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16. Abstract

This survey investigates several characteristics of the hours that aircraft maintenance engineers (AMEs) work, as well as AME fatigue and the strategies used to obtain sleep and maintain performance. Questionnaires were sent to 5000 AMEs throughout Canada, and 1209 were returned, completed. Twelve AMEs from various types of operations were interviewed to augment the data from the questionnaires. The main findings include:

- AMEs are working, on average, over 50 hours per week, when overtime is included;
- Many are extending 12 -hour shifts, or working additional 12-hour shifts on days off; others are working 5 or more days of 10-hour shifts in a row;
- Many are working for long periods with very few days off for recovery;
- Some are working long shifts, back-to-back, with under 8 hours between for rest;
- A significant number of AMEs are working during days off, either doing overtime for a single employer, or doing additional shifts for another employer;
- Between 8 and 10 percent of AMEs in the major airlines, air taxi and rotary (helicopter) operations are working their longest reported shifts (a mean of 21 to 25 hours) more than 4 times per month;
- Fifty percent of the AMEs reported that overtime worked during the night shift had a strong negative effect on their work performance (another 30 percent reported a weak negative effect); and
- Planned naps are common in field operations, while opportunistic naps are often taken in hangar-based facilities.
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16. Résumé

Cette étude porte sur les heures de travail des techniciens d'entretien d'aéronefs (TEA), sur la fatigue des TEA et sur les stratégies qu'ils utilisent pour dormir suffisamment afin de ne pas compromettre leur rendement. Des questionnaires ont été envoyés à 5000 TEA partout au Canada. De ce nombre, 1209 ont été retournés remplis. Pour compléter les données recueillies par les questionnaires, les chercheurs ont réalisé des entrevues avec douze TEA travaillant dans divers types d'exploitation. Voici un aperçu des résultats de l'enquête :

- en moyenne, les TEA travaillent plus de 50 heures par semaine, heures supplémentaires comprises;
- beaucoup font des heures supplémentaires en plus de leur quart de 12 heures, ou effectuent des quarts de 12 heures supplémentaires pendant leurs jours de congé; d'autres font des quarts de 10 heures pendant cinq jours consécutifs ou plus;
- beaucoup travaillent pendant de longs cycles et ont très peu de jours de congé pour récupérer;
- certains effectuent de longs quarts de travail, séparés par à peine 8 heures de repos;
- un grand nombre de TEA travaillent pendant leurs jours de congé, soit qu'ils fassent des heures supplémentaires pour leur employeur habituel, soit qu'ils effectuent des quarts supplémentaires pour un autre employeur;
- de 8 à 10 p . cent des TEA à l'emploi de grandes compagnies aériennes, d'exploitants de taxis aériens et d'exploitants d'hélicoptères effectuent leur plus longue période ininterrompue de travail (de 21 à 25 heures en moyenne) plus de quatre fois par mois;
- 50 p . cent des TEA ont déclaré que les heures supplémentaires ajoutées à un quart de nuit nuisent grandement à leur rendement au travail (un autre 30 p . cent a fait état d'un léger effet négatif);
- les siestes planifiées sont pratique courante lors des opérations menées sur le terrain; lorsque le travail est exécuté dans le hangar, les TEA s'accordent souvent des pauses pour dormir.
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## Executive Summary

## Background

Over the past decade several fatigue studies focusing on aviation have been conducted. These include a series of NASA studies on commercial pilots (Gander et al., 1998a and 1998b), as well as research on air traffic controllers (Rhodes et al., 1994 and 1996; Luna et al., 1997) and aviation maintenance technicians (Sian \& Watson, 1999; Johnson et al., 2001). This research was initiated as a result of the recognition that many accidents attributed to human error were caused by fatigue-related performance deficits. The National Transportation Safety Board, the Canadian Aviation Safety Board (now the aviation division of the Transportation Safety Board of Canada), the U.S. Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) and the U.K. Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) have acknowledged that fatigue in aviation is a serious and pervasive problem, and must be better understood. These organizations have frequently recommended research on fatigue in aviation. The FAA in particular has undertaken several research efforts examining fatigue and its effects on aviation maintenance technicians (Watson, 1999).

In 1996 the Safety of Air Taxi Operations (SATOPS) task force recommended that Transport Canada "...initiate a Canadian Aviation Regulation Advisory Council (CARAC) review to determine if AME duty times should be regulated, and if so, determine appropriate limitations". This study was commissioned as a direct result of the SATOPs task force and represents the initial step in addressing the issue of fatigue in the aircraft maintenance environment.

The approach taken borrows from some of the FAA's work, but is tailored for the work environment of Canadian aircraft maintenance engineers (AMEs). The study focuses on the extent of hours of work, the impact of these hours on potential and reported levels of fatigue, and the amount of sleep obtained by AMEs. The study was conducted using a questionnaire and interviews.

## Methodology

Transport Canada mailed the questionnaire, along with an envelope addressed to the contractor's business address, to 5000 AMEs. This approach ensured the complete confidentiality of the AMEs' information, a necessary component to guarantee reliable, valid data. Questionnaires were completed and returned by 1209 AMEs, and 12 AMEs were interviewed. The information on the questionnaires was entered into an Excel data file, and the analysis was performed using the Number Crunchers Statistical (NCSS) 2001 statistical package. The analysis was limited to basic descriptive and comparative statistical treatments.

## Results

The main findings of the research are as follows:

- AMEs are working, on average, over 50 hours per week, when overtime is included;
- Many are extending 12-hour shifts, or working additional 12-hour shifts on days off; others are working 5 or more days in a row of 10 -hour shifts;
- Many are working for long periods with very few days off for recovery;
- Some are working long shifts, back-to-back, with under 8 hours between for rest;
- A significant number of AMEs are working during days off, either doing overtime for a single employer, or doing additional shifts for another employer;
- AMEs working for rotary (helicopter) and air taxi services are working the highest number of hours;
- Airline and rotary AMEs work the most overtime;
- The highest levels of fatigue are reported by AMEs working for airlines, probably because of the high percentage of night work and long shifts;
- AMEs working shifts reported the highest levels of fatigue;
- The most continuous hours of work are reported by AMEs working for rotary operations;
- AMEs working on demand work more hours than those working shifts or standard days;
- Salaried AMEs work more hours than those paid by the hour;
- The longest shifts were reported by AMEs at rotary and charter operations;
- Between 8 and 10 percent of AMEs in the major airlines, air taxi and rotary operations are working their longest reported shifts (a mean of 21 to 25 hours) more than 4 times per month;
- Fifty percent of the AMEs reported that overtime worked during the night shift had a strong negative effect on their work performance (another 30 percent reported a weak negative effect);
- Between 25 and 38 percent (the highest percentages for all facilities) of the AMEs at the airlines (major, regional and charter) reported that they had nodded off at the wheel;
- Between 9 and 12 percent of AMEs at the major and regional airlines reported that they had actually fallen asleep at the wheel;
- Planned napping as a strategy to maintain alertness is common in rotary field operations, and almost non-existent in most other operations;
- Unplanned naps are often taken at major airlines and general aviation facilities;
- Most AMEs reported that they are obtaining 8 hours of sleep on days off, 7 hours of sleep prior to day and evening shifts, and 6 hours prior to night shifts; and
- The majority of AMEs modify their sleep patterns on their days off following night shifts.


## Conclusions

The results of the questionnaire and interview analysis show that AMEs are generally working more than the standard 40-hour week. Most are working, on average, 48 hours per week, and often work demands push this as high as 70 hours during peak times, for operations such as rotary, stand alone and taxi services. AMEs at rotary operations worked the longest hours over all, an average of 141 hours for each 14-day period. This translates into over 70 hours per week. A substantial number of those were beyond this amount and some even reported working almost every day of the year.

Most of the AMEs reported that they obtained adequate sleep (ranging from 6 to 8 hours) on workdays. Sleep prior to a night shift was less than obtained at night, and was probably barely enough. AMEs in rotary operations were sometimes able to get some sleep when they had finished cleaning and repairing equipment at the base, doing their paperwork and attending to other chores. This sleep wasn't always restful, and sometimes would be obtained in a noisy camp. Sleep at night, after getting the aircraft ready to fly in the morning, would be short since the aircraft had to be ready to fly at daybreak. Since many rotary operations are flying north of 60 , the amount of daylight during the peak season, summer, would be considerable. Many AMEs work 10 to 12 hour shifts that rotate such that one cycle is a string of nights or days, and the next one is the opposite (days or nights). These AMEs then have the same number of days off between each cycle for rest. This may be 4 days on, 4 days off, 5 days on, 5 days off, or 7 days on, 7 days off, for example. This kind of work structure includes several nights in a row and can lead to a building sleep debt if sleep during the day is inadequate. Interview data showed that AMEs who could obtain close to the "natural" amount of sleep they need to function well managed better than those who could not get enough day-time sleep.
Generally, AMEs reported that work performance is degraded on extended shifts and nights. Over 30 percent indicated that their performance was seriously affected when having to work overtime, particularly on night shifts. This is a common response from personnel working shifts and irregular hours. It is clear, also, that long shifts combined with many consecutive nights affect AME performance, e.g., those doing shift-based or on-demand work face the highest incidence of falling asleep or nodding off while driving.
Napping is allowed by rotary operations, where more opportunities for naps occur, and the long workdays, with much of the work occurring at night, can lead to fatigue and poor performance. The AMEs in rotary operations have found that getting sleep when possible is critical for maintaining performance during their irregular work hours. Many AMEs in operations where napping is not allowed report that, in fact, they do nap. This indicates that AMEs in such operations generally sustain a certain level of sleep deprivation. It is likely that several factors are involved, including overtime levels, early morning starts, many nights worked in a row, and work hours outside their main job. For AMEs, caffeine is the strategy
of choice for coping with fatigue. Exercise, napping and diet are the second, third and fourth most commonly used countermeasures.

The majority of AMEs working shifts and on-demand hours modify their sleep on days off and maintain different sleep patterns from those followed on work days. Only those working a standard day shift do not modify their sleep on days off. That means that most of those working nights go back to sleeping at night when they have days off. This precludes any beneficial shift in circadian rhythm, resulting in a certain amount of circadian disrhythmia. Those AMEs interviewed acknowledged that if they slept too long during the day immediately following their last midnight shift, they were unable to sleep that night, and were somewhat "out of sorts" for the first two days of their days off. However, if they slept for only a couple of hours on the first day off and got a good sleep that night, the slight feelings of disorientation were effectively reduced.

During extended shifts or shifts in poor weather, cold or heat, the majority of AMEs reported that they were very fatigued by the end of a shift. About 10 percent of the AMEs indicated that they found it hard to stay awake after an extended night shift. This is in sharp contrast to the ratings AMEs gave for standard shifts, where the majority of AMEs were mostly alert or wide awake. Most AMEs also reported that they are tired at the end of midnight shifts, while another 20 percent reported that they are very tired at the end of their night shift.

## Future Options

The following options are suggested to help alleviate the fatigue issues indicated by the results of the survey.

- Assessment of AME tasks that are susceptible to fatigue in all types of work environments;
- Development of fatigue management program (FMP) guidelines for AMEs, tailored for the different types of operations; and
- A trial of the FMP guidelines, using the evaluative component to ascertain its effectiveness.

Each of the FMP guidelines should consist of the following components:

- FMP planning guidelines;
- Educational components for AMEs, Supervisors and Managers, and Trainers;
- Guidelines for schedule development;
- Evaluation component (pre-post questionnaires, interviews, measurement of relevant statistics); and
- Duty-time requirements
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## Sommaire

## Contexte

Au cours de la dernière décennie, plusieurs études sur la fatigue dans le monde de l'aviation ont été réalisées. Mentionnons une série d'études menées par la NASA sur les pilotes professionnels (Gander et coll., 1998a et 1998b), de même que des recherches sur les contrôleurs de la circulation aérienne (Rhodes et coll., 1994 et 1996; Luna et coll., 1997) et sur les techniciens d'entretien d'aéronefs (Sian \& Watson, 1999; Johnson et coll., 2001). La présente étude a été lancée après qu'il eut été constaté que nombre des accidents imputés à une erreur humaine sont causés par une baisse de rendement attribuable à la fatigue. Le National Transportation Safety Board des États-Unis, le Bureau canadien de la sécurité aérienne (devenu la division de l'aviation du Bureau de la sécurité des transports du Canada), la Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) des États-Unis et la Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) du Royaume-Uni reconnaissent la gravité et l'omniprésence du problème de la fatigue en aviation, et l'importance de mieux le cerner. Ils ont d'ailleurs souvent été à l'origine de recherches dans ce secteur. La FAA, notamment, a effectué plusieurs études sur la fatigue et ses effets sur les techniciens d'entretien d'aéronefs (Watson, 1999).

En 1996, le Groupe de travail chargé de l'examen de la sécurité de l'exploitation d'un taxi aérien (SATOPS) faisait la recommandation suivante : «Transports Canada devrait demander au Conseil consultatif sur la réglementation aérienne canadienne (CCRAC) d'entreprendre un examen visant à déterminer s'il faut réglementer les heures de service des TEA, et si tel est le cas, de déterminer les limites appropriées». La présente étude est le résultat direct des travaux du groupe SATOP et constitue une première étape dans l'étude de la question de la fatigue dans le secteur de l'entretien des aéronefs.

La démarche empruntée, bien qu'elle s'inspire des travaux de la FAA, a été spécialement adaptée à l'environnement de travail des techniciens d'entretien d'aéronefs canadiens. L'étude porte sur la durée des périodes de travail, l'effet de cette durée sur le degré de fatigue potentiel et le degré de fatigue déclaré, et sur la quantité de sommeil prise par les TEA. Des questionnaires et des entrevues ont servi à recueillir l'information.

## Méthodologie

Transports Canada s'est chargé de poster le questionnaire à 5000 TEA. Celui-ci était accompagné d'une enveloppe réponse portant l'adresse du contractant. Cette démarche garantissait l'entière confidentialité des renseignements fournis par les TEA, un ingrédient essentiel à des données fiables et valides. Les questionnaires ont été remplis et retournés par 1209 TEA et 12 TEA ont été rencontrés en entrevue. Les renseignements figurant sur les questionnaires ont été entrés dans un fichier de données Excel et l'analyse a été exécutée à l'aide du programme statistique NCSS (Number Cruncher Statistical Software) 2001. L'analyse s'est limitée à des traitements descriptifs et comparatifs de base.

## Résultats

Voici les principaux résultats qui sont ressortis de l'enquête :

- en moyenne, les TEA travaillent plus de 50 heures par semaine, heures supplémentaires comprises;
- beaucoup prolongent leur quart au-delà de 12 heures, ou font des quarts de 12 heures supplémentaires pendant leurs jours de congé; d'autres font cinq jours consécutifs ou plus de quarts de 10 heures;
- beaucoup travaillent pendant de longs cycles et ont très peu de jours de congé pour récupérer;
- certains effectuent de longs quarts de travail, séparés par à peine 8 heures de repos;
- un grand nombre de TEA travaillent pendant leurs jours de congé, soit qu'ils fassent des heures supplémentaires pour leur employeur habituel, soit qu'ils effectuent des quarts de travail supplémentaires pour un autre employeur;
- les TEA à l'emploi des exploitants d'hélicoptères et de taxis aériens sont ceux qui font les plus longues heures;
- les TEA à l'emploi des compagnies aériennes et des exploitants d'hélicoptères sont ceux qui font le plus d'heures supplémentaires;
- les TEA au service des compagnies aériennes déclarent les degrés de fatigue les plus élevés, probablement en raison du fort pourcentage de travail de nuit et des longs quarts de travail qui caractérisent ce secteur;
- les TEA qui travaillent par quarts déclarent les degrés de fatigue les plus élevés;
- les TEA au service d'exploitants d'hélicoptères déclarent les plus longues heures de travail ininterrompu;
- les TEA qui travaillent «à la demande» effectuent davantage d'heures que ceux qui travaillent par quarts ou selon un horaire de jour ordinaire;
- les TEA salariés travaillent davantage d'heures que ceux qui sont payés à l'heure;
- les quarts les plus longs sont déclarés par les TEA à l'emploi des exploitants d'hélicoptères et des compagnies de vols nolisés;
- de 8 à 10 p . cent des TEA à l'emploi des grandes lignes aériennes, des compagnies de taxi aérien et des exploitants d'hélicoptères effectuent leur plus longue période de travail (de 21 à 25 heures en moyenne) plus de quatre fois par mois;
- 50 p . cent des TEA ont déclaré que les heures supplémentaires ajoutées à un quart de nuit nuisent grandement à leur rendement au travail (un autre 30 p . cent a fait état d'un léger effet négatif);
- c'est chez les compagnies aériennes (tous types confondus : grandes compagnies, transporteurs régionaux et exploitants de vols nolisés) que les plus forts pourcentages ( 25 à 38 p . cent) de TEA ont déclaré avoir «cogné des clous» au volant;
- de 9 à 12 p . cent des TEA des grandes compagnies aériennes et des transporteurs régionaux ont déclaré qu'ils étaient déjà tombés endormis au volant de leur véhicule;
- les siestes planifiées sont une stratégie courante de maintien de la vigilance lors des opérations d'entretien d'hélicoptères sur le terrain; elles sont toutefois quasi inexistantes dans la plupart des autres types d'activités;
- les TEA à l'emploi des grandes compagnies aériennes et des compagnies d'aviation générale prennent souvent des siestes non planifiées;
- la plupart des TEA ont déclaré prendre 8 heures de sommeil pendant leurs jours de congé, 7 heures avant les quarts de jour et de soir, et 6 heures avant les quarts de nuit;
- la majorité des TEA modifient leurs habitudes de sommeil pendant les jours de congé qui suivent les quarts de nuit.


## Conclusions

L'analyse des données recueillies grâce au questionnaire et aux entrevues a révélé que les TEA travaillent généralement plus que la semaine normale de 40 heures. Ils travaillent en moyenne 48 heures par semaine, quand l'ampleur de la tâche, en période de pointe, n'allonge pas leur semaine de travail jusqu'à 70 heures, dans le cas des TEA à l'emploi d'exploitants d'hélicoptères ou de taxis aériens, ou des TEA autonomes. Dans l'ensemble, ce sont les TEA au service d'exploitants d'hélicoptères qui travaillent les plus longues heures, soit une moyenne de 141 heures par période de 14 jours. Cela veut dire plus de 70 heures par semaine. Un nombre important de TEA travaillent encore plus d'heures et certains ont déclaré travailler presque tous les jours de l'année.

La plupart des TEA ont déclaré dormir suffisamment (de 6 à 8 heures) pendant leur cycle de travail. Ils dorment moins, et probablement pas assez, avant d'entreprendre un quart de nuit, en tout cas moins que s'ils avaient la nuit pour dormir. Les TEA qui travaillent à l'entretien d'hélicoptères ont parfois la chance de dormir un peu, après avoir fini de nettoyer et de réparer les appareils, et s'être acquittés d'autres tâches, comme remplir les registres et autres formulaires. Mais ce sommeil n'est pas toujours réparateur, surtout lorsqu'il doit être pris dans un baraquement bruyant. Et lorsqu'ils peuvent dormir la nuit, une fois l'aéronef prêt à voler le lendemain matin, ils ne leur reste pas beaucoup de temps de sommeil jusqu'au décollage de l'aéronef, à l'aube. Comme beaucoup de missions d'hélicoptères ont lieu au nord du $60^{\mathrm{e}}$ parallèle, les jours sont très longs en saison de pointe, l'été. Ainsi, de nombreux TEA effectuent des quarts de10 à 12 heures agencés de façon telle qu'ils travaillent de nuit ou de jour pendant tout un cycle, et l'inverse pendant le cycle suivant. Entre deux cycles, ils ont un nombre de jours de repos équivalant au nombre de jours travaillés : 4 jours de travail, 4 jours de congé, 5 jours de travail, 5 jours de congé, ou 7 jours de travail, 7 jours de congé,
par exemple. Ce type d'horaire comprend plusieurs nuits de travail de suite et risque d'engendrer un déficit de sommeil chez les TEA qui ne parviennent pas à dormir suffisamment le jour. Les données recueillies en entrevue ont révélé que les TEA qui parvenaient à obtenir la quantité de sommeil dont ils ont «naturellement» besoin pour bien fonctionner s'en sortaient mieux que ceux qui ne réussissaient pas à dormir suffisamment pendant le jour.

Règle générale, les TEA ont signalé une baisse de leur rendement au travail lors de quarts de travail prolongés et de quarts de nuit. Plus de 30 p . cent ont même révélé que leur rendement était fortement perturbé lorsqu'ils devaient faire des heures supplémentaires, surtout immédiatement après un quart de nuit. Cette réponse est courante de la part des personnes qui travaillent par quarts et selon des horaires irréguliers. De plus, il n'y a pas de doute que de longs quarts de travail, répétés plusieurs nuits de suite, altèrent le rendement des TEA. Ainsi, ce sont ceux qui travaillent par quarts ou à la demande à qui il arrive le plus souvent de s'endormir ou de cogner des clous au volant.

Les TEA qui travaillent à l'entretien d'hélicoptères ont relativement plus d'occasions de prendre des siestes, occasions qu'ils ont tout avantage à saisir, puisque leurs périodes de travail sont longues et qu'ils travaillent la plupart du temps la nuit, conditions susceptibles d'engendrer fatigue et baisse de rendement. Ces TEA ont eux-mêmes constaté qu'il est très important de dormir lorsqu'on le peut, afin d'effectuer un bon travail, malgré des horaires de travail irréguliers. Beaucoup de TEA dont les employeurs interdisent les siestes déclarent qu'ils prennent quand même des pauses pour dormir. Il faut comprendre que les TEA à l'emploi d'exploitants d'hélicoptères se trouvent généralement obligés de composer avec un manque de sommeil. Plusieurs facteurs sont probablement en cause, dont les heures supplémentaires, le fait de commencer à travailler tôt le matin, les nombreuses nuits de travail consécutives, et le travail effectué en marge de l'emploi principal. Pour les TEA, la caféine est une stratégie de choix pour lutter contre la fatigue. L'exercice, les siestes et le régime alimentaire viennent respectivement au deuxième, troisième et quatrième rang des contre-mesures les plus courantes à la fatigue.

La majorité des TEA qui travaillent par quarts et «à la demande» ont des habitudes de sommeil différentes selon qu'ils travaillent ou qu'ils sont en congé. Seuls ceux qui travaillent de jour gardent les mêmes habitudes de sommeil, qu'ils travaillent ou pas. Ainsi, la plupart des travailleurs de nuit recommencent à dormir la nuit lorsqu'ils sont en congé. Ils empêchent de la sorte leur rythme circadien de s'adapter à l'horaire de nuit, et se trouvent ainsi à le contrarier, jusqu'à un certain point. Les TEA rencontrés en entrevue ont admis que s'ils dormaient trop longtemps pendant la journée suivant immédiatement leur dernier quart de nuit, ils étaient incapables de dormir la nuit suivante et se trouvaient en quelque sorte «mal en train» pendant leurs deux premiers jours de congé. Mais s'ils dormaient seulement quelques heures pendant leur premier jour de congé pour pouvoir bien dormir la nuit suivante, ils se sentaient mieux par la suite.

La plupart des TEA ont déclaré être très fatigués à la fin d'un quart de travail prolongé ou d'un quart effectué dans des conditions météorologiques difficiles, ou dans une chaleur ou un froid intense. Environ 10 p. cent ont indiqué qu'ils trouvaient difficile de rester réveillés après un quart de nuit prolongé. Cela contraste vivement avec les réponses données au sujet des quarts de jour ordinaires, pendant lesquels la plupart des TEA se sentaient vigilants ou
bien réveillés. La plupart des TEA ont également souligné qu'ils sont fatigués, et 20 p. cent, qu'ils sont très fatigués, après un quart de nuit.

## Axes d'intervention future

Il est recommandé de prendre les mesures ci-après afin d'atténuer le problème de la fatigue chez les TEA.

- Évaluer les tâches des TEA susceptibles d'engendrer de la fatigue dans tous les types d'environnements de travail.
- Mettre au point un programme de gestion de la fatigue (PGF) à l'intention des TEA, adapté aux différents environnements de travail.
- Mettre à l'essai le PGF en utilisant le module évaluation pour en évaluer l'efficacité.

Chaque PGF devrait comporter les éléments suivants :

- lignes directrices pour la planification du PGF;
- volet éducatif destiné aux TEA, surveillants et gestionnaires, et aux formateurs;
- lignes directrices pour la confection des horaires;
- module évaluation (questionnaires avant-après, entrevues, collecte des données statistiques pertinentes);
- exigences concernant les heures de service.
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## 1. Introduction

The impact of fatigue on psychomotor (e.g. reaction time) and cognitive (e.g. decisionmaking, memory, perception) performance has been thoroughly documented in the aviation industry (Foushee et al., 1986; Gander et al., 1998a; Heslegrave and Rhodes, 1997; Rosekind et al., 1996; Rhodes et al., 1996; Tepas, 1982). Shift structures, job environment, and personal factors, have been identified as the major causes of fatigue (Folkard, 1996). The amount of rest (sleep in particular) between shifts and the time of day in which the shift occurs have been shown to influence the amount of sleep debt (accumulation of lost sleep). The greater the sleep debt, the greater the level of fatigue. The greater the level of fatigue, the greater the decrement in job performance. There is mounting evidence that after people have been awake for 23 hours, their performance is degraded in the same way that the legal limit of alcohol will affect our ability to think and function (Dawson \& Reid, 1997; Lamond \& Dawson, 1999). Add another few hours of working without sleep, and personnel are performing as if they had quite a few alcoholic drinks. Even after 12 hours of sustained work our decision-making abilities degrade significantly (Lamond \& Dawson, 1999). Clearly, the responsibility of all personnel who have a significant impact on aviation safety is to ensure that they arrive at work fit for duty - i.e. awake, alert and able. Therefore, they must get their required sleep by doing all they can to protect their sleep times and keep themselves fit. Similarly, it behooves all agencies and companies in the aviation industry to provide work schedules, training and resources (money, facilities and equipment) to ensure that personnel can achieve their goals.
The significance of these responsibilities is further strengthened by the fact that human error in aviation is a major contributor to the cause of aviation disasters ( $87 \%$ attributed to some form of human error, $13 \%$ attributed to design flaws - Nagel, 1988). However, if the design errors are considered to be the failings of the designers (humans), the proportion approaches $100 \%$. Therefore, for all intents and purposes, all aviation accidents are caused by some form of human error. Some very small proportion (probably less than 2\%) may actually have been caused by impossible weather conditions or some completely unforeseen failure of materials. Even debris left on the tarmac (the possible cause of the Concorde disaster) by a previous flight may point to a need for better procedures or better visibility of the runways, or a combination of these. All human endeavors are affected, negatively, by fatigue. Hence, it is likely a wise decision to try to reduce fatigue where possible, as a means of improving system safety.
Of the human errors that contribute to air accidents, $12 \%$ are attributed to maintenance and inspection deficiencies (Nagel, 1988). This number may actually be higher since some of the other categories may have occurred as a result of a maintenance error; for example, a maintenance deficiency may have led to the circumstances that ultimately led to the conditions that occurred when, for example, an improper crew response occurred.
The types of errors that may be sensitive to fatigue effects include:

- Missed details during inspection tasks such as those in quality assurance activities;
- Improper resetting and calibrating of equipment;
- Missed steps during an assembly task;
- Incomplete tightening of fasteners during assembly;
- Reduced ability to finely adjust equipment;
- Difficulties remembering details about equipment specifications;
- Omission of potentially important information during the hand-over of the repair to the next shift; and
- Less care taken during delicate tasks such as assembling sensitive equipment, cleaning difficult-to-reach areas, tightening small fasteners.

This is partial list based on the knowledge of how fatigue affects people, and the nature of the tasks. Actual research on the extent of how these tasks are affected by varying levels of fatigue should be conducted to fully determine the actual level of risk.

The impact of errors in any of these types of tasks could lead to operational problems that may develop into a serious incident or disaster. Reducing fatigue can lower the risk that these errors pose to air operations.

## 2. Background

Over the past decade several fatigue studies have been conducted focusing on aviation. They include a series of studies by NASA on commercial pilots (see Gander et al., 1998a; 1998b, for a description of the studies and a synthesis of the work), air traffic controllers (Rhodes et al., 1994; 1996; Luna et al., 1997), and aviation maintenance technicians (Bosley, 1999; Sian \& Watson, 1999; Johnson et al., 2001). This research was initiated as a result of the recognition that many accidents attributed to human error were caused by fatigue-related performance deficits. The National Transportation Safety Board, the Canadian Aviation Safety Board (now the aviation division of the Transportation Safety Board of Canada), the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) in Britain have acknowledged that fatigue in aviation is a serious and pervasive problem, and must be better understood. These organizations have frequently recommended research on fatigue in aviation. The FAA in particular has undertaken several research efforts examining fatigue and its effects on aviation maintenance technicians (Watson, 1999).

### 2.1 Origin of the Project

In 1996 the Safety of Air Taxi Operations (SATOPS) task force recommended that Transport Canada "...initiate a Canadian Aviation Regulation Advisory Council (CARAC) review to determine if AME duty times should be regulated, and if so, determine appropriate limitations". The present study was commissioned as a direct result of the SATOPs task force and represents the initial step in addressing the issue of fatigue in the aircraft maintenance environment.

Transport Canada views this project as Phase 1 of a multiphase project, which will include the following component phases:

Phase 1: Assessment of Aviation Maintenance Engineers' Hours of Work;
Phase 2: Human Factors Investigation and Fatigue Risk Analysis of Aviation Maintenance Engineers’ Tasks;

Phase 3: Development of Fatigue Management Program (FMP) guidelines; and
Phase 4: A trial of an FMP prototype based on the guidelines.
The approach taken for this study borrows on some of the FAA's work, but is tailored for the Canadian AME work environment. The study focuses on the extent of hours of work, the impact of these hours on potential and reported levels of fatigue, and the amount of sleep obtained by AMEs. The study was conducted using a questionnaire and interviews. This report documents the extensive base of information obtained.

### 2.2 Definition of Fatigue

Fatigue is an umbrella term for various meanings (Stokes \& Kite, 1994). It can refer to physical or mental fatigue. The fatigue most important in the AME working environment is
the mental form of fatigue, although AMEs do also experience varying levels of physical fatigue. In fact, physical fatigue may have an impact on their levels of mental fatigue. However, for the purposes of this research and system safety, the survey does not make a distinction between the two forms. Fatigue may be a state that is manifested by feelings of sleepiness, disorientation, inability to think clearly, slow mental reflexes, reduced short-term memory, reduced motivation, muscle ache, sluggishness and generally poor mental and physical performance. It also may be an actual physiological state that can be determined by measuring heart rate, brainwave activity, direct neural activity and eye response. It can be subjective (such as feelings of sleepiness and reduced alertness), or it can be objective (e.g. decrements in performance of fatigue-sensitive tasks or measurement of physiological response).

## 3. Objectives and Purpose

### 3.1 Program Objective

The program objective is to establish and validate a set of guidelines for fatigue management that may be adapted by the maintenance services of air carriers in Canada. This set of guidelines may be developed into a fatigue management program or may be used to guide the development of a set of regulations, if Transport Canada deems that regulatory control is necessary.

### 3.2 Program Sub-objectives

The sub-objectives of the program are:

- Collect and analyze information regarding the number and timing of the hours worked by AMEs, the duration and timing of shifts worked, the duration and timing of rest periods, and the nature of the working conditions, and report the findings.
- Produce a set of recommendations that focus on the best approach for a fatigue management program and associated regulations that may be required.
- Implement the fatigue management program through a pilot test to validate its effectiveness.


### 3.3 Objectives of the Present Study

This study examines the hours worked by AMEs and the possible risks of fatigue that these hours may cause. The study attempts to determine how these hours of work may affect the amount of sleep obtained and the subjective levels of fatigue reported. It compares the work and sleep patterns that exist for AMEs at different types of facilities, for those who work under different work structures (shiftworker, work on-demand, work a standard day or combinations thereof), and for different bases for pay (part-time, salaried or hourly). The research is the first step in the development of fatigue management approaches for AMEs. The information gathered through the questionnaire (see Appendix A for a sample questionnaire) and interviews will be used to develop guidelines for educational, evaluative (for the FMP itself), and scheduling components. These guidelines may be tested in a selection of facilities that represent the different work structures, facility types, and working locations.

This final report contains the method, findings and conclusions of the AME Hours of Work survey, including questionnaire and interview information. The entire data set includes information from 1209 respondents for the questionnaire and 12 AMEs who were formally interviewed ( 3 rotary [helicopter], 2 stand alone, 2 regional airlines, 2 major airlines, 2 charter airlines, and 1 general aviation). Two more rotary AMEs were interviewed informally. The interviews were conducted to augment the questionnaire data. This report contains the results of demographic information, comparative analysis of schedules, amount
of sleep, hours of work, fatigue coping strategies, and performance, as well as conclusions. Recommendations for guidelines on hours of work and scheduling are also included.

Some respondents did not answer some questions. Hence, the total sample " $n$ " for each of the analyses was different. However, most of the analysis includes responses from over 1150 AMEs.

## 4. Methodology

The research is based on the use of a questionnaire to survey AMEs across Canada. A sample of 5000 was chosen on the basis of age, gender, geographic region and official language. The survey was pilot tested with 6 AMEs, who completed the questionnaire and provided feedback on what should be modified to improve response and validity. Many parts of the questionnaire were revised according to the feedback, but it is clear from the difficulties that the AMEs encountered while completing the final questionnaire, much more rework was warranted. The lesson learned here is that more than one pilot test may be necessary for such a diverse population of professionals. The variation in work structures and working conditions is very broad and may even have deserved more than one questionnaire, i.e. a separate, tailored one for each group. This would have increased the cost and time to perform the analysis, and great care would be needed to ensure comparability.

The questionnaire was mailed to AMEs in the sample of 5000 direct to their homes and a self-addressed (R\&A address), stamped envelope was enclosed. The AME was requested to complete the confidential questionnaire, refold it, place it into the envelope provided and mail it back to Rhodes \& Associates Inc.

The data were entered into an Excel spreadsheet initially, then converted into a statistical database (for use with the NCSS statistical package). All statistical analyses were performed using NCSS. Graphs were created with Excel using the NCSS data.

The questionnaire can be found in Appendix A. See Appendix B for detailed tables containing statistical information.

### 4.1 Research Questions and Goals

The research involves the use of a survey questionnaire, and follow-up interviews to collect information on the hours that AMEs work, the conditions of the work environment, and the effect these may have on sleep and potential fatigue.

### 4.1.1 The Objectives of This Research

The objectives of this research is to determine:

- the actual number of hours of work that AMEs do within a 24 -hour period on a daily basis, and within the entire weekly period;
- the actual number of days of consecutive work;
- when these hours of work are done;
- the extent of "extra" work occurring outside of the regular shift, including overtime at their regular work, and any other work not considered aviation maintenance;
- how work performance is affected by overtime;
- how much sleep AMEs obtain, particularly during the work cycle;
- the potential for fatigue according to the sleep data; and
- the reasons for working extra hours.


### 4.1.2 Groups to be Compared

Groups to be compared (independent variables):

- Facility types;
- Role/position;
- Environment AME works in;
- Frequency groups for outdoor work;
- Geographical location;
- Type of employee; and
- Type of work schedule.


### 4.1.3 The Main Research Questions

The main research questions to be answered are:

- What is the state of hours worked by AMEs - just enough or too many - as determined by the amount of time off and the amount of sleep obtained?
- When do these work hours occur - during times compatible with the circadian rhythm or outside this period - what is the distribution?
- What groups have the higher risk of fatigue?
- Who is working at extra jobs? How many do this?
- How much time are those working at other jobs spending at this extra work?
- When are they working at this extra job?
- How are overtime hours distributed?
- What groups have the greatest negative impact of overtime on their work performance?
- What experience have the groups had regarding their drives to and from work?
- How much sleep do the various groups of AMEs get?
- How is this sleep distributed, according to the shifts worked?
- Who splits their sleep?
- Who naps? How often do they nap on days off? How long are the naps on days off?
- What strategies do the different groups use to stay alert on the job?
- Who gets to have planned naps at work?
- What conditions contribute most to fatigue?
- How do the groups feel about working more or fewer hours?


## 5. Results

### 5.1 Demographics

The response rate out of the original mail-out to 5000 AMEs was about $21 \%$ (total of 1209).
Of these questionnaires, 1166 were complete enough for most of the analysis. This is a respectable rate, although most surveys shoot for $30 \%$ to achieve a reasonable level of representation. Representation is discussed below. The demographic information is presented as follows:

- Breakdowns for Gender, Age, Children, Marital Status and AME and Present Position Experience for the overall sample and for facility type, shift type and responsibility;
- Breakdowns of demographic information for the following groups:
- Facility Type
- Role/Position
- Location of Duties
- Working Environment
- Outdoors Work
- Geographic Location
- Rural/Non-rural
- Employee Type
- Responsibility
- Shift Type


## Gender, Marital Status, Children

Table 1 provides the percentage response for the basic demographic information.
Table 1: Response to the Survey ( $\mathrm{n}=1166$ )
Response

| Gender |  | Marital Status |  |  |  | Children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Male | Married | Single | Widowed | Divorced | Yes | No |
| $0.76 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |

The sample is mostly representative for gender (proportion for all AMEs is $99.1 \%$ for males; and $0.9 \%$ for females as indicated in the database for the 5000 AMEs who received a survey), although it is slightly under-representative of female AMEs.

## Age, Aviation Experience, Years in Present Position

Table 2 shows the overall means for age, years of aviation experience, and years spent in their present position.

Table 2: Means for Age and Experience

|  | Mean | Min. | Max |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yrs.) | 43.86 | 23 | 71 |
| Aviation Experience (yrs.) | 22.24 | 3 | 53 |
| Years in Present Position (yrs.) | 11.42 | 0.1 | 44 |

The mean age for the 5000 AMEs who received a questionnaire was 46 years. The mean age for the sample of respondents was similar at almost 44 years. The respondents are a slightly younger population. Although we cannot extrapolate to other variables, we can use gender and age as a reasonable indicator of representation.

## Facility Type, Role/Position, Work Location, Work Environment, Outdoor Work and Geographic Location

The following tables (3a through 3g) show the percentage rate for several work-related variables (study groups). The total response rate for the survey is a maximum of 1197 , since some respondents did not provide some of the basic demographic information.

Table 3: Distributions for the Study Groups
Table 3a: Facility Type

|  | Count | Percentage | Graph of Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Major | 390 | 33.45 | \|||||||||||||| |
| Regional | 132 | 11.32 | \|||| |
| Charter | 69 | 5.92 | \|| |
| Air Taxi | 46 | 3.95 | $\mid$ |
| Stand Alone | 240 | 20.58 | \||||||||| |
| General | 126 | 10.81 | \|||| |
| Rotary (Helicopter) | 163 | 13.98 | \||||| |

Table 3b: Main Role/Position

|  | Count | Percentage | Graph of Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Airframe (AF) | 175 | 14.72 | \||I|| |
| Avionics (AV) | 134 | 11.27 | \||I| |
| Other (OT) | 128 | 10.77 | \||||| |
| Power Plant (PP) | 27 | 2.27 | \| |
| Quality Assurance (QA) | 132 | 11.10 | \|||| |
| Shop (SH) | 24 | 2.02 | \| |
| Various (VA) | 569 | 47.86 | \|||||||||||||||||| |

## Breakdown of "Various"

AF\&AV\&PP 59
AF\&AV\&PP\&QA
AF\&PP
AF\&PP\&QA
AF\&QA
Other Combinations
168

| 10.37 | $\|\|\|\mid$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 4.57 | $\mid$ |
| 37.43 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| 14.24 | $\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| 3.87 | $\mid$ |
| 29.53 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |

Table 3c: Work Location


Table 3d: Work Environment

|  | Count | Percentage | Graph of Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Main Base | 871 | 73.19 | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |
| Sub-Base | 161 | 13.53 | \||||| |
| Field | 116 | 9.75 | I\|| |
| Other | $\underline{42}$ | 3.53 | \| |


| Table 3e: Outdoor Work |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Count | Percentage | Graph of Percentage |
| Most of the Time | 220 | 18.38 | \|||||||| |
| Often | 242 | 20.22 | \|||||||| |
| Sometimes | 626 | 52.30 | \||||||||||||||||||| |
| Never | $\underline{109}$ | 9.11 | \||| |

Table 3f: Geographic Location

|  | Count | Percentage | Graph of Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Atlantic | 69 | 5.87 | $\\|$ |
| Quebec | 223 | 18.92 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| Ontario | 302 | 25.66 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| Prairies | 254 | 21.60 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| BC | 307 | 26.11 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| North of 60 | $\underline{21}$ | 2.05 | $\mid$ |
|  | 1176 |  |  |

Table 3g: Rural/Non-rural Work

| Count | Percentage | Graph of Percentage |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| No | 825 | 69.92 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| Yes | $\underline{355}$ | 30.08 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |

## Employee Type, Responsibility and Shift Type

Tables 4a through 4 c provide the percentage response for personnel related variables.
Standard day refers to about 7.5-8 hours worked during the day; on demand means that the hours are worked according to workload demands; shiftworkers are considered to be those working any time outside the standard day, but according to a preset schedule.

Table 4: Distributions for Personnel-related Information

| Table 4a: Employee Type |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Count } \\ 381 \end{array}$ | Percentage 32.04 | Graph of Percentage \||||||||||||| |
| Salary | 778 | 65.43 | \|||||||||||||||||||||||| |
| PT Salary | 30 | 2.52 | I |
|  | 1189 |  |  |
| Table 4b: Responsibility |  |  |  |
| Apprentice | Count 7 | Percentage 0.59 | Graph of Percentage |
| AME | 409 | 34.25 | \||||||||||||||| |
| ACA/SCA | 646 | 54.10 | \||||||||||||||||||||| |
| Inactive | 87 | 7.29 | II |
| Pilot/Engr. | 45 | 3.77 | \| |
|  | 1194 |  |  |

Table 4c: Type of Shift

| Count |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Shiftworker (SW) | 378 |
| On-Demand (OD) | 55 |
| Standard Day (SD) | 192 |
| SD/OD | 380 |
| SW/OD | $\underline{177}$ |
|  | 1182 |


| Percentage | Graph of Percentage |
| ---: | :--- |
| 31.98 | \||||||||||| |
| 4.10 | \| |
| 17.77 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| 30.07 | $\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| 16.63 | $\|\|\|\|\mid$ |

## Breakdown of Demographic Information by Facility

Figure 1 shows the breakdown for age, years of experience and years in present position for each type of facility. Most of the differences in mean age of AMEs, aviation experience and years in the present position, between each facility, are statistically significant.

Figure 1: Mean Age, Experience, Years in Present Position for Facility Type


## Key for Figure 1.

| Facility Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Major Airline | Maintenance facility operated by a major airline providing air service for main routes |
| Regional Airline | Maintenance facility operated by a regional airline providing short-haul air service |
| Charter Service | Maintenance facility for an airline providing charter service for long and short-haul flights |
| Air Taxi | Maintenance facility operated by an air taxi service |
| Stand Alone Facility | Facility that provides aircraft maintenance service to aircraft operators |
| General Aviation | Facility located in a small airport providing maintenance services to general aviators |
| Rotary (Helicopter) | Maintenance facilityloperation that supports rotary (helicopter) operations |

### 5.2 Hours of Work

This section deals with the hours worked by AMEs and comparisons between the various groups. The analysis looks at the average hours worked daily and weekly, total average working hours including overtime, longest shift/assignment, greatest number of consecutive days worked, work at other tasks outside of AME work and work outside of the job. This section aims to provide an idea of the potential for fatigue by considering the maximum daily and weekly averages for work and rest. The approach involves comparing the groups for their balance of hours worked and time off between. The analysis is based on the premise that fatigue will be beyond acceptable levels if certain "rules" are violated:

1. Night work should be as short and as infrequent as possible and practicable.
2. A rest period of at least 24 hours should be provided at least once per 7 -day work period.
3. Sustained work should be no longer than 12 hours.

## Night Work

According to many fatigue management, shiftwork and sleep researchers, working nights comes with a cost in performance, job satisfaction and health, because we are trying to work at a time when the body wants to be sleeping. Working nights also means sleeping during the day. Day sleep is never as good as night sleep, since our bodies want to be awake during the day. This is a natural response of the body. Each day sleep is usually 1.5 to 3 hours shorter than the sleep one would normally get during the night. This translates into 7.5 hours to 15 hours of sleep debt over a 5-day work week. Research shows that working 7 or more of these nights, consecutively, will result in considerable sleep debt that will degrade performance severely.

## Consecutive Work Days

Consecutive work days without a proper rest between will result in some sleep loss and increased fatigue, simply because we will shorten our sleep to do all of those things that we usually save for our days off. It is very difficult to meet our responsibilities to family and ourselves when there are no days off to deal with them. This is one instance when errors are made. In addition, it is clear, from the research discussed at the beginning of this report, that humans need at least 24 hours off following several nights working. This allows us to obtain at least one good night of sleep every work cycle. If too many nights pass, the sleep debt incurred will reach a point where performance is below standard.

## Long Work Periods

Long work periods greater than 12 hours will result in significant drops in performance. Many recent studies have shown this to be directly comparable with the effects of alcohol on performance. Twenty-three hours of sustained wakefulness will result in performance decrements equivalent to having $0.05 \%$ Blood Alcohol Content (BAC) in the body. After 27 hours, performance is equivalent to that occurring with $0.10 \%$ BAC in the body (Lamond and Dawson, 1999; Arnedt et al., 2001). Furthermore, if certain classes of cognitive tasks are considered, serious degradation in performance can be expected even after 8 hours of sustained wakefulness (Williamson et al., 2000). If we consider getting ready for work, commute times, meals and other tasks required to get to and from work, the 12-hour workday
is more like 14 to 15 hours or more. Then there is the wind-down time, and sleep time becomes compressed to a few hours.

### 5.2.1 Total Average Hours of Work - Comparison of Facilities

Figures 2 to 14 compare the means for each facility for each of the responses for the several hours of work questions in the survey. Table 8 ( 8 a to 8 g ) in Appendix B lists the mean, standard deviation, standard error, maximum, and minimum for each facility group for several questions that attempted to gather information on the extent of working hours. The most hours worked, on both a daily and weekly basis, were reported by AMEs working in regional airline and rotary operations (Figures 2 and 3). The mean for hours worked in 24 hours (Figure 2) represents many AMEs who also worked shorter days and those who definitely exceeded the maximum recommended (rule number 3, section 5.2). Days averaging between 9 and 11 hours were a result of many operations using a 12-hour day rotation (12-hour day and night shifts) for 4 to 7 days with from 3 to 7 days off in between. Weekly values are therefore more reliable, since they will capture most shifts worked in these types of rotations (see Figures 2 and 3).
AMEs interviewed confirmed that shifts are often 10 to 12 hours in duration, and are worked according to a schedule whereby a given number of days are worked and a block of days are taken off between cycles. AMEs liked this arrangement since they felt they could put those days off to good use. When asked if this includes resting, the response was that the first day off was used for recovery from the work cycle (particularly after nights), the rest were for whatever else needed to be done. Those working 12-hour shifts found that these days off were the only time they got to see family and friends.

Figure 2: On-Demand Hours Worked in 24 hours for Facilities ( $\mathrm{n}=994$ )


Figure 3: On-Demand Hours in 7-Day Period for Facilities ( $\mathrm{n}=986$ )


Figure 4 shows the distribution of grouped hours of work (for each facility) not including overtime. Figure 5 shows the same hours-of-work groups for the total sample. Note that $40 \%$ of the AMEs at regional facilities are working over 48 hours per 7-day period. An even larger percentage ( $60 \%$ ) of the AMEs at rotary operations are working over 48 hours, while a full $20 \%$ are working over 75 hours per 7 -day period. Overall, $32 \%$ of AMEs are working over 48 hours per 7-day period.

Figure 4: Distribution of Grouped Hours Worked for Facilities


Figure 5: Distribution for Grouped Hours of Work for the Total Sample


Rotary AMEs reported significantly higher duty times than other AMEs (Figure 6). The AMEs working at rotary facilities appear to work much longer days when overtime is added. The AMEs at regional, air taxi, charter, stand alone and general facilities also show a significantly longer day, when overtime is added, than those in the major airline facilities, although to a lesser extent than the rotary AMEs.

Figure 6: Total Duty Time + Overtime for Facilities ( $\mathrm{n}=653$ )


Figure 7 shows the breakdown for grouped hours worked including overtime. Note that the over $10 \%$ of the AMEs at rotary operations are working over 100 hours per 7-day period, once overtime is included, while another $20 \%$ are working between 75.5 and 100 hours. Almost $10 \%$ of the AMEs at regional airline facilities are working between 75.5 and 100 hours per 7-day period. Some AMEs (8\%) at general aviation facilities are also working over 75 hours per 7-day period.

Figure 7: Distribution of Grouped Hours Worked Including Overtime for Facilities ( $\mathrm{n}=1095$ )


AMEs were asked to report the longest shift worked. Figure 8 shows the results for this question. It is clear that AMEs in all facility types have had to work some very long shifts. Through interviews it is apparent that such long shifts are rare in most operations and can occur occasionally in rotary field operations. Most of the larger operations are staffed well enough to accommodate long jobs through the hand-over of work to the next shift. Smaller operations may find this less feasible and AMEs may find they have to stay to finish the job. Of course, AMEs servicing aircraft on a fire-line may have to work day and night on a damaged aircraft.

Figure 8: Longest Shift for Facilities ( $\mathrm{n}=750$ )


Rotary and charter AMEs had significantly higher mean duration for the longest shift than did the rest of the AMEs (Figure 8). Figure 9 shows the percentage of AMEs reporting their frequency for working such long shifts. Note that over $10 \%$ of the AMEs at the major airlines work their maximum shift time more than three times per month. Similarly $8 \%$ of the AMEs at the air taxi services are working their maximum shift length more than three times per month, and another $8 \%$ are working their longest shift at least once per month. Although not directly related, these two figures do reveal that excessively long shifts are surprisingly common for a substantial proportion of the AME population.

Figure 9: Breakdown of the Frequency for Working Longest Shifts (739)


Figures 10 and 11 represent the results for a set of questions that asked for the same information as above, but specified during the last year. The numbers are similar to those seen above for number of hours worked during each 24 -hour and weekly period. Some individuals reported working over 300 days in the last year. Presumably these AMEs were working almost every day, and obtained very little rest. The means for maximum days worked show that, from time to time, AMEs do have to work many days in a row (between 14.6 and 35.2 ) with little sleep. Interviews revealed that for some operations, such as rotary facilities during peak times, it is common for AMEs to work for weeks in the bush with little time for adequate restorative sleep.

The estimates for the average number of hours worked during each 24-hour cycle over the past year is highly consistent with those for on-demand estimates (question 3.1), probably because most of the AMEs entered their hours in the on-demand section. This may be an indication that many AMEs do work according to workload demands, even if they have a set work cycle of hours designated by their employers. Another reason may be that the questionnaire was not clear enough to lead the respondents to the correct sections. Unfortunately, this situation precludes any direct comparison of means between on-demand work and shift work. However, since respondents were asked to indicate whether they were on-demand or shiftworkers, or both, these groups can be compared for hours of work, the topic of the next section.

Figure 10: Hours per Cycle in Last Year for Facilities ( $\mathrm{n}=1095$ )


Figure 11: Hours Worked per 24-hour Period Within Last Year for Facility Types ( $\mathrm{n}=1086$ )


Figure 12 shows the number of mean hours AMEs are spending on work other than their AME job tasks. This includes other jobs outside work. AMEs in stand alone, rotary, general and air taxi facilities reported that they were averaging over 13 hours a week in work outside their AME job. This is in addition to between 44 and 59 hours per week spent at their AME job (Figure 3). If we consider overtime added to this (Figure 6), the mean amount of time spent working per week is between 60 and 78 hours.e.g. mean of 14 hours other work +64 hours for total duty time $=78$ hours for the rotary AMEs (see Figure 13). Many individuals are working more than this.
This indicates that, on average, AMEs are working well beyond the average 42 hour workweek. On the other hand, these numbers are typical of those found in other industries relying on highly trained workers in operations that are understaffed.

Figure 12: Hours per Week Spent on Duties Other Than AME Tasks
(Note only 305 AMEs responded to this question)


If we add the means for the hours for total duty time (including overtime) to the time spent working at other work (Figure 13), the 7-day means are considerably larger. Of the 196 AMEs (16.8 \% of the sample) that answered both questions, those at major, regional, stand alone, general aviation, and rotary facilities all show a mean of over 60 hours per 7-day period. AMEs at the charter facilities reported slightly less than 60 hours.

Figure 13: Total Work Hours (duty time + overtime + other work) ( $\mathrm{n}=196$ )


The 14-day period maximum hours worked shown in Figure 14 indicate a possibility that AMEs are working some long days with little time off, particularly those AMEs working for rotary facilities (their mean for maximum hours is significantly higher than the rest).
Although some of the hours logged as work may include some "idle" time between "turning wrenches", the AMEs in these situations still must be ready to respond to emergencies, clean equipment and tools, do the paper work and rest if possible. Sometimes the conditions for sleeping are less than ideal (e.g. a tent in the bush) and short sleep sessions may have to be
obtained during the day, when the aircraft is aloft. Day sleep is always poorer than night sleep, particularly in a noisy camp.

Figure 14: Maximum Hours Worked in 14-Day Period for Facilities ( $\mathrm{n}=889$ )


Even the means for the other facilities are high, averaging over 11 hours per day if 5-day weeks are considered, or almost 8 hours daily if these AMEs were working 14 days straight. It is evident that these maximums are consistent with the "norm" for AMEs if we look back at the numbers given for average daily hours and weekly cycles.

### 5.2.2 Hours of Work for Types of Work Structures

The AMEs working on an on-demand basis spend significantly more time on other work (Figure 15). AMEs working a standard shift, or standard shift with on-demand hours, work about 1 hour to 1.5 hours fewer on-demand hours per 24-hour period than those working in other work structures (Figure 16) or working more days (Figure 17).

Figure 15: Hours per Week per Cycle Spent on Duties other than AME Tasks for Work Structure ( $n=314$ )


Figure 16: Average On-Demand Hours Worked in 24-Hour Period for Work Structure ( $\mathrm{n}=1002$ )


Figure 17: Average Number of Days Worked per Weekly Cycle ( $\mathrm{n}=996$ )


AMEs working on-demand hours, wholly or in part, work significantly more hours than those working just a standard day or straight shifts. Those AMEs working on demand only averaged more hours than any other work-structure group (Figure 18). When we consider overtime added to their regular hours over a 7-day period, AMEs working on demand report much greater numbers of hours than those working in any other work structure (Figure 19). This is also consistent with their reports of longest shifts (Figure 20) where it is clear that AMEs working on demand work much longer shifts as well.

Figure 18: Average On-Demand Hours Worked in 7-Day Period for Work Structure ( $\mathrm{n}=993$ )


Figure 19: Average On-Demand Hours in 7-Day Period Including Overtime for Work Structure ( $\mathrm{n}=663$ )


Figure 20: Longest Shift Worked for Work Structure Groups (n=762)


AMEs working on-demand hours reported more hours per week (Figure 21), although shift workers were reporting more hours than those working a standard shift, who reported the lowest number of hours.

AMEs working on demand or a standard shift plus on-demand hours reported a higher number of maximum days worked in a row, without a break (Figure 22). One of these AMEs reported working 363 days straight without a day off. Another reported 300 days in a row. That is virtually working a year without a day off. All of these days were worked with less than 5 hours of sleep in between. Figure 23 shows a similar trend for the maximum hours worked over a 14-day period, for AMEs working on demand or working a combination of on-demand and shifts.

Figure 21: Average Hours Worked Per Week over the Last Year for Work Structure ( $\mathrm{n}=1111$ )


Figure 22: Maximum Number of Days, over 7, Worked in a Row, Without a Day Off, Within the Last Year for Work Structures ( $n=493$ )


Figure 23: The Maximum Number of Hours Worked for a 14-Day Period Within the Last Year ( $\mathrm{n}=898$ )


The AMEs working in an on-demand work structure, in full or in part, report higher numbers of hours worked than do the AMEs working other shift structures. Those working a standard day or shifts appear to have a workload that is similar to most other industries where overtime is common, i.e. 52-55 hours a week, with maximums during busiest periods. However, some of the maximum reported values for individuals are extremely high, and may be considered excessive (between 80 and 144 hours per week). These extreme numbers may be paid booked time which may have included rest and down periods where little actual work was done, although the AME was "on call" the entire time. However, the fact that maximum means are well over 100 hours for a 14-day period indicates that at times AMEs must work very long hours for several days in a row. Sleep and rest during these times may not be adequate, although the data below on sleep do not reflect this.

### 5.2.3 Discussion of Hours of Work

The data derived from this study concerning hours of work clearly show that, overall, the AMEs in this survey do work more hours than the standard 8-hour day. More than half work shifts or fully or partly on demand, which can often include working nights. The combination of long hours and night work can lead to serious levels of fatigue. It has been shown in many field-based studies (Rhodes et al., 1993; 1996; Luna, 1997; Folkard, 1996) that the combination of night work with sleep deprivation will consistently lead to serious deficits in mental and physical performance.
Furthermore, the consistently greater numbers of hours worked by the AMEs who are working in rotary facilities and air taxi services can lead to high levels of fatigue. The long days that go into the night to meet the demands of peak periods could potentially be a problem from the perspective of safety. This can be particularly critical if the work is carried on through the night, non-stop until morning. According to Lamond and Dawson (1999), and Arnedt et al. (2001), you perform as if drunk (equivalent to at least 0.05 percent blood alcohol - the legal limit in Canada) after being awake for 18 hours, and considerably worse (equivalent to at least 0.1 percent blood alcohol content) after another 12 hours ( 30 hours).

Williamson et al. (2000) found that some cognitive tasks are affected even after only 11 hours (equivalent to $0.05 \% \mathrm{BAC}$ ). Long days can be dangerous and may lead to mistakes and injuries. The mean for the longest shift for some groups is close to or more than 24 hours, which means at some time these individuals were working as if legally drunk. Also, considering that this is the mean, many had worked a shift that was much longer than this, and were likely performing as if under the influence of significant levels of alcohol in the blood.

The data shows that some AME groups are working, on average, 12-14 hours per day for at least 5 days a week. This leaves very little time for getting sleep, attending to domestic responsibilities, personal hygiene and social activities. This self-reported data indicate that there is a problem with inadequate rest time between shifts and with work periods that may be excessive. The nature of the AMEs' work demands that people are well rested and able to perform at high levels of skill and ability. Long shifts have been shown to be a positive solution in many situations where complex information must be passed from one shift to the next, and where the work packages are large enough to surpass the regular eight-hour day (Axelsson et al., 1998; Heslegrave et al., 2000; Lowden et al., 1998; and Smith et al., 1998). However, they only retain this positive effect when combined with adequate rest between work cycles (Axelsson et al.; 1998; Heslegrave et al., 2000). Also, some researchers found that performance is significantly degraded on 12-hour night shifts, compared with 8-hour night shifts (Axelsson et al., 1998; Gillberg, 1998; Rosa et al., 1989; and Rosa, 1991). Another finding from the research on longer shifts is that long day shifts starting earlier than 07:00 resulted in high levels of sleepiness while on the job, and consequently reduced alertness (Gillberg, 1998).

### 5.3 Overtime

This section investigates the pervasiveness of overtime and the effect of overtime on sleep. Figure 24 shows that most AMEs at all facility types, except general aviation, work overtime. This is consistent with the data on duty times, above. More than half of the AMEs working at major, regional, charter, air taxis, stand alone and rotary operations work some overtime. Interviews with AMEs in general aviation facilities indicate that the use of part-time AMEs and the ability to plan most repairs mostly preclude the need for overtime. However, those AMEs working part-time can in fact be those working at other facilities for a full shift, then continuing to work for a few hours at another facility. Figure 25 indicates how many AMEs are working at another job beyond their main employer. Almost $20 \%$ of AMEs at regional and rotary operations are working at other operations, as well as for their main employer. Looking at the responses for the amount of "other work" hours given earlier (see Figure 12), the range of time per week for these "other" jobs is roughly 8 to 15 hours.

Figure 24: Distribution of AMEs Working Overtime for Facility Types ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{9 9 0}$ )


Figure 25: Distribution of AMEs Working at Other Jobs ( $\mathrm{n}=1069$ )


### 5.4 Sleep

The following figures portray the results for the various groups for sleep obtained on work days and days off. Also, the amount of sleep obtained prior to day, evening, and night shifts is shown.

### 5.4.1 Sleep Results for the Facility Type Groups

The AMEs working at major and regional airline facilities reported getting less sleep than the rotary, stand alone, general and air taxi services facilities (Figure 26). The data on hours worked might suggest that the AMEs at the rotary and air taxi services facilities would be the most likely to have the lower estimates of sleep. This was not the case. However, the question did ask for an estimate of average sleep, such that the figures reported by the AMEs may be a middle ground between a wide range in the duration of their sleep. It is possible that the arrangement of shifts is such that those working 10-12-hour shifts are mostly just working and sleeping on work days, and then catching up on other responsibilities on days off, which range between 3 and 7 days. Such a strategy works well on day shifts but may be less effective on night shifts.

Figure 26: Average Sleep on Work Days for Facility Type ( $\mathrm{n}=1151$ )


The regional and rotary groups reported higher means for sleep duration on days off (Figure 27) than the other facility groups although the difference, less than 30 minutes, may be indicative of repaying a sleep debt incurred during the work cycle, particularly on nights. Day sleep may be as long in duration as night sleep, but may be less refreshing and less efficient than night sleep.

AMEs from rotary, general, stand alone and air taxi facilities all reported higher amounts of sleep prior to day shifts (sleep that occurs between approximately 22:00 and 06:00) than those from facilities at the major airlines (Figure 28). The question asked AMEs to indicate how much sleep was obtained prior to a day shift. The start time for the day shift will influence how much sleep can be obtained. If the start time is very early in the morning, most people will sleep less, keeping a "normal" bedtime. Hence, early start times often lead to day workers complaining about day-time sleepiness.

Figure 27: Average Sleep on Rest Days for Facility Type ( $n=1145$ )


Figure 28: Average Sleep Obtained Prior to Shifts Occurring Between 06:00 and 18:00 for Facility Type ( $\mathrm{n}=847$ )


Sleep obtained prior to evening shifts is always greater in duration (Figure 29). Many shiftworkers and those who like to work irregular shifts sleep better between 00:00 and 10:00. They are considered to be owls or night-hawks. Going to bed late and sleeping in late is "natural" for them. The duration of sleep for pre-evening shift reported by AMEs shows this trend, except for the AMEs working at regional airlines and rotary facilities. More information is required to determine why this is the case.

Figure 29: Average Sleep Obtained Prior to Shifts Occurring Between 13:00 and 01:00 for Facility Type ( $\mathrm{n}=458$ )


The amount of sleep obtained prior to night shifts is less, as shown in Figure 30. This is expected, since sleeping during the day often results in a shorter sleep period. Even with naps added on, it still may be less than that obtained at night. However, one group doesn't fit the expected trend: the AMEs working in air taxi operations. Those who work night shifts in these operations have reported a mean sleep duration that is longer than that for sleep prior to days or evenings. Since only 9 AMEs in air taxi operations answered this question, the data may be biased toward a few individuals who happen to obtain lengthy sleep periods during the day. Other explanations may exist.

Figure 30: Average Sleep Obtained Prior to Shifts Occurring Between 22:00 and 10:00 for Facility Type ( $\mathrm{n}=423$ )


### 5.5 Napping and Other Coping Strategies

This section shows the results for questions pertaining to the use of napping and other strategies to cope with the irregular schedules worked by AMEs.

### 5.5.1 Naps

The section first examines the proportion of AMEs using naps and the proportion of companies allowing planned naps in the workplace.
The rotary operations appear to be more inclined to allow planned napping (Figure 31). This is consistent with the interview data. AMEs working for rotary operations who were interviewed ( $n=4$ ) all stated that they were able to nap during the day while the aircraft was flying, often for an hour at a time, although sometimes longer. This is an effective strategy for these AMEs to make up for lost sleep through the night, after completing major work on the aircraft, or to make up for the very short sleep period they must have because of the latenight completion of tasks and early start in the morning. Although they get only a few hours of sleep at night, the AMEs can recover some of this sleep loss during these naps. Without the naps, AMEs working in the field assigned to an aircraft would not be able to function effectively. Hence, the prevalence of planned naps, as well as opportunistic napping, in rotary operations.

Figure 31: Planned Naps for Each Facility (n=1110)


AMEs at other facilities may not have the same opportunities for naps, and the attitude toward napping in these facilities may be less tolerant. Some AMEs interviewed did say that a few companies have acknowledged the value of planned napping, particularly at times when overtime is required, and employees may be tired. The fact that a number of facilities have 10 and 12 -hour shifts for up to 7 days in a row may be reason enough to investigate this strategy further. Seven night shifts in a row may be very fatiguing for individuals having difficulty sleeping during the day. Many studies have shown that multiple night shifts do result in greater fatigue (Folkard, 1996; Heslegrave et al., 1998; Rhodes et al., 1996; and

Tepas, 1982). These AMEs may be better able to perform their tasks through a night shift if, at the low point in their circadian rhythm, they can take a 15 to 20 minute break and nap. It has been shown by a number of researchers (Dinges et al., 1988; Horne and Reyner, 1996; Stampi, 1992; and Zulley \& Bailey, 1988) that this is an effective strategy.

Figure 32 shows that when AME tasks are performed mostly in the field, napping is permitted. This is consistent with the results for facilities, since most rotary AMEs work in the field. However, some stand-alone operations will send AMEs to service an aircraft in the field. It is likely that on a long difficult job during poor weather, the AME may take a nap to recover before continuing.

Figure 32: Planned Naps for Location Where Job is Performed ( $\mathrm{n}=1135$ )


Figure 33 shows the proportion of AMEs in each facility who nap on days off. All facilities show a similar result, about 20\% napping on days off. AMEs in air taxi operations do less napping on days off than those of other facilities, and a few more AMEs in the facilities at major airlines nap on days off.

Figure 33: Proportion of AMEs in Each Facility Napping on Days Off ( $\mathrm{n}=511$ )


Figure 34 shows the response for napping at work. It appears that many AMEs whose companies do not allow napping were indeed napping. This may indicate that planned naps may be a consideration. Many of these naps may occur at night; however, the time of day for the rest was not requested in this survey. Other concerns may include amounts of overtime, very early starts for day shifts, and a lack of days off.

Figure 34: Proportion of AMEs Who Nap at Work for Each Facility ( $n=510$ )


### 5.5.2 Use of Other Coping Strategies

Some coping strategies such as alerting medications (Figure 35) were rarely used by AMEs. However, some coping strategies used by more than half of the AMEs included exercise (Figure 36) and caffeine (Figures 37 and 38), while others were moderately used (diet and bright light - Figures 39 and 40). Several of the AMEs who were interviewed mentioned the use of coping strategies to reduce fatigue. Use of light blocking blinds to keep the room dark; fans to mask outside noises; napping prior to the night shift to help them get through the early morning dip in alertness; getting exercise to keep going through long 12-hour shifts; using caffeinated drinks to keep alert while at work, particularly at night; and making sure that they got their normal amount of sleep.
Figure 35: Proportion of AMEs in Each Facility Type Reporting Use of Alerting Medications for Strategy ( $\mathrm{n}=509$ )


Figure 36: Proportion of AMEs in Each Facility Type Reporting Use of Exercise for Strategy ( $\mathrm{n}=511$ )


AMEs in charter operations reported less use of exercise as a strategy to cope with their work structure, as did those north of 60 . Caffeine, however, was reportedly used by almost everyone as a strategy. Those conducting their work in shops showed less use of caffeine than those working in other work locations. An interesting finding was that those who reported greater levels of fatigue on the night shift also reported using caffeine as an alerting strategy. Interview data supports this, many AMEs reporting that they use caffeine to help get through the night shift, a common strategy used by shift workers everywhere, particularly when they are carrying a substantial sleep debt.

Figure 37: Proportion of AMEs Reporting Use of Caffeine for Strategy, by Each Facility ( $\mathrm{n}=515$ )


Diet was used less as a strategy by the charter AMEs than those in other facilities, and bright light was used most in air taxi, general and rotary facilities. It is ironic from a circadian rhythm point of view that of all of those from north of $60(\mathrm{n}=10)$ who answered this question, none uses bright light as a strategy (Figure 40). Over the last few years the use of bright light
to combat Seasonal Affective Disorder (SAD) has had some success in the North, where nights can be long and can cause depression in some people. Bright light can also be used to shift rhythms and to induce alertness during night operations.

Figure 38: Proportion of AMEs Reporting Use of Caffeine for Strategy, by Work Location (n=514)


Figure 39: Proportion of AMEs Reporting Use of Diet for Strategy, by Facility Type ( $n=511$ )


Figure 40: Proportion of AMEs Reporting Use of Bright Light for Strategy, by Facility Type ( $\mathrm{n}=509$ )


Some AMEs interviewed who practiced several coping strategies that kept them alert and well rested, reported having fewer problems with fatigue. They treated sleep as an important element in their responsibility to their job and their families. They ensured that they have a sleep environment that, for them, is conducive to sleep, particularly during the daytime. They followed the rule that no workday ever exceeds 14 hours, and that days of this length are rare, followed by a recovery period (shorter work day immediately following, or time off). They accepted limited amounts of overtime, avoiding back-to-back overtime shifts, and working only a few hours of overtime per week. They kept regular mealtimes and maintained routines in domestic activities. Only use of caffeine appeared to be associated with increased levels of fatigue. This was likely because those who were fatigued to begin with required the stimulating effects of caffeine to function.

### 5.6 Human Performance

The reported level of performance during certain shifts and circumstances can indicate where fatigue may be affecting AMEs. If driving to and from work results in increases of falling asleep at the wheel, or if working certain shifts is reported to affect performance, an idea of the level of risk can be surmised. Typically, $30 \%$ of respondents in other surveys asking questions similar to that in question 4.9 of the present survey indicate that they have nodded off while driving to or from work (Heslegrave \& Rhodes, 1997; Rhodes et al., 1993). This is considered to be only a small representation of the actual proportion of workers working shifts or irregular hours who have nodded off. A smaller proportion (18\%) will admit to actually falling asleep, and even fewer will admit to having an accident (8\%). According to the National Transportation Safety Board (1995) such incidents and accidents are underreported.

Although no conclusive evidence exists at the moment, subjective reports of performance may also be an underestimate. However, such reports can be revealing. It is typical to see those working shifts indicate greater negative impact on their work performance. The least
reported effect of a shift on work performance usually tends to be on afternoon/evening shifts. Since many AMEs work longer shifts that are based on daytime or night-time periods (e.g. nights from 18:00 to 06:00 and days $06: 00$ to 18:00), or extended days while in the field such as $05: 00$ to 01:00, a great deal of night work is performed. Higher levels of fatigue will increase the impact on performance while working nights.
Figure 41 shows the distribution of the frequency of falling asleep at the wheel while driving to or from work. Note that between 9 and $14 \%$ of AMEs at airline facilities report that they have fallen asleep more than 4 times. Over $10 \%$ of those at charter operations report three times. The overall proportion for falling asleep for this population is on a par with that reported by other populations. Since the AMEs at airlines (charter, major and regional) work the most overtime and get the least sleep on work days, is not surprising that these AMEs also have the higher incidence of falling asleep at the wheel. More AMEs working on the line and in locations other than in shops and hangars, reported more incidents of falling asleep at the wheel.

Figure 41: Proportion of AMEs Falling Asleep at the Wheel for Each Facility ( $\mathrm{n}=731$ )


The AMEs at airline facilities and charter operations reported a greater incidence of nodding off while driving (Figure 42). This is consistent with the results reported above in Figure 41 (falling asleep). A negative impact of less sleep and more overtime may be reflected in these results. Other factors that are consistent with earlier results also include the fact that the AMEs at airlines and charter operations are mostly shiftworkers (see Figure 43). This may reflect the impact of working shift rotations (swinging back and forth between nights, evenings and days), combined with less sleep and more overtime.

A greater proportion of AMEs working shifts and on demand reported a higher incidence of nodding off at the wheel. Those working a standard day reported fewer incidents. This clearly supports the theory that working nights is likely the main contributor to the possible fatigue that may be causing a higher incidence of nodding off. Very few AMEs reported
having even a single accident caused by falling asleep or nodding off (under 3\%). This is consistent with other populations. Again, however, this type of accident is probably underreported (NTSB, 1995).

Figure 42: Proportion of AMEs Nodding Off at the Wheel for Each Facility ( $\mathrm{n}=760$ )


Figure 43: Proportion of AMEs Nodding Off at the Wheel for Each Work Structure ( $\mathrm{n}=769$ )


### 5.7 Fatigue

Subjective impressions of fatigue can be useful indicators of how personnel view their working environment with respect to their ability to perform. Indications of high levels of subjective fatigue for certain situations should be heeded and plans for improvement pursued.

Figure 44 provides the baseline for expected levels of fatigue. Note that the majority of AMEs reported that they feel wide awake or mostly alert. Also note that more AMEs working for airline operations reported that they felt tired or very tired at the end of a standard shift. In all facility types there were some AMEs reporting that they found it difficult to stay awake after a standard shift. This may be indicating chronic fatigue where any shift will become difficult to complete.

## Figure 44: Impact of Standard Shift on Fatigue for Each Facility Type (n=1033)



Figure 45 shows the subjective responses for estimates of fatigue that are experienced during an extended night shift (more than 12 hours). As expected, the reported levels are very high and indicate that such situations should be avoided as much as possible. In particular, the AMEs from the airlines and rotary operations indicated significantly more fatigue during these kinds of shifts. Such levels are likely to be extremely risky and should be cause for concern. Because several night shifts in a row often result in sleep debts and fatigue, extending any one of these shifts will increase the levels of fatigue personnel will experience.
The impact of cold while working extended nights appears to be not as fatiguing as just working extended nights (Figures 46 and 47). No explanation for this result is apparent, but it may be because a colder temperature may initially have an alerting effect. This is usually a short-term effect, so AMEs may not be considering the whole impact of cold on their fatigue.

The impact of heat combined with extended night shifts seems similar to that of cold in combination with extended night shifts (see Figure 48). However, the heat appears to be slightly less taxing than straight extended nights, with fewer AMEs reporting that they have difficulty staying awake. Still, this combination does appear to increase subjective fatigue considerably. According to AMEs interviewed, cold and heat do seem to increase fatigue and make doing the job more difficult. Handling tools with bare hands in sub-zero temperatures is very difficult and increases the time to complete tasks. Bulky clothing increases physical exertion and the risk of frostbite is high. This is reflected in the results according to the work environment, where it is clear that AMEs working in field operations reported higher levels of fatigue for the impact of cold during extended nights (Figure 49).

Figure 45: Impact of Extended Nights on Fatigue for Each Facility Type ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{8 3 8}$ )


Figure 46: Impact of Cold \& Extended Nights on Fatigue for Each Facility Type ( $\mathrm{n}=902$ )


Figure 47: Impact of Cold \& Nights on Fatigue for Each Work Environment ( $\mathrm{n}=918$ )


Figure 48: Impact of Heat \& Extended Nights on Fatigue for Each Facility ( $\mathrm{n}=935$ )


Like heat and cold, poor weather does not seem to worsen the level of fatigue (Figure 49). However, in Figure 50 we see that the level of fatigue reported by AMEs indicates that the poor weather does increase the fatigue levels on a standard shift. Probably, the fatigue normally experienced on a night, extended night or extended day shift masks the impact of weather, heat or cold. Figure 51 shows the effect of an extended shift. Note that more AMEs reported levels of fatigue higher than shown in Figure 44, for standard shifts.

Figure 49: Impact of Poor Weather and Extended Nights on Fatigue for Each Facility ( $\mathrm{n}=912$ )


Figure 50: Impact of Poor Weather and Standard Shift on Fatigue for Each Facility ( $n=930$ )


Figure 51: Impact of Extended Shift on Fatigue for Each Facility ( $\mathrm{n}=996$ )


## 6. Conclusions

### 6.1 Hours of Work

The results of the questionnaire and interview analysis show that AMEs are generally working more than the standard 40 -hour week. Most are working, on average, 48 hours per week, and often work demands push this as high as 70 hours during peak times, for some operations such as rotary, stand alone and taxi services. Interviews revealed that some operations have their own rules against this kind of overtime, limiting AMEs to daily and weekly amounts below this. The philosophy of some companies is to limit days to 14 hours, which can be worked only occasionally, and 6 days maximum, even if the hours worked amount to 48 . These kinds of policies protect both the company and the AME from liability and promote a safe operation. There is evidence to suggest, however, that other, smaller operations will push the limit of hours worked by their AMEs to meet the demands of the customer. Unfortunately, this results in several long days in a row with minimal sleep and little rest. Both the interview and questionnaire data showed that these days could be as long as 14 hours, particularly when they worked on large maintenance projects, such as changing an engine. Often the AME must remain to see the job through, since passing on the responsibility to the next shift is usually too difficult to do, or there is no opportunity to pass the job on to the next shift (i.e. the next shift arrives later in the day). Where there is overlap between shifts, the AME passing on the work cannot leave until the relieving AME can proceed. In rotary operations the AME responsible is usually the only one available, so large jobs must be handled by the single AME until they are finished. This almost always means the AME must work through the night after being up most of the day. The prevalence of extended shifts is notable. Almost $10 \%$ of the AMEs are working these long shifts at least once per month. Over $12 \%$ of those at major airlines are working these long shifts more than 4 times per month. Two percent of AMEs at charter airline facilities work over 25 hours more than four times per month, while $8 \%$ of those at rotary operations work this many hours more than four times per month.
AMEs at rotary operations work on average 141 hours for each 14-day period, the highest number of hours worked overall. This translates into over 70 hours per week. A substantial number of those were beyond this amount and some even reported working almost every day of the year. Salaried AMEs work 4 hours per week more, on average, than those who are paid hourly.

### 6.2 Sleep

Most of the AMEs reported that they obtained adequate sleep (ranging from 6 to 8 hours) during workdays. This may not be the case, since most of these AMEs would require from 7 to 8.5 hours of sleep (the range according to most sleep researchers) to feel fully refreshed and at peak performance. On the other hand, researchers (Naitoh, 1992, provides a review of this research) studying people in continuous operations such as military or disaster response teams, found that 4.5 to 5.5 continuous hours of sleep were required to maintain minimal performance. However, only reaction time and vigilance tasks were involved. Probably,
adequate performance on memory, decision-making and reasoning tasks may not be maintainable during such reduced sleep periods (Rhodes et al., 1996; Dinges \& Kribbs, 1991). Short naps would likely be necessary to reduce the effects of lost sleep (Stampi, 1992). Unfortunately, napping for a few minutes every few hours in order to maintain adequate performance may not be convenient.
Sleep obtained prior to a night shift was less than that obtained during the night, and was probably barely enough. AMEs in rotary operations were sometimes able to get some sleep when they had finished cleaning and repairing equipment at the base, doing their paperwork and attending to other chores. This sleep wasn't always restful, and sometimes would be obtained in a noisy camp. Sleep at night, after getting the aircraft ready to fly in the morning, would be short, since the aircraft had to be ready to fly at daybreak. Since many rotary operations are flying north of 60 , the nights would be extremely short.

In addition, on-call situations are common for many operations, and rules must be applied to ensure that AMEs obtain the rest they need. Since work hours are usually high, the added stress of waiting to be called has been known to lead to fatigue. Sleep during a period where an AME is on call is usually less restful and less efficient. Hence, AMEs must alternate this responsibility so that at least the half of their work days are free from this kind of pressure. Many AMEs are working 10 to 12 hour shifts that rotate such that one cycle is a string of nights or days, and the next is the opposite, with as many days between for rest. This may be 4 days on, 4 days off, 5 days on, 5 days off, or 7 days on, 7 days off, for example. This kind of work structure includes several nights in a row and can lead to a building sleep debt if sleep during the day is inadequate. Interview data showed that those AMEs who could obtain sleep close to the "natural" amount of sleep they need to function well managed better than those who could not get enough day-time sleep.

### 6.3 Performance

Generally, AMEs reported that work performance is degraded on extended shifts and nights. Over $30 \%$ indicated that their performance was seriously affected when having to work overtime, particularly on night shifts. This is a common response from personnel working shifts and irregular hours. It is clear, also, that long shifts, combined with many consecutive nights, affects AME performance, as shown by the fact that those working in shift-based and on-demand work structures face the highest incidence of falling asleep or nodding off while driving.

### 6.4 Napping and Other Coping Strategies

Napping is allowed by rotary operations, where more opportunities for naps occur, and the long workdays, with much of the work occurring at night, can lead to fatigue and poor performance. The AMEs in rotary operations have found that getting sleep when possible is critical for maintaining performance during their irregular work hours. Many AMEs in operations where napping is not allowed report that, in fact, they do nap. This indicates that AMEs in such operations generally sustain a certain level of sleep deprivation. It is likely that several factors are involved, including overtime levels, early morning starts, many nights worked in a row and the prevalence of work hours outside their main job, as well as personal
activities that interrupt sleep (a newborn in the family, for example). For AMEs, caffeine is the strategy of choice for coping with fatigue. Exercise, napping and diet are the second, third, and fourth most commonly used strategies.

### 6.5 Modification of Sleep on Days Off

Over 60\% of AMEs working shifts or shifts in association with on-demand hours modify their sleep on days off, and maintain different sleep-patterns from those followed during work days. Only those working on-demand hours or a standard day shift do not modify their sleep on days off. That means that most of those working nights are going back to night-time sleeping on their days off. This precludes any beneficial shift in circadian rhythm, resulting in a certain amount of circadian disrhythmia. Those AMEs interviewed acknowledged that if they slept too long during the day immediately following their last midnight, they were unable to sleep that night, and were somewhat "out of sorts" for the first two days of their days off. However, if they slept for only a couple of hours on the first day off and got a good sleep that night, the slight feelings of disorientation were effectively reduced.

### 6.6 Fatigue

During extended shifts or shifts during poor weather, cold or heat, the majority of AMEs reported that they were very fatigued by the end of the shift. About $10 \%$ of the AMEs indicated that they found it hard to stay awake after an extended night shift. This is in sharp contrast to the ratings AMEs gave for standard shifts, where the majority of AMEs were mostly alert or wide awake. Most AMEs also reported that they are tired at the end of midnight shifts, while another $20 \%$ reported that they are very tired at the end of their night shift.

## 7. Discussion of Findings

The effect of fatigue on aircraft maintenance operations is not well understood at this time, but preliminary information is available (Sian \& Watson, 1999). However, the impact of fatigue on personnel and operations in aviation and other industries has been studied and the results are clear. Poor and inadequate sleep, prolonged periods of wakefulness, and heavy workloads do lead to fatigue that will degrade performance. Working through the night results in degraded performance compared to day work. A sleep debt (sleep loss) combined with night work results in degraded performance. Even more severe degradation in performance will occur for those working extended shifts into the night.

The chances are good that an AME may experience situations where these conditions occur. Many AMEs work half their shifts at night. The night operation in an airline or stand-alone facility, for example, is often busier than the day operation. The scheduling often requires that the AME work a 12-hour day or night, for 4 to 7 days in a row. This often results in sleep loss due to poorer sleep during the day, if working nights. This sleep debt becomes progressively worse as the work cycle wears on. Add overtime to the end of the shift, or during a day off, and the opportunity for sleep may be diminished, while increased fatigue may occur from the additional workload.
Rest days are sometimes the only time an AME has to recover lost sleep, meet domestic responsibilities and socialize. Working on days off, after working long shifts during a work cycle, may not leave enough time to catch up. Studies have shown that this may increase stress at home and at work. It can lead to chronic fatigue that will affect performance at work and outside work. Mood can be depressed, and physical health may be compromised. Working after the completion of a work shift, such as at another job, risks working beyond the limit where performance becomes seriously degraded. This is particularly true for AME operations, since certain maintenance jobs do require a push to complete job tasks, because of scheduling constraints or the nature of the job itself (e.g. continuity in the job tasks is crucial, or special expertise is required).
The findings of this study indicate that there is evidence to suggest that some AMEs in Canada are at times fatigued and may be pushing the limit. Their fatigue may be chronic, as evidenced in the results for AMEs at airline facilities, or both acute and chronic, as may be the case for bush and rotary operations. Napping strategies for field operations is a feasible approach to reducing fatigue caused by irregular, long-duration work periods. It is less clear if napping might be incorporated into hangar or shop environments. The preferred alerting strategy by most AMEs is the use of caffeine drinks to get through the night. Some of the AMEs who were interviewed commented that they used many of the strategies mentioned in the questionnaire (e.g. good sleep preparation techniques) to get to sleep and to plan for their sleep periods. These individuals also stated that they found these strategies helped them maintain better alertness at work. Improving the sleeping environment, ensuring that the body and mind are ready for sleep, and making sure that sleep is obtained at the optimal time are important elements for obtaining restful sleep. Many AMEs appear to be aware of some of these strategies, but would benefit from information on others, to allow them to tailor their approach to their own requirements.

In addition, the companies need to look at scheduling practices and rules for overtime. Where some control over these aspects of the work environment exists, maintenance operations need to consider the human limits of their personnel. Where appropriate, companies need to consider providing adequate food storage and preparation facilities, properly equipped napping areas, napping/rest periods built-in to the night-time schedule, and well-lit work areas that are maintained at a comfortable temperature and humidity. Where these kinds of strategies are not feasible (e.g. in the field or in smaller operations) greater attention must be paid to work and rest hours, staff levels and work demands.
A fatigue management program that consists of educational, scheduling, and evaluation components may be necessary to reduce the risks of fatigue-related mishaps and errors. The need to find ways to reduce fatigue in AME operations is real and of a magnitude that deserves attention. Further justification, besides the present study results, for investment in a fatigue management program could come from a fatigue-risk analysis. This type of analysis can identify those critical tasks that are susceptible to fatigue effects, the types of errors that could occur and the likely frequency of their occurrence. This information would allow Transport Canada to estimate the level of risk posed by fatigue-related errors.

However, any program developed for AME operations should be tailored for AMEs and their unique circumstances. This may mean having different versions of the program, each geared toward certain types of operations: rotary/bush operations; large maintenance facilities; and smaller stand-alone operations.

## 8. Future Options

The following options are suggested to help alleviate the fatigue issues indicated by the results of the survey.

- Assessment of AME tasks that are susceptible to fatigue in all types of work environments;
- Development of FMP guidelines for AMEs, tailored for the different types of operations; and
- Pilot testing of the FMP guidelines, using the evaluative component to ascertain its effectiveness.

Each of the FMPs guidelines should consist of the following components:

- FMP planning guidelines;
- Educational components for:
> AMEs;
$>$ Supervisors and Managers; and
$>$ Trainers;
- Guidelines for schedule development;
- Evaluation component (using pre-post questionnaires, interviews, measurement of relevant statistics); and
- Duty-time requirements.
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# Evaluation of Duty Times Worked by Personnel in the Aircraft Maintenance Industry 

This survey is a Transport Canada Civil Aviation initiative, and is being conducted by an impartial third party (Rhodes \& Associates Inc., Human Factors Consultants). All information obtained by this survey is confidential. Do not submit your name, or the name of the company you work for.
Only Rhodes \& Associates Inc. will see the information you provide, and only group data will be reported. The results of this survey are for information purposes only and will be used to make up a profile of the aviation maintenance industry. The questionnaire will take about 30 minutes to complete. Please try to return the completed questionnaire to Rhodes \& Associates Inc. by March 30, 2001.

## 1. Objective of the Survey:

Transport Canada has contracted a company that specializes in shiftwork issues and human fatigue. This survey will help these researchers determine the level of fatigue experienced by aircraft maintenance workers. The information will help maintenance staff, their companies and Transport Canada better understand what operational policies, procedures and programs make sense from point of view of safety.
The data collected will be used to assess average shift times, working conditions and hours of work in the industry. The intent is to use this information to determine the type of educational and awareness activities that may be required to better ensure worker and public safety.

## 2. Point of Contact:

Transport Canada
Jacqueline Booth-Bourdeau
Aircraft Maintenance \& Manufacturing (AARPC)
330 Sparks Street, floor 2
Ottawa, ON
K1A 0N8
Tel: (613) 952-7974
Fax: (613) 952-3298
E-mail: boothbi@tc.gc.ca

## Rhodes \& Associates Inc.

Wayne Rhodes, Ph.D., C.P.E.
President
177 Jenny Wrenway
Toronto, ON
M2H 2Z3
Tel: (416) 494-2816
Fax: (416) 494-0303
E-mail: wayne-rhodes@home.com

## 3. Where to Send the Questionnaire

Please use the enclosed postage-paid envelope to return the questionnaire, by mail, to Rhodes \& Associates Inc. (Rhodes \& Associates Inc.'s address is printed on the enclosed envelope). Please do not give the completed questionnaire to anyone else, or you may compromise the confidentiality of your data.

NOTE: In preparing this survey we have identified your language of choice based on the AMEs Licensing database. If you would like this survey in another official language please contact Wayne Rhodes immediately.

NOTE: Lors de la préparation de ce sondage, le choix de langue a été identifié d'après l'information retrouvée dans la base de données des licences TEA. Si vous désirez compléter ce sondage dans l'autre langue officielle, contactez Wayne Rhodes sans délai.

Please read the following information carefully and thoroughly. All responses must be anonymous. Try to answer each question. However, if any questions make you uncomfortable, you may skip them. Thank you for your time.

## Section 1. General Information




## NOTE: Please answer the following questions that are appropriate to your work arrangements. For example, if you work both shifts and ondemand, please answer questions in both sections 2 and 3.

## Section 2. Duty Time Information: Workers of Scheduled Shifts (including standard day shifts)

The following questions gauge your duty time and the amount you work. Please fill out the survey completely and honestly. All responses will remain anonymous.
2.1 Indicate the shift(s) you work and state the start and finish times, and estimate the percent of time spent working that particular shift during an entire week ( 7 days).

| Shift (e.g. day shift) | Start Time <br> (e.g. 06:00) | Finish Time <br> (e.g. 16:00) | Percent <br> (e.g. 40\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 ) |  |  |  |
| 2 ) |  |  |  |
| 3 ) |  |  |  |
| 4$)$ |  |  |  |
| 5 ) |  |  |  |
| 6$)$ |  |  |  |
| 7 ( |  |  |  |

### 2.2 In the past twelve months have you worked simultaneously, on a regular basis (more than once a

 week), for more than one employer?Yes No
2.3 Do you work on rotating shifts?
(Please check the appropriate box)

If yes, indicate the type below.

| Check only one below | Check only one below |
| :--- | :--- |
| I change to a new shift: | Shifts rotate: |
| Weekly (shifts change once a week) | Forward (your new shift starts at a later time of day than <br> your previous shift.) |
| Bi-weekly (shifts change every two weeks) | Backward (your new shift starts at an earlier time of day <br> than your previous shift.) <br> Monthly (shifts change once a month) <br> Other (please specify) |

2.4 Please circle which days you should normally be scheduled to work (without overtime). A "normal" workweek is defined as working 40 hours). If you work with Rotating Days Off (RDOs), please check this box , and skip to the question (2.7).

Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday
2.5 Day of week your shift-cycle begins (mark only one please):

Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday
2.6 Day of week your shift-cycle ends (mark only one please):

Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday
2.7 Do you perform other duties in addition to your aircraft maintenance activities? Yes No If Yes, how many hours during a 7-day weekly period (in addition to your regular hours) does this typically occupy? $\qquad$ hours. If you answered No, please skip to Section 3.
2.8 In the table below, indicate how these hours for additional duties are typically distributed throughout your weekly work cycle.

|  | Shift (for entire weekly cycle) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th | 7th |
| Number of hours for aviation maintenance duties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of hours for additional duties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.9 Are the times listed here a representative sample of the normal hours you have worked in the past 12 months?

Yes No
2.10 If you answered No to question 2.9 please describe what different circumstances existed. Use reverse side if you need more room.

## Section 3. Duty Time Information: "On-Demand" Workers

| The following questions gauge your duty time and the amount you work. Please fill out the survey completely and honestly. All responses will remain anonymous. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | How many hours do you work during each typical 24-hour period (include all types of work)? $\qquad$ hours |
| 3.2 | How many days do you work during the typical weekly work period? $\qquad$ days. |
| 3.3 | How many hours do you work in a typical weekly work period? ___ hours |
| 3.4 | Do you work on a seasonal basis? Yes No |
| 3.5 | In the past 12 months have you worked more than seven days in a row, at least once a month? Yes <br> No |
| 3.6 | Do you perform other duties in addition to your aircraft maintenance activities? If Yes, how many hours a day (in addition to your regular hours) does this occupy? $\qquad$ hours. |
| 3.7 | Are you working according to an "hours averaging program"? Yes No |
|  | If you answered yes to 3.7 , please indicate how many days in a row you would typically have to work without an adequate sleep period (ie. $>5$ hours sleep during each 24 hour period)? $\qquad$ days. |

## Section 4. Overtime Information

This section estimates the amount of overtime you work in an average week.

| 4.1 If you do not typically work overtime, check this box and go to Section 5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | Please estimate your actual total duty time per weekly work cycle (in hours) |  |  |  |  |  | _ hours |
| 4.3 If you work with rotating days off (RDOs), please check this box , and skip to question 4.5. <br> If not, please circle which days you actually work (including overtime): <br> Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.4 Please indicate the day of week your shift-cycle begins when you are working overtime: <br> Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | .5 Please indicate how long it takes you to commute to/from work. <br> less than 10 min . $\quad 11-20 \mathrm{~min} . \quad 21-30 \mathrm{~min} . \quad 30-45 \mathrm{~min} . \quad 46-60 \mathrm{~min}$. <br> $60-120 \mathrm{~min}$ <br> over 120 min . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | What is the longest duration for a shift you have worked? $\qquad$ hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | How often per month do you work shifts of the duration given in 4.6 ? (Please check one box only) less than once once twice three more |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.8 Please state the times of your actual daily shift (including overtime).

| Shift (e.g. day shift) | Start Time <br> (e.g. 06:00) | Finish Time <br> (e.g. 16:00) | Percent <br> (e.g. 40\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 ) |  |  |  |
| 2$)$ |  |  |  |
| 3$)$ |  |  |  |
| 4$)$ |  |  |  |
| 5$)$ |  |  |  |
| 6$)$ |  |  |  |
| 7 ) |  |  |  |

4.9 Please answer the following questions by indicating your response in the appropriate circle. Note that these questions refer to times when you were at the wheel while driving to/from work.

|  | Never | Once | Twice | $\mathbf{3 - 4}$ <br> Times | $>4$ <br> Times |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I have fallen asleep at the wheel driving home to/from work. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I have momentarily nodded off while driving home to/from work. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I have fallen asleep and had an accident while driving to/from work. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I have momentarily nodded off and had an accident while driving to/from work. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

4.10 Please estimate, on the scale below, the impact of overtime on your work performance, during each shift you work:

|  | Effect on Work Performance |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shift | Strong <br> Negative Effect | Weak Negative <br> Effect | No Effect | Weak Positive <br> Effect | Strong Positive <br> Effect |
| Morning (Day) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Afternoon (Evening) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Midnight (Night) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

### 4.11 Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements:

|  | Strongly <br> Disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly <br> Agree |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I often work more than 40 hours per 7-day period. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I would like to work more hours than I do now. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I would like to work less hours than I do now. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I work more hours in order to earn more money. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I work more hours because I feel it is my responsibility. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I have to work more hours because management expects it. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| I have to work more hours because my peers expect it. | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

4.12 How often per week do you work shifts that are longer than $\mathbf{8}$ hours in duration? (check one box )
less than once
once
twice
three
more

## Section 5. Previous 12 month's information

This section relates to your last 12 months employment.
5.1 During the last 12 months, on average, how many hours (total) did you work per weekly period? -
$\qquad$ hours per week
5.2 If you have worked more than 7 days consecutively, at least once a month in the past $\mathbf{1 2}$ months, please indicate the maximum number of consecutive days worked: $\qquad$ days
5.3 What is your total estimated average daily hours worked per 24-hour period: $\qquad$ hours/24-hour per.
5.4 In the last 12 months, what has been the most hours you have worked within a 14 consecutive-day period? $\qquad$ hours per 14-day period.

## Section 6. Sleep and Rest Information

This section asks various questions that relate to the amount of rest you get.

6.10 If you answered "Yes" to 6.9 above, please describe in the table below, how you modify your sleep routine on your days off.

| Hours |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Day Off | Total Avg. Sleep | Main Sleep 1 | Main Sleep 2 | Nap 1 | Nap 2 |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ day off |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ day off |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ day off |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ day off |  |  |  |  |  |

## Section 7. Subjective Fatigue

This section allows you to indicate your subjective assessment of your level of fatigue under various circumstances.

### 7.1 Please indicate the level of fatigue you typically endure under the following circumstances.

|  | Hard to Stay Awake | Very <br> Tired | Tired | Mostly Alert | Wide Awake |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toward the end of an extended midnight shift (approx. 12-16 hours in duration). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Toward the end of a midnight shift (approx. 7-9 hours in duration). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| While working in very cold weather conditions toward the end of an extended shift (approx. 12-16 hours). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| While working in very hot weather conditions toward the end of an extended shift (approx. 12-16 hours). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| While working in very poor (rain, snow, etc.) weather conditions toward the end of an extended shift (approx. 12-16 hours). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| While working in very poor (rain, snow, etc.) weather conditions toward the end of a standard length shift (approx. 7-9 hours). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| While working in very awkward body postures toward the end of an extended shift (approx. 12-16 hours). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| While working in very awkward body postures toward the end of a standard length shift (approx. 7-9 hours in duration). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Toward the end of standard shift (approx. 7-9 hours in duration). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Toward the end of extended shift (approx. 12-16 hours in duration). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Thank you very much for taking the care and time to complete the survey.
Your responses will be kept completely confidential and only group results will be reported.
The results of the survey will help ensure a safe civil aviation system.

## Évaluation des heures de service travaillées par le personnel de l'industrie de l'entretien d'aéronefs

Ce sondage est une initiative de l'Aviation civile de Transports Canada, et est mené par une tierce partie impartiale (Rhodes \& Associates Inc., consultants en ergonomie). Tous les renseignements recueillis dans le cadre de ce sondage demeureront confidentiels. N'inscrivez pas votre nom, ni celui de la compagnie pour laquelle vous travaillez.

Les renseignements fournis seront consultés par Rhodes \& Associates Inc. uniquement, et seules des données de groupe seront divulguées. Les résultats de ce sondage ne serviront qu'à des fins informatives et seront utilisés pour dresser un profil de l'industrie de l'entretien d'aéronefs. Il faut environ 30 minutes pour remplir ce questionnaire. Veuillez le retourner dûment rempli à Rhodes \& Associates Inc., d'ici le 30 mars 2001.

## 1. Objectif du sondage :

Transports Canada a fait appel aux services d'une compagnie spécialisée dans les questions de quarts de travail et de fatigue chez les humains. Le présent sondage permettra aux chercheurs de déterminer le niveau de fatigue vécue par les travailleurs de l'entretien d'aéronefs. Ces renseignements permettront au personnel de l'entretien, à leur compagnie et à Transports Canada de mieux comprendre les politiques, procédures et programmes d'exploitation qui ont le plus de valeur en matière de sécurité.

Les données recueillies aideront à évaluer la moyenne de la durée des quarts de travail, les conditions et les heures de travail de l'industrie. Le but consiste à déterminer le type d'activités d'éducation et de sensibilisation qui pourraient être nécessaires pour assurer la sécurité des travailleurs et du public.

## 2. Personnes-ressources :

Transports Canada

Jacqueline Booth-Bourdeau
Gestionnaire des programmes techniques
de l'Aviation civile (AARPC)
330, rue Sparks, $2^{\mathrm{e}}$ étage
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N8
Tél. : (613) 952-7974
Télécopieur : (613) 952-3298
Courriel : boothbj@tc.gc.ca

Rhodes \& Associates Inc.<br>Wayne Rhodes, Ph.D., C.P.E.<br>Président<br>177 Jenny Wrenway<br>Toronto, Ontario<br>M2H 2Z3<br>Tél. : (416) 494-2816<br>Télécopieur : (416) 494-0303<br>Courriel : wayne-rhodes@home.com

## 3. Retourner le questionnaire :

Utiliser l'enveloppe à port payé ci-jointe pour retourner votre questionnaire à Rhodes \& Associates Inc. (l'adresse est inscrite sur l'enveloppe). Ne remettez pas votre questionnaire rempli à d'autres personnes, car vous risqueriez de compromettre la confidentialité de vos données.
NOTE: In preparing this survey we have identified your language of choice based on the AMEs Licensing database. If you would like this survey in another official language please contact Wayne Rhodes immediately.

NOTE : Lors de la préparation de ce sondage, le choix de la langue a été établi selon les renseignements consignés dans la base de données de délivrance des permis des TEA. Si vous désirez remplir ce sondage dans l'autre langue officielle, contactez Wayne Rhodes sans délai.

Veuillez lire attentivement et minutieusement les renseignements suivants. Toutes vos réponses doivent demeurer anonymes. Tentez de répondre à chacune des questions. Cependant, si vous êtes inconfortable avec l'une de celles-ci, n'y répondez pas. Merci de votre collaboration.

## Section 1. Renseignements généraux



| $\mathbf{1 . 1 1}$ | Travaillez-vous dans une région rurale? $\quad$ Oui $\quad$ Non |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ | Quel est votre statut d'employé? (Cocher une seule case) |
| Travailleur horaire | Temps plein (40 heures ou plus par semaine) |
|  | Temps partiel (moins de 40 heures par semaine) |

NOTE : Dans les sections suivantes, répondez aux questions qui correspondent à vos dispositions de travail. Par exemple, si vous travaillez sur des quarts et à la demande, répondez aux questions des sections 2 et 3.

## Section 2. Renseignements sur les heures de service: Travailleurs de quarts de travail planifiés (incluant les quarts de travail réguliers de jour)

Les questions suivantes mesurent vos heures de service et le temps que vous travaillez. Veuillez remplir le sondage complètement et honnêtement. Toutes vos réponses demeureront anonymes.
2.1 Indiquez le(s) quart(s) que vous travaillez ainsi que leurs heures de début et de fin. Estimez le pourcentage de temps travaillé dans chaque quart durant une semaine complète (7 jours).

| Quart (par ex. : quart de jour) | Heure <br> de début <br> (ex. $: 6 \mathrm{~h})$ | Heure <br> de fin <br> (ex. $: 16 \mathrm{~h})$ | Pourcen- <br> tage <br> (ex. $: 40 \%$ ) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) |  |  |  |
| 2 ) |  |  |  |
| 3$)$ |  |  |  |
| 4$)$ |  |  |  |
| 5 ) |  |  |  |
| 6$)$ |  |  |  |
| 7 7) |  |  |  |


| 2.2 | Au cours des douze derniers mois, avez-vous travaillé simultanément, sur une base régulière, <br> (plus d'une fois par semaine) pour plus d'un employeur? <br> Oui | Non |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


2.5 Jour de la semaine ou votre cycle de quarts de travail débute (Encercler une seule journée) : lundi mardi mercredi jeudi vendredi samedi dimanche
2.6 Jour de la semaine ou votre cycle de quarts de travail se termine (Encercler une seule journée) : lundi mardi mercredi jeudi vendredi samedi dimanche
2.7 Accomplissez-vous d'autres tâches en plus de vos activités d'entretien d'aéronef? Oui Non Si oui, combien d'heures ces tâches occupent-elles habituellement durant une semaine de 7 jours (en plus de vos heures régulières)? $\qquad$ heures
Si vous avez répondu Non, passez à la Section 3.
2.8 Dans le tableau ci-dessous, indiquez comment les heures pour ces tâches additionnelles se distribuent habituellement à travers votre cycle de travail hebdomadaire.

|  | Quart (pour le cycle de travail hebdomadaire complet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{e r}}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathbf{4}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathbf{5}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathbf{6}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathbf{7}^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| Nombre d'heures pour les tâches d'entretien d'aéronef |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nombre d'heures pour les tâches additionnelles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2.9 Les temps que vous rapportez ici sont-ils représentatifs des heures de travail normales que vous avez travaillées au cours des $\mathbf{1 2}$ derniers mois? Oui Non
2.10 Si vous avez répondu Non à la question 2.9, veuillez décrire les circonstances différentes qui ont pu être présentes. Utilisez le verso si vous avez besoin de plus d'espace.

## Section 3. Renseignements sur les heures de service:

## Travailleurs «à la demande»

| Les questions suivantes mesurent vos heures de service et le temps que vous travaillez. Veuillez <br> remplir le sondage complètement et honnêtement. Toutes vos réponses demeureront anonymes. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.1 | Combien d'heures travaillez vous au cours d'une période habituelle de 24 heures <br> (incluant tous les types de tâches)? |
| 3.2 | Combien de jours travaillez vous au cours d'une période hebdomadaire habituelle? <br> jours |
| 3.3 | Combien d'heures travaillez vous au cours d'une période hebdomadaire habituelle? <br> heures |
| 3.4 | Travaillez-vous sur une base saisonnière? |
| 3.5 | Au cours des 12 derniers mois, avez-vous travaillé plus de sept jours consécutifs, au moins <br> une fois par mois? |
| 3.6 | Accomplissez-vous d'autres tâches en plus de vos activités d'entretien d'aéronef? Si oui, combien <br> d'heures par jour y consacrez-vous (en plus de vos heures régulières)? |
| 3.7 | Travaillez-vous selon un «programme de moyenne d'heures»? |
| 3.8 | Si vous avez répondu oui <br> avoir à travailler sans bénéficier d'une période de sommeil adéquate (c'est-à-dire de plus de <br> 5 heures de sommeil pour chaque période de 24 heures)? jours. |

## Section 4. Renseignements sur les heures supplémentaires

Cette section estime la quantité d'heures supplémentaires que vous travaillez au cours d'une semaine habituelle.
4.1 Si vous ne faites pas d'heures supplémentaires habituellement, cochez cette case et passez à la Section 5.
4.2 Veuillez estimer votre temps de travail réel par cycle de travail hebdomadaire (en heures) :
$\qquad$ heures
4.3 Si vos journées de repos sont rotatives, veuillez cocher cette case et passez à la question 4.5. Si ce n'est pas le cas, veuillez encercler les jours que vous travaillez en réalité (incluant les heures supplémentaires) :
lundi mardi mercredi jeudi vendredi samedi dimanche
4.4 Veuillez indiquer le jour de la semaine où votre cycle de quarts de travail débute lorsque vous travaillez des heures supplémentaires :
lundi mardi mercredi jeudi vendredi samedi dimanche

4.9 Veuillez répondre aux questions suivantes en cochant le cercle approprié. Ces questions portent sur les moments où vous étiez au volant pour vous rendre au travail ou en revenir.

|  | Jamais | Une fois | Deux fois | $\begin{aligned} & 3-4 \\ & \text { fois } \end{aligned}$ | $>4$ fois |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Je me suis endormi(e) au volant en conduisant pour me rendre au travail ou en revenir. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Je me suis assoupi(e) brièvement en conduisant pour me rendre au travail ou en revenir. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Je me suis endormi(e) au volant et $j$ 'ai eu un accident en conduisant pour me rendre au travail ou en revenir. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Je me suis assoupi(e) brièvement et j'ai eu un accident en conduisant pour me rendre au travail ou en revenir. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

4.10 Veuillez estimer sur l'échelle ci-dessous, l'impact des heures supplémentaires sur votre rendement au travail au cours de chaque quart que vous travaillez :

|  | Effet sur le rendement au travail |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quart | Fort effet négatif | Faible effet <br> négatif | Pas d'effet | Faible effet <br> positif | Fort effet <br> positif |
| Matin (jour) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Après-midi (soirée) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Minuit (nuit) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |



## Section 5. Renseignements sur les 12 derniers mois

Cette section porte sur vos $\mathbf{1 2}$ derniers mois de travail.
5.1 Au cours des 12 derniers mois, en moyenne, combien d'heures (au total) avez-vous travaillé par période hebdomadaire? $\qquad$ heures par semaine
5.2 Si vous avez travaillé plus de 7 jours consécutifs, au moins une fois par mois au cours des 12 derniers mois, veuillez indiquer le nombre maximum de journées consécutives travaillées :
$\qquad$ jours
5.3 Quelle est la moyenne (estimée) de vos heures travaillées par période de 24 heures? $\qquad$ heures
5.4 Au cours des $\mathbf{1 2}$ derniers mois, quel a été le plus grand nombre d'heures que vous avez travaillé au cours d'une période de $\mathbf{1 4}$ jours consécutifs? $\qquad$ heures par période de 14 jours.

## Section 6. Renseignements sur le sommeil et le repos

## Cette section porte sur la quantité de repos dont vous bénéficiez.

6.1 Estimez la durée moyenne de votre sommeil par 24 heures lors d'une journée de travail (en heures) : $\qquad$ heures.
6.2 Estimez la durée moyenne de votre sommeil par 24 heures lors d'une journée de repos (en heures) :
$\qquad$ heures.


## Section 7. Fatigue subjective

Cette section vous permet d'indiquer votre évaluation subjective de votre niveau de fatigue dans diverses circonstances.

| 7.1 | habitu | ment | s les ci | stanc |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Difficulté à rester éveillé | Très fatigué | Fatigué | Assez alerte | Très éveillé |
| Vers la fin d'un quart de nuit étendu (environ 12 à 16 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vers la fin d'un quart de nuit (environ 7 à 9 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| En travaillant par un temps très froid vers la fin d'un quart étendu (environ 12 à 16 heures). | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| En travaillant par un temps très chaud vers la fin d'un quart étendu (environ 12 à 16 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| En travaillant par un très mauvais temps (pluie, neige, etc.) vers la fin d'un quart étendu (environ 12 à 16 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| En travaillant par un très mauvais temps (pluie, neige, etc.) vers la fin d'un quart de durée normalisée (environ 7 à 9 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| En travaillant dans de très mauvaises postures vers la fin d'un quart étendu (environ 12 à 16 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| En travaillant dans de très mauvaises postures vers la fin d'un quart de durée normalisée (environ 7 à 9 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Vers la fin d'un quart normalisé (environ 7 à 9 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vers la fin d'un quart étendu (environ 12 à 16 heures). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Merci beaucoup d'avoir pris le temps de remplir ce sondage.
Vos réponses sont entièrement confidentielles et seuls des résultats de groupes seront divulgués.
Les résultats de ce sondage contribueront à assurer la sécurité du système d'aviation civile.

## APPENDIX B: TABLES WITH STATISTICS

## B. 1 Breakdowns for gender, facility and geographic location.

The following sections provide breakdowns of gender, age, experience and years in present position for the three main work-related variables.

## Gender

Table B1shows the breakdown for age, years of experience and years in present position for each gender.

Table B1: Breakdown of means for age, experience and years in present position for each gender.

| Gender | Mean Age | Mean Aviation <br> Experience | Mean Years in <br> Present Position |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 43.92 | 22.34 | 11.45 |
| Female | 36.75 | 10.37 | 7.12 |

## Facility

Table B2 shows the breakdown for age, years of experience, and years in present position for each type of facility. Most of the differences in mean age of AMEs, aviation experience, and years in the present position, between each facility, are statistically significant.

Table B2: Breakdown of means for age, experience and years in present position for each facility.

| Facility Type | Mean Age | Mean Aviation <br> Experience | Mean Years in <br> Present Position |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Airline | 44.00 | 22.96 | 13.03 |
| Regional Airline | 37.92 | 16.11 | 7.67 |
| Charter Service | 37.81 | 16.27 | 7.77 |
| Air Taxi | 40.24 | 20.76 | 8.23 |
| Stand Alone Facility | 45.05 | 22.58 | 9.72 |
| General Aviation | 46.29 | 24.65 | 12.24 |
| Rotary (Helicopter)* | 40.57 | 19.04 | 10.05 |

* NOTE: The term "Rotary" is the aviation industry-wide name for helicopter operations (rotary wing aircraft), distinguishing such facilities from those that handle "fixed wing" aircraft.


## Geographic Location

Table B3 provides the breakdown for the basic demographic information for each geographic area. Many of the differences between the locations for mean age are statistically significant. All others are not.

Table B3: Demographic information for each geographic location.

| Geographical <br> Location | Mean Age | Mean Aviation <br> Experience | Mean Years in <br> Present Position |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic | 42.40 | 20.86 | 10.44 |
| Quebec | 41.57 | 20.00 | 10.28 |
| Ontario | 42.32 | 21.44 | 11.26 |
| Prairies | 43.30 | 21.39 | 9.65 |
| British Columbia | 43.98 | 22.24 | 11.29 |
| North of 60 | 38.16 | 20.00 | 8.21 |

## B. 2 Total average hours of work.

## Total Hours of Work - Comparison of Facilities

Table B4 (B4a to B4k) lists the means, standard deviation, standard error, maximums, and minimums for each facility group for several questions that attempted to gather information on the extent of working hours. The general focus and number for each question is given (see the questionnaire in Appendix A for the actual questions).

Table B4: Breakdown of hours of work for each facility type.
Table B4a: Question 2.7 - Hours per week spent on duties other than AME tasks. (Note only 305 AMEs responded to this question).

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 2.7 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean hours | 12.17 | 9.34 | 7.89 | 13.66 | 15.09 | 13.90 | 14.11 |  |
| SD | 9.82 | 7.33 | 4.70 | 9.19 | 10.89 | 11.78 | 13.86 |  |
| SE | 1.26 | 1.97 | 2.51 | 2.74 | 1.45 | 2.03 | 1.24 |  |
| Max | 40 | 30 | 21 | 40 | 40 | 60 | 80 |  |
| Min | 1.5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| N | 75 | 31 | 19 | 16 | 57 | 29 | 78 |  |


| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Term | DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Level | (Alpha=0.05) |
| A: Facility | 6 | 1334.265 | 222.3776 | 1.85 | 0.088651 | 0.688176 |
| S | 298 | 35754.08 | 119.9801 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 304 | 37088.35 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 305 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B4b: Question 3.1 - Average On-Demand hours worked in each 24-hour period.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.1 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean hours | 10.28 | 11.22 | 10.60 | 9.63 | 9.39 | 9.17 | 10.64 |  |
| SD | 2.05 | 1.98 | 1.90 | 1.74 | 1.81 | 2.33 | 2.45 |  |
| SE | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.17 |  |
| Max | 19 | 17 | 18 | 14 | 18 | 19 | 17.5 |  |
| Min | 4 | 7.5 | 6 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 4 | 4 |  |
| N | 324 | 119 | 59 | 34 | 205 | 107 | 146 |  |


| Source | DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| A: Facility | 6 | 419.7618 | 69.9603 | 16.30 | $0.000000^{*}$ | 1.000000 |
| S | 987 | 4237.037 | 4.292844 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 993 | 4656.798 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 994 |  |  |  |  |  |

Most of the differences between the facility types for the mean hours worked in a 24 -hour period are statistically significant at the $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ level.

Table B4c: Question 3.2 - Average number of days worked per weekly cycle.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.2 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |
| Mean days | $4.55^{*}$ | $4.89^{*}$ | $4.70^{*}$ | $5.27^{*}$ | $5.13^{*}$ | $5.22^{*}$ | $5.83^{*}$ |
| SD | 0.82 | 1.11 | 0.91 | 0.67 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Max | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Min | 0.75 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| N | 327 | 114 | 60 | 33 | 203 | 106 | 145 |

[^0]Table B4d: Question 3.3 - Average On-Demand hours worked in each 7-day period.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.3 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean hours | 44.38 | $50.73^{*}$ | 45.05 | $49.35^{*}$ | 45.33 | 45.06 | $58.64^{*}$ |  |
| SD | 9.81 | 13.76 | 9.60 | 12.59 | 9.68 | 12.15 | 21.42 |  |
| SE | 0.72 | 1.19 | 1.65 | 2.24 | 0.91 | 1.24 | 1.08 |  |
| Max | 98 | 112 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 90 | 130 |  |
| Min | 18 | 36 | 25 | 37.5 | 13.5 | 18 | 25 |  |
| N | 322 | 116 | 61 | 33 | 203 | 107 | 144 |  |

\(\left.\begin{array}{llllrll}Source \& \& Sum of \& Mean \& Prob \& \begin{array}{l}Power <br>

Term\end{array} \& DF\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Squares }\end{array}
$$\right)\)| Square |
| :--- |
| (Alpha=0.05) |

* Many of the differences between the facility types for the mean hours worked in a 7-day period are statistically significant at the $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ level.

Table B4e: Question 4.2 - Average hours worked in each 7-day period including overtime (actual duty time).

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4.2 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean hours | 47.43 | 52.57 | 51.12 | 51.19 | 51.14 | 51.79 | $64.09^{*}$ |  |
| SD | 11.66 | 15.40 | 10.40 | 12.47 | 10.85 | 11.60 | 23.80 |  |
| SE | 0.98 | 1.56 | 2.12 | 2.83 | 1.35 | 2.39 | 1.42 |  |
| Max | 126 | 112 | 84 | 84 | 96 | 84 | 126 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 30 | 30 | 40 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 36.7 | 37.5 |  |
| N | 225 | 89 | 48 | 27 | 118 | 38 | 108 |  |


| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Term | DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Level | (Alpha=0.05) |
| A: Facility | 6 | 20620.67 | 3436.778 | 15.88 | 0.000000* | 1.000000 |
| S | 646 | 139806.1 | 216.4181 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 652 | 160426.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 653 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Table B4f: Question 4.6 - Longest shift worked.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4.6 | Major | Regional | Charter* | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |
| Mean hours | 21.34 | 23.04 | $25.32^{*}$ | 23.37 | 19.37 | 19.69 | $25.33^{*}$ |
| SD | 10.33 | 14.39 | 10.23 | 15.70 | 7.83 | 7.41 | 16.31 |
| SE | 0.72 | 1.17 | 1.62 | 2.15 | 1.02 | 1.67 | 1.10 |
| Max | 84 | 110 | 56 | 96 | 56 | 48 | 140 |
| Min | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 10.5 | 7 |
| N | 267 | 102 | 53 | 30 | 133 | 50 | 115 |

* Rotary and charter AMEs had significantly higher mean duration for the longest shift than the rest of the AMEs.

Table B4g: Question 4.7 - Percentage of AMEs working a given number of times per month where the maximum duration shift was worked, for each facility.

| Facility | < once | once | twice | three <br> times | three | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 61.1 | 12.6 | 9.9 | 3.8 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 86.3 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 75.0 | 13.5 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 86.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 81.7 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 100.0 |
| General | 77.1 | 12.5 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 72.8 | 11.4 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 7.0 | 100.0 |

Table B4h: Question 5.1 - Average hours worked per week over the last year.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.1 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |
| Mean hours | 46.24 | 50.55 | 47.29 | 50.87 | 46.47 | 44.58 | $56.74^{*}$ |
| SD | 9.06 | 11.08 | 6.80 | 13.54 | 8.49 | 7.87 | 18.81 |
| SE | 0.58 | 1.00 | 1.35 | 1.65 | 0.74 | 1.02 | 0.90 |
| Max | 90 | 85 | 65 | 98 | 96 | 70 | 120 |
| Min | 30 | 37.5 | 27.5 | 40 | 20 | 24 | 20 |
| N | 368 | 123 | 67 | 45 | 224 | 117 | 151 |

* The greater number of hours worked by the Rotary respondents is statistically significant.

Table B4i: Question 5.2 - Maximum number of days, over 7, worked in a row, without a day off, within the last year.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.2 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean \# of <br> days | 15.42 | 14.69 | 27.31 | $35.2^{*}$ | 22.43 | 21.83 | $33.00^{*}$ |  |
| SD | 8.68 | 8.07 | 28.31 | 63.81 | 20.40 | 19.67 | 38.04 |  |
| SE | 2.52 | 4.09 | 4.80 | 6.07 | 2.64 | 4.05 | 2.42 |  |
| Max | 61.2 | 42 | 120 | 300 | 165 | 110 | 365 |  |
| Min | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| N | 116 | 44 | 32 | 20 | 106 | 45 | 126 |  |

Table B4j: Question 5.3 - The average number of hours worked per 24-hour period within the last year.


Table B4k: Question 5.4 - The maximum number of hours worked for a 14-day period within the last year.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.4 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean hours | 111.91 | 115.10 | 115.94 | 114.625 | 116.10 | 108.91 | $141.19^{*}$ |  |
| SD | 26.99 | 29.53 | 29.42 | 32.20 | 30.26 | 31.21 | 42.84 |  |
| SE | 1.89 | 3.14 | 4.65 | 5.35 | 2.50 | 3.57 | 2.97 |  |
| Max | 230 | 228 | 225 | 225 | 205 | 218 | 300 |  |
| Min | 54 | 56 | 60 | 80 | 52 | 50 | 50 |  |
| N | 279 | 113 | 53 | 40 | 184 | 90 | 130 |  |

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

Total Average Hours of Work - Comparisons for the Areas of Responsibility
Table B5 (a-l) shows the comparisons for the areas of responsibility broken down according to areas of responsibility.

Table B5: Breakdown of Hours of Work by Area of Responsibility.
Table B5a: Question 2.7 - Hours per week spent on duties other than AME tasks. (Note only 310 AMEs responded to this question).

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 2.7 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 12.10 | 10.63 | 14.33 | 15.00 | 10.49 | 30 | 13.16 |  |
| SD | 9.16 | 7.50 | 11.81 | 11.05 | 6.03 | N/A | 12.03 |  |
| SE | 1.98 | 2.76 | 1.84 | 4.93 | 1.89 | N/A | 0.81 |  |
| Max | 30 | 32 | 40 | 32 | 30 | N/A | 80 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | N/A | 1 |  |
| N | 31 | 16 | 36 | 5 | 34 | 1 | 187 |  |


| Source <br> Term | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F-Ratio | Prob Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A: Grouped_Role | 6 | 701.0756 | 116.8459 | 0.96 | 0.452025 | 0.379346 |
| S | 303 | 36851.3 | 121.6214 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 309 | 37552.38 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 310 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B5b: Question 3.1 - Average on-demand hours worked in each 24-hour period.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.1 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 10.03 | 10.13 | 9.93 | 9.95 | 9.58 | 8.62 | 10.39 |  |
| SD | 2.32 | 1.82 | 2.02 | 1.86 | 1.84 | 1.33 | 2.31 |  |
| SE | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.48 | 0.20 | 0.45 | 0.00 |  |
| $\operatorname{Max}$ | 19 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 16 | 12 | 19 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 4 |  |
| N | 144 | 111 | 107 | 20 | 112 | 23 | 490 |  |


| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Term | DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio |  | (Alpha=0.05) |
| A: Grouped_Role | 6 | 127.2441 | 21.20735 | 4.57 | 0.000138* | 0.987949 |
| S | 1000 | 4639.49 | 4.63949 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 1006 | 4766.734 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1007 |  |  |  |  |  |

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

Table B5c: Question 3.2 - Average number of days worked per weekly cycle.


Table B5d: Question 3.3 - Average on-demand hours worked in each 7-day period.


Table B5e: Question 4.2 - Average hours worked in each 7-day period including overtime (actual duty time).

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4.2 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 49.86 | 47.82 | 49.79 | 46.35 | 50.67 | 44.30 | 55.00 |  |
| SD | 13.82 | 9.22 | 13.01 | 7.85 | 9.28 | 7.85 | 18.43 |  |
| SE | 1.47 | 1.79 | 1.95 | 4.26 | 1.86 | 4.86 | 0.85 |  |
| Max | 125 | 94 | 120 | 60 | 81 | 62.5 | 126 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 30 | 37.5 | 37.2 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 30 |  |
| N | 110 | 74 | 62 | 13 | 68 | 10 | 329 |  |


| Source | DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| A: Grouped_Role | 6 | 6175.812 | 1029.302 | 4.35 | $0.000254^{*}$ | 0.983405 |
| S | 659 | 155800.6 | 236.4197 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 665 | 161976.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 666 |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Significance level of P<0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B5f: Question 4.6 - Longest shift worked.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4.6 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 21.66 | 20.92 | 23.54 | 17.13 | 20.77 | 18.30 | 22.73 |  |
| SD | 11.16 | 9.47 | 19.27 | 6.17 | 10.83 | 8.32 | 11.61 |  |
| SE | 1.47 | 1.79 | 1.95 | 4.26 | 1.86 | 4.86 | 0.85 |  |
| Max | 84 | 84 | 140 | 28 | 81 | 10 | 362 |  |
| Min | 10 | 10.5 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 8 |  |
| N | 126 | 88 | 78 | 15 | 81 | 10 | 370 |  |


| Source |  | Sum of <br> Term | MF | Mean |  | Prob <br> Squares |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Square |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Power |
| :--- |
| (Alpha=0.05) |

Table B5g: Percent of AMEs in each facility working " $n$ " number of times per month at the maximum number of daily hours.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ time/mo. | $\mathbf{2}$ times/mo. | $\mathbf{3}$ times/mo. | $\mathbf{4}$ times/mo. | $\mathbf{>} \mathbf{4}$ times/mo. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 61.1 | 12.6 | 9.9 | 3.8 | 12.6 |
| Regional | 86.3 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Charter | 75.0 | 13.5 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Air Taxi | 86.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 |
| Stand Alone | 81.7 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 3.1 |
| General | 77.1 | 12.5 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Rotary | 72.8 | 11.4 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 7.0 |

Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square
Degrees of Freedom
Probability Level
50.625351

24
0.001178

Table B5h: Question 4.7 - Percentage of AMEs working a given number of times per month maximum duration shift was worked, for each area of responsibility.

| Area of <br> Responsibility | < once | once | twice | three <br> times | > three | Total |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Airframe | 81.1 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| Avionics | 57.0 | 17.4 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 10.5 | 100.0 |
| Other | 76.6 | 11.7 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Power Plant | 60.0 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 100.0 |
| QA | 72.5 | 10.0 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 100.0 |
| Shop | 66.7 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 100.0 |
| Various | 74.0 | 9.8 | 7.7 | 1.4 | 7.1 | 100.0 |

Table B5i: Question 5.1 - Average hours worked per week over the last year.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.1 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 48.77 | 46.25 | 47.75 | 45.70 | 47.59 | 41.29 | 49.25 |  |
| SD | 13.11 | 8.42 | 10.52 | 8.07 | 9.40 | 5.09 | 12.53 |  |
| SE | 0.89 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 2.30 | 1.06 | 2.35 | 0.50 |  |
| Max | 120 | 80 | 100 | 72 | 84 | 60 | 110 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 25 | 32 | 28 | 37.5 | 25 | 37 | 20 |  |
| N | 167 | 126 | 119 | 25 | 119 | 24 | 534 |  |


| Source | DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio Prob <br> LevelPower <br> (Alpha=0.05) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| A: Grouped_Role | 6 | 2487.022 | 414.5036 | 3.13 | $0.004836^{*}$ | 0.921430 |
| S | 1107 | 146803.3 | 132.6136 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 1113 | 149290.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1114 |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Significance level of P<0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B5j: Question 5.2 - Maximum number of days, over 7, worked in a row, without a day off, within the last year.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.2 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean \# of <br> days | 20.52 | 19.82 | 21.43 | 14.90 | 24.36 | 13.40 | 25.61 |  |
| SD | 11.79 | 27.93 | 18.56 | 8.71 | 20.52 | 4.67 | 33.58 |  |
| SE | 3.27 | 4.56 | 3.84 | 8.76 | 3.64 | 12.40 | 1.70 |  |
| Max | 60 | 165 | 95 | 37 | 120 | 20 | 365 |  |
| Min | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| N | 72 | 37 | 52 | 10 | 58 | 5 | 265 |  |


| Source |  | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Term | DF | Squaped_Role | 6 | 3838.087 | 639.6813 | 0.83 |
| A: 0.544942 | 0.331682 |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | 492 | 377952.5 | 768.1961 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 498 | 381790.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 499 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B5k: Question 5.3 - The average number of hours worked per 24-hour period within the last year.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.3 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 10.09 | 10.41 | 10.01 | 9.62 | 9.64 | 8.96 | 10.31 |  |
| SD | 2.22 | 2.22 | 2.16 | 1.66 | 1.74 | 2.63 | 2.13 |  |
| SE | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.00 |  |
| Max | 24 | 16 | 19 | 12.5 | 16 | 20 | 19 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 5 | 6 | 4 | 7.45 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 3.5 |  |
| N | 164 | 123 | 117 | 24 | 119 | 24 | 534 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table Source
Term

A: Grouped_Role
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 96.15518 |
| 1098 | 4943.952 |
| 1104 | 5040.107 |

Mean
Square
16.02586
4.502688

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level |  |  |
| 3.56 | $0.001697^{*}$ | 0.953800 |

Table B5I: Question 5.4 - The maximum number of hours worked for a 14-day period within the last year.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.4 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 116.09 | 113.05 | 115.57 | 111.33 | 116.47 | 93.30 | 120.64 |  |
| SD | 31.38 | 27.34 | 30.84 | 25.48 | 30.21 | 15.93 | 35.76 |  |
| SE | 2.76 | 3.23 | 3.59 | 7.70 | 3.20 | 7.30 | 1.57 |  |
| Max | 220 | 205 | 228 | 168 | 225 | 135 | 300 |  |
| Min | 50 | 70 | 60 | 80 | 50 | 75 | 50 |  |
| N | 140 | 102 | 83 | 18 | 104 | 20 | 434 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table Source
Term
A: Grouped_Role S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$


## DF

6
894
900
901

Sum of Squares 19370.82 953863.1 973233.9
Mean
Square
3228.469
1066.961

Prob
F-Ratio Level
Power (Alpha=0.05) 3.03 0.006199* 0.911182

Total Average Hours of Work - For the Types of Employees
Table B6 (a-i) shows the comparisons for the same questions, but this time broken down according to the type of employee.

Table B6: Breakdown of Hours of Work by Type of Employee.
Table B6a: Question 2.7 - Hours per week spent on duties other than AME tasks. (Note: only 313 AMEs responded to this question)

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 2.7 | Hourly | Salary | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 13.46 | 12.22 | 27.65 |
| SD | 11.93 | 9.85 | 18.73 |
| SE | 1.11 | 0.74 | 3.81 |
| Max | 68 | 80 | 60 |
| Min | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| N | 94 | 211 | 8 |

Analysis of Variance Table Source Term
A: Employ. Type S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

DF
Squares
2-1858.213
$310 \quad 36082.23$
31237940.45

313

Mean
Square
929.1066
116.3943

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio <br> Level |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 7.98 | $0.000416^{*}$ | 0.954513 |

(Alpha=0.05) 0.954513

Table B6b: Question 3.1 - Average on-demand hours worked in each 24-hour period.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.1 | Hourly | Salary | Part Time* |
| Mean hours | 10.10 | 10.20 | 8.37 |
| SD | 2.11 | 2.17 | 2.53 |
| SE | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.43 |
| Max | 18 | 19 | 14 |
| Min | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| N | 323 | 663 | 25 |

* Part-time AMEs work significantly fewer hours than hourly or salaried AMEs.

Analysis of Variance Table

Source
Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $P<0.05$

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 81.28963 | 40.64481 | 8.68 | $0.000184^{*}$ | 0.969231 |
| 1008 | 4721.608 | 4.684135 |  |  |  |
| 1010 | 4802.898 |  |  |  |  |
| 1011 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B6c: Question 3.2 - Average number of days worked per weekly cycle.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.2 | Hourly | Salary* | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 4.85 | 5.10 | 5.04 |
| SD | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.07 |
| SE | 5.45 | 0.04 | 0.20 |
| Max | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Min | 0.75 | 1 | 3 |
| N | 320 | 659 | 24 |

Although the difference between the number of days worked by salaried AMEs is only slightly more, it is significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).
Analysis of Variance Table

Source
Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $P<0.05$

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 12.13523 | 6.067614 | 6.39 | $0.001747^{*}$ | 0.902112 |
| 1000 | 949.4738 | 0.9494737 |  |  |  |
| 1002 | 961.6089 |  |  |  |  |
| 1003 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B6d: Question 3.3 - Average on-demand hours worked in each 7-day period.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.3 | Hourly | Salary* | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 45.72 | 49.01 | 40.66 |
| SD | 11.20 | 14.81 | 17.35 |
| SE | 0.77 | 0.54 | 2.77 |
| Max | 98 | 130 | 75 |
| Min | 18 | 25 | 13.5 |
| N | 319 | 657 | 25 |

* Salaried AMEs are working significantly more hours than hourly or part-time AMEs ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

Analysis of Variance Table

Source
Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

DF
2
998
1000
1001

Table B6e: Question 4.2 - Average hours worked in each 7-day period including overtime (actual duty time).

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4.2 | Hourly | Salary* | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 49.47 | 53.45 | 51.04 |
| SD | 12.97 | 16.76 | 11.72 |
| SE | 1.05 | 0.74 | 6.37 |
| Max | 126 | 126 | 70 |
| Min | 30 | 30 | 40.25 |
| N | 221 | 442 | 6 |

* Salaried AMEs are working significantly more hours than hourly AMEs ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).


## Analysis of Variance Table

## Source

Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

DF
2
666
668
669
Sum of
Squares
2324.954
161956.6
164281.5
Mean
Square
1162.477
243.1781

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level | (Alpha=0.05) |  |
| 4.78 | $0.008683^{*}$ | 0.794844 |

Table B6f: Question 4.6 - Longest shift worked.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4.6 | Hourly | Salary | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 21.56 | 22.26 | 20.14 |
| SD | 11.94 | 12.30 | 6.44 |
| SE | 0.76 | 0.54 | 4.60 |
| Max | 101 | 140 | 32 |
| Min | 8 | 7 | 14 |
| N | 255 | 506 | 7 |

There is no significant difference in the mean duration of the longest shift; however, the salaried AMEs have a mean duration that is slightly higher. This is consistent with the greater number of hours these AMEs work.

Analysis of Variance Table

Source
Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

DF

765
767 768
Sum of
Squares
107.4511
112842.5
112949.9
112949.9

|  | Prob <br> F-Ratio | Power <br> Level |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.36 | 0.694855 | 0.108670 |

0.108670

Table B6g: Question 5.1 - Average hours worked per week over the last year.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.1 | Hourly* | Salary* | Part Time* $^{*}$ |
| Mean hours | 46.79 | 49.09 | 41.38 |
| SD | 9.20 | 12.47 | 13.50 |
| SE | 0.61 | 0.43 | 2.58 |
| Max | 98 | 120 | 70 |
| Min | 20 | 27.5 | 20 |
| N | 363 | 735 | 20 |

* All three of the means are significantly different ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) from one another.

Analysis of Variance Table

## Source

Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2234.489 | 1117.244 | 8.41 | $0.000238^{*}$ | 0.964570 |
| 1115 | 148174.8 | 132.8922 |  |  |  |
| 1117 | 150409.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1118 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B6h: Question 5.2 - Maximum number of days, over 7, worked in a row, without a day off, within the last year.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.2 | Hourly | Salary | Part Time |
| Mean \# of days | 20.67 | 25.12 | 13.63 |
| SD | 17.11 | 31.64 | 7.23 |
| SE | 2.22 | 1.51 | 9.78 |
| Max | 120 | 365 | 28 |
| Min | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| N | 156 | 334 | 8 |

The widely different means for maximum days are not significantly different from a statistical point of view; however, this may be due to the extreme variation in answers within each group.
Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power <br> Term |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Sevel <br> Lenlpha=0.05) |  |  |
| A: Employ. Type | 2 | 2913.319 | 1456.66 | 1.90 | 0.150323 | 0.395294 |
| S | 495 | 379050.5 | 765.7587 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 497 | 381963.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 498 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B6i: Question 5.4 - The maximum number of hours worked for a 14-day period within the last year.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.4 | Hourly* | Salary* | Part Time* $^{*}$ |
| Mean \# of days | 114.75 | 119.20 | 95.23 |
| SD | 31.04 | 33.50 | 35.20 |
| SE | 1.89 | 1.34 | 9.08 |
| Max | 230 | 300 | 161 |
| Min | 54 | 50 | 50 |
| N | 301 | 597 | 13 |

Analysis of Variance Table

## Source

Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 10432.14 | 5216.072 | 4.87 | $0.007877^{*}$ | 0.803152 |
| 908 | 972574.2 | 1071.117 |  |  |  |
| 910 | 983006.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 911 |  |  |  |  |  |

Hours of Work for Types of Work Structures
Table B7: Breakdown of Hours of Work for Each Type of Work Structure.

Table B7a: Question 2.7 - Hours per week spent on duties other than AME tasks. (Note only 314 AMEs responded to this question).

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 2.7 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand* | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 11.21 | 24.44 | 12.14 | 12.21 | 13.38 |
| SD | 9.19 | 19.69 | 8.96 | 9.41 | 12.02 |
| SE | 1.29 | 2.52 | 1.78 | 0.95 | 1.35 |
| Max | 36 | 80 | 40 | 56 | 68 |
| Min | 1.5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| N | 69 | 18 | 36 | 128 | 63 |

* The AMEs working on an on-demand basis spend significantly more time on other work.

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source | DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| A: Employ. Type | 2 | 1858.213 | 929.1066 | 7.98 | $0.000416^{*}$ | 0.954513 |
| S | 310 | 36082.23 | 116.3943 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 312 | 37940.45 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 313 |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Significance level of P<0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B7b: Question 3.1 - Average on-demand hours worked in each 24-hour period.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.1 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day* | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand* | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 10.66 | 10.62 | 9.11 | 9.63 | 10.86 |
| SD | 1.98 | 3.20 | 1.89 | 1.98 | 2.22 |
| SE | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.16 |
| Max | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Min | 7.5 | 4 | 4 | 5.5 | 4 |
| N | 307 | 50 | 143 | 339 | 163 |

AMEs working a standard shift, or standard shift with on-demand hours, work about 1 to 1.5 fewer on-demand hours per 24-hour period than those working in other work structures. This is statistically significant at the $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ level.

| Analysis of Variance Table <br> Source |  | Sum of | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob | Power <br> Lerm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| (Alpha=0.05) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B7c: Question 3.2 - Average number of days worked per weekly cycle.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.2 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand* | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand* |
| Mean hours | $4.57^{*}$ | $5.85^{*}$ | $5.00^{*}$ | $5.36^{*}$ | $4.86^{*}$ |
| SD | 0.85 | 1.20 | 0.65 | 0.84 | 1.18 |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Max | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| N | 305 | 48 | 142 | 340 | 161 |

Most of the differences above are significant, although the differences are small.
Analysis of Variance Table
Source

Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 12.13523 | 6.067614 | 6.39 | $0.001747^{*}$ | 0.902112 |
| 1000 | 949.4738 | 0.9494737 |  |  |  |
| 1002 | 961.6089 |  |  |  |  |
| 1003 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B7d: Question 3.3 - Average On-Demand hours worked in each 7-day period.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3.3 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 45.89 | 54.26 | 43.11 | 49.34 | 49.82 |
| SD | 11.18 | 22.29 | 7.35 | 14.95 | 15.96 |
| SE | 0.78 | 1.98 | 1.14 | 0.74 | 1.08 |
| Max | 110 | 105 | 80 | 130 | 112 |
| Min | 35 | 13.5 | 28 | 18 | 25 |
| N | 304 | 48 | 143 | 339 | 159 |

The differences between the AME work structures groups for the mean hours worked in a 7day period are statistically significant at the $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ level.

Analysis of Variance Table Source Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total
DF
2
998
1000

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

Table B7e: Question 4.2 - Average hours worked in each 7-day period including overtime (actual duty time).

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4.2 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 49.57 | $73.64^{*}$ | 46.91 | $53.29^{*}$ | $54.31^{*}$ |
| SD | 14.02 | 24.13 | 7.81 | 15.45 | 17.35 |
| SE | 1.01 | 3.21 | 1.70 | 1.02 | 1.35 |
| Max | 120 | 120 | 80 | 126 | 126 |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 30 | 40 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 30 |
| N | 224 | 22 | 78 | 215 | 124 |

* AMEs working on-demand hours, wholly or in part, work significantly more hours than those working just a standard day or straight shifts. Those working on demand only averaged more hours than any other work-structure group.


## Analysis of Variance Table

## Source

Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

DF
2
666
668
669

Sum of

## Squares

2324.954
161956.6
164281.5

Mean
Square
1162.477
243.1781

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level |  |  |
| 4.78 | $0.008683^{*}$ | 0.794844 |

Table B7f: Question 4.6 - Longest shift worked.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4.6 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand* | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 20.44 | 29.19 | 19.31 | 23.13 | 23.99 |
| SD | 9.71 | 26.51 | 11.63 | 13.41 | 10.41 |
| SE | 0.72 | 2.62 | 1.27 | 0.78 | 1.01 |
| Max | 96 | 140 | 84 | 110 | 84 |
| Min | 8 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 10.5 |
| N | 275 | 21 | 90 | 234 | 142 |

Analysis of Variance Table

Source
Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

DF
2
765
767 768

| Sum of | Mean |
| :--- | :--- |
| Squares | Square |
| 107.4511 | 53.72554 |
| 112842.5 | 147.5065 |
| 112949.9 |  |


|  | Prob <br> F-Ratio | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.36 | 0.694855 | 0.108670 |

0.108670

Table B7g: Question 5.1 - Average hours worked per week over the last year.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.1 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> +On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | $47.47^{*}$ | $55.58^{*}$ | 43.76 | $48.51^{*}$ | $51.85^{*}$ |
| SD | 10.58 | 20.87 | 6.41 | 10.61 | 14.52 |
| SE | 0.60 | 1.71 | 0.84 | 0.60 | 0.87 |
| Max | 110 | 105 | 84 | 120 | 110 |
| Min | 30 | 24 | 32 | 20 | 20 |
| N | 356 | 44 | 182 | 359 | 170 |

Analysis of Variance Table

## Source

Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2234.489 |
| 1115 | 148174.8 |
| 1117 | 150409.3 |

1118

Table B7h: Question 5.2 - Maximum number of days, over 7, worked in a row, without a day off, within the last year.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5.2 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> +On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 15.10 | 31.06 | 19.49 | 28.97 | 20.55 |
| SD | 7.80 | 48.95 | 12.01 | 33.76 | 21.52 |
| SE | 2.59 | 4.68 | 3.61 | 1.93 | 2.86 |
| Max | 73 | 300 | 61.2 | 363 | 166 |
| Min | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| N | 111 | 34 | 57 | 200 | 91 |


| Analysis of Variance Table |  |  |  |  | Mean |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source |  | Sum of | MF | Sob | Power |  |
| Term | 2 | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Level | (Alpha=0.05) <br> A: Employ. Type |
| S | 495 | 3913.319 | 1456.66 | 1.90 | 0.150323 | 0.395294 |
| Total (Adjusted) | 497 | 381963.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 498 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B7i: Question 5.4 - The maximum number of hours worked for a 14-day period within the last year.

| Question 5.4 | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shift-worker | Worker on Demand* | Standard Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand* | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand* |
| Mean hours | 110.61 | 132.11 | 106.59 | 122.55 | 126.04 |
| SD | 27.08 | 48.01 | 27.13 | 35.38 | 34.04 |
| SE | 1.89 | 1.28 | 2.71 | 1.89 | 2.67 |
| Max | 230 | 240 | 210 | 300 | 255 |
| Min | 54 | 60 | 52 | 50 | 58 |
| N | 288 | 37 | 140 | 288 | 145 |
| Analysis of Variance Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob Power |
|  |  | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Level (Alpha=0.05) |
| A: Employ. Type |  | 10432.14 | 5216.072 | 4.87 | $0.007877^{*} 0.803152$ |
| S |  | 972574.2 | 1071.117 |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) |  | 983006.3 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Breakdown for Work Structure by Employee Type

Table B8: Results of cross-tabulation of AME work structure groups by their employee type.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee Type | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> +On- <br> Demand |  |
| FREQUENCIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly | 160 | 13 | 50 | 92 | 62 |  |
| Salary | 214 | 22 | 140 | 278 | 109 |  |
| Part Time | 1 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 4 |  |
| TOTAL | 375 | 51 | 191 | 376 | 175 |  |
| ROW PERCENTAGES |  |  | 24.4 | 16.4 |  |  |
| Hourly | 42.5 | 3.4 | 13.3 | 24.4 | 14.3 |  |
| Salary | 28.0 | 2.9 | 18.3 | 36.4 | 14.3 |  |
| Part Time | 3.6 | 57.1 | 3.6 | 21.4 | 15.0 |  |
| TOTAL | 32.1 | 4.4 | 16.4 | 32.2 |  |  |
| COLUMN PERCENTAGES |  |  |  | 35.4 |  |  |
| Hourly | 42.7 | 25.5 | 26.2 | 24.5 | 62.3 |  |
| Salary | 57.3 | 43.1 | 73.3 | 73.9 | 2.3 |  |
| Part Time | 0.3 | 31.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 |  |  |

Table B9: Breakdown of work structures by facility type.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee Type | Shift-worker | Worker on Demand | Standard Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker + OnDemand |
| FREQUENCIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major | 228 | 0 | 53 | 35 | 67 |
| Regional | 65 | 0 | 10 | 22 | 32 |
| Charter | 22 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 7 |
| Air Taxi | 1 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 7 |
| Stand Alone | 35 | 11 | 53 | 112 | 25 |
| General | 11 | 13 | 28 | 64 | 6 |
| Rotary | 8 | 19 | 24 | 90 | 18 |
| TOTAL | 370 | 53 | 183 | 362 | 175 |
| ROW PERCENTAGES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major | 59.5 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 17.5 |
| Regional | 50.4 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 17.1 | 24.8 |
| Charter | 32.4 | 10.3 | 7.4 | 20.6 | 29.4 |
| Air Taxi | 2.2 | 6.5 | 21.7 | 54.3 | 15.2 |
| Stand Alone | 14.8 | 4.7 | 22.5 | 47.5 | 10.6 |
| General | 9.0 | 10.7 | 23.0 | 52.5 | 4.9 |
| Rotary | 5.0 | 11.9 | 15.1 | 56.6 | 11.3 |
| TOTAL | 32.4 | 4.6 | 16.0 | 31.7 | 15.3 |
| COLUMN PERCENTAGES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major | 61.6 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 9.7 | 38.3 |
| Regional | 17.6 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 18.3 |
| Charter | 5.9 | 13.2 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 11.4 |
| Air Taxi | 0.3 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 4.0 |
| Stand Alone | 9.5 | 20.8 | 29.0 | 30.9 | 14.3 |
| General | 3.0 | 24.5 | 15.3 | 17.7 | 3.4 |
| Rotary | 2.2 | 35.8 | 13.1 | 24.9 | 10.3 |

## B. 3 Overtime

## Table B10: Percent of AMEs working overtime ( $\mathrm{n}=990$ ).

| Row Percentages Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Work OT |  |  |
| Facility | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| Major | 33.7 | 66.3 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 26.1 | 73.9 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 27.1 | 72.9 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 37.5 | 62.5 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 44.5 | 55.5 | 100.0 |
| General | 64.4 | 35.6 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 29.5 | 70.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 37.5 | 62.5 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 218
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 50.858361

Degrees of Freedom 6
Probability Level 0.000000

Table B11: Percent of AMEs working at other jobs ( $n=1069$ ).

| Row Percentages Section <br> $>1$ Employer |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| Major | 90.9 | 9.1 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 82.0 | 18.0 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 89.2 | 10.8 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 91.1 | 8.9 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 85.8 | 14.2 | 100.0 |
| General | 87.7 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 81.4 | 18.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 87.2 | 12.8 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 139

## Chi-Square Statistics Section

Chi-Square
12.995936

Degrees of Freedom
6
Probability Level
0.043101

## B. 4 Sleep

## Table B12: Breakdown of sleep data for each facility type.

Table B12a: Question 6.1 - Average sleep on work-days.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.1 | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean hours | $6.46^{*}$ | $6.46^{*}$ | 6.65 | $7.10^{*}$ | $7.00^{*}$ | $7.09^{*}$ | $6.87^{*}$ |  |
| SD | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 1.02 |  |
| SE | 5.18 | 8.88 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 6.60 | 9.16 | 7.98 |  |
| Max | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.5 | 4 | 4 |  |
| N | 385 | 131 | 67 | 46 | 237 | 123 | 162 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table
Source

Term
A: Facility
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 79.70073 |
| 1144 | 1180.755 |
| 1150 | 1260.456 |

Mean
Square
13.28345
1.032129

Table B12b: Question 6.2 - Average sleep on rest-days.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.2 | Major | Regional* $^{*}$ | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary* $^{*}$ |  |
| Mean hours | 8.06 | 8.35 | 8.15 | 7.89 | 8.14 | 7.97 | 8.39 |  |
| SD | 1.24 | 1.30 | 1.08 | 1.32 | 1.23 | 1.08 | 1.27 |  |
| SE | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 8.10 | 0.11 | 9.70 |  |
| Max | 12 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 14 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 |  |
| N | 385 | 131 | 67 | 45 | 233 | 123 | 161 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Term | DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Level | (Alpha=0.05) |
| A: Facility | 6 | 25.19488 | 4.199147 | 2.78 | 0.010987* | 0.882474 |
| S | 1138 | 1720.488 | 1.511853 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 1144 | 1745.683 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1145 |  |  |  |  |  |

* The regional and rotary groups reported significantly higher means for sleep duration on days off than the other facility groups ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

Table B12c: Question 6.5a - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 06:00 and 18:00.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question <br> $\mathbf{6 . 5 a}$ | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean hours | 6.60 | 6.78 | 6.68 | $7.07^{*}$ | $6.97^{*}$ | $6.87^{*}$ | $6.85^{*}$ |  |
| SD | 1.13 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.08 |  |
| SE | 6.19 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 7.75 | 0.11 | 0.10 |  |
| Max | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 |  |
| Min | 1.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 0.75 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| N | 285 | 92 | 56 | 37 | 182 | 87 | 108 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power <br> Perm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Termalpha=0.05) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* AMEs from rotary, general, stand alone and air taxi facilities all reported significantly higher amounts of sleep prior to day shifts (approximately 06:00 to 18:00) than those from facilities at the major airlines ( $p<0.05$ ).

Table B12d: Question 6.5b - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 13:00 and 01:00.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question <br> $\mathbf{6 . 5 b}$ | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |
| Mean hours | 7.02 | 6.49 | 7.20 | 7.17 | 7.26 | 7.21 | 6.83 |
| SD | 1.25 | 1.68 | 1.60 | 1.29 | 1.27 | 1.27 | 1.85 |
| SE | 9.54 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.20 |
| Max | 10.5 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.0 |
| Min | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0.5 |
| N | 216 | 37 | 44 | 16 | 72 | 26 | 47 |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Term | DF | Squares |
| A: Facility | 6 | 19.0602 |
| S | 451 | 885.8243 |
| Total (Adjusted) | 457 | 904.8846 |

Mean
Square
3.1767
1.964134

Table B12e: Question 6.5c - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 22:00 and 10:00.

|  | Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question <br> $\mathbf{6 . 5 c}$ | Major | Regional | Charter | Air Taxi | Stand <br> Alone | General | Rotary |  |
| Mean hours | $5.89^{*}$ | 6.63 | 6.41 | $7.67^{*}$ | 6.39 | 6.41 | 6.57 |  |
| SD | 1.34 | 1.63 | 1.56 | 2.12 | 1.15 | 1.43 | 1.74 |  |
| SE | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.24 |  |
| Max | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Min | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4 | 5 | 4.5 | 4 | 2 |  |
| N | 197 | 77 | 44 | 9 | 44 | 16 | 36 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Term | DF | Squares |
| A: Facility | 6 | 46.31029 |
| S | 416 | 886.3354 |
| Total (Adjusted) | 422 | 932.6457 |

Mean
Square
7.718382
2.130614

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level | (Alpha=0.05) |  |
| 3.62 | $0.001628^{*}$ | 0.955495 |

Total 423

* Significance level of $P<0.05$


## Table B13: Breakdown of sleep data for employee type.

Table B13a: Question 6.1 - Average sleep on work-days.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.1 | Hourly | Salary | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 6.65 | 6.76 | $7.32^{*}$ |
| SD | 1.09 | 1.01 | 0.85 |
| SE | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| Max | 11 | 10 | 9 |
| Min | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| N | 376 | 773 | 26 |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power <br> Perm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Term | DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Level <br> (Alpha=0.05) |  |
| A: Employ. Type | 2 | 12.00712 | 6.003562 | 5.64 | $0.003650^{*}$ | 0.860867 |
| S | 1172 | 1247.564 | 1.064474 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 1174 | 1259.571 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1175 |  |  |  |  |  |

* Part-time AMEs reported getting over $1 / 2$ hour more sleep on work days than hourly or salaried AMEs ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

Table B13b: Question 6.2 - Average sleep on rest-days.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.2 | Hourly | Salary | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 8.07 | 8.20 | 7.88 |
| SD | 1.26 | 1.22 | 0.98 |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| Max | 16 | 14 | 10 |
| Min | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| N | 374 | 770 | 26 |

The difference between groups for sleep during days off is not significant, statistically.
Analysis of Variance Table

| Source | DF | Sum of <br> Squares | Mean <br> Square | F-Ratio | Prob <br> Level | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| A: Employ. Type | 2 | 6.070956 | 3.035478 | 2.01 | 0.134161 | 0.416711 |
| S | 1167 | 1760.482 | 1.508554 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 1169 | 1766.553 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1170 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B13c: Question 6.5a - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 06:00 and 18:00.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.5a | Hourly | Salary | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 6.69 | 6.82 | 7.10 |
| SD | 1.11 | 1.02 | 0.71 |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.27 |
| Max | 10 | 10 | 8 |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 0.75 | 1.5 | 6 |
| N | 294 | 561 | 15 |

The difference between groups for sleep prior to day shifts is not significant, statistically.
Analysis of Variance Table

Source
Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

DF
2
867
869 870
Sum of
Squares
5.042277
957.0351
962.0773

Mean
Square
2.521139
1.103847

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level |  |  |
| 2.28 | 0.102493 | 0.465019 |

Table B13d: Question 6.5b - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 13:00 and 01:00.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.5b | Hourly | Salary | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 7.02 | 7.05 | 6.67 |
| SD | 1.31 | 1.49 | 0.58 |
| SE | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.82 |
| Max | 10.5 | 10 | 7 |
| Min | 2 | 0.5 | 6 |
| N | 181 | 276 | 3 |

The difference between groups for sleep prior to day shifts is not significant, statistically.
Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of <br> Term | DF | Mean <br> Squares | Pquare <br> Squa | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| A: Employ. Type | 2 | 0.375983 | 0.1879915 | 0.09 | 0.910492 | 0.064270 |
| S | 457 | 916.0101 | 2.004399 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 459 | 916.3861 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 460 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B13e: Question 6.5c - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 22:00 and 10:00.

|  | Employee Type |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.5c | Hourly | Salary | Part Time |
| Mean hours | 5.98 | 6.26 | 5.70 |
| SD | 1.57 | 1.46 | 0.84 |
| SE | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.67 |
| Max | 12 | 10 | 7 |
| Min | 1.5 | 1.5 | 5 |
| N | 146 | 274 | 5 |

Analysis of Variance Table Source
Term
A: Employ. Type S

Total (Adjusted)
Total

|  | Sum of |
| :--- | :--- |
| DF | Squares <br> Sq |
| 2 | 8.040681 |
| 422 | 941.9446 |
| 424 | 949.9853 |

Mean
Square
4.02034
2.232096

## Table B14: Breakdown of sleep data for area of responsibility.

Table B14a: Question 6.1 - Average sleep on workdays.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.1 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 6.66 | 6.61 | 7.03 | 7.08 | 6.82 | 6.94 | 6.70 |  |
| SD | 1.02 | 1.06 | 0.98 | 1.08 | 0.91 | 1.01 | 1.08 |  |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 |  |
| Max | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| N | 175 | 131 | 125 | 26 | 129 | 24 | 564 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power <br> Perm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Sevel <br> (Alpha=0.05) |  |  |
| A: Responsibility | 6 | 19.41749 | 3.236248 | 2.99 | $0.006686^{*}$ | 0.907746 |
| S | 1167 | 1263.793 | 1.082942 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 1173 | 1283.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1174 |  |  |  |  |  |

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

Table B14b: Question 6.2 - Average sleep on rest-days.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.2 | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 8.15 | 8.25 | 8.08 | 8.44 | 8.17 | 7.92 | 8.15 |  |
| SD | 1.10 | 1.24 | 1.12 | 1.28 | 1.20 | 0.88 | 1.31 |  |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.00 |  |
| Max | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 16 |  |
| Min | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 |  |
| N | 175 | 130 | 125 | 26 | 128 | 24 | 560 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source | DF | Sum of <br> Squares |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Term | 6 | 5.351313 |
| A Responsibility | 1161 | 1763.728 |
| S | 1167 | 1769.08 |
| Total (Adjusted) | 1168 |  |

Mean
Square
0.8918856
1.519146

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level | (Alpha=0.05) |  |
| 0.59 | 0.740867 | 0.237571 |

Table B14c: Question 6.5a - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 06:00 and 18:00.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question <br> 6.5a | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 6.60 | 6.86 | 7.01 | 6.66 | 6.88 | 6.68 | 6.76 |  |
| SD | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 1.47 | 0.96 | 1.09 | 1.10 |  |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.00 |  |
| Max | 8 | 9 | 10 | 8.5 | 9 | 9 | 10 |  |
| Min | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1.5 | 4 | 4 | 0.75 |  |
| N | 132 | 91 | 93 | 22 | 108 | 20 | 397 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table
\(\left.\begin{array}{lll}Source \& \& Sum of <br>

Term \& DF \& Squares\end{array}\right]\)| A: Responsibility | 6 | 11.52902 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | 856 | 945.9376 |
| Total (Adjusted) | 862 | 957.4666 |


|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level | (Alpha=0.05) |  |
| 1.74 | 0.109011 | 0.662089 |

Table B14d: Question 6.5b - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 13:00 and 01:00.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question <br> $\mathbf{6 . 5 b}$ | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 7.18 | 7.34 | 7.18 | 7.00 | 6.97 | 8.00 | 6.87 |  |
| SD | 1.24 | 1.05 | 1.36 | 1.75 | 1.13 | N/A | 1.57 |  |
| SE | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.21 | N/A | 0.00 |  |
| Max | 10 | 10.5 | 10 | 9 | 10 | N/A | 10 |  |
| Min | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2.45 | 5 | N/A | 0.5 |  |
| N | 80 | 56 | 36 | 13 | 44 | 1 | 233 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table Source
Term
A: Responsibility S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

## DF

6
456
462
463
Sum of
Squares
14.59009
909.7217
924.3118
Mean
Square
2.431682
1.995004

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level | (Alpha=0.05) |  |
| 1.22 | 0.295117 | 0.481723 |

(Alpha=0.05)
0.481723

Table B14e: Question 6.5c - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 22:00 and 10:00.

|  | Area of Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question <br> 6.5c | Airframe | Avionics | Other | Power <br> Plant | QA | Shop | Various |  |
| Mean hours | 6.30 | 6.17 | 5.89 | 6.43 | 6.21 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 6.13 |  |
| SD | 1.39 | 1.35 | 1.38 | 1.27 | 1.51 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.57 |  |
| SE | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.56 | 0.28 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 0.00 |  |
| $\operatorname{Max}$ | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 10 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 12 |  |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.5 |  |
| N | 70 | 59 | 32 | 7 | 29 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 231 |  |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power <br> Perm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Revel <br> Lena=0.05) |  |  |
| A: Responsibility | 5 | 4.481442 | 0.8962883 | 0.40 | 0.847048 | 0.156163 |
| S | 422 | 939.5762 | 2.226484 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 427 | 944.0577 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 428 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table B15: Breakdown of sleep data for work structure.

Table B15a: Question 6.1 - Average sleep on workdays.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.1 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand $^{*}$ | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 6.41 | 7.01 | 7.10 | 6.93 | 6.57 |
| SD | 1.04 | 1.97 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 1.16 |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Max | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| N | 375 | 52 | 189 | 378 | 174 |

Analysis of Variance Table

## Source

Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

* Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 12.00712 |
| 1172 | 1247.564 |
| 1174 | 1259.571 |1175

Mean
Square
6.003562
1.064474

|  | Prob | Power <br> F-Ratio |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 5.64 | $0.003650^{*}$ | 0.860867 |

Table B15b: Question 6.2 - Average sleep on rest-days.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.2 | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand* | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 8.14 | 7.97 | 8.05 | 8.20 | 8.21 |
| SD | 1.26 | 1.42 | 1.05 | 1.13 | 1.53 |
| SE | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| $\operatorname{Max}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| $\operatorname{Min}$ | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| N | 375 | 51 | 190 | 375 | 173 |

Analysis of Variance Table

Source
Term
A: Employ. Type
S
Total (Adjusted)
Total

| DF | Sum of <br> Squares |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 6.070956 |
| 1167 | 1760.482 |
| 1169 | 1766.553 |
| 1170 |  |

Mean
Square
3.035478
1.508554

|  | Prob | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| F-Ratio | Level | (Al |

0.416711

Table B15c: Question 6.5a - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 06:00 and 18:00.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.5a | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand $^{*}$ | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 6.69 | 7.24 | 7.08 | 6.79 | 6.52 |
| SD | 1.02 | 0.89 | 1.03 | 1.07 | 1.07 |
| SE | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| Max | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Min | 4 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 0.75 | 4 |
| N | 271 | 33 | 145 | 276 | 137 |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power <br> Term |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Level | (Alpha=0.05) |  |
| A: Employ. Type | 2 | 5.042277 | 2.521139 | 2.28 | 0.102493 | 0.465019 |
| S | 867 | 957.0351 | 1.103847 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 869 | 962.0773 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 870 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B15d: Question 6.5b - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 13:00 and 01:00.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.5b | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> + On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 7.12 | 6.96 | 7.26 | 6.81 | 6.87 |
| SD | 1.22 | 2.08 | 1.73 | 1.40 | 1.59 |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.14 |
| Max | 10.5 | 9 | 10 | 9.5 | 10 |
| Min | 3 | 0.5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| N | 224 | 14 | 34 | 76 | 110 |

Analysis of Variance Table

| Source |  | Sum of | Mean |  | Prob | Power <br> Term |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| DF | Squares | Square | F-Ratio | Level <br> (Alpha=0.05) |  |  |
| A: Employ. Type | 2 | 0.375983 | 0.1879915 | 0.09 | 0.910492 | 0.064270 |
| S | 457 | 916.0101 | 2.004399 |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 459 | 916.3861 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 460 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B15e: Question 6.5c - Average sleep obtained prior to shifts occurring between 22:00 and 10:00.

|  | Work Structure |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6.5c | Shift-worker | Worker on <br> Demand | Standard <br> Day | Standard <br> Day + On- <br> Demand | Shift-Worker <br> +On- <br> Demand |
| Mean hours | 6.08 | 6.73 | 6.68 | 6.2 | 6.13 |
| SD | 1.39 | 1.67 | 1.78 | 1.54 | 1.62 |
| SE | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| Max | 10 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 10 |
| Min | 1.5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1.5 |
| N | 225 | 15 | 22 | 65 | 97 |


| Analysis of Variance Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Source | SF of | Mean | Prob | Power <br> (Alpha=0.05) |  |  |
| Term | 2 | 8.040681 | 4.02034 | F-Ratio | Level | 1.80 |
| A: Employ. Type | 422 | 941.9446 | 2.232096 |  |  |  |
| S | 424 | 949.9853 |  |  |  |  |
| Total (Adjusted) | 425 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## B. 5 Naps

The following tables are cross tabulations for question 6.8 - Does your employer allow planned napping while on duty?

Table B16a: Planned naps for each facility.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Planned Naps |  |  |
| Facility | No | Yes | Total |
| Major | 362 | 17 | 379 |
| Regional | 125 | 4 | 129 |
| Charter | 61 | 4 | 65 |
| Air Taxi | 43 | 2 | 45 |
| Stand Alone | 209 | 18 | 227 |
| General | 102 | 7 | 109 |
| Rotary | 108 | 48 | 156 |
| Total | 1010 | 100 | 1110 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 98
Row Percentages Section

|  | Planned Naps |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility | N | Y | Total |
| Major | 95.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 96.9 | 3.1 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 93.8 | 6.2 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 95.6 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 92.1 | 7.9 | 100.0 |
| General | 93.6 | 6.4 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 69.2 | 30.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 91.0 | 9.0 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 98

## Chi-Square Statistics Section

Chi-Square
Degrees of Freedom
Probability Level
108.067156

6
0.000000

Table B16b: Planned naps for location where job is performed.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Planned Naps |  |  |
| Work Environ. | N | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| Main Base | 791 | 45 | 836 |
| Sub-Base | 141 | 14 | 155 |
| Field | 68 | 37 | 105 |
| Other | 34 | 5 | 39 |
| Total | 1034 | 101 | 1135 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 73

| Row Percentages Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Planned Naps |  |  |
| Work Environ. |  |  |  |
| Main Base | 94.6 | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| Sub-Base | 91.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
| Field | 64.8 | 9.0 | 100.0 |
| Other | 87.2 | 35.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 91.1 | 12.8 | 100.0 |
| Then | 8.9 | 100.0 |  |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 73
Chi-Square Statistics Section

| Chi-Square | 103.347887 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Degrees of Freedom | 3 |  |
| Probability Level | 0.000000 | Reject Ho |

Table B16c: Planned naps for work structure.
Counts Section

|  | Planned Naps |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shift Type | N | Y | Total |
| Shiftworker | 353 | 16 | 369 |
| On-Demand | 28 | 16 | 44 |
| Standard Day | 178 | 4 | 182 |
| SD/OD | 319 | 40 | 359 |
| SW/OD | 151 | 22 | 173 |
| Total | 1029 | 98 | 1127 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 81

| Row Percentages Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Planned Naps |  |  |
| Shift Type | N | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| Shiftworker | 95.7 | 4.3 | 100.0 |
| On-Demand | 63.6 | 36.4 | 100.0 |
| Standard Day | 97.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| SD/OD | 88.9 | 11.1 | 100.0 |
| SW/OD | 87.3 | 12.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 91.3 | 8.7 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 81

## Chi-Square Statistics Section

Chi-Square 67.165796
Degrees of Freedom
4
Probability Level
0.000000 Reject Ho

Table B17a: Naps on days off for facilities.
Counts Section

| Facility | Naps - off <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 135 | 51 | 186 |
| Regional | 51 | 15 | 66 |
| Charter | 28 | 8 | 36 |
| Air Taxi | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| Stand Alone | 71 | 17 | 88 |
| General | 26 | 7 | 33 |
| Rotary | 69 | 19 | 88 |
| Total | 392 | 119 | 511 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 697
Row Percentages Section

| Facility | Naps - off <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 72.6 | 27.4 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 77.3 | 22.7 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 77.8 | 22.2 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 85.7 | 14.3 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 80.7 | 19.3 | 100.0 |
| General | 78.8 | 21.2 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 78.4 | 21.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 76.7 | 23.3 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 697
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 3.444467
Degrees of Freedom
Probability Level
6
0.751343

Accept Ho

Table B17b: Naps on days off for work structure.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Naps - off |  |  |
| Shift Type | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| Shiftworker | 139 | 49 | 188 |
| On-Demand | 22 | 7 | 29 |
| Standard Day | 50 | 7 | 57 |
| SD/OD | 111 | 33 | 144 |
| SWIOD | 71 | 23 | 94 |
| Total | 393 | 119 | 512 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 696
Row Percentages Section Naps - off

| Shift Type | N | Y | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shiftworker | 73.9 | 26.1 | 100.0 |
| On-Demand | 75.9 | 24.1 | 100.0 |
| Standard Day | 87.7 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
| SDIOD | 77.1 | 22.9 | 100.0 |
| SW/OD | 75.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 76.8 | 23.2 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 696
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 4.778745
Degrees of Freedom 4
Probability Level 0.310762
Accept Ho

Table B18a: AMEs napping at work for each facility.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Naps - job |  |  |
| Facility | N | Y | Total |
| Major | 130 | 56 | 186 |
| Regional | 52 | 14 | 66 |
| Charter | 30 | 6 | 36 |
| Air Taxi | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| Stand Alone | 77 | 11 | 88 |
| General | 25 | 7 | 32 |
| Rotary | 57 | 31 | 88 |
| Total | 385 | 125 | 510 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 698

Row Percentages Section
Naps - job

| Facility | $\mathbf{N}$ | Y | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 69.9 | 30.1 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 78.8 | 21.2 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 83.3 | 16.7 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 87.5 | 12.5 | 100.0 |
| General | 78.1 | 21.9 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 64.8 | 35.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 75.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 698
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 21.723345
Degrees of Freedom 6
Probability Level 0.001359
Reject Ho

Table B18b: AMEs napping at work for each work environment.

| Work Environ. | N | Y | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Main Base | 277 | 76 | 353 |
| Sub-Base | 62 | 20 | 82 |
| Field | 33 | 24 | 57 |
| Other | 17 | 6 | 23 |
| Total | 389 | 126 | 515 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 693

Row Percentages Section Naps - job

| Work Environ. | N | Y | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Main Base | 78.5 | 21.5 | 100.0 |
| Sub-Base | 75.6 | 24.4 | 100.0 |
| Field | 57.9 | 42.1 | 100.0 |
| Other | 73.9 | 26.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 75.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 693
Chi-Square Statistics Section

| Chi-Square | 11.276695 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Degrees of Freedom | 3 |  |
| Probability Level | 0.010320 | Reject Ho |

Table B18c: AMEs napping at work for each work structure.
Counts Section

|  | Naps - job |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shift Type | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| Shiftworker | 131 | 57 | 188 |
| On-Demand | 19 | 10 | 29 |
| Standard Day | 46 | 10 | 56 |
| SD/OD | 121 | 23 | 144 |
| SW/OD | 70 | 24 | 94 |
| Total | 387 | 124 | 511 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 697

| Row Percentages Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Naps - job |  |  |
| Shift Type | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Total |
| Shiftworker | 69.7 | 30.3 | 100.0 |
| On-Demand | 65.5 | 34.5 | 100.0 |
| Standard Day | 82.1 | 17.9 | 100.0 |
| SD/OD | 84.0 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
| SW/OD | 74.5 | 25.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 75.7 | 24.3 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 697
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square
12.118806

Degrees of Freedom
4
Probability Level
0.016489

Reject Ho

## B. 6 Other Coping Strategies

Table B19a: AMEs at each facility using alerting medication.
Counts Section
Alert. Med.

| Facility | No | Yes | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 182 | 3 | 185 |
| Regional | 65 | 1 | 66 |
| Charter | 35 | 1 | 36 |
| Air Taxi | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| Stand Alone | 88 | 0 | 88 |
| General | 31 | 1 | 32 |
| Rotary | 86 | 2 | 88 |
| Total | 501 | 8 | 509 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 698

| Row Percentages Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Alert. Med. |  |  |
| Facility | No | Yes | Total |
| Major | 98.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 98.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 97.2 | 2.8 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| General | 96.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 97.7 | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 98.2 | 1.6 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 698
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 17.223392
Degrees of Freedom
12
Probability Level
0.141386

Accept Ho

Table B19b: AMEs exercising for each facility.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Exercise |  |  |
| Facility | No | Yes | Total |
| Major | 111 | 75 | 186 |
| Regional | 42 | 24 | 66 |
| Charter | 28 | 8 | 36 |
| Air Taxi | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| Stand Alone | 55 | 33 | 88 |
| General | 20 | 13 | 33 |
| Rotary | 54 | 34 | 88 |
| Total | 317 | 194 | 511 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 697
Row Percentages Section

| Facility | Exercise <br> No | Yes | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 59.7 | 40.3 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 63.6 | 36.4 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 77.8 | 22.2 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 62.5 | 37.5 | 100.0 |
| General | 60.6 | 39.4 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 61.4 | 38.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 62.0 | 38.0 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 697
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 5.213666
Degrees of Freedom 6
Probability Level 0.516716
Accept Ho

## Table B19c: AMEs exercising for geographic region.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Exercise |  | Total |
| Geog. Loc. | No | Yes | 30 |
| Atlantic | 16 | 14 | 81 |
| Quebec | 53 | 28 | 131 |
| Ontario | 88 | 43 | 116 |
| Prairies | 69 | 47 | 139 |
| BC | 79 | 60 | 10 |
| North of 60 | 9 | 1 | 507 |
| Total | 314 | 193 |  |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 701

| Row Percentages Section <br> Exercise |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Geog. Loc. | No | Yes | Total |
| Atlantic | 53.3 | 46.7 | 100.0 |
| Quebec | 65.4 | 34.6 | 100.0 |
| Ontario | 67.2 | 32.8 | 100.0 |
| Prairies | 59.5 | 40.5 | 100.0 |
| BC | 56.8 | 43.2 | 100.0 |
| North of 60 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 61.9 | 38.1 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 701
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 8.058201
Degrees of Freedom 5
Probability Level 0.153057
Accept Ho

Table B19d: AMEs at each facility using caffeine.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility | Caffeine |  | Total |
| Major | 56 | Yes | 187 |
| Regional | 12 | 131 | 66 |
| Charter | 9 | 54 | 37 |
| Air Taxi | 3 | 28 | 14 |
| Stand Alone | 29 | 11 | 90 |
| General | 9 | 61 | 33 |
| Rotary | 27 | 24 | 88 |
| Total | 145 | 61 | 515 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 693

| Row Percentages Section <br> Caffeine |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility | No | Yes | Total |
| Major | 29.9 | 70.1 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 18.2 | 81.8 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 24.3 | 75.7 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 21.4 | 78.6 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 32.2 | 67.8 | 100.0 |
| General | 27.3 | 72.7 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 30.7 | 69.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 28.2 | 71.8 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 693
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 5.150042

Degrees of Freedom
Probability Level 0.524718
Accept Ho

## Table B19e: AMEs at each work location using caffeine.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Caffeine |  |  |
| Work Location No | Yes | Total |  |
| Line | 38 | 97 | 135 |
| Shop | 12 | 9 | 21 |
| Hangar | 74 | 218 | 292 |
| Other | 20 | 46 | 66 |
| Total | 144 | 370 | 514 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 694

| Row Percentages Section <br> Caffeine |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Work Location No | Yes | Total |
| Wore | 28.1 | 71.9 | 100.0 |
| Line | 57.1 | 42.9 | 100.0 |
| Shop | 25.3 | 74.7 | 100.0 |
| Hangar | 30.3 | 69.7 | 100.0 |
| Other | 28.0 | 72.0 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 694
Chi-Square Statistics Section

| Chi-Square | 10.041518 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Degrees of Freedom | 3 |  |
| Probability Level | 0.018216 | Reject Ho |

Table B19f: AMEs using caffeine, for work structures.
Counts Section
$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Caffeine } \\ \text { Shift Type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { No }\end{array} & \text { Yes }\end{array}\right]$ Total

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 692

| Row Percentages Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Caffeine |  |  |
| Shift Type | No | Yes | Total |
| Shiftworker | 31.7 | 68.3 | 100.0 |
| On-Demand | 41.4 | 58.6 | 100.0 |
| Standard Day | 40.7 | 59.3 | 100.0 |
| SD/DD | 21.5 | 78.5 | 100.0 |
| SW/OD | 21.1 | 78.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 28.5 | 71.5 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 692

## Chi-Square Statistics Section

Chi-Square 13.656050

Degrees of Freedom
4
Probability Level
0.008478 Reject Ho

Table B19g: AMEs for each facility, using diet as a strategy.
Counts Section

|  | Diet |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility | No | Yes | Total |
| Major | 160 | 26 | 186 |
| Regional | 57 | 9 | 66 |
| Charter | 32 | 4 | 36 |
| Air Taxi | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| Stand Alone | 74 | 14 | 88 |
| General | 29 | 4 | 33 |
| Rotary | 74 | 14 | 88 |
| Total | 438 | 73 | 511 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 697
Row Percentages Section

|  | Diet |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility | No | Yes | Total |
| Major | 86.0 | 14.0 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 86.4 | 13.6 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 88.9 | 11.1 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 85.7 | 14.3 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 84.1 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| General | 87.9 | 12.1 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 84.1 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 85.7 | 14.3 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 697
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 0.838411
Degrees of Freedom
6
Probability Level 0.991004 Accept Ho

Table B19h: AMEs for each facility, using bright light as a strategy.
Counts Section

| Facility | Bright Light <br> No | Yes | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 171 | 14 | 185 |
| Regional | 60 | 6 | 66 |
| Charter | 34 | 2 | 36 |
| Air Taxi | 11 | 3 | 14 |
| Stand Alone | 81 | 7 | 88 |
| General | 26 | 6 | 32 |
| Rotary | 75 | 13 | 88 |
| Total | 458 | 51 | 509 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 699
Row Percentages Section

| Facility | Bright Light <br> No <br> Mo. | Yes <br> Major | 92.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regional | 90.9 | 7.6 | Total |
| Charter | 94.4 | 9.1 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 78.6 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 92.0 | 21.4 | 100.0 |
| General | 81.3 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 85.2 | 18.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 90.0 | 14.8 | 100.0 |
| lina | 10.0 | 100.0 |  |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 699
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 9.440577
Degrees of Freedom 6
Probability Level $0.150274 \quad$ Accept Ho

Table B19i: AMEs for geographic region, using bright light as a strategy.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Bright Light |  | Total |
| Geog. Loc. | No | Yes | 30 |
| Atlantic | 24 | 6 | 80 |
| Quebec | 76 | 4 | 131 |
| Ontario | 120 | 11 | 116 |
| Prairies | 104 | 12 | 138 |
| BC | 119 | 19 | 10 |
| North of 60 | 10 | 0 | 505 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 703
Row Percentages Section

|  | Bright Light |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geog. Loc. | No | Yes | Total |
| Atlantic | 80.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 |
| Quebec | 95.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| Ontario | 91.6 | 8.4 | 100.0 |
| Prairies | 89.7 | 10.3 | 100.0 |
| BC | 86.2 | 13.8 | 100.0 |
| North of 60 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 89.7 | 10.3 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 703

## Chi-Square Statistics Section

Chi-Square
Degrees of Freedom
Probability Level
8.948286

5
0.111145 Accept Ho

Table B19j: AMEs for each facility type, who modify their sleep on days off.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility | Modify Sleep   <br> No  Yes | Total |  |
| Major | 174 | 212 | 386 |
| Regional | 46 | 86 | 132 |
| Charter | 34 | 33 | 67 |
| Air Taxi | 30 | 16 | 46 |
| Stand Alone | 153 | 81 | 234 |
| General | 89 | 29 | 118 |
| Rotary | 81 | 81 | 162 |
| Total | 607 | 538 | 1145 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 63
Row Percentages Section Modify Sleep

| Facility | No | Yes | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major | 45.1 | 54.9 | 100.0 |
| Regional | 34.8 | 65.2 | 100.0 |
| Charter | 50.7 | 49.3 | 100.0 |
| Air Taxi | 65.2 | 34.8 | 100.0 |
| Stand Alone | 65.4 | 34.6 | 100.0 |
| General | 75.4 | 24.6 | 100.0 |
| Rotary | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 53.0 | 47.0 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 63
Chi-Square Statistics Section
Chi-Square 68.892365

Degrees of Freedom
Probability Level
6
0.000000 Reject Ho

Table B19k: AMEs for each facility type, who modify their sleep on days off.

| Counts Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Modify Sleep |  | Total |
| Work Location | No | Yes | 258 |
| Line | 124 | 134 | 77 |
| Shop | 61 | 16 | 684 |
| Hangar | 360 | 324 | 146 |
| Other | 75 | 71 | 1165 |
| Total | 620 | 545 |  |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 43

| Row Percentages Section <br> Modify Sleep |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work Location No | Yes | Total |  |
| Line | 48.1 | 51.9 | 100.0 |
| Shop | 79.2 | 20.8 | 100.0 |
| Hangar | 52.6 | 47.4 | 100.0 |
| Other | 51.4 | 48.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 53.2 | 46.8 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 43

| Chi-Square Statistics Section |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chi-Square | 23.961606 |  |
| Degrees of Freedom | 3 |  |
| Probability Level | 0.000025 | Reject Ho |

Table B19I: AMEs for each work structure, who modify their sleep on days off.
Counts Section

|  | Modify Sleep <br> Shift Type | Yo | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shiftworker | 142 | 233 | 375 |
| On-Demand | 31 | 21 | 52 |
| Standard Day | 136 | 49 | 185 |
| SD/OD | 240 | 134 | 374 |
| SW/OD | 68 | 108 | 176 |
| Total | 617 | 545 | 1162 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 46

| Row Percentages Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Modify Sleep |  |  |
| Shift Type | No | Yes | Total |
| Shiftworker | 37.9 | 62.1 | 100.0 |
| On-Demand | 59.6 | 40.4 | 100.0 |
| Standard Day | 73.5 | 26.5 | 100.0 |
| SD/OD | 64.2 | 35.8 | 100.0 |
| SW/OD | 38.6 | 61.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 53.1 | 46.9 | 100.0 |

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 46

## Chi-Square Statistics Section

Chi-Square
Degrees of Freedom
Probability Level
99.975510

4
0.000000 Reject Ho

Table





$$
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} v \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\perp} \underset{\underset{\sim}{+}}{\omega}
$$

Reject Ho
Reject Ho
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chi-Square Statistics Section } \\
& \text { Chi-Square } \\
& \text { Degrees of Freedom } \\
& \text { Probability Level }
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of rows with at least o

| Counts Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nod Off |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shift Type | Never | Once | Twice | 3-4 Times | > 4 Times | Total |
| Shiftworker | 85 | 30 | 29 | 51 | 75 | 270 |
| On-Demand | 9 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 25 |
| Standard Day | 51 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 91 |
| SD/OD | 135 | 16 | 27 | 23 | 35 | 236 |
| SW/OD | 50 | 12 | 23 | 20 | 42 | 147 |
| Total | 330 | 72 | 93 | 108 | 166 | 769 |
| The number of rows with at least one missing value is 439 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Row Percentages Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nod Off |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shift Type | Never | Once | Twice | 3-4 Times | > 4 Times | Total |
| Shiftworker | 31.5 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 18.9 | 27.8 | 100.0 |
| On-Demand | 36.0 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 24.0 | 100.0 |
| Standard Day | 56.0 | 9.9 | 12.1 | 13.2 | 8.8 | 100.0 |
| SD/OD | 57.2 | 6.8 | 11.4 | 9.7 | 14.8 | 100.0 |
| SW/OD | 34.0 | 8.2 | 15.6 | 13.6 | 28.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 42.9 | 9.4 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 21.6 | 100.0 |
| The number of rows with at least one missing value is 439 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chi-Square Statistics Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chi-Square ${ }^{\text {Degrees of Freedom }}$ |  |  | 62.37588 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
| Probability Level |  |  | 0.000000 | Reject Ho |  |  |

Table B20e: Nodding off at the wheel as reported by AMEs for each work structure.
OH Idəoวヲ
$\vec{\circ} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \vec{\circ}$
Table B20f: Falling asleep and having an accident as reported by AMEs for each facility type.

\[

\]

$$
\text { The number of rows with at least one missing value is } 549
$$

Row Percentages Section

\[

\]



｜セłO1
Total
Table B20g：Impact of overtime on job performance during the day shift as reported by AMEs for each facility
Counts Section
Table B20h: Impact of overtime on job performance during the afternoon (evening) shift as reported by AMEs for
each facility type.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Weak Positive Strong Positive } & \text { Total } \\
2.0 & 100.0 & \\
6.1 & 100.0 & \\
0.0 & 100.0 & \\
4.2 & 100.0 & \\
2.8 & 100.0 & \\
2.7 & 100.0 & \\
2.2 & 100.0 & \\
2.6 & 100.0 &
\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Iotal } 29.8 \\
& \text { The number of rows with at least one missing value is } 646
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { ive } \mathrm{No} \\
1.9 \\
5.6 \\
6.5 \\
0.0 \\
0.0 \\
3.3 \\
2.2 \\
2.7
\end{array}
$$

Row Percentages Section


$$
\text { The number of rows with at least one missing value is } 646
$$

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \vdash 8 Z \varepsilon \varepsilon \cdot 0 \\ \forall Z \\ \text { †8890ヶ.9Z } \end{array}$ |  |  | ә7 Kı！！！qeqodd <br> 」 10 səәメ6әa әגenbs－！ 40 <br> อ．enbs－！ 4 ว |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 979 ¢！ən｜ | әu\％$\downarrow$ | smos | ๑әqunu ә૫। |
|  | 0．001 | 1＇Z | L＇Z | 8.07 | 66 L | G＇tr | $1 \mathrm{ElO1}$ |
|  | 0．001 | でて | でて | 081 | L＇もて | 8 \％＇ | Kıepoy |
|  | 0．001 | $\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon$ | L＇91 | $\varepsilon$ ど | $\varepsilon$ \＆¢ | ןeıəиәэ |
|  | 0．001 | $6{ }^{6}$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | $\dagger^{\circ} \downarrow$ ¢ | $8 \cdot 97$ | 6 6t | əuolv puels |
|  | 0．001 | $0 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | 008 | 0＇St | 008 | ！Xe 1 d |
|  | 0．001 | でて | ¢ 9 | ガくし | 6 6を | 009 | дәцечว |
|  | $0 \cdot 001$ | でて | $9 \cdot 9$ | 9 E ¢ | く这 | 8 8 $\downarrow$ ¢ | feuo！bey |
|  | 0．001 | 9．0 | 6.1 | 60\％ | ¢＇乙® |  | 10！ew |
| ｜ełO1 | әм！！${ }_{\text {SOd }}$ 6uoul | әл！！！SOd צеәМ |  | БәN уеәм |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1014 \mathrm{~S} \\ & 46!\mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | 」 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S se6 | ұиәэләd моу |
|  |  |  |  | 9t9 s！ən｜ | әu\％$\downarrow$ | smod | ıəqumu ә૫। |
|  | 299 | てし | Sl | LレL | 891 | OGZ | 1 ElO |
|  | 68 | 乙 | 乙 | 91 | て乙 | $\angle t$ | Kıepoy |
|  | $0 \varepsilon$ | 1 | 1 | g | L | 91 | ןeג⿱丷天） |
|  | 乙8 |  | 0 | 02 | て乙 | $9 \varepsilon$ | әuoly puels |
|  | 02 | 1 | 0 | t | 6 | 9 |  |
|  | 97 | 1 | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | い | $\varepsilon 乙$ | ләцечว |
|  | 68 | $\tau$ | G | 12 | $0 \varepsilon$ | $1 \varepsilon$ | feuo！bey |
|  | 902 | 1 | $\dagger$ | $\varepsilon t$ | $\angle 9$ | 16 | 1o！ew |
| 1ełO1 | әм！！${ }_{\text {SOd }}$ 6uoh | әл！！！SOd צеәМ |  | БәN уеәМ |  | OMS $46!\mathrm{N}$ | Kı！！⿺𠃊⿻上丨𣥂」 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ！joes şunoj |

Accept Ho

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chi-Square Statistics Section } \\
& \text { Chi-Square } \\
& \text { Degrees of Freedom } \\
& \text { Probability Level }
\end{aligned}
$$

Reject Ho

Reject Ho








Reject Ho

### 38.136395 24 0.033573

$\begin{array}{lcll}\text { Grouped＿Role Hard to Stay Awake } & \text { Very Tired } & \text { Tired } \\ \text { Airframe } & 8.8 & 33.6 & 40.1 \\ \text { Avionics } & 16.3 & 23.5 & 49.0 \\ \text { Other } & 14.7 & 18.7 & 45.3 \\ \text { Power Plant } & 0.0 & 23.1 & 46.2 \\ \text { QA } & 17.9 & 30.8 & 35.9 \\ \text { Shop } & 30.0 & 30.0 & 40.0 \\ \text { Various } & 7.4 & 28.1 & 41.4 \\ \text { Total } & 10.5 & 27.8 & 42.0 \\ \text { The number of rows with at least one missing value is } 391 & \end{array}$
 uо！ןəәs səБеұuәэләd моу

 $\begin{array}{ccr}\text { LZZ } & 98 & \text { Iełol } \\ \text { カレレ } & 0 \varepsilon & \text { snoureへ } \\ \text { dous }\end{array}$ $\varepsilon$ QA lueld dәмод Avionics
Other
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Airframe } & 12 & 46 \\ \text { Avionics } & 16 & 23\end{array}$
Grouped＿Role Hard to Stay Awake
łч6！up！w



|  |  |  | Юə！əy | $\begin{array}{r} \varepsilon \angle S \varepsilon \varepsilon 0 \cdot 0 \\ \forall z \\ G 6 \varepsilon 9 \varepsilon \cdot \circ 8 \varepsilon \end{array}$ | əəләך К K！！！！qeqo．d <br>  әјenbs－！ $4 \bigcirc$ <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $16 ¢$ ¢ |  |
| 0．001 | $0 \cdot \mathrm{G}$ | ぐャレ | 0 Oヶ | $8.2 Z$ | SOL lełol |
| 0．001 | $\downarrow^{\prime} 9$ | ＜＇91 | ガレ | 1．82 | ガL snoura |
| 0001 | 00 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 000 | 008 | 00E dous |
| 00001 | $9 . Z$ | 8 \％ | 6.98 | 808 | $6 . \angle 1 \quad \forall 0$ |
| 0001 | 00 | $8 \cdot 08$ | で9t | 1－¢ | 0\％0 łueid ләмоd |
| 0．001 | 0 －$\downarrow$ | $\varepsilon<1$ |  | く81 | し＇ヤレ 」әчłО |
| 0001 | l＇$\varepsilon$ | で8 | 067 | c＇ez | ع＇91 soluoinv |
| 00001 | $1 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | ガで | 1．0t | $9 \cdot \varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 8.8 әسеגц！ |
| ¢セłO1 | әуем әр！м | น⿰丬犬 K17SOW | рอ⿺！ 1 | рәı！ 1 Kıə＾ |  ฉчб！up！w <br>  |
|  |  |  |  | $16 \varepsilon$ s！ən｜ |  |
| L18 | $\downarrow$ | OZL | $\varepsilon \triangleright \varepsilon$ | LZZ | 98 1eło」 |
| 90t | 97 | 89 | 891 | カレレ | $0 \varepsilon$ snour＾ |
| OL | 0 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ dous |
| 82 | 乙 | O1 | 82 | $\dagger 乙$ | ャレ $\forall$ O |
| $\varepsilon 1$ | 0 | † | 9 | $\varepsilon$ | 0 łueid ләмоd |
| GL | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon 1$ | † | ャレ | ルレ ләч⿺ |
| 86 | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | $8{ }^{8}$ | $\varepsilon 乙$ | 91 so！uoint |
| Lع1 | $L$ | $\angle 1$ | ¢ 9 | 9t | Zᄂ әшeג建 |
| ¢セło1 | әуем әр！м | น⿰丬犬 K17sow | рอ⿺！ | рәı！ 1 Кıəл |  ұ 4 ！！up！ |
|  |  |  |  |  | uo！̣əәs sұunoo |

Reject Ho

The number of rows with at least one missing value is 391 SW/OD
Total SD/OD
SW/OD
On-Demand
Standard Day Shiftworker Shift Type Hard to Stay Awake Midnight
Counts Section
B21f: Im
Table


of night shits on fatigue as reported by $A$
Table B21g: Impact of cold on extended night shifts on fatigue as reported by AMEs for each facility type.
Reject Ho



흉 $\vec{\circ}$ 뮹 $\vec{\circ}$
OH Idəכวヲ

Table B21i: Impact of awkward posture on fatigue as reported by AMEs for each work environment type.


[^0]:    * Significance level of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$

[^1]:    * Rotary AMEs have significantly higher duty times than the other AMEs.

