority from the certificate holder to carry out surveillance on its own suppliers?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
2.	If yes to above question; does the supplier carry out surveillance on its suppliers to manufacturers supplier surveillance requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		
3.	Does the supplier have "direct ship" authorization from the certificate holder?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
4.	Does the supplier have and follow specific detailed procedures from the certificate holder for "direct ship," to ensure that part(s) will conform to the type design and are safe for installation?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked		
5.	Do suppliers fill out and send in Service Difficulty Reports (SDRs) to their governing Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked		

3.8	.24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part I	I <b>)</b>			
6.	Do suppliers send a copy of the SDR to the certificate holder or certificate holders governing CAA, if in another country?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
7.	Do suppliers carry out their own inspection / testing of their products on behalf of the certificate holder?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
8.	How is this inspection / testing controlled by the certificate holder at the suppliers facility?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
9.	Does the supplier follow certificate holders approved Quality Assurance Program (QAP) procedures in all areas of the manufacturing process? (ex: all required paperwork / documentation, sampling inspections / testing / part identification /etc.)	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
10.	Does the supplier furnish a current list of the subtier sources for certificate holders evaluation?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
11.	Is the supplier approved by the certificate holder?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	

3.8	.24 Control of Supplier	s (Part I	I)			
12.	Is the suppliers quality manual (or top level document) approved by the certificate holder?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
13.	Does the supplier submit certificates of calibration to the certificate holder?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
14.	Does the supplier have specifications / engineering requirements from certificate holder for performing special process related work?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
15.	Does supplier submit certification test reports for all shipments of raw material to the certificate holder?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
16.	Does the supplier carry out identification of both raw and process material in accordance with certificate holders approved data?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
17.	Does the supplier use the appropriate identification and marking of products or parts thereof as stipulated in the	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

3.8	.24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part I	I)			
	certificate holders QAP?					
18.	Does the certificate holder request the identification of supplier's supplies provided by warehouses and distributors?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
19.	If supplier is from a foreign country, are their quality procedures, certificates, reports and similar data required by the certificate holder in the English language?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
20.	Are material laboratory analysis records identifiable to batch number, serial number or heat number for a given part number?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
21.	Does the supplier maintain an adequate shelf-life control of applicable items in accordance with certificate holders QAP?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	
22.	Does the supplier use special testing to determine conformity of shelf-lifed items which may have passed their due date in conformance with certificate holders QAP; if applicable?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
23.	Do suppliers follow certificate holders QAP for stamp control?	N/A	ОК	Finding	Not Checked	

3.8	.24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part I	I)			
24.	Are pertinent supplier's personnel trained in statistical sampling techniques by the certificate holder?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
25.	Does supplier maintain a schedule for testing / inspection equipment in accordance with the certificate holders QAP requirements?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
26.	Does supplier follow certificate holders software control plan?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
27.	Does supplier maintain traceability of product in accordance with certificate holders QAP?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
28.	If supplier is using its own QAP, is it equal to that of the certificate holders?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	

3.8	.24 Control of Suppliers	s (Part I	I)			
29.	Does the supplier control non- conforming material(s) in accordance with certificate holders QAP requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
30.	Does the certificate holders supplier(s) allow TCCA personnel unhindered access to its facilities?	N/A	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
31.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref.					

Manufacturing	Organization	Requirements	AWM561

File	5015-
------	-------

3.8	.25 Tool and Gauge Co	ntrol			
1.	How does the company ensure that the equipment used for inspections can determine conformance of the characteristic it is intended to evaluate?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
2.	What procedure does the company use for protecting, maintaining and updating jig and fixture control as required to assure conformance to the approved design data?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
3.	Are calibration records traceable to the National Bureau of Standards?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
4.	What system does the company use to ensure inspection acceptance and the periodic re-inspection of all inspection equipment and jigs?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked
5.	How does the company control inaccurate inspection tools, gauges, instruments, jigs, and so on to ensure their identification and removal from use until repair, reworking or calibration has been accomplished?	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
6.	Does the company have adequate records of all equipment used for inspection purposes? Do these records contain the nomenclature, serial number, location, details of all repairs or reworking performed,	N/A	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked

3.8	.25 Tool and Gauge Co	ntrol			
	and date of the next inspection?				
7.	If any precision tools and measuring equipment are used for inspection acceptance, are they periodically calibrated and recorded?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
8.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

Items completed by:	Date:

# CAR 566 Approved Training Organization Checklists Basic Training Programs

## Table of Contents

## (January 2002)

ATO-A01	Previous Transport Canada Audit	3
ATO-A02	Policy Manual	4
ATO-A03	Organizational Chart	7
ATO-A04	Amendment System	9
ATO-A05	Course Instructor Listing	11
ATO-A06	Professional Development	13
ATO-A07	Advisory Committee System	15
ATO-A08	Quality System	18
ATO-A09	Person Responsible for Training Section	24
ATO-A10	Course Prerequisites Section	26
ATO-A11	Student Attendance Control System	28
ATO-A12	Examination (Analysis) Methods	30
ATO-A13	Examination Process Control	33
ATO-A14	Record Keeping System	36
ATO-A15	Certificate Issuance Control System	38
ATO-A16	Facilities Section	41
ATO-A17	Training Material and Instructional Aids	44
ATO-A18	Training Material Evaluation Procedure	47
ATO-A19	Rating Bridging Programs	48
ATO-A20	Curriculum	49
ATO-A21		52

Intentionally Blank

File

ATC	ATO-A01 Previous Transport Canada Audit							
1.	What was the purpose and date of the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
2.	<ul> <li>Was the audit follow-up completed?</li> <li>Are their any outstanding audit findings resulting from the previous audit?</li> <li>Areas that have outstanding findings should be reviewed in conjunction with the current audit process to determine if the problems are systemic in nature or if the follow-up has simply been overlooked.</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
3.	Have there been any changes in the school's scope, size, aircraft, type of service since the previous audit? List new additions:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
Con	nments/Summary:							

Items completed by:	Date:

ΑΤ	O-A02 Policy Manual					
1.	<ul> <li>The Policy Manual (PM) shall include the following elements:</li> <li>a) an organizational chart</li> <li>b) an amendment system</li> <li>c) course instructor listing</li> <li>d) professional development program</li> <li>e) advisory committee system</li> <li>f) a quality system</li> <li>g) person responsible for training (PRT)</li> <li>h) course prerequisites</li> <li>i) student attendance control system</li> <li>j) examination (<i>analysis</i>) methods</li> <li>k) examination process control system</li> <li>n) record keeping system</li> <li>m) certificate issuance control system</li> <li>n) facilities section</li> <li>o) training material and instructional aids</li> <li>p) training material evaluation procedure</li> <li>These elements provide an overview of the organizations Training program and PM - each will be reviewed separately.</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌	
2.	<ul> <li>Does the organization have a Transport Canada approved Policy Manual - does the manual contain a Certification Page - signed and approved by TC?</li> <li>is the manual provided in hard copy?</li> <li>is the manual provided in electronic format?</li> <li>If so - are there policies/procedures in place to deal with controlled access to this document (i.e.: amendment, etc)?</li> <li>is the document organized in numerical order?</li> <li>is the document structured in</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

ATC	D-A02 Policy Manual				
	<ul> <li>a manner to provide easily accessible reference standard for day-to-day use?</li> <li>does the organization maintain an up-to-date list of manual holders?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)</i></li> </ul>				
3.	<ul> <li>Are the "procedures" pertaining to the TC approved program(s) contained in a sub-tier document (i.e.: Procedures Manual)?</li> <li>if so, is the document incorporated by reference in the PM?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
4.	<ul> <li>Are all program changes identified in the currently approved PM?</li> <li>Have there been any revisions to the program, policy and/or procedures that have been implemented but have not been identified in the PM?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
5.	Has each program identified in the PM received Transport Canada approval? Note: There may be programs contain in the PM which do not, or are not required to have TC approval (i.e. program content designed to meet industry occupational trade standards or foreign regulatory authority requirements). Inclusion of these types of programs in the PM is at the discretion of the ATO, however programs being taught for the purpose of TC accreditation and/or acceptance must have received TC approval. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
6.	Does the PM contain adequate policy and/or procedure to meet the regulatory standard and program presentation requirements? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
7.	Are the policies and/or procedures described in the PM being implemented as required?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AT	O-A02 Policy Manual
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)
i	
Con	nments/Summary:

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

File

AT	ATO-A03 Organizational Chart							
1.	Does the PM contain an OC?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)							
2.	<ul> <li>Is the OC up-to-date and accurately reflect the current organizational structure?</li> <li>Does Org Chart include administrative positions involved in Certificate issuance?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)							
3.	Does the OC identify each reporting level of personnel involved in the program? Functional reporting starting with the PRT holding responsibility and accountability for program regulatory compliance and integrity to be shown in solid dark lines. Functional reporting for internal document control purposes may be identified by a dotted line. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
4.	<ul> <li>Does the PM contain duties/responsibilities for each of the reporting levels?</li> <li>are the position description duties and responsibilities of each reporting level accurate?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
5.	Does the PM clearly identify the qualifications for the PRT and faculty? Personnel qualifications (i.e.: resumes) may be retained in a sub-tier document or be retained by the organization in their personnel files. These documents must be made available for review by TC, to determine if the person in the position meets the requirements to hold that office in accordance with the requirements of the STD and/or PM.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			

AT	ATO-A03 Organizational Chart					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)					
6.	Are organizational changes reflected in the PM?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)					

Items completed by:	Date:

ATC	D-A04 Amendment Syst	em				
1.	Does the PM contain an Amendment system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)					
2.	Does the amendment procedure contain a method to identify each page, and the status of each page, of the PM? For example is there a list of effective pages? If so is this document accurate? Does each page of the PM identify its revision status, date of issue, page number and what area the revision was made to, etc. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌	
3.	<ul> <li>Does the amendment system clearly identify who is responsible for:</li> <li>initiation of proposed amendments?</li> <li>development of proposed amendments?</li> <li>approval/authorization of amendments?</li> <li>distribution of approved amendments?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
4.	Does the amendment system address/encompass changes to all areas of the training program, including curriculum and exams? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
5.	Does the system provide a method to ensure that the PM reflects the latest approved amendment? Is there a method to ensure that amendments are entered into the PM within a specified timeframe and is this verified? Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)					
6.	Has the ATO followed the					

ATO-A04 Amendment System	
amendment procedure as described in the PM?	
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)	
Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

ATC	D-A05 Course Instructor	Listing	9		
1.	<ul> <li>Does the TPM provide a listing of Instructors?</li> <li>Or does the TPM reference a sub-tier document that contains this information?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)				
2.	Are faculty teaching aircraft maintenance subject matter licensed in aircraft maintenance/avionics/structures (as applicable)? <i>Personnel (e.g.: technologists) providing</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	supervision for shop projects, etc need not be licensed - however they should have sufficient skill, knowledge and/or experience of the subject matter for which they are providing supervision/assistance. <b>Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)</b>				
3.	Does the organization utilize Specialist Instructors? Is the subject matter taught by these faculty members restricted to their area of expertise? Specialist instructors can teach specific	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	subjects within the aviation programs - to include identification, explanation, and performance of components or systems. Instructors must be appropriately licensed or have previous experience in/on the specific specialty area (e.g.: was previously employed in an engine repair/overhaul environment and performed functions associated with R&O, maintenance, etc). <b>Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)</b>				
4.	Are all Instructors trained in their applicable subject matter specialty area?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)				
5.	Are all Instructors trained in Instructional techniques? Instructional technique training should be a primary component of the professional development program. The requirement for Instructional techniques training was implemented in 1991 with the third edition of the PLH. Faculty that have been teaching in the approved ATO programs	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	prior to that date may not have completed				

AT	ATO-A05 Course Instructor Listing						
	an in-depth IT course as such. However there should be a program in place to ensure that such personnel are provided "update" IT training of some kind. <b>Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)</b>						
6.	<ul> <li>Does the organization have policies/procedures in place to deal with Instructional techniques refresher training?</li> <li>are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li>This ties into the Professional Development program.</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
7.	Does the TPM contain policy/procedure with respect to Instructor-Student ratio for classes, labs, shops, etc?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>if so - is the ratio of Instructors versus students adequate for the subject matter being taught?</li> </ul>						
	Instructor/student ratio may be different for theory versus practical classes. Classroom 15:1, unless the facility is designed to provide full access to training material for more; hands on 6:1; live system training 2:1. <b>Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)</b>						
8.	Does the organization have a sufficient number of Instructors to ensure both the quality of the work and safety of students during practical tasks or projects?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)						
Con	Comments/Summary:						
Item	ns completed by:			Dat	e:		

ATC	D-A06 Professional Deve	elopme	nt		
1.	Does the organization have a structured professional development program in place? "Structured" refers to a formalized program - to include policies and procedures for identification, implementation, recording, etc of training. Ideally the PD program should be tied to the Instructor Evaluation process, Industry Advisory Committee input with respect to new technology, etc. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
2.	<ul> <li>Does the PD program ensure that Instructors technical and administrative knowledge is updated on a continuous basis?</li> <li>Does the PD program provide a method for determining when update training is required and what type of training should be taken?</li> <li>Is the PD program linked to the quality program?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌
3.	Does the PD program conform to a 3-year training cycle? Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
4.	Are adequate records retained to substantiate the types of PD training completed for each Instructor? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
5.	Is the type of PD training taken relevant to the nature of the program and/or type of instruction provided? Does the PD program allow for different program parameters for different positions, i.e. a specialty instructor vs. a full spectrum instructor? <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

ATO-A06 Professional Development						
6.	Are the policies/procedures for PD training being followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)					
7.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
Cor	Comments/Summary:					

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Date:

Items completed by:

. . .

- - -

. . .

.....

ATC	D-A07 Advisory Commit	tee Sys	stem		
1.	Does the organization have a program Advisory Committee in place?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)				
2.	Does the TPM include a description of:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	the mandate of the Advisory     Committee				
	<ul> <li>the duties and responsibilities (guidelines) of the Advisory Committee</li> </ul>				
	This information may be contained in a sub-tier document such as a Training Procedures manual. The duties and responsibilities should include methods/processes to ensure they meet the requirements of their mandate. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)</b>				
3.	Does the committee include a membership comprised of pertinent representatives of the aviation industry?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Aviation industry representatives may include AMO's, Airlines, General Aviation, Engine & Propeller shops, other training institutions, Aviation associations, etc. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)				
4.	Is there adequate representation from the Training Organization (i.e.: PRT, etc) on the Advisory Committee?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	The person responsible for the integrity of the programs and/or quality assurance program should be a member of this committee.				
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	
5.	Does the organization have established frequencies for Advisory Committee meetings?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	<ul> <li>do they adhere to these time frames?</li> </ul>				
	There may be a need to postpone or defer meetings due to unforeseen circumstances and/or there being no need to convene - the organization should have documentation to describe and/or substantiate these types of situations.				
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)				

6. Do	bes the Advisory Committee's				
ma per cur per nee tec	andate ensure that course rformance objectives are rrent from an industry rspective and satisfy industry eds for appropriately trained chnical personnel? <i>f: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
pro me dec or c cha • E.g. offic etc.	bes the system include ocedures to record minutes of eetings, and communicate the cisions reached to individuals organizations involved with anges to the program? are the procedures being followed? <i>cials, Advisory Committee members,</i> <i>f: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
yea	e the minutes retained for a 5- ar period? <i>f: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
pro hov and • For Adv doc imp. recc ATC	bes the system include becedures to document, in detail, w changes to course format d content are handled? do these procedures include (but will not be limited to) course content, equipment, delivery and facilities? are the procedures being followed? r example - recommendations from the visory Committee should be cumented and the processes used to be ment or act upon such commendation should be linked with the O's Quality Assurance program. f: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

Items completed by:	Date <sup>.</sup>	

AT	ATO-A08 Quality System							
Per	Person Responsible for QA							
1.	<ul> <li>Does the PM clearly identify the person responsible for the QA Program?</li> <li>are their duties and responsibilities adequately described in the PM?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
2.	Is the person responsible for the QA Program performing the responsibilities of the position, as detailed in the PM? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(f)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
3.	Is the person responsible for the QA Program knowledgeable of the regulatory STD, the PM and the organizational structure with respect to training?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
0	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f) System/Program							
4.	Does the organization have a QA system in place. IE: a system to improve and maintain the quality of training provided? Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
5.	Does the PM provide a description of the QA system? For example - does this description include details of; areas of the program affected by the system, self-analysis mechanisms - implementation, corrective action and feedback processes. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</b>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
6.	<ul> <li>Does the QA program provide a system (method) for identification, corrective action, implementation and follow up (i.e.: a quality loop conceptual model)?</li> <li>Does the PM list all forms utilized in the tracking of Quality System data</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			

ATC	D-A08 Quality System
·	including revision control?
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)
7.	<ul> <li>Has the program established N/A OK Finding Not Checked</li> <li>a process of "self evaluation" or internal audit? If so</li> <li>does the TPM describe the system in use?</li> <li>does the ATO follow the policy/procedures as detailed in the TPM?</li> <li>does the ATO maintain adequate records with respect to areas reviewed, findings noted, corrective action taken, follow up, etc?</li> </ul>
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)
8.	<ul> <li>Does the QA system provide for a N/A OK Finding Not Checked method of assessment, analysis or evaluation for the following:</li> <li>curriculum</li> <li>examinations</li> <li>instructor evaluations</li> <li>amendment system</li> <li>student attendance/grades</li> <li>certificate issue</li> <li>Some or all of these elements may be inherent within each functional area of the program.</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</li> </ul>
9.	Does the system include a       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         description of the methods used       to control the development of       training, including lesson plans?         Are these methods effective?       •       are the policies/procedures being followed?         Do these methods allow for feedback, corrective action and implementation of amendments to curriculum/course outlines and/or master lesson plans? Refer to "curriculum" FA for more information on Lesson Plans.         Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)
10.	Does the system include a N/A OK Finding Not Checked description of the methods used to control the development of

ATC	D-A08 Quality System				
	<ul> <li>student assessment methods?</li> <li>are these methods effective?</li> <li>are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li>Student assessment methods pertains to the testing for knowledge and skill (i.e.: theory/practical).</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</li> </ul>				
11.	<ul> <li>Does the system include a description of the methods used to control the competency and currency of instructional staff?</li> <li>are the policies/procedures being followed? (i.e.: Professional Development).</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
12.	<ul> <li>Does the system include a description of the methods used to control the presentation of course material to meet training objectives?</li> <li>are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li>does the QA system ensure that the TPM reflects any regulatory changes?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
13.	<ul> <li>Does the system include a description of the methods used to control the process of gathering and analyzing feedback from the course?</li> <li>are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
14.	<ul> <li>Does the system include a description of the methods used to control the process used to determine corrective action where necessary?</li> <li>are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
15.	Does the system include a description of the methods used to control the process used to monitor the results of any	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

ATO-A08 Quality System					
<ul> <li>corrective action taken?</li> <li>are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</i></li> </ul>					
16. Does the Quality system meet the quality objectives, as stated in the PM?		ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)					
Instructor Evaluations					
17. Does the organization have a system in place for instructor evaluations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
are the policies/procedures being followed?					
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)		ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
18. Has a set frequency for Instructor evaluations been established?	N/A 🗌				
<ul> <li>if so, have the evaluations been completed in accordance with the established frequency?</li> </ul>					
The ATO may have established a set time frame for all evaluation to be completed (i.e.: once per year) - if so, have they fulfilled this requirement? <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</b>					
19. Have all instructor evaluations been completed as required?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
<ul> <li>Are instructor evaluation forms identified and included in TPM?</li> </ul>					
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)					
20. Does the system provide a mechanism to address teaching techniques, subject matter expertise, updating, and conformance to course objectives?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
have the policy/ procedures/ requirements of this system been followed?					
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)					

ΑΤΟ	D-A08 Quality System			ATO-A08 Quality System						
21.	<ul> <li>Does the system provide for a method of follow-up should there be areas requiring improvement?</li> <li>is follow-up action completed?</li> <li>Is PRT responsible for follow-up action or is it delegated?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌					
22.	Does the system feed into - or receive information from - the Professional Development program/system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)									
QA	system Records									
23.	Does the QA system retain adequate records with respect to the following:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked					
	• curriculum									
	examinations									
	<ul> <li>instructor evaluations</li> </ul>									
	amendments (i.e.:     curriculum/examinations, etc)									
	student attendance/grades									
	certificate issue									
	Such records would include results of any in-house audits/reviews, corrective action plans and implementation of corrective									
	action, etc.									
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)									
Con	nments/Summary:									


Items completed by:	Date:	

АТС	O-A09 Person Responsi	ble for <sup>·</sup>	Trainin	g Section	1		
1.	Has the organization appointed an individual responsible for regulatory compliance and program integrity? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(g)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
2.	Does this person have a minimum of six years experience in the maintenance of aircraft? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(g)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
3.	Does this person have sufficient knowledge of maintenance training, development and delivery? The knowledge required to satisfy this requirement may have been gained from a variety of sources and need not be obtained from within the organization in question. For example: experience instructing in an aircraft type course, component training (i.e.: engines only), etc. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(g)</b>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
4.	Are the duties and responsibilities of the PRT adequately described in the PM? Does the PM provide a clear understanding of the PRT's accountabilities and responsibilities in relation to regulatory requirements, training delivery to meet objectives and Quality Assurance programs? Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(g)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
5.	Is the PRT knowledgeable of his/her duties and responsibilities with respect to STD 566 and the PM requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(g)						
Syste. If a sii	<b>Note:</b> There is a need to be aware of the difference between the accountability and/or responsibility of the PRT and that of the Quality System (QA/QM), in order to ensure that both are adequately and appropriately fulfilled. If a singular position is tasked with both these functions, it is up to the ATO to verify/substantiate that the systems and/or process implemented within their programs ensure that the requirements of both the standard and the TPM can, and are, being satisfied.						

-----

Items completed by:	Date:

Date:

AT	ATO-A10 Course Prerequisites Section							
1.	Does the TPM contain procedures for student admission?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(h)	······ <u></u> ···	<u></u>	····· <u>··</u> ··				
2.	Do the procedures indicate which prerequisites are necessary in order to meet course delivery objectives? <i>Course prerequisites may vary for each</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	example, prerequisites may vary for each program and/or training institute. For example, prerequisites may include specified levels of knowledge for Math and English. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(h)							
3.	Does the training organization have procedures in place to document that students meet the prerequisites for admission?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	"Documentation" as such may be as simple as graduation from a minimum grade level (e.g.: grade 12) or, in the case of foreign educational equivalents, established equivalency-testing methods. Regardless of the methods the organization should have procedures in place to verify that the student has a sufficient level of knowledge and ability to assimilate the program/course content. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(h)</b>							
4.	Does the organization have policies/procedures in place to allow for transfer of students from one TC approved basic training program into another?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<ul> <li>if so, is there sufficient documentation to determine how this decision was made?</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>does the evaluation process include a method to ensure that the courses completed in the previous program meets the curriculum content of the subjects for whom the exemption is being granted?</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(h)</li> </ul>							

\_\_\_\_\_

Comments/Summary:

Items completed by:	Date:

ATO-A11 Student Attendance Control System						
1.	Does a Student Attendance Control System exist?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)					
2.	Does the system have Training Schedules to ensure that students do not exceed:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>eight hours of training (or combined duty/training) in any one day, or</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>six days or forty hours of duty/training in any seven-day period?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)					
3.	Has there been any instances where the maximum allowable training day/week has been exceed?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	• If so, is there documentation to substantiate the reason for this? For example: the requirement to visit aircraft or simulators based on operational schedule, unavailability of equipment, etc.					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)					
4.	Does the record keeping procedure ensure that the individual's presence is recorded and controlled for each class, shop or laboratory activity?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	are the policies/procedures     being followed?					
	Are tracking forms identified and included in the TPM? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(i)					
5.	Does the TPM contain procedures to ensure that students having missed more than 5% of the course through absences do not qualify for accreditation?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	• are these policies/procedures applied consistently and					

ATO-A11 Student Attendan	ice Control System
equability? There may be policies and procedures established to allow students that do not qualify for accreditation to receive a certificate of course completion. If this is the case, both the accredited certificate issue and the non-accredited certificate issue processes should be clearly documented. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)	
<ul> <li>6. Does the organization have policies/procedures regarding Instructor absence?</li> <li>is there a system in place to ensure the missed course content is received by the students (i.e.: substitute Instructor, etc)</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌 OK 🔲 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗍
<ul> <li>7. Are there procedures in place to allow students to make up the lost time, which is in excess of 5% through a supplementary study program?</li> <li>E.g.: Student misses 7% of 1800-hour course = 126 hours, the maximum allowable is 90 hours. The student must make up no less than the difference between the two = 36 hours.</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌 OK 🔲 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
8. Does the supplementary study program ensure that study material is equivalent to that missed from the original program? Supplementary studies should be documented to include topics/hours, etc. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)	N/A OK Finding Not Checked
Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

AT	ATO-A12 Examination (Analysis) Methods						
1.	Does the PM contain policies/procedures with respect to Examination methods? <i>"Examination methods" pertain to the</i> <i>analysis (of) and development, content,</i> <i>quality and amendment procedures for all</i> <i>exams pertaining to the program(s).</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)						
2.	<ul><li>Does the PM clearly identify who is responsible for the:</li><li>Development process.</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>Validation process.</li> </ul>						
	Weighting process.						
	Failure analysis process.						
	Amendment Process. <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)</i>						
3.	Have "master examinations' been developed for the training being conducted?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j) and (k)						
4.	Are records of the examination (analysis) methods retained and available (to TC)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	"Records" would include the documentation used by the organization to verify the applicability of the specific testing method to the learning objectives. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)</b>						
5.	Are the records maintained in a secured environment and accessible to all applicable parties (College) when required?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)						
6.	Does the examination development include a policy to ensure that the quantity or the	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	weighted value of each examination is dependent upon the:						
	importance of the learned     outcome						
	the frequency of occurrence						
	and the level of difficulty?     Apple CAP Std 566 10(2)(i)						
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)						

ATC	D-A12 Examination (Ana	nlysis) N	/lethod	S	
7.	Is there a process in place to allow for review of the examinations to determine the validity, accuracy, clarity and appropriate weighting of each question?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>Is this process linked to the quality program?</li> </ul>				
	• Is the process documented?				
	<ul> <li>Are tracking forms identified and included in PM?</li> </ul>				
	This review should be carried out by an independent subject matter expert - for example an Advisory Committee representative or a Faculty member who does not normally have any input into the subject area being reviewed. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)</b>				
8.	Do the completed examinations ensure that performance and/or /training objectives have been met? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)</i>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
0		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
9.	Does the use of a test map or other devices ensure that those subject areas with the greatest impact are tested to the highest level; while the subject areas, or tasks with the least impact are tested to the lowest level?				
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)				
10.	Are there established frequencies for conducting analysis/assessment of exams? Does the organization employ a specific time schedule for review and analysis of examinations - does policy allow for ad-	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	hoc or interim review?				
11	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
11.	Does the examination analysis/assessment system provide for a method of identification, corrective action and implementation of corrective action? Anomalies must be identified, documented and have corrective action plans				
	appropriate correction. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)				
					·····

AT	ATO-A12 Examination (Analysis) Methods					
12.	Are corrective action plans implemented and followed up on in a timely manner?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
1 1 1 1	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
13.	Are the policies/procedures effective?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
14.	Is the process being adhered to?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
Comments/Summary:						

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

ATC	ATO-A13 Examination Process Control					
1.	Does a system of examination process control exist?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)					
2.	<ul> <li>Are there policies/procedures in place with respect to:</li> <li>confidentiality of exams</li> <li>security of exams</li> <li>examination analysis (post-review)</li> <li>examination time limits (i.e.: completion of - and - rewriting of)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌	
1 1 1	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)					
3.	Does this process ensure that new examination questions are developed if confidentiality becomes comprised? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)</i>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
4.	Does this process ensure that all examination material and marking guides are maintained in a secure and confidential manner? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
5.	Does the process ensure that examinations are carried out in a controlled environment to protect the integrity of the evaluation process? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
6.	Does the process ensure that there is consistency of examination (usually specified in the course standard)? <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
7.	Does the process ensure that post examination reviews are conducted and corrected to 100 percent? <i>This process assists in determining</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

ATC	D-A13 Examination Proc	ess Co	ontrol		
	course, student or instructor shortcomings. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)				
8.	Does the process ensure that versions and/or variances of the evaluation instruments used in the initial and rewrite evaluation process are secure? <i>This refers to original and alternate</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	examinations for each subject. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
9.	Does the process ensure that the successful completion of examinations occur within 1 year following program completion?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	For example - if the student is required to reattempt final examinations or complete supplementary studies and exams they must do so within 1 year of program completion, otherwise they are not eligible for accreditation. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)</b>				
10.	Does the process ensure that a 70 percent or greater passing grade is established for each major subject area listed in the TPM (i.e.: curriculum)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	"Each major subject area" refers to both the theoretical and practical portions of each course subject area. For example:				
	AMT 101 = 3 theory exams & 3 practical exams the total of all theory marks must average a minimum of 70% and the total of all practical marks must average a minimum of 70% - the 2 totals are then combined to provide the final mark.				
44	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
11.	Does the process ensure that limits are set for the maximum number of attempts permitted?				
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)				
12.	Are there indications that students have been allowed to progress through the program without having attained a minimum passing grade?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)				
13.	Does the organization have policies/procedures in place to	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

limit access to examinations?	
This would involve having a set of master examinations, in a controlled environment, that are used for all testing. Revisions or amendments to examinations should follow the approved procedure.	
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)	1

Comments/Summary.	
Items completed by:	Date:

ATC	ATO-A14 Record Keeping System						
just th	Information Note: Where the standard indicates"The records shall include" this does not limit the requirement to maintain records to iust those items indicated - organizations are required to ensure that they retain records to verify conformance to course objectives, regulatory standards and program integrity.						
1.	Does the organization have system in place to ensure the retention of the following records:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	student attendance						
	student performance/grades						
	<ul> <li>certificate issuance - verification of eligibility and actual issue</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>committee meetings (Advisory, Examination, Curriculum, etc)</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>instructor qualifications / evaluations</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>instructor professional development records (e.g.: training)</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>curriculum (master lesson plans)</li> </ul>						
	examinations (master     examinations)						
	• analysis methods (curriculum and examinations)						
	<ul> <li>curriculum/examination amendments</li> </ul>						
	QA records - audit follow up and corrective action plans <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)</i>						
2.	Does the system ensure that records are maintained and retained for a period of not less than 5 years?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)						
3.	Are these records retained in a secure environment?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Not all records are required to be secure- however documentation such as student grades, attendance records, master curriculum and examinations, etc should be secured to avoid compromise. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)</b>						
4.	Does the organization maintain a current record for each student (to include attendance, grades,	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		

AT	O-A14 Record Keeping System
	etc)?
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)
5.	Does the organization require and N/A OK Finding Not Checked I retain records of Access to Information authorizations from all students (for TC access to records)?
	This may not be a requirement for all ATO's - depending upon the requirements of the Access to Information Act per Province. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)</b>
6.	Does the organization follow the N/A OK Finding Not Checked policies/procedures pertaining to record keeping?
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)
Con	nments/Summary:
Item	ns completed by: Date:

ATC	ATO-A15 Certificate Issuance Control System					
1.	Does the TPM contain policy/procedure with respect to Certificate issue?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)					
2.	Does the TPM contain a sample copy of the TC approved Course certificate? This is the certificate that is issued bearing the TC approval number, which indicates successful completion of the TC accredited program. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)</b>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
3.	Has the organization submitted an up-to-date (current) listing of all names and signatures of individuals authorized to sign certificates, forms and letters? This listing may also be provided in the TPM, a sub-tier document (i.e.: Procedures Manual) or issued separately to TC. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
4.	Does the organization retain historical records of previously approved signatories? Records to identify previously approved signatories should be maintained by the organization as part of the QA system and to verify past conformance to course objectives and regulatory standards. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
5.	Does the system ensure that certificates bearing the TC approval number are issued only to students who successfully complete the approved course? The organization may have policies/procedures in place to allow for issue of "certificates or diplomas of completion" to students who have completed the program however have not met the requirement for accreditation. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)</b>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
6.	Do the certificates clearly identify between those issued for accreditation purposes and those issued for successful completion (without meeting accreditation requirements)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

ATC	TO-A15 Certificate Issuance Cor	ntrol System
	It is possible for students to meet the program requirements (i.e.: grades & curriculum) and not be issued with a certificate bearing the TC approval number - this could be due to attendance issues. In circumstances such as this, if the organization has policies/procedures in place for the issue of non-accredited certificates, there should be a clear distinction between the two. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)</b>	
7.	Does the certificate include the N/A	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>name and location o f the training organization</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>type of description of training accomplished - type of program (M-E-S-A/C type plus installed engine)</li> </ul>	
	full name of student	
	date of course completion	
	TC approval number	
	<ul> <li>embossed raised seal</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>signature of authorized official(s)</li> </ul>	
	• type training :	
	<ul> <li>course duration (in hours)</li> </ul>	
	aircraft, engine, airframe or system identification Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)	
8.	Does the organization have a N/A System in place for verification of issue of accredited certification (those bearing the TC approval number)?	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)	


Items completed by:	Date:	

ATO-A16 Facilities Section						
1.	Does the PM contain a section Facilities section?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)					
2.	Does this section adequately describe the facilities and the support systems for the type of delivery consistent with the scope of the program?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)					
3.	Does the PM include a floor plan of the primary facility? The "primary" facility floor plan used for training on a full time basis must include the location of administration offices, classrooms, technical libraries, shops, labs, hangars, storage areas, etc. If the ATO has a secondary facility that is used for training (i.e.: 2 <sup>nd</sup> campus lab) then a full outline of the floor plan should be included. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</b>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
4.	Does the facility include adequate heating, lighting and ventilation to accommodate the maximum number of students expected to be taught at any one time? <i>This includes all classrooms, shops, labs</i> <i>and hangars.</i> <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
5.	Are the classrooms isolated from excessive interruption (i.e.: noise, etc)? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
6.	Are classrooms equipment with suitable equipment for the purposes of presentation of course material? This could include writing boards (wall), audio - visual equipment, student seating arrangements, Instructor placement, etc). Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
7.	Are the facilities sufficient for the type of training delivered?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

ATO-A16 Facilities Section						
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)					
8.	Do the students have reasonable access to the facilities?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)					
9.	Does the organization have policies/procedures in place to ensure controlled access to shop facilities, etc? The programs should provide instruction on hangar/shop procedures that simulate actual AMO or Aviation environments - for example: controlled access, calibration of tools, equipment, instrument control system; flammable materials storage, aircraft stores systems, etc. This would include ensuring that the facilities were of sufficient size to accommodate all necessary aircraft, equipment, components, etc for the type of training being conducted (i.e.: disassembly, inspection, maintenance and reassembly - with proper ventilation, storage, safety requirements, etc). <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</b>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌	
10.	<ul> <li>Does the organization have an actual or simulated aircraft stores area?</li> <li>is it arranged to ensure controlled access?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
11.	Does the organization have a system of control for calibrated tools, instruments and equipment - to include a method of recording? This may be simulated to some extent however proper calibration is required for all items in a run-up condition. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
Seco	ondary facilities					
12.	If a secondary facility is used - does the PM identify the minimum facility standards for the conduct of courses at locations other than the prime facility?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	

ATO-A16 Facilities Section						
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)					
13.	Does the organization have a contract of letter of agreement with facilities other than their own and does this document adequately describe to what extent the facilities will be used? An outside facility is one that is not owned or operated within the College system (i.e.: AMO hangar), but does not include a secondary campus facility. <b>Ref:</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
14.	Does the PM include policy for alternate facilities & equipment used in the delivery of A/C type training programs?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	

Comments/Summary:		
······································		
Items completed by:	Date:	
· · · ·		

ATO-A17 Training Material and Instructional Aids							
1.	Does the PM contain policy/procedures pertaining to Training Material and Instructional Aids?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)						
2.	Does the organization have a technical library?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>does the PM clearly identify who is responsible for amendment of technical publications</li> </ul>						
	are the technical publications     maintained to current     amendment status						
	<ul> <li>are publications used for reference only clearly identified as such (i.e.: for reference purposes only - or - for training purposes only)</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</li> </ul>						
3.	Do the technical publications include the following:	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>Aeronautics act</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Canadian Aviation Regulations and Standards</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Canadian and FAA AD's (or summaries - as applicable)</li> </ul>						
	• AC 43-13						
	<ul> <li>Type certificates and supplementary type certificates for primary training aircraft</li> </ul>						
	The ATO's technical or main libraries may contain all or some of these publications in hard copy - or they may be available via other sources. Publications, as relevant to subject matter taught, should be available and up-to-date.						
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)	<u></u>		<u></u>	<u></u>		
4.	Does the organization have policies/procedures to ensure that the following manuals are available and maintained to current amendment status:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
, , , , , ,	<ul> <li>maintenance, overhaul, structural, parts, service bulletins, inspection</li> </ul>						

ΑΤΟ	ATO-A17 Training Material and Instructional Aids							
,	programs, etc <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</i>							
5.	Do these policies/procedures ensure that students have access to a current technical library in a controlled environment to support program course objectives?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
; ;	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)							
6.	Does the organization make available an adequate supply of materials, shop equipment, including special tools and any miscellaneous equipment used to support the training standard?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	For example only - an aircraft appropriate to the course curriculum that is type approved for civil operation and complete in all aspects, to include the avionics package; equipment for ground runs, etc. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</b>							
7.	Do the Training Material and Instructional Aids ensure that facilities, classrooms, tools and equipment are appropriate for the purpose for which they are to be used and are kept in a functional condition to support the program? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
8.	<ul> <li>Does the organization offer any combination of equipment - i.e.: simulator, procedures trainer, aircraft or training aid mock-ups?</li> <li>does this type of equipment ensure that students can locate and identify</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	<ul> <li>components?</li> <li>students are able to troubleshoot, inspect and carry out live system function checks / test of aircraft from a cockpit perspective?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</i></li> </ul>							
9.	Do the Training Material and Instructional Aids ensure that each student has an equal and reasonable opportunity to actively participate in all learning objectives?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			

лт	ATO-A17 Training Material and Instructional Aids							
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)		Silucii					
10.	Is the equipment maintained in a satisfactory condition?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)							
Con	nments/Summary:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Iten	ns completed by:			Dat	e:			

1.       Does a Training Material Evaluation Policy/Procedure exist?       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         Ref. CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         2.       Do the Training Material Evaluation policy/Procedure ensure that training material is sufficient and capable of supporting training objectives?       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         8.       Ferd Lark Std. 566.10(2)(p)       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         3.       Are the policies/procedures being adhered to?       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         8.       Ref. CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)       OK       Finding       Not Checked       Image: Std. 566.10(2)(p)         4.       Are deficiencies documented and is corrective action initiated to address such deficiencies?       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked       Image: Std. 566.10(2)(p)         5.       Is follow-up action taken with respect to corrective action?       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked       Image: Std. 566.10(2)(p)         Comments/Summary:	ATC	ATO-A18 Training Material Evaluation Procedure						
2.       Do the Training Material Evaluation policy/procedure ensure that training material is sufficient and capable of supporting training objectives?       N/A □ OK □ Finding □ Not Checked □         3.       Are the policies/procedures being adhered to?       N/A □ OK □ Finding □ Not Checked □         4.       Are deficiencies documented and is corrective action initiated to address such deficiencies?       N/A □ OK □ Finding □ Not Checked □         7.       Perf: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)       OK □ Finding □ Not Checked □         5.       Is follow-up action taken with respect to corrective action?       N/A □ OK □ Finding □ Not Checked □         8.       Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)       OK □ Finding □ Not Checked □	1.	Evaluation Policy/Procedure exist?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
Evaluation policy/procedure ensure that training material is sufficient and capable of supporting training objectives?         Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)         3.       Are the policies/procedures being       N/A □       OK □       Finding □       Not Checked □         adhered to?         Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)         4.       Are deficiencies documented and is corrective action initiated to address such deficiencies?       N/A □       OK □       Finding □       Not Checked □         5.       Is follow-up action taken with respect to corrective action?       N/A □       OK □       Finding □       Not Checked □ <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)</i> Example 0       Finding □       Not Checked □         5.       Is follow-up action taken with respect to corrective action?       N/A □       OK □       Finding □       Not Checked □ <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)</i> Example 0       Finding □       Not Checked □         7.       Is follow-up action taken with respect to corrective action?       N/A □       OK □       Finding □       Not Checked □         7.       Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)       Example 0       Example 0       Finding □       Not Checked □					·- <u>-</u>			
3.       Are the policies/procedures being       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         adhered to?       Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)       Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)       Not Checked       Not Checked         4.       Are deficiencies documented and is corrective action initiated to address such deficiencies?       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked       Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)         5.       Is follow-up action taken with respect to corrective action?       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked       Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)         Comments/Summary:	2.	Evaluation policy/procedure ensure that training material is sufficient and capable of supporting training objectives?	N/A [_]	OK 📋		Not Checked [_]		
4.       Are deficiencies documented and N/A OK Finding Not Checked         is corrective action initiated to address such deficiencies? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)</i> 5.       Is follow-up action taken with respect to corrective action? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p) Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p) Comments/Summary:</i>	3.	Are the policies/procedures being	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
4.       Are deficiencies documented and N/A OK Finding Not Checked         is corrective action initiated to address such deficiencies? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)</i> 5.       Is follow-up action taken with respect to corrective action? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p) Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p) Comments/Summary:</i>								
is corrective action initiated to address such deficiencies? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(p) 5. Is follow-up action taken with N/A OK Finding Not Checked respect to corrective action? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(p) <i>Comments/Summary:</i>		Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)						
5.       Is follow-up action taken with n/A OK Finding Not Checked         respect to corrective action? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(p)         Comments/Summary:	4.	is corrective action initiated to	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
5.       Is follow-up action taken with n/A OK Finding Not Checked         respect to corrective action? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(p)         Comments/Summary:		Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)						
Comments/Summary:	5.	Is follow-up action taken with	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
		Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(p)						
	Con	nments/Summary:						
Items completed by: Date:	Item	is completed by:			Dat	e:		

Date:

AT	O-A19 Rating Bridging F	Program	າຣ			
1.	Does a bridging program exist?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.11				<u></u> .	
2.	Does the program address rating differences?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.11					
3.	Does the program meet all applicable rating requirements identified in these standards?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.11					
Con	nments/Summary:					
· · · · · · · · · ·						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Items completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

ATC	D-A20 Curriculum				
1.	Has a curriculum been submitted to, and approved by TC? The PM should contain a syllabus or overview of the training programs - the full curriculum would be reviewed for approval however need not be contained in the PM but in a sub-tier document. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.12</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
2.	Does the curriculum meet the standards outlined in 566.12? Ref: CAR Std. 566.12	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
3.	Does the curriculum contain elements that ensure graduating students are knowledgeable in all aspects of aircraft maintenance, inspection and regulatory subject matter? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.12</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding	Not Checked 🗌
4.	<ul> <li>Does the organization meet the requirements for alternate methods of compliance?</li> <li>Has the organization applied for approval under "alternate method of compliance?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
5.	<ul> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.12</i></li> <li>Does the ATO have policies/procedures to address alternate methods of compliance?</li> <li>if so, were these indicated in the initial application?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.12</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
6.	<ul> <li>Do approved programs exist for one or more of the following:</li> <li>maintenance // avionics // structures</li> <li>Approved programs are those that have been deemed acceptable by TC as meeting the regulatory standard and have</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

	been issued (or are in process of being issued) a TC approval number. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.12</b>				
7.	Does each basic training program consist of not less than 1800 or 1000 (as applicable) hours of subject matter training? <u>Note:</u> Implementation/application of the standard with respect to curriculum hours is required as follows: (example - 18	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	months accreditation)				
	<u>3 elements apply:</u> • 1800 hours of teaching				
	the total course must be no less than 1800 hours in length				
	the 1800 hours must be made up     of core curriculum content				
	<ul> <li>if field trips are included then they must have a mechanism for documenting applicability to core curriculum objectives and be graded.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>holidays and "lost time" are not deemed to be part of the 1800 hours</li> <li>they fall outside this time frame</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>70% passing grade (theory/practical)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>each portion of a subject/course (i.e.: theory or practical) must be passed at 70%</li> </ul>				
	<u>e.g.:</u> AM 101				
	<ul> <li>3 theory exam - marks added and total average of exams must equal 70%</li> </ul>				
	= 3 practical exam - marks added and total average of exams must equal 70%				
	= combined average of theory total and practical total must equal 70%				
	The same premise applies to maintenance, avionics and structures programs.				
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.12				
8.	Does the training provided through the ATO meet the training standards identified in STD 566.13?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.13				
9.	Does the organization have "Master Lesson Plans" in place to ensure continuity, standardization of presentation and conformance to course objectives?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌

ATO	D-A20 Curriculum	
	objectives?	1
		i
-		ł
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.12	

## Comments/Summary:

Items completed by:	Date:

ATO-A21 Basic Training Programs						
Training Standards common to all Training Programs						
<ol> <li>Has the review of the curriculum N/A OK Finding Not Checked and examinations verified that the students are capable of performing the following functions, skills and/or abilities:</li> </ol>						
a) Apply:						
i. Occupational Health And Safety practices.						
ii. the Canadian Aviation Regulations applicable to an AME.						
iii. acceptable industry standard practices.						
b) Explain:						
i. aircraft system operation to component level. standard practices for operational checks, inspection and certification of						
ii. aircraft systems.						
iii. procedures and applicable standards required for structural and non-						
iv. structural repairs and modifications.						
v. the effects of human factors contributing to maintenance errors.						
c) Perform:						
i. the installation and securing of fasteners and connectors.						
ii. an applicable sheet metal repair or modification.						
iii. to completion an applicable inspection for the purpose of certification.						
iv. a maintenance release including:						
v. technical records entries;						
vi. certification forms;						
vii. weight and balance reports, and						
viii. other related documentation.						
ix. tasks utilizing and interpreting technical						

ΑΤΟ	D-A21 Basic Training Pro	ograms	;			
	information systems. <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.13</i>					
Sma	Il Aircraft					-
2.	Has the review of the curriculum and examinations verified that the students are capable of performing the following functions, skills and/or abilities::	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	a) Explain:					
	<ul> <li>the system logic and processes used to determine, develop and maintain the appropriate maintenance schedule.</li> </ul>					
	<li>ii. the procedures used to inspect and test the operation of avionics and</li>					
	<li>iii. auto-flight systems representative of those installed in small aircraft.</li>					
	<ul> <li>iv. types of non-destructive inspection procedures.</li> </ul>					
	b) Perform:					
	<ul> <li>servicing procedures on fixed and rotary wing aircraft.</li> </ul>					
	<li>ii. tasks utilizing minimum equipment lists, configuration deviation lists, and built-in test equipment programs.</li>					
	<li>iii. scheduled and unscheduled inspections.</li>					
	<ul> <li>c) Test, troubleshoot, repair, adjust, remove and replace:</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>power plants and related systems.</li> </ul>					
	ii. propeller and rotor systems.					
	<li>iii. airframe and related systems.</li>					
	iv. electrical systems.					
	v. airframe structures.					
	vi. dynamic components.					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.14					
	e Aircraft			- <u>-</u>		
3.	Has the review of the curriculum and examinations verified that the students are capable of performing the following	N/A 🗌	ОКЦ	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

<b>\</b> Τ	O-A21 Basic Training Programs
	functions, skills and/or abilities:
	a) Explain:
	<ul> <li>the procedures used to inspect and test the operation of avionics and</li> </ul>
	ii. auto-flight systems representative of those installed in large aircraft.
	iii. the system logic and processes used to determine, develop and
	iv. maintain the appropriate maintenance schedule.
	v. types of non-destructive inspection procedures.
	vi. fault diagnostic systems typical of those installed on large aircraft.
	vii. mechanical and electronic systems including electrical/mechanical and
	viii.digital control systems.
	b) Perform:
	i. servicing procedures on fixed and rotary wing aircraft.
	<ul> <li>tasks utilizing minimum equipment lists;</li> <li>configuration deviation lists;</li> <li>and built in test equipment programs.</li> </ul>
	iii. scheduled and unscheduled inspections.
	c) Test, troubleshoot, repair, adjust, remove and replace:
	i. power plants and related systems.
	<ul> <li>ii. propeller and rotor systems.</li> <li>iii. airframe and related systems.</li> </ul>
	iv. electrical systems.
	v. airframe structures.
	vi. dynamic components. <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.15</i>
lec	ctronics
·.	Has the review of the curriculum N/A OK Finding Not Checked and examinations verified that the students are capable of

AT(	O-A21 Basic Training Proc	grams				
	functions, skills and/or abilities:					
	a) Explain:					
	<ul> <li>the system logic and processes used to determine develop and maintain the appropriate maintenance schedule.</li> </ul>					
	<li>ii. fault diagnostic systems typical of those installed on aircraft.</li>					
	<li>iii. the procedures used in the repair and servicing of auto- flight systems.</li>					
	iv. mechanical and electronic systems including electrical/mechanical and					
	v. digital control systems.					
	b) Perform:					
	<ul> <li>tasks utilizing minimum equipment lists; configuration deviation lists; and</li> </ul>					
	<li>ii. built in test equipment programs.</li>					
	<li>iii. installation of a navigation and communication system.</li>					
	<ul> <li>c) Test, troubleshoot, repair, adjust, remove and replace:</li> </ul>					
	i. communication systems.					
	ii. navigation systems.					
	<li>iii. electrical and lighting systems.</li>					
	iv. instrumentation systems.					
	<ul> <li>v. aircraft electrical and electronic integrated systems.</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.16					
Stru	uctures			<b>-</b>		
5.	Has the review of the curriculum and examinations verified that the students are capable of performing the following functions, skills and/or abilities:	I/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	a) Perform:					
	<ul> <li>effective corrosion control and repair for aircraft structures.</li> </ul>					
	ii. tasks utilizing the tools and					

AT	O-A21 Basic Training Programs
	<ul> <li>O-A21 Basic training Programs</li> <li>equipment applicable to the maintenance of</li> <li>ii. aircraft structures.</li> <li>iv. sealing procedures for aircraft structures.</li> <li>v. tasks incorporating the correct selection and installation of fasteners used on aircraft structures.</li> <li>vi. repairs and replacement of fabric coverings.</li> <li>vii. a repair scheme to meet the applicable standards.</li> <li>b) Fabricate, assemble and repair: <ul> <li>i. sheet metal, tubular, composite; and wood structures.</li> <li>ii. fluid lines and conduits.</li> <li>c) Assemble, install and repair: <ul> <li>i. transparencies including but</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
	not limited to windscreens, windows, lenses that form part of the aircraft structure. <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.17</i>
6.	N/A OK Finding Not Checked
Con	nments/Summary:
Item	ns completed by: Date:

## CAR 566 Approved Training Organization Checklists Type Training Programs

## Table of Contents

## (January 2002)

Previous Transport Canada Audit	3
Training Policy Manual	4
Organizational Chart	6
Amendment System	7
Course Instructor Listing	9
	10
	12
Quality System	13
Person Responsible for Training Section	
Course Prerequisites Section	18
Student Attendance Control System	19
Examination (Analysis) Methods	20
Examination Process Control	23
Record Keeping System	25
Certificate Issuance Control System	27
Facilities Section	29
Training Material and Instructional Aids	31
Curriculum	33
	Training Policy Manual. Organizational Chart Amendment System. Course Instructor Listing . Professional Development . Advisory Committee System Quality System. Person Responsible for Training Section Course Prerequisites Section. Student Attendance Control System Examination (Analysis) Methods Examination Process Control. Record Keeping System Certificate Issuance Control System Facilities Section. Training Material and Instructional Aids

Intentionally Blank

ATO-B01 Previous Transport Canada Audit						
1.	What was the purpose and date of the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
2.	<ul> <li>Was the audit follow-up completed?</li> <li>Are their any outstanding findings from the previous audit?</li> <li>Area's that have outstanding findings should be reviewed in conjunction with the current audit process to determine if the problems are systemic in nature or if the follow-up has been overlooked.</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
3.	<ul> <li>Have there been any additions to the scope of approval since the previous audit?</li> <li>List any new additions, i.e. new courses.</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
Con	nments/Summary:					
Item	ns completed by:			 Dati	e:	

AT	O-B02 Training Policy Ma	anual			
1.	The Policy Manual (PM) shall include the following elements:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	a) an organizational chart				
	b) an amendment system				
	c) course instructor listing				
	<ul> <li>d) professional development program</li> </ul>				
	e) advisory committee system				
	f) a quality system				
	<ul> <li>g) person responsible for training (PRT)</li> </ul>				
	h) course prerequisites				
	<ul> <li>i) student attendance control system</li> </ul>				
	j) examination <i>(analysis)</i> methods				
	<ul> <li>k) examination process control system</li> </ul>				
	<ol> <li>record keeping system</li> </ol>				
	<ul> <li>m) certificate issuance control system</li> </ul>				
	n) facilities section				
	<ul> <li>o) training material and instructional aids</li> </ul>				
	These elements provide an overview of the organizations Training program and PM - each will be reviewed separately. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)</b>				
2.	Does the organization have a Transport Canada approved Policy Manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Does the manual contain a Certification Page - signed and approved by TC?				
	<ul> <li>is the manual provided in hard copy?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>is the manual provided in electronic format?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>If so - are there policies/procedures in place to deal with controlled access to this document (i.e.: amendment, etc)?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>is the document organized in numerical order?</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>is the document structured in a manner to provide easily</li> </ul>				

AT	D-B02 Training Policy M	anual				
	accessible reference standard for day-to-day use?					
	does the organization maintain an up-to-date list of manual holders? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)</i>					
3.	<ul> <li>Are the "procedures" pertaining to the TC approved program(s) contained in a sub-tier document (i.e.: Procedures Manual)?</li> <li>if so, is the document incorporated by reference in the PM?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(1)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
4.	Has each program identified in the PM received TC approval?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)					
5.	Does the TPM contain adequate policy and/or procedure to meet the regulatory standard and program presentation requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(1)					
Con	nments/Summary:					
Item	ns completed by:			Dat	e:	

ATC	ATO-B03 Organizational Chart						
1.	Does the PM contain an OC?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	 	
	The OC may be identifying connections to an AMO if the training is incorporated in an MPM. Functional reporting both within the training department and the rest of the organization must be identified. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)</b>						
2.	Does the OC identify each reporting level of personnel involved in the training program? The OC must identify all personnel responsible for the delivery of training (i.e. full, part time, relief, casual instructors, etc). Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
3.	Does the TPM contain duties/responsibilities for each of the reporting levels?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>are the position description duties and responsibilities of each reporting level accurate?</li> </ul>						
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)					-	
4.	Does the PM clearly identify the qualifications for the PRT and all instructors? Personnel qualifications (i.e.: resumes) may be retained in a sub-tier document or be retained by the organization in their personnel files. These documents must be made available for review by TC. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(a)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
Con	nments/Summary:						
						• • •	
Item	ns completed by:			Dat	e:		

ATC	ATO-B04 Amendment System						
1.	Does the PM contain an Amendment system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)						
2.	Does the amendment procedure contain a method to identify each page of the PM, including the revision status, date of issue?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)						
3.	<ul> <li>Does the amendment system clearly identify who is responsible for:</li> <li>Development of proposed amendments?</li> <li>Approval of amendments?</li> <li>Distribution of approved amendments?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
4.	<ul> <li>Does the amendment system address/encompass changes to all areas of the training program?</li> <li>E.g.: PM, curriculum, exams, etc?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
5. 6.	Does the system provide a method to ensure that the PM reflects the latest approved amendment, including all copies of the approved PM? <i>Is there a method to ensure that amendments are entered into the PM within a specified time frame?</i> <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)</i> Has the organization followed the amendment procedure as described in the approved PM? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(b)</i>	N/A 🗌 N/A 🗌	ОК □	Finding []	Not Checked  Not Checked		

Comments/Summary:	
······	
Items completed by:	Date:

AT	O-B05 Course Instructor	<sup>.</sup> Listing	9			
1.	<ul> <li>Does the TPM provide a listing of Instructors?</li> <li>Or does the TPM reference a sub-tier document that contains this information?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)					: 
2.	Is faculty appropriately qualified to teach the course subject matter (i.e. licensed in aircraft maintenance/avionics/structures as applicable and/or trained in their area of specialty)? Specialist cannot provide instruction on the assembly, installation, testing, troubleshooting, repair, removal, replacement, or maintenance of the system unless they have previous experience in the specific specialty function or area. <b>Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
3.	Are all Instructors trained in Instructional techniques? Faculty members teaching the approved ATO programs prior to Oct/96 may not have completed an in-depth IT course as such. However, the program must ensure that such personnel are provided "update" IT training. Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
4.	<ul> <li>Does the organization have policies/procedures in place to deal with Instructional techniques refresher training?</li> <li>Are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std 566.10(2)(c)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
Con	nments/Summary:		·····			
Iten	ns completed by:			Dat	e:	

ATC	D-B06 Professional Deve	elopme	nt		
1.	Does the organization have a structured professional development program in place? "Structured" refers to a formalized program - to include policies and procedures for identification, implementation, recording, etc of training. Ideally the PD program should be tied to the Instructor Evaluation process. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
2.	Does the PD program ensure that Instructors knowledge is updated on a continuous basis? Does the PD program provide a method for determining when update training is required and what type of training should be taken? Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗆	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
3.	Does the PD program conform to a 3-year training cycle? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d</i> )	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
4.	Are adequate records retained to substantiate the types of PD training completed for each Instructor? <i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(d)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
5.	Is the type of PD training taken relevant to the nature of the program and/or type of instruction provided? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
6.	Are the policies/procedures for PD training being followed? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(d)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Con	nments/Summary:				

Items completed by:	Date:

<b>ATO-B07</b> Advisory Committee System Note: While a formal Advisory Committee is not required for type training organizations, there should be a system or mechanism in place to ensure that the course material being presented continues to be updated and representative of the latest in technology and/or relevant to the configuration of the operational aircraft.						
<ol> <li>Does the organization have a system or mechanism to ensure updating of training for new technology?</li> </ol>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)						
2. Does the organization have established frequencies for meetings to discuss course status/relevancy of content?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)						
3. Does the PM include a description of the mandate of these meetings and does the mandate ensure that the training provided is current from an industry perspective? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
4. Does the system include procedures to communicate changes/decisions?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
<ul> <li>Are the procedures being followed?</li> </ul>						
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)	·····	<u></u>	<u></u>			
<ol> <li>Are the minutes, decisions, and outcomes, retained for a 5-year period?</li> </ol>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(e)						
Comments/Summary:						
Items completed by:			Dat	e:		

ATO-B08 Quality System						
Per	son Responsible for QA					
1.	<ul> <li>Does the PM clearly identify the person responsible for the QA Program?</li> <li>are their duties and responsibilities adequately described in the PM?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std.</i> 566.10(2)(f)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
2.	Is the person responsible for the	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
۷.	QA Program performing the responsibilities of the position, as detailed in the PM?					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)					، ا ا
3.	Is the person responsible for the QA Program knowledgeable of the regulatory STD, the PM and the organizational structure with respect to training?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
QΔ	System/Program					
4.	Does the PM provide a description of the QA system? For example - does this description	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	include details of; areas of the program affected by the system, self-analysis mechanisms - implementation, corrective action and feedback processes. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</b>					
5.	<ul> <li>Has the program established a process of "self evaluation" or internal audit? If so …</li> <li>does the PM describe the system in use?</li> <li>does the ATO follow the policy/procedures as detailed in the PM?</li> <li>does the ATO maintain adequate records with respect to areas reviewed, findings noted, corrective action taken, follow up, etc?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

ATC	D-B08 Quality System				
6.	<ul> <li>Does the QA system provide for a method of assessment, analysis or evaluation for the following:</li> <li>curriculum</li> <li>examinations</li> <li>instructor evaluations</li> <li>amendment system</li> <li>student attendance/grades</li> <li>certificate issue</li> <li>Some or all of these elements may be inherent within each functional area of the program.</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
7. Inst	<ul> <li>Does the system include a description of the process used to monitor the results of any corrective action taken?</li> <li>Are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</li> <li>ructor Evaluations</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
} ·		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
8.	<ul> <li>Does the organization have a system in place for instructor evaluations?</li> <li>Does the system identify when, how and who is responsible to conduct the evaluations?</li> <li>Are the policies/procedures being followed?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</i></li> </ul>				
9.	Does the evaluation system address teaching techniques, subject matter expertise, updating, and conformance to course objectives? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f</i> )	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
10.	Have all instructor evaluations	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	been completed as required? Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)				
11.	Does the system feed into - or	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
11.	receive information from - the Professional Development				

ATC	D-B08 Quality System					
	Professional Development program/system?					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)					
QA	system Records					
12.	Does the QA system retain adequate records with respect to the following:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	• curriculum					
	examinations					
	<ul> <li>instructor evaluations</li> </ul>					
	amendments (i.e.: curriculum/examinations, etc)					
	student attendance/grades					
	certificate issue					
	Such records would include results of any in-house audits/reviews, corrective action plans and implementation of corrective					
	action, etc.					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)					
Com	nments/Summary:					

Items completed by:	 Date:	

ATO-B09 Person Responsible for Training Section						
1.	Has the organization appointed an individual responsible for program integrity?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(g)					
2.	Does this person have a minimum of six years experience in the maintenance of aircraft?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(g)					
3.	Does this person have sufficient knowledge of maintenance training, development and delivery?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	The knowledge required to satisfy this requirement may have been gained from a variety of sources and need not be obtained from within the organization in question. For example: experience instructing in an aircraft type course, component training (i.e.: engines only), etc. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(g)</b>					
4.	Are the duties and responsibilities of the PRT adequately described in the PM? Does the PM provide a clear understanding of the PRT's accountabilities and responsibilities in relation to the training and Quality Assurance programs? <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(g)</b>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
5.	Is the PRT knowledgeable of his/her duties and responsibilities with respect to STD 566 and the PM requirements?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(g)					
Con	nments/Summary:					
	,					
				•••••		

Items completed by:	Date:

ATO-B10 Course Prerequisites Section					
1.	Does the PM contain procedures for student admission?	N/AOK Finding Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(h)				
2.	Does the training organization have procedures in place to document that students meet the prerequisites for admission? The organization should have procedures in place to verify that the student has a sufficient level of knowledge and ability to assimilate the program/course content. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(h)	N/A 🗌 OK 🔲 Finding 🔲 Not Checked 🗍			
3.	Does the PM identify the maximum number of students allowed per course?	N/A 🗌 OK 🔲 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)				
Con	nments/Summary:				
Item	is completed by:	Date:			

ATO-B11 Student Attendance Control System						
1.	Does the PM identify the Student Attendance Control System in use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)					
2.	<ul><li>Does the system have Training schedules to ensure that students do not exceed:</li><li>eight hours of training (or</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	combined duty/training) in any one day, or					
	<ul> <li>six days or forty hours of duty/training in any seven-day period?</li> </ul>					
2	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
3.	Does the record keeping procedure ensure that the individual's presence is recorded and controlled for each class, shop or laboratory activity? • are the policies/procedures					
	being followed? Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)					
4.	Does the PM contain procedures to ensure that students having missed more than 5% of the course through absences do not qualify for certificate issue?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>are these policies/procedures applied consistently and equability?</li> <li>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(i)</li> </ul>					
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
Comments/Summary:						
ltor	ns completed by:			t	۵.	
nen	Items completed by: Date:					

ATO-B12 Examination (Analysis) Methods						
1.	Does the PM contain policies/procedures with respect to Examination methods? "Examination methods" pertain to the analysis (of) and development, content, quality and amendment procedures for all exams pertaining to the program(s). Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
2.	Does the program utilize a variety of testing methods (i.e. written, oral, practical, or electronic testing)?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
3.	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j) Does the PM clearly identify who	N/A 🗌	ок 🗆	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
υ.	<ul> <li>development, validation, weighting, failure analysis and amendment process?</li> </ul>					
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
4.	Have "master examinations" been developed for the training being conducted? Master exams are required to ensure the standardization, consistency and continuity of training presentation. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
5.	<ul> <li>Does the examination development include a policy to ensure that the quantity or the weighted value of each examination is dependent upon the:</li> <li>importance of the learned outcome</li> <li>the frequency of occurrence</li> <li>and the level of difficulty? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
6.	Is there a process in place to allow for review of the examinations to determine the validity, accuracy, clarity and appropriate weighting of each question?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	

AT	D-B12 Examination (Ana	lysis) N	<b>/</b> lethod	S		
	This review should be carried out by an independent subject matter expert - for example an Advisory Committee representative or a Faculty member who does not normally have any input into the subject area being reviewed. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)</b>					
7.	Do the completed examinations ensure that performance and/or /training objectives have been met?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
; 	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
8.	Does the ATO use of a test map or other devices to ensure that those subject areas with the greatest impact are tested to the highest level; while the subject areas, or tasks with the least impact are tested to the lowest level?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
9.	Does the examination analysis/assessment system provide for a method of identification, corrective action and implementation of corrective action? Anomalies must be identified, documented and have corrective action plans	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	developed in order to implement the appropriate correction. Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
10.	Are corrective action plans implemented and followed up on in a timely manner?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
11.	Are the policies/procedures effective?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
, , , , ,	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(j)					
• • • • • • • • •						
Con	nments/Summary:					

Items completed by:	Date:

Approved Training Organization Requirements 566 Type Training Programs **Company:**  File

<u>лт</u>	ATO-B13 Examination Process Control						
			<u></u>				
1.	Does a system of examination process control exist?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)						
2.	Does the process ensure that:	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	New exams are developed if confidentiality becomes compromised?						
	<ul> <li>All exams and marking guides are maintained in a secure and confidential manner?</li> </ul>						
	Exams are carried out in a controlled environment?     Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)						
3.	Does this process ensure that post examination reviews are conducted and corrected to 100 percent?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)						
4.	Does the process ensure that the successful completion of examinations occur within 1 year following program completion?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	If a student is required to reattempt final examinations or complete supplementary studies and exams they must do so within 1 year of program completion, otherwise they are not eligible for graduation. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)</b>						
5.	Does the process ensure that a 70 percent or greater passing grade is established for each theory exam as well as the 5% practical portion?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	"Each major subject area" refers to both the theoretical and practical portions of each course subject area. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)</b>						
6.	Does the process ensure that limits are set for the maximum number of attempts permitted?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(k)						

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	Date:

ΑΤΟ	D-B14 Record Keeping	System			
just th	nation Note: Where the standard indicates ose items indicated - organizations are requi tory standards and program integrity.				
1.	<ul> <li>Does the organization have system in place to ensure the retention of the following records:</li> <li>student attendance</li> <li>student performance/grades</li> <li>certificate issuance - verification of eligibility and actual issue</li> <li>instructor qualifications / evaluations</li> <li>instructor professional development records (e.g.: training)</li> <li>curriculum (master lesson plans)</li> <li>examinations (master examinations)</li> <li>analysis methods (curriculum and examinations)</li> <li>QA records - audit follow up</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
0	and corrective action plans Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌		Not Checked
2.	Does the system ensure that records are maintained and retained for a period of not less than 5 years? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)</i>			Finding 🗌	
3.	Are these records retained in a secure environment? Not all records are required to be secure- however documentation such as student grades, attendance records, master curriculum and examinations, etc should be secured to avoid compromise. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
4.	Does the organization follow the policies/procedures pertaining to record keeping? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(I)</i>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

Comments/Summary:	
	<b>D</b> /
Items completed by:	Date:

Date:

ATC	D-B15 Certificate Issuan	ce Con	trol Sy	stem	
1.	Does the PM contain policy/procedure with respect to Certificate issue?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)				
2.	Does the PM contain a sample copy of the TC approved Course certificate? This is the certificate that is issued bearing the TC approval number, which indicates successful completion of the TC approved program. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)</b>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
3.	Has the organization submitted an up-to-date (current) listing of all names and signatures of individuals authorized to sign certificates, forms and letters? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
4.	Does the system ensure that certificates bearing the TC approval number are issued only to students who successfully complete the approved course?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)				
5.	<ul> <li>Does the certificate include the following:</li> <li>name and location of the training organization</li> <li>full name of student</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	date of course completion				
	TC approval number				
	embossed raised seal				
	<ul> <li>signature of authorized official(s)</li> </ul>				
	course duration (in hours)				
	<ul> <li>aircraft, engine, airframe or system identification</li> </ul>				
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(m)				
Con	nments/Summary:				

.....

Items completed by:	Date:

ATC	D-B16 Facilities Section				
1.	Does the PM contain a section Facilities section?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)				
2.	Does this section adequately describe the facilities and the support systems for the type of delivery consistent with the scope of the program?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding [_]	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)			·····	
3.	Does the PM include a floor plan of the primary facility? The "primary" facility floor plan must include the location of administration offices, classrooms, technical libraries, shops, labs, hangars, storage areas, etc. If the ATO has a secondary facility that is used for training then a brief outline of the floor plan should be included. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding [_]	Not Checked 🗌
4.	Does the facility include adequate heating, lighting and ventilation to accommodate the maximum number of students expected to be taught at any one time? <i>This includes all classrooms, shops, labs and hangars.</i> <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
5.	Are the classrooms isolated from excessive interruption (i.e.: noise, etc)? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
6.	Are classrooms equipment with suitable equipment for the purposes of presentation of course material? This could include writing boards (wall), audio - visual equipment, student seating arrangements, Instructor placement, etc). Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Seco	ondary facilities				
7.	If a secondary facility is used - does the TPM identify the minimum facility standards for the conduct of courses at locations	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

AT	<b>D-B16 Facilities Section</b>					
	other than the prime facility?					
8.	<i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</i> Does the organization have a contract of letter of agreement with facilities other than their own - and does this document adequately describe to what extend the facilities will be used? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(n)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
9.	Does the ATO conduct a quality review process to determine, and document, the acceptability of the secondary facility? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(f)</i>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

Comments/Summary:	
Items completed by:	_ Date:

Date:

ΑΤΟ	-B17 Training Material	and Ins	tructio	nal Aids	
	Does the PM contain policy/procedures pertaining to Training Material and Instructional Aids? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>Does the organization have policies/procedures to ensure that the following manuals are available and maintained to current amendment status:</li> <li>maintenance, overhaul, structural, parts, service bulletins, and other manuals required by the ICA's.</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Does the organization make available an adequate supply of materials, shop equipment, including special tools and any miscellaneous equipment used to support the training standard? For example only - an aircraft appropriate to the course curriculum that is type approved for civil operation and complete in all aspects, to include the avionics package; equipment for ground runs, etc. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</b>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Does the organization offer any combination of equipment - i.e.: simulator, procedures trainer, aircraft or training aid mock-ups? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Do the Training Material and Instructional Aids ensure that each student has an equal and reasonable opportunity to actively participate in all learning objectives?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.10(2)(o)				

## Comments/Summary:

Items completed by:	Date:

AT	D-B18 Curriculum					
1.	Has a curriculum been submitted to, and approved by TC? The PM should contain a syllabus or overview of the training programs - the full curriculum would be reviewed for approval however need not be contained in the PM but in a sub-tier document. <b>Ref: CAR Std. 566.18</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
2.	Does the ATO have "Master Lesson Plans" in place to ensure continuity, standardization of presentation, and conformance to course objectives? <i>Ref: CAR Std</i> 566.18(1)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
3.	Does the training curriculum explain the normal functions of each major system where applicable? ATA 21 – Air Conditioning ATA 22 – Auto Flight ATA 23 – Communications ATA 24 – Electrical Power ATA 25 – Equipment/Furnishings ATA 26 – Fire Protection ATA 27 – Flight Controls ATA 28 – Fuel ATA 29 – Hydraulic Power ATA 30 – Ice & Rain Protection ATA 31 – Indicating & Recording ATA 32 – Landing Gear ATA 33 – Lights ATA 34 – Navigation ATA 35 – Oxygen ATA 36 – Pneumatic ATA 38 – Water-waste ATA 49 – Airborne Auxiliary Power ATA 52 – Doors ATA 53 – Fuselage ATA 54 – Nacelle/Pylons ATA 55 – Stabilizers ATA 56 – Windows ATA 57 – Wings ATA 61 – Propellers ATA 61 – Propellers ATA 71 – Powerplant	N/A	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

ΑΤΟ	D-B18 Curriculum
	ATA 72 – Engine Turbine ATA 73 – Engine Fuel & Control ATA 74 – Ignition ATA 75 – Air ATA 76 – Engine Controls ATA 77 – Engine Indicating ATA 78 – Exhaust ATA 79 – Oil ATA 80 – Starting ATA 81 – Turbines ATA 82 – Water Injection ATA 83 – Accessory Gear Boxes
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.18(3)
4.	Does the type training provide N/A OK Finding Not Checked
	Ref: CAR Std. 566.18(2)
5.	Does the curriculum contain       N/A       OK       Finding       Not Checked         elements that ensure students will       be able to carry out functional       checks applicable to:         Systems?       Engines?         Components?       As specified in the applicable         Instructions for Continuing       Airworthiness (ICA).         The ICA's are a collection of manuals that are required by the products type       Eventification, which are required to maintain the applicable aircraft and/or engine.         Functional check procedures are usually contained in the applicable aircraft and/or engine.       Ref: CAR Std. 566.18(3)
6.	Upon graduation will the student be N/A OK Finding Not Checked able to: • Understand safety precautions?

<ul> <li>Locate and identify components?</li> <li>Explain system operations and maintenance practices?</li> <li>Utilize MEL's/CDL's?</li> <li>Interpret readings/indications from BITE systems?</li> <li>Analyze information for the</li> </ul>
purpose of fault diagnosis and recertification? <i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.18(3)</i>
<ul> <li>7. Are the allotted numbers of hours N/A OK Finding Not Checked per subject adequately covering the major subject topics?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.18(4)</i></li> </ul>
8. Are the course objectives clearly N/A OK Finding Not Checked identified?
<ul> <li>Does the "hands-on" portion of the N/A OK Finding Not Checked </li> <li>training meet the TC requirement of 5% of the course duration?</li> <li><i>Ref: CAR Std. 566.18(5)</i></li> </ul>
10. If differences training is being N/A OK Finding Not Checked provided are the course prerequisites such that only individuals who have successfully completed an initial type course be considered as acceptable candidates for this type of training?
Ref: CAR Std. 566.18(9)

## Comments/Summary:

 ······································		

 Items completed by:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date:

## CAR 604 Private Operator Certificate Holder Maintenance Requirements Checklists

**Table of Contents** 

(May 2001)

POC-01	Previous Transport Canada Audit	3
POC-02	Maintenance Control Section	5
POC-03	Person Responsible of Maintenance	12
POC-04	Technical Records	14
POC-05	Weight & Balance	18
POC-06	Maintenance Planning	21
POC-07	Maintenance Arrangements	25
POC-08	Defect Procedures	29
POC-09	Airworthiness Directive, Service Bulletin	33
POC-10	Technical Dispatch Procedures	35
POC-11	Training & Elementary Work	38
POC-12	Service Difficulty Reporting SDR	42

Intentionally Blank

CAR 604 Private Oper	ator Requirements
Company:	

File	5015-
------	-------

PO	POC-01 Previous Transport Canada Audit						
1.	What was the purpose and date of the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
2.	<ul><li>Was there a significant number of Audit Findings?</li><li>What conclusions were drawn from the audit?</li></ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
3.	<ul> <li>Was the audit follow-up completed?</li> <li>List any outstanding audit findings respecting the previous audit?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
4.	Since the last audit, are there indications of high managerial staff turnover?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
5.	Is there any indications of high staff, flight crew or maintenance personnel turnover?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
6.	Have there been any changes in the company's scope, size, aircraft, type of service since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		

РО	POC-01 Previous Transport Canada Audit							
7.	Have there been any additional Operations Specifications or a change to the Maintenance Scope of Approval / Authorized since the previous audit?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
8.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref.							
Con	nments/Summary:							

Items completed by:	Date:

CAR 604 Private Operator Requirements
Company:

PO	POC-02 Maintenance Control Section							
	Maintenance Control - Adm	inistrativ	/e					
1.	Does the manual have a table of contents?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref:							
2.	Does the POC Holder's manual contain a CARs compliance statement that is certified?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.49(1)(a) / 624.49(1)(o)							
3.	Does the company have a fixed place of business?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.51(2)/(a)							
4.	Does the manual describe the page identification process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.49(1)(B)							
5.	Is there an LEP and is it approved by Transport Canada?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.49(3)							
6.	Do the pages contained in the manual match those listed in the LEP?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌			
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.49(3)							

РО	C-02 Maintenance Conti	rol Sect	ion			
7.	<ul> <li>Does the manual describe an amendment procedure?</li> <li>Is the amendment procedure followed?</li> <li>Are manuals up to date?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.49</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
8.	How is updating of the control manual actioned or the need generated? <i>Ref:</i>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
9.	<ul> <li>Does the manual specify distribution procedures and the identity of manual holders / locations?</li> <li>Are distribution procedures followed?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.51(1)(h)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
10.	Are manuals physically located at the designated site or with the specified person? <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.51(1)(h)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
11.	Complete separate PRM Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not	Checked 🗌		
12.	Does the manual state the communication equipment to be used in the conduct of the type of business intended? • Is it adequate?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 624.51(2)(b)					
13.	Are they able to contact the aircraft / crew when away from the main base or remotely operated?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					

PO	POC-02 Maintenance Control Section						
Mai	ntenance Control - Operation	ns					
14.	Does the manual contain a description of any function for the control of maintenance activities that has been assigned by the PRM?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	<ul> <li>Are the individuals specified by name and title?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Is an organization chart required for clarity?</li> </ul>						
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 62449(1)(c)						
15.	Has each Incorporated by Reference Document been "certified" by the PRM or person responsible for that management function as the Air POC Holder's means of compliance with the CARs?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.49(2)						
16.	<ul> <li>Does the POC Holder employ sufficient staff to ensure the control of the required maintenance?</li> <li>a) for the initial development of maintenance schedules?</li> <li>b) for the scheduling of maintenance, elementary work and servicing to be performed within the time constraints specified in the approved maintenance schedule?</li> <li>c) for scheduling compliance with any airworthiness directives?</li> <li>d) to review and action of applicable service</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked		
	<ul> <li>applicable service instructions?</li> <li>e) for proper dispatch of aircraft, with regard to the control of defects, conformity with type design and the requirements of other operating rules;</li> </ul>						

РО	C-02 Maintenance Control Section
	<ul> <li>f) for the issuance of authorizations to personnel who are assigned to perform elementary work;</li> <li>g) to liaison with persons and organizations for the performance of maintenance;</li> <li>h) for the initial development and the updating of the section of the company operations manual that describes the maintenance control system.</li> </ul>
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.51(1)(a)-(h)
17.	Does the POC Holder have       N/A OK Finding Not Checked         facilities adequate to control all of         the required maintenance, for         example:         a) a place of business, with         a fixed address;         b) communications         equipment including         telephones, facsimile         machines, Telex, Selcal,         Sita etc.         c) any devices used to         establish when a         particular aircraft requires         maintenance. This may         include planning bulletin         boards, card files, or a         computer system that         meets the standards         applicable to computer         devices used for planning         purposes;
18.	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.51(2)(a)-(c) Does the POC Holders manual N/A OK Finding Not Checked describe how they will access publications or technical data to be used in the performance of elementary work, servicing, or in the case of maintenance panning requirements?
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 624.49(1)(e) / (h)

PO	POC-02 Maintenance Control Section						
19.	Does the POC Holder's maintenance control system authorize the PRM to remove aircraft form operation when in non-compliance with the CARs or risk of safety to aircraft, persons, or property?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
20.	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.50(1)(b) Does the manual identify all maintenance schedules, which pertain to the POC Holders aircraft fleet? <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.49(1)(g)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
21.	<ul> <li>*Does the POC Holder remain aware of service information for aircraft operated?</li> <li>How is this actioned and is there evidence to indicate that it is being carried out?</li> <li>How does the POC Holder obtain information, for example via contract?</li> <li>*Repeated in maintenance planning</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
22.	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.55(1) Does the manual identify who is eligible to apply for a flight authority on behalf of the POC Holder? <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.49(1)(p)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
23.	Records made in respect of the assessment program must be retained for six years. Annotations may be made directly on the information being assessed, in ink, provided: a) contains a disposition of the assessment;	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		

PO	C-02 Maintenance Cont	rol Sect	ion	
	<ul> <li>b) is made in permanent ink;</li> <li>c) is dated and signed by the person responsible for the maintenance control systems, or a person who has been assigned this function</li> </ul>			
24.	<i>Ref:</i> Std 624.55(2)(a)-(c) Complete separate Technical Records Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
25.	Complete separate Weight & Balance Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
26.	Complete separate Maintenance Planning Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
27.	Complete separate Maintenance Arrangements Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
28.	Complete separate Defect Control Procedures Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
29.	Complete separate Airworthiness Directive, Service Bulletin Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
30.	Complete separate Technical Dispatch	N/A 🗌	Not Checked	
31.	Complete separate Training & Elementary Work Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
32.	Complete separate Service Difficulty Reporting SDR Checklist	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
33.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
34.		N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	

Comments/Summary:

Items completed by:	Date:

File	501	5-
------	-----	----

5015- \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>P</b>								
PO	POC-03 Person Responsible of Maintenance							
1.	If the POC Holder is also the holder of an AMO certificate, is the PRM for the AMO and POC holder the same individual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<ul> <li>Where the POC Holder's fleet comprises four (4) or more aircraft, the PRM must not hold the responsibilities of the operations manager or chief pilot.</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>Does the company structure reflect this requirement?</li> </ul>							
2.	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.50(1) / Std 624.05(3) Has the PRM assigned any management functions for the control of maintenance to another person in the organization and has this assignment been fully described in the POC manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.51(2) / CAR Std 624.51(3)							
3.	Where the assigned function is to a person not reporting to the PRM is the identity of that person specified in the POC manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.51(3)(b)							
4.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
Con	nments/Summary:							

Items completed by:	Date:	

D	ิล	t	ρ	•
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	α	Ľ	-	

.\_\_\_\_\_

PO	C-04 Technical Records				
1.	<ul> <li>Is the location of records in accordance with the manual?</li> <li>Does the POC Holder maintain technical records in a dry secure area?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.51(2)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
2.	Does the POC Holder ensure that completed inspection packages are reviewed? <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 625.96(2)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked
3.	Are the maintenance check sheets used as described in the manual? <i>Ref:</i> Approved Maintenance Schedule	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
4.	<ul> <li>*Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the POC Holder's or the AMO's?</li> <li>Is this according to manual requirements?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
5.	Cross check completed check sheets with the aircraft log books, is there evidence of maintenance being completed prior to certifying the Technical Log entry? (sample). <i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.92(1)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
6.	Sample aircraft technical records (including Journey Log). Do the recorded actions meet regulatory requirements? For example: • certifications;	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

PO	OC-04 Technical Records			
	<ul> <li>time between checks;</li> <li>weight and balance report;</li> <li>inspections completed on time;</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.86 / CAR 605.96 / CAR 571 / CAR Std 571 Appendix C</li> </ul>			
7.	<ul> <li>Are established procedures being followed to record and control maintenance items? For example:</li> <li>scheduled maintenance and inspection;</li> <li>Ad's and special instructions;</li> <li>components;</li> <li>TBOs and life-limited times;</li> <li>calendar-time items;</li> <li>pitot-static and altimeter calibration checks;</li> <li>compass calibration;</li> <li>weight and balance</li> <li>independent control checks / CMR / critical maintenance tasks.</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.96 / CAR 571.10(4)</li> </ul>	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
8.	Are maintenance records N/A acceptable for completeness and final certification? (sample) <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 571.10(2) - (4) / CAR 605.92(1)	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
9.	Does the POC Holder transfer N/A information from the journey log to the permanent technical record in accordance to regulatory and MCM requirements? <i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.96(2)	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
10.		OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌

РО	C-04 Technical Records					
11.	Is the technical record (including journey log) a consecutive, unbroken history?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.93(4)					
12.	Does the use of job / segmented / task cards require the POC Holder to update a computer software program after completion?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>If so, is there any evidence of missed entries or incorrect times being input?</li> </ul>					
	Ref:					·
13.	<ul> <li>Is computer software used as a sole support technical history?</li> <li>If so, is there evidence of original entries being updated?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>Is the original entry protected?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.93(2) / CAR 103.4(a) / CAR Std 625.93(3)</li> </ul>					
14.	*Is the primary method for controlling maintenance tasks generated / tracked by computer?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<ul> <li>If so, it must be a secure system, (see technical records)?</li> </ul>					
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 103.04					
15.	Where computer maintenance software is used, is the ACA holder identified in the computerized record?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.93(1)(b)			· <u> </u>		
16.	Is there evidence of maintenance releases, which are issued "subject to satisfactory test flight" not having the corresponding final certification by the pilot-in-command?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std 571.10(4)					

РО	C-04 Technical Record	ds				
17.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
Con	nments/Summary:					
	innenis/Sunnary.					
Item	ns completed by:			Dat	e:	

PO	C-05 Weight & Balance				
1.	Is the person responsible for weight and balance control knowledgeable of their duties and responsibilities?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌
<u></u>		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
2.	Are any of the aircraft operated subject to the five-year re-weigh requirement? (sample)				
	Ref: CAR Std. 571 Appendix C, (8)				
3.	Are weight and balance reports carried on board each aircraft?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<ul> <li>Is there more than one report, which may be contradictory?</li> </ul>				
	Ref: Type Certificate				
4.	Is there evidence of changes being made to aircraft basic weight without amendments to the weight and balance report?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 571 Appendix C, (2)				
5.	Does each amendment to the weight and balance report include revision dates and revised equipment lists?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 571 Appendix C				
6.	Are empty weight and c of g calculations computed in the amended report?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std. 571 Appendix C				

POC-05 Weight & Balance							
7.	Has a maintenance release been signed for each change to the weight & balance report?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 571 Appendix C						
8.	Does each aircraft's weight & balance report demonstrate continuity back to the time the aircraft was weighed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.92 / CAR Std. 571 Appendix C						
9.	Do company personnel follow the approved procedures when moving from one aircraft configuration to another?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 571 Appendix C, (3)						
10.	For each aircraft configuration established, has a maintenance release been signed? (Note: the current configuration must be identified however a maintenance release is not required for the entry when changing from one configuration to another) <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 571 Appendix C	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
11.	Does the system of control ensure accurate weight and balance information is used by the pilot-in-command? <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 571 Appendix C	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
12.	<ul> <li>Does the weight and balance report / equipment list include all items specified in the aircraft type certificate?</li> <li>Does it also include additional equipment required / installed for the operation of the aircraft?</li> <li>Does it include any equipment installed as a result of an STC or modification?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std. 571 Appendix C, (1)(a)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		

PO	C-05 Weight & Balance					
13.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
!	, NGI.					i
Con	nments/Summary:					
Item	ns completed by:			Dat	е.	

	POC-06 Maintenance Planning							
Note: As maintenance planning is procedural specific to the POC Holder, a supplemental checklist may need to be developed from the approved manual in support of the audit.								
1.	Is the individual responsible for the program knowledgeable of the procedures described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref:							
2.	<ul> <li>Is the method or process used to monitor / schedule maintenance activities / repetitive AD's (computer / status system / other) being followed by the organization?</li> <li>Does this include supplemental maintenance items (such as STC's added after MS approval, floats &amp; skis etc., safety equipment)?</li> <li>Is the method used up-to-date and current?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
3.	Are the same method(s) used at all locations and sub bases?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	Ref: CAR Std 624.51(2)(c)							
4.	<ul> <li>Is the primary method for controlling maintenance tasks generated / tracked by computer?</li> <li>If so is it a secure system, (see technical records)?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding	Not Checked			
	Ref: CAR 103.04 / CAR 605.93(2)							
5.	*Is there operational or additional equipment that is not addressed in the maintenance schedule? (sample) <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 625.86	N/A 🗌	ок 📋	Finding	Not Checked			

POC-06 Maintenance Planning							
6.	Is there evidence that maintenance requirements have been missed or actioned late?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.51(2)(c)						
7.	<ul> <li>*Does the POC Holder remain aware of service information for aircraft operated?</li> <li>How is this actioned and is there evidence to indicate that it is being carried out?</li> <li>How does the POC Holder obtain information, for example via contract?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	*Repeated in maintenance control system <i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.55(1)						
8.	Are there service bulletins that were or should have been adopted / installed / integrated into the maintenance program? (sample)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std 625.86(6) / Std 624.55						
9.	Is the frequency and use of tolerances planned or excessive?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 625.86(8) / TP13094						
10.	Are maintenance releases made to facilitate the use of the tolerance prior to its use?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR Std 625.86(8)						
11.	<ul> <li>Is aircraft operating information (aircraft daily flight time / condition / status) relayed to the individual making decisions on maintenance requirements?</li> <li>Is this in accordance with the instruction / procedures</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
	specified in the manual?						

POC-06 Maintenance Planning							
	<ul> <li>Does this information include a requirement for - defects, MELs, special inspections, hard landings, lighting strikes, etc</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.53(2)</li> </ul>						
12.	Are procedures being followed to ensure that defects are rectified as soon as possible, but in no case later than the times established to ensure compliance with CAR requirements or the repair category of an MEL?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked		
13.	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.52 / CAR Std 624.52(2) Does the POC Holder maintain current inspection sheets as identified in the Maintenance Schedule?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)						
<b>}</b>	munication with Maintenance Cor		·····	······	·····		
14.	<ul> <li>Is the liaison between the POC Holder and the maintenance contractor effective?</li> <li>Is there any evidence that the communication process does not work?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref:						
15.	*Is the maintenance contractor consulted in the defect deferral process?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref:						
16.	Are maintenance requirements communicated to the AMO in accordance with manual requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.51(1)(g)						

						1		
POC-06 Maintenance Planning								
17.	<ul> <li>Are the appropriate forms and information supplied to the maintenance organization or are they to be reproduced by the AMO?</li> <li>Is this in accordance with the stated process?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
18.	Did AMO personnel use the latest check sheet (revision) during the last few inspections? <i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.86 / Approved Maintenance Schedule (TP13094)	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding []	Not Checked			
19.	Ref.	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
						·		
Con	nments/Summary:							
lterr	as completed by:			Dat	۵.			

PO	C-07 Maintenance Arran	gemen	ts		
1.	Does the manual state a policy for initiating or governing maintenance contracts? Note: not required if the AME is a direct employee <b>Ref: CAR 604.56(1)</b>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
2.	<ul> <li>Does the manual specify criteria, which must be identified or included in the maintenance contract?</li> <li>Does it include a description of contract administration and the scope of work?</li> <li>If the manual does not contain specific procedures, are maintenance contracts to be individually approved by TC?</li> <li>Does the contract also specify the conditions under which the maintenance is to be performed?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
3.	<ul> <li>How is the provision of emergency maintenance services addressed?</li> <li>Does the manual specify procedures for obtaining these services?</li> <li>If so, is the process followed?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.56(1) / CAR Std 604.56 Information note</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
4.	<ul> <li>Is a communication procedure included in the arrangement to advise maintenance contractors of planned or unscheduled maintenance requirements?</li> <li>Does the procedure identify responsibilities of the maintenance coordinator?</li> <li>*Does this include how AD requirements are to be communicated between the parties?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

PO	POC-07 Maintenance Arrangements					
5.	<ul> <li>Does the POC Holder provide direction specifying which maintenance instructions are to be used by the contractor when performing maintenance?</li> <li>Does the process include the provision / use of forms and for providing copies of the appropriate maintenance schedules?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
6.	Does the POC Holder review the work package to ensure that all tasks requested of the maintenance contractor have been accomplished?	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref. CAR 604.56(3)					
7.	Does the POC Holder provide a copy of their (approved) maintenance control system to the maintenance contractor?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
8.	For individual contracts, if	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<ul> <li>required,</li> <li>are all parties' responsibilities clearly defined in the manual and the contract?</li> <li>does the company follow the procedures for transmitting records between parties as established in the manual?</li> <li>are requirements for maintenance releases specified, which documents and what entries in which technical record?</li> <li>is a requirement for contractor feedback specified in the maintenance arrangement, i.e. problems, SDR information, evaluation program,?</li> </ul>					

РО	POC-07 Maintenance Arrangements					
	<ul> <li>does it include providing feedback information in support approved reliability program where required?</li> </ul>					
	Ref:					
9.	<ul> <li>Where the work is performed outside of Canada, has the person been approved by a manual approved under the Foreign States' requirements?</li> <li>Is a "maintenance specification" required for work that is routinely carried out in a Foreign State?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
10.	<ul> <li>Is the operator involved in a engine on condition maintenance program?</li> <li>Does the contract state the responsibilities and involvement of both parties in all or part of the reliability program?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
11.		N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
¦	Ref.					
Con	nments/Summary:					

D	а	te	,
ັ	α	LC	1

POC-08 Defect Procedures				
ording				
<ul> <li>Do the company record defects in accordance with the procedures specified in the manual?</li> <li>recorded in the proper record / log?</li> <li>are they identified appropriately and handled in accordance with stated procedures?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Ref: CAR 604.52 /(a) & (b) / CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR Std 624.52(2) / CAR 605.94(1)				
Does this recording procedure apply to defects found by flight crew during normal operations?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
<ul> <li>Does the method indicated conflict with regulatory requirements?</li> </ul>				
<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR 605.94(1)				
Are procedures being followed to ensure that defects detected during the performance of elementary work or servicing are recorded?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.52(1)				
Are defects found during maintenance recorded in accordance with procedures stated by the POC Holder or by the maintenance contractor?	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
Ref:				
Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the POC Holder's or the AMO's? Is this according to manual	N/A [_]		⊢ınaıng [_]	Not Checked 🗌
requirements? <i>Ref:</i>				
	Ording         Do the company record defects in accordance with the procedures specified in the manual?         • recorded in the proper record / log?         • are they identified appropriately and handled in accordance with stated procedures?         Ref: CAR 604.52 /(a) & (b) / CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR Std 624.52(2) / CAR 605.94(1)         Does this recording procedure apply to defects found by flight crew during normal operations?         • Does the method indicated conflict with regulatory requirements?         Ref: CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR 605.94(1)         Are procedures being followed to ensure that defects detected during the performance of elementary work or servicing are recorded?         Ref: CAR Std 624.52(1)         Are defects found during maintenance recorded in accordance with procedures stated by the POC Holder or by the maintenance contractor?         Ref:         Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the POC Holder's or the AMO's?         • Is this according to manual requirements?	Do the company record defects in accordance with the procedures specified in the manual?       N/A □         • recorded in the proper record / log?       • are they identified appropriately and handled in accordance with stated procedures?         Ref: CAR 604.52 /(a) & (b) / CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR Std 624.52(2) / CAR 605.94(1)       N/A □         Does this recording procedure apply to defects found by flight crew during normal operations?       N/A □         • Does the method indicated conflict with regulatory requirements?       N/A □         Ref: CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR 605.94(1)       N/A □         Are procedures being followed to ensure that defects detected during the performance of elementary work or servicing are recorded?       N/A □         Ref: CAR Std 624.52(1)       Are defects found during maintenance recorded in accordance with procedures stated by the POC Holder or by the maintenance contractor?       N/A □         Ref:       Supplemental worksheets used for recording and actioning defects discovered during maintenance activities, are they the POC Holder's or the AMO's?       N/A □         Is this according to manual requirements?       Is this according to manual requirements?       N/A □	Ording       Do the company record defects in accordance with the procedures specified in the manual?       OK          • recorded in the proper record / log?       • are they identified appropriately and handled in accordance with stated procedures?         Ref: CAR 604.52 /(a) & (b) / CAR Std 624.52(2) / CAR 605.94(1)       OK          Does this recording procedure apply to defects found by flight crew during normal operations?       N/A        OK          • Does the method indicated conflict with regulatory requirements?       N/A        OK        OK          Ref: CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR 605.94(1)       N/A        OK        OK        OK          Are procedures being followed to conflict with regulatory requirements?       N/A        OK        OK          Ref: CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR 605.94(1)       N/A        OK        OK        OK          Are procedures being followed to conflict with regulatory requirements?       N/A        OK        OK        OK          Ref: CAR Std 624.52(1) & (2) / CAR 605.94(1)       Are defects found during maintenance recorded in accordance with procedures stated by the POC Holder or by the maintenance contractor?       N/A        OK        M/A        OK        M/A        OK        M/A        OK        M/A        OK        M/A        M        M        M        M        M        M        M        M        M	ording         Do the company record defects in accordance with the procedures specified in the manual?       OK Finding Finding         • recorded in the proper record / log?       • recorded in the proper record / log?         • are they identified appropriately and handled in accordance with stated procedures?       • Procedures?         Ref: CAR 604.52 /(a) & (b) / CAR Std 624.52(1) / CAR 605.94(1)       • OK Finding Finding         Does this recording procedure apply to defects found by flight crew during normal operations?       • N/A OK Finding Finding         • Does the method indicated conflict with regulatory requirements?       • N/A OK Finding Finding         • Are procedures being followed to elementary work or servicing are recorded?       • N/A OK Finding Finding         Ref: CAR Std 624.52(1)       • OK OK Finding Findig Finding Finding Finding Findig Finding Finding Findig

РО	C-08 Defect Procedures				
6.	<ul> <li>Is there evidence of defects not being recorded:</li> <li>by the flight crew?</li> <li>while the aircraft is away from base?</li> <li>during maintenance?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
Def	erral				
7.	Does the POC manual provide procedures and instruction to ensure that a defective aircraft without an MEL can be assessed against requirements specified in CAR 605.10?	N/A 🗌	ОК□	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std 624.53(1)			·····	
8.	<ul> <li>How does the POC Holder determine defects suitability for deferral?</li> <li>Are technical / regulatory manuals and type certificate information available / consulted in the process?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.06(a)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
9.	Are defects assessed against mandatory or required operating equipment limitations (also includes Type Certificates Data Sheets and basis of certification)? <i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.09 / CAR 605.10 / CAR 605 General	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
10.	Are environmental factors and operational role of the aircraft considered in the defect's assessment? <i>Ref:</i> CAR 602 Division II /CAR 605.06(b)	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked

РО	C-08 Defect Procedures				
11.	Is the maintenance contractor consulted in the deferral process?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref:				
12.	Are deferrals entered in the journey log or other recording system in accordance with stated procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.52(a) & (b) / CAR Std 624.52(1)				
13.	Are procedures for placarding deferred defects being followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.10(2)(b) / CAR Std 625.08				
14.	Are personnel that defer authorized?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.10(2)(c)				
Rec	urring Defect				
15.	Is there any evidence indicating recurring defects are not being addressed according to stated procedures?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.52(b) / CAR Std 624.52(1)				
16.	<ul> <li>Does the POC Holder's maintenance system recognize recurring defects?</li> <li>Does the defect system highlight defects that recur or identify / provide information to the to the flight crew and maintenance contractor?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.52(c) / CAR Std 624.52(3)				

РО	C-08 Defect Procedures	5				
17.	Do the POC Holder's criteria, for classifying recurring defects, meet regulatory requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.52(4)					
Cor	itrol					ہــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
18.	<ul> <li>Troubleshooting, part removal.</li> <li>Does the manual indicate how a part removed for troubleshooting purposes must be handled?</li> <li>If so, does the company follow procedures for storage, time limits and maintenance release requirements?</li> <li>parts removed from another aircraft for troubleshooting?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i></li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
19.		N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	Ref.					
Con	nments/Summary:					
· · · · · · · · · ·						
Item	ns completed by:			Dat	e:	

CAR 604 Private Operator Requirements	5
Company:	

Date:

PO	POC-09 Airworthiness Directive, Service Bulletin					
1.	Does the POC Holder have all Airworthiness Directives applicable to their fleet?	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std.593 Appendix B (2)(a)					
2.	Does the POC Holder ensure timely action of Airworthiness Directives?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
3.	<ul> <li>Are the details, of maintenance actions used during the accomplishment of Airworthiness Directives, recorded in the appropriate technical record?</li> <li>For Service Bulletins?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	CAR Std 571.10(4) / CAR Std 625 Appendix H (1)(b)					
4.	<ul> <li>Does the POC Holder have direct access to Service Bulletin and other technical information applicable to their fleet?</li> <li>If access is by way of a contract, is the access adequate? (sample, have POC Holder demonstrate)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	OK 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
5.	<ul> <li>Is there evidence that the POC Holder has reviewed and evaluated Service Bulletins and other technical information for applicability to their fleet?</li> <li>Is there evidence of a maintenance specialist being involved in the decision process?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref: CAR Std. 624.55(1) & (2) / ANB055					

РО	C-09 Airworthiness Dire	ective, Service Bulletin		
6.	<ul> <li>Is the implementation of AD / SB or other technical information actioned directly from the manufacturers technical information or is the process re written into task cards or action sheets?</li> <li>If re written, is there a control process or review mechanism in place, which ensures the accuracy of the information?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 625 Appendix D (3)(vi)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌 OK 🔲 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌		
7.		N/A 🗌 OK 🗌 Finding 🗌 Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref.			
Comments/Summary:				
• • • • • • • • •				
Iten	ns completed by:	Date:		

POC-10 Technical Dispatch Procedures						
1.	Are maintenance entries attesting to serviceability and continuation of safe operation made each time a tolerance is used?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 625.86(8)					
2.	Have authorized personnel issued the tolerance in accordance with the manual requirements?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
3.	Is there any evidence to suggest that Airworthiness Directives are also given the tolerance?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked	
	<i>Ref:</i> Std 625.86(8) / CAR 605.84(10)(b)					
4.	Does tolerance use conflict with the requirements outlined in the respective maintenance schedules?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 625.86 Appendix C	·····	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	
5.	Is there any evidence to suggest that the pilot-in-command is given adequate notification of the next scheduled maintenance activity? (particular attention to operations from remote locations)	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding	Not Checked 🗌	
	Ref:					
6.	<ul> <li>Do the procedures ensure that aircraft are not operated in an unairworthy condition?</li> <li>Do they ensure that the aircraft equipped and configured for the intended flight?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.54					

РО	C-10 Technical Dispatch	n Proce	dures		
7.	How is the PRM advised of the status while aircraft are away from base?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref:			· <u> </u>	
8.	Are environmental factors and operational role of the aircraft considered in the dispatch of an aircraft with a defect?	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 602 Division II				
9.	<ul> <li>Does the POC Holders technical dispatch system remain effective for aircraft outside of its main base location?</li> <li>Is the procedure followed for returning the aircraft to service when aircraft become unserviceable away from base?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref: CAR Std 624.53(2)	······ <u>·</u> ···	<u></u>	<u></u>	
10.	Are procedures followed for transmitting maintenance information to aircraft operating away from the base? <i>Ref:</i>	N/A 🗌	ОК	Finding 🗌	Not Checked
11.	Is deferred maintenance taken into consideration when dispatching aircraft?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	Ref:				
12.	For aircraft that have additional (dual) flight authorities, are procedures followed for invoking the applicable flight authority?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 507.08				

POC-10 Technical Dispa	atch Procedures		
13. Are maintenance entries regarding aircraft weight and balance status recorded in the journey log?	N/A 🗌 OK 🗌	Finding 🗌 Not (	Checked 🗌
<i>Ref:</i> CAR 605.94(1) / CAR Std 571 Appendix C			
14.	N/A 🗌 OK 🗌	Finding 🗌 Not (	Checked 🗌
Ref.			
Comments/Summary:			
Items completed by:		Date:	

PO	POC-11 Training & Elementary Work								
1.	<ul> <li>Is there evidence to support the training of staff to perform elementary work?</li> <li>Is the person that performed the initial training an AME or certified training organization under CAR566?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌 O	K 📋 Finding 🗋	Not Checked 🗌					
2.	Does the POC Holder authorize	N/A 🗌 🛛 O	K 🗌 Finding 🗌	Not Checked					
1 1 1 1	pilots to perform elementary work?								
	<ul> <li>If so, have individuals performing the work, been authorized to do so according to procedures specified in the POC Manual?</li> </ul>								
3.	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.57 Does the training program for	N/A 🗌	Not Checked 🗌						
0.	pilots include the following: Refuelling Procedures								
, , , ,	a) types of fuel, oil and fluids								
         	used in the aeroplane; b) correct refuelling procedures;								
	c) procedures for checking fuel, oil and fluids and proper securing of caps;								
	<ul> <li>d) Use of tow bars and maximum nose wheel deflection when towing.</li> </ul>								
	e) Seasonal use of the parking brake.								
	<ul> <li>f) Installation of protective covers on the aeroplane.</li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>g) Procedures for operating in cold weather such as:</li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>h) moving the aeroplane out of a warm hangar when precipitation is present;</li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>i) procedures for applying de-icing and anti-icing fluids for the aeroplane type including critical flight control post application inspections; and</li> </ul>								

РО	C-11 Training & Elementary Work
	<ul> <li>j) engine and cabin pre-heating procedures, including proper use of related equipment.</li> </ul>
1 1 1	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.57(1)
4.	<ul> <li>The POC Holder's maintenance N/A OK Finding Not Checked training must ensure personnel trained are familiar with the regulations, standards and private POC Holder procedures associated. Does the training program include: <ul> <li>a) initial training to ensure that persons authorized to perform or request the performance of maintenance, elementary work or servicing are aware of the regulations, standards and private POC Holder procedures associated with that work</li> <li>b) update training to ensure that personnel remain competent and are made aware of any changes to those regulations, standards and private POC Holder procedures</li> <li>c) training made in respect of the Regulations, standards and private POC Holder procedures.</li> </ul> </li> <li>c) training made in respect of the Regulations, standards and private procedures.</li> <li>d) the standards and private</li> <li>for the regulations, standards and private procedures.</li> <li>d) the standards and private</li> <li>for the regulations, standards and private procedures.</li> <li>d) the standards private</li> <li>for the regulations, standards and private procedures.</li> <li>d) the standards applicable to servicing of the aircraft</li> </ul>
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.57(2)(a)-(d)
5.	Are personnel and training N/A OK Finding Not Checked records kept in accordance with procedures stated in the POC Holder's manual?
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.49(1)(m)

РО	C-11 Training & Elemen	tary Wo	ork			
6.	<ul> <li>The initial cycle for update training is three (3) years, does the POC Holders initial cycle meet this requirement?</li> <li>Has the cycle been modified and is there documented rationale to support the change? (Note: where maintenance is contracted to an AMO, the AMO's training program will meet the requirement for persons performing elementary work and servicing. This only applies to the AMO's staff).</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding	Not Checked	
7.	<ul> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.57(2)</li> <li>Where appropriate, has the POC Holder's staff been trained to perform ('M") items specified in a MEL?</li> <li>If so, is there a record of training and authorization available?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.57(2)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
8.	<ul> <li>Are Standards, other than the manufacturers, used in the performance of elementary work, servicing?</li> <li>Are these specified in the manual?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
9.	Do persons performing elementary work or servicing comply with the manual procedures for recording work performed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.49(1)(f)					

РО	C-11 Training & Elemen	tary Wo	ork			
10.	<ul> <li>Where the POC Holder performs elementary work or servicing is the equipment and tools necessary to comply with maintenance performance rules available?</li> <li>Do the flight crews have access while away from base?</li> <li><i>Ref:</i> CAR Std 624.51(2)(d)</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
11.	<ul> <li>Are parts and materials used in the performance acquisitioned per manual procedures?</li> <li>Are parts from a parts pooling arrangement used?</li> <li>Is this described in the manual?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
12. Con	nments/Summary:	N/A 🗌	ок 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌	
ltor	e completed by:				o.	
Item	ns completed by:			Dat	e:	

PO	POC-12 Service Difficulty Reporting SDR						
1.	Are other personnel knowledgeable about the procedures to be followed?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 604.53 / CAR Std 591.01						
2.	Do company personnel have a clear understanding of what a service difficulty is?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.53 / CAR Std 591.01						
3.	<ul> <li>Does the POC Holder submit service difficulty reports (SDRs) as described in the manual?</li> <li>each time the same or a similar defect is encountered?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.53 / CAR Std 591.01						
4.	<ul> <li>Sample defect entries / maintenance worksheets, are service difficulties being reported?</li> <li>Is there evidence that the POC Holder is not filing Service Difficulty Reports?</li> </ul>	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	Ref: CAR 604.53 / CAR Std 591.01						
5.	Does the POC Holder report unapproved parts via the SDR system?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
6.	<i>Ref:</i> Std 591.01 Appendix D Are the reports forwarded within the time frames established in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌		
	<i>Ref:</i> Std. 591.01						

PO	POC-12 Service Difficulty Reporting SDR							
7.	Are SDR records maintained as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked 🗌			
	<i>Ref:</i> Std. 591.01							
8.	Is the POC Holder's responsibility for the SDRs, which are discovered by the maintenance contractor, covered in the manual or specified in the maintenance contract? • Are these requirements met? <i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.53 / CAR Std 591.01	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
9.	Are all data sources feeding the SDR system functioning as described in the manual?	N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
	<i>Ref:</i> CAR 604.53 / CAR Std 591.01							
10.		N/A 🗌	ОК 🗌	Finding 🗌	Not Checked			
1 1 1 1	Ref.							
Con	nments/Summary:							
Item	Items completed by: Date:							