Of the 6,571 bedrock water wells with a NPWL and test date, there were 809 control points. Where the earliest water level in bedrock water wells is at a higher elevation than the latest water level in the bedrock water wells, there is the possibility that some groundwater decline has occurred. Where the earliest water level in bedrock water wells is at a lower elevation than the latest water level in the bedrock aquifers, there is the possibility that the groundwater has risen at that location. The water level may have risen as a result of recharge in wetter years or may be a result of the water well being completed in a different bedrock aquifer.



Figure 28. Changes in Water Levels in Upper Bedrock Aquifer(s)

The adjacent map indicates that in 60% of the County, it is possible that the NPWL has declined. However, the areas that indicate a decline of more than five metres are based on only one or two control points. In order to determine if the water-level decline is a result of groundwater use by licensed users, the licensed users that are authorized to divert more than 50 m³/day were posted on the above map. Of the 20 groundwater users authorized to divert more than 50 m³/day, 16 occur in areas where a water-level decline exists.

Nearly 50% of the areas where there has been a water-level decline of more than five metres corresponds to where the estimated water well use is between ten and 30 m³/day shown on Figure 24; only 21% of the decline occurred where the estimated water well use is more than 30 m³/day.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

The present study has been based on information available from the groundwater database. The database has three problems:

1) the quality of the data
2) the coordinate system used for the horizontal control
3) the distribution of the data.

The quality of the data in the groundwater database is affected by two factors: a) the technical training of the persons collecting the data, and b) the quality control of the data. The possible options to upgrade the database include the creation of a “super” database, which includes only verified data. The first step would be to field-verify the more than 200 existing water wells listed in Appendix E. These water well records indicate that a complete water well drilling report is available along with at least a partial chemical analysis. The level of verification would have to include identifying the water well in the field, obtaining meaningful horizontal coordinates for the water well and the verification of certain parameters such as water level and completed depth. Even though the water wells for which the County has responsibility do not satisfy the above criteria, it is recommended that they be field-verified, water levels be measured, a water sample be collected for analysis, and a short aquifer test be conducted. There is one County-operated water well that is also included in Appendix E. An attempt to update the quality of the entire database is not recommended.

There is a need to establish a relationship between the AENV groundwater database and the AENV licensing database.

The present analysis shows the volume of water flowing through the Sand or Gravel Aquifer associated with the Little Red Deer River is less than 200 m³/day. Therefore, additional information is needed for the Village of Cremona to establish a sustainable yield for WSW No. 12.

While there are a few areas where water-level data are available, on the overall, there are an insufficient number of water levels to set up a groundwater budget. One method to obtain additional water-level data is to solicit the assistance of the water well owners who are stakeholders in the groundwater resource. In the M.D. of Rocky View and in Flagstaff County, water well owners are being provided with a tax credit if they accurately measure the water level in their water well once per week for a year. A pilot project indicated that approximately five years of records are required to obtain a reasonable data set. The cost of a five-year project involving 50 water wells would be less than the cost of one drilling program that may provide two or three observation water wells. Monitoring of water levels in domestic and stock water wells is a practice that is recommended by PFRA in the “Water Wells That Last for Generations” manual and accompanying videos (Alberta Agriculture, Food And Rural Development, 1996)(Appendix E).

A second approach to obtain water-level data would be to conduct a field survey to identify water wells not in use that could be used as part of an observation water well network. County personnel and/or local residents could measure the water levels in the water wells regularly.

In general, for the next level of study, the database needs updating. It requires more information from existing water wells, and additional information from new ones.

Before an attempt is made to provide a major upgrade to the level of interpretation provided in this report and the accompanying maps and groundwater query, it is recommended that all water wells for which water well drilling reports are available be subjected to the following actions (see pages C-2 to C-3):

1) The horizontal location of the water well should be determined within ten metres. The coordinates must be in 10TM NAD 27 or some other system that will allow conversion to 10TM NAD 27 coordinates.
2) A four-hour aquifer test (two hours of pumping and two hours of recovery) should be performed with the water well to obtain a realistic estimate for the transmissivity of the aquifer in which the water well is completed.
3) Water samples should be collected for chemical analysis after five and 115 minutes of pumping, and analyzed for major and minor ions.

A list of the more than 200 water wells that could be considered for the above program is given in Appendix E.

In addition to the data collection associated with the existing water wells, all available geophysical logs should be interpreted to establish a more accurate spatial definition of individual aquifers.

There is also a need to provide the water well drillers with feedback on the reports they are submitting to the regulatory agencies. The feedback is necessary to allow for a greater degree of uniformity in the reporting process. This is particularly true when trying to identify the bedrock surface. One method of obtaining uniformity would be to have the water well drilling reports submitted to the AENV Resource Data Division in an electronic form. The money presently being spent by AENV and PFRA to transpose the paper form to the electronic form should be used to allow for a technical review of the data and follow-up discussions with the drillers.

An effort should be made to form a partnership with the petroleum industry. The industry spends millions of dollars each year collecting information relative to water wells. Proper coordination of this effort could provide significantly better information from which future regional interpretations could be made. This could be accomplished by the County taking an active role in the activities associated with the construction of lease sites for the drilling of hydrocarbon wells and conducting of seismic programs.

Groundwater is a renewable resource and it must be managed.

VIII. REFERENCES

Agriculture Canada Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration. Regina, Saskatchewan. 1996. 1996 Agriculture Census (CD-ROM).

Agriculture, Food and Rural Development. 1995. Water Requirements for Livestock. Agdex 400/716-1.

Alberta Department of Environment. 1979. Earth Sciences Division. Groundwater Exploration at Cremona, Alberta, 1979. 03-030-04 W5.

Alberta Energy and Utilities Board. June 1995. AEUB ST-55. Alberta's Usable Groundwater Database.

Alberta Research Council. March 31, 1995. Mapping and Resource Exploration of the Tertiary and Preglacial Formations of Alberta. Canada/Alberta Partnership on Minerals. Project Number: M92-04-008.

Borneuf, D. 1972. Hydrogeology of the Drumheller Area, Alberta. Research Council of Alberta. Report 72-1.

Borneuf, D. M. 1983. Alberta Geological Survey. Springs of Alberta.

Buchanan, Bob; Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development. Engineering Services Branch; Alberta Environment. Licensing and Permitting Standards Branch; Canada. Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration. 1996. Water Wells … that Last for Generations.

CAESA. November 1997. Alberta Farmstead Water Quality Survey. Prepared for CAESA Water Quality Monitoring Committee.

CAESA-Soil Inventory Project Working Group. 1998. AGRASID: Agricultural Region of Alberta Soil Inventory Databsae (Version 1.0). Edited by J. A. Brierley, B. D. Walker, P. E. Smith, and W. L. Nikiforuk. Alberta Agriculture Food & Rural Development, publications.

Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Ministers. 1992. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines.

Carlson, V. A. 1969. Alberta Geological Survey. Bedrock Topography of the Drumheller Map Area, Alberta. NTS 82P.

Carrigy, M. A. 1971. Lithostratigraphy of the Uppermost Cretaceous (Lance) and Paleocene Strata of the Alberta Plains. Research Council of Alberta. Bulletin 27.

Catuneanu, Octavian, Andrew D. Miall and Arthur R. Sweet. 1997. Reciprocal Architecture of Bearpaw T-R Sequences, Uppermost Cretaceous, Western Canada Sedimentary Basin. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology. Vol. 45, No. 1 (March, 1997), P. 75-94.

Clark, Swanby & Associates Ltd., 1977. Report on a Water Well Analysis. Source Well for Village of Cremona. NW 03-030-04 W5M.

Cressie, N. A. C. 1990. The Origins of Kriging. Mathematical Geology. Vol. 22, Pages 239-252.

D. W. Bernard Groundwater Consultants Ltd. 1986. The Village of Cremona. Village of Cremona, Annual Water Diversion Report. The Village of Cremona. 030-04 W5M.

Demchuk, Thomas D. and L. V. Hills. 1991. A Re-examination of the Paskapoo Formation in the Central Alberta Plains: the Designation of Three New Members in Canadian Petroleum Geology. Volume 39, No. 3 (September 1991), P. 270-282.

Freeze, R. Allan and John A. Cherry. 1979. Groundwater. Pages 249-252.

Geoscience Consulting Ltd., 1978. Water Well Supply. Town of Sundre for Brisbin Gates and Partners.

Geoscience Consulting Ltd., 1980. Evaluation of New Water Well. SW 10-033-05 W5M. Town of Sundre for Brisbin Gates and Partners.

Glass, D. J. [editor]. 1990. Lexicon of Canadian Stratigraphy, Volume 4: Western Canada, including British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan and southern Manitoba. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Calgary.

Green, R. 1972. Alberta Geological Survey. Geological Map of Alberta.

Hamilton, W. N., M. C. Price, and C. W. Langenberg, (Co-compilers). 1999. Geological Map of Alberta. Alberta Geological Survey. Alberta Energy and Utilities Board. Map No. 236. Scale 1:1,000,000. Revised from 1972 edition, R. Green.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. April 1969. Town of Carstairs. Groundwater Report: April 1969. Carstairs Area. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. April 1970. Town of Carstairs. Groundwater Exploration Project 1969-1970. Carstairs Area. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. May 1972. Town of Carstairs. 1972 Groundwater Program. Carstairs Area. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. February 1974. Town of Olds. 1972-1973 Groundwater Monitoring Report. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants ltd. November 1975. Evaluation of a New Well, Village of Cremona. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. January 1997. Pig Improvement (Canada) Ltd. 1996 Groundwater Review. Didsbury Area. Sections 04 and 08, 031-27 W4M. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. October 1997. Poco Petroleums Ltd. Poco Crossfield East: Ken Mattis Domestic Water Well Testing. Carstairs Area. SE 07-030-01 W5M. Unpublished Contract Report.

Kerr, H. A. 1975. Groundwater Investigation (for Village of Cremona). Alberta Groundwater Development Branch.

Mercon Engineering Ltd. 1984. Water Supply Study (for Village of Cremona).

Minister of Supply and Services Canada. 1996. Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality, Sixth Edition. Prepared by the Federal-Provincial Subcommittee on Drinking Water of the Federal-Provincial Committee on Environmental and Occupational Health.

Mossop, G. and I. Shetsen (co-compilers). 1994. Geological Atlas of the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin. Produced jointly by the Canadian Society of Petroleum Geology, Alberta Research Council, Alberta Energy, and the Geological Survey of Canada.

Olson, J. 1975. Alberta Department of Environment, Environmental Protection Services, Earth Sciences and Licensing Division, Groundwater Development Branch. Village of Cremona, Groundwater Investigation.

Ozoray, G. F. and R. G. Barnes. 1978. Alberta Geological Survey. Hydrogeology of the Calgary-Golden Area, Alberta.

Ozoray, G., M. Dubord and A. Cowen. 1990. Groundwater Resources of the Vermilion 73E Map Area, Alberta. Alberta Environmental Protection.

Pawlowicz, J. G. and M. M. Fenton. 1995. Alberta Geological Survey. Bedrock Topography of Alberta.

Reimchen, T. H. and L. A. Bayrock. 1977. Alberta Geological Survey. Surficial Geology and Erosion Potential. Rocky Mountains and the Foothills of Alberta.

Pettijohn, F. J. 1957. Sedimentary Rocks. Harper and Brothers Publishing.

Phinney, V. Laverne (Editor and publisher). 1999. The Alberta List.

Shetsen, I. 1987. Quaternary Geology, Southern Alberta. Produced by the Natural Resources Division of the Alberta Research Council.

Strong, W. L. and K. R. Legatt, 1981. Ecoregions of Alberta. Alta. En. Nat. Resour., Resour. Eval. Plan Div., Edmonton as cited in Mitchell, Patricia and Ellie Prepas (eds.). 1990. Atlas of Alberta Lakes. The University of Alberta Press. Page 12.

Thornthwaite, C. W. and J. R. Mather. 1957. Instructions and Tables for Computing Potential Evapotranspiration and the Water Balance. Drexel Institute of Technology. Laboratory of Climatology. Publications in Climatology. Vol. 10, No. 3, P. 181-289.

Tokarsky, O. 1971. Hydrogeology of the Rocky Mountain House Area, Alberta. Research Council of Alberta. Report 71-3.

Toth, J. 1966. Research Council of Alberta. Groundwater Geology, Movement, Chemistry and Resources near Olds, Alberta, Canada.

IX. Conversions

Multiply	by	To Obtain
Length/Area		
feet	0.304 785	metres
metres	3.281 000	feet
hectares	2.471 054	acres
centimetre	0.032 808	feet
centimetre	0.393 701	inches
acres	0.404 686	hectares
inchs	25.400 000	millimetres
miles	1.609 344	kilometres
kilometer	0.621 370	miles (statute)
square feet (ft^2)	0.092 903	square metres (m^2)
square metres (m^2)	10.763 910	square feet (ft^2)
square metres (m^2)	0.000 001	square kilometres (km^2)
Concentration		
grains/gallon (UK)	14.270 050	parts per million (ppm)
ppm	0.998 859	mg/L
mg/L	1.001 142	ppm
Volume (capacity)		
acre feet	1233.481 838	cubic metres
cubic feet	0.028 317	cubic metres
cubic metres	35.314 667	cubic feet
cubic metres	219.969 248	gallons (UK)
cubic metres	264.172 050	gallons (US liquid)
cubic metres	1000.000 000	litres
gallons (UK)	0.004 546	cubic metres
imperial gallons	4.546 000	litres
Rate		
litres per minute (lpm)	0.219 974	UK gallons per minute (igpm)
litres per minute	1.440 000	cubic metres/day (m^3/day)
igpm	6.546 300	cubic metres/day (m^3/day)
cubic metres/day	0.152 759	igpm

MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTY

Appendix B

Maps and Figures on CD-ROM

1) General

Index Map
Surface Casing Types used in Drilled Water Wells
Location of Water Wells
Depth of Existing Water Wells
Depth to Base of Groundwater Protection
Generalized Cross-Section (for terminology only)
Geologic Column
Hydrogeology Map
Cross-Section A - A'
Cross-Section B - B'
Cross-Section C - C'
Cross-Section D - D'
Bedrock Topography
Bedrock Geology
Relative Permeability
Licensed Water Wells
Estimated Water Well Use Per Section
Water Wells Recommended for Field Verification

2) Surficial Aquifers

a) Surficial Deposits

Thickness of Surficial Deposits
Non-Pumping Water-Level Surface in Surficial Deposits Based on Water Wells Less than 20 Metres Deep
Total Dissolved Solids in Groundwater from Surficial Deposits
Sulfate in Groundwater from Surficial Deposits
Fluoride in Groundwater from Surficial Deposits
Nitrate + Nitrite (as N) in Groundwater from Surficial Deposits
Chloride in Groundwater from Surficial Deposits
Total Hardness in Groundwater from Surficial Deposits
Piper Diagram - Surficial Deposits
Thickness of Sand and Gravel Deposits
Amount of Sand and Gravel in Surficial Deposits
Thickness of Sand and Gravel Aquifer(s)
Apparent Yield for Water Wells Completed through Sand and Gravel Aquifer(s)
Changes in Water Levels - Sand and Gravel Aquifer(s)

MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTY
Appendix C

General Water Well Information

Domestic Water Well Testing

Purpose and Requirements

The purpose of the testing of domestic water wells is to obtain background data related to:

1) the non-pumping water level for the aquifer - Has there been any lowering of the level since the last measurement?
2) the specific capacity of the water well, which indicates the type of contact the water well has with the aquifer;
3) the transmissivity of the aquifer and hence an estimate of the projected long-term yield for the water well;
4) the chemical, bacteriological and physical quality of the groundwater from the water well.

The testing procedure involves conducting an aquifer test and collecting of groundwater samples for analysis by an accredited laboratory. The date and time of the testing are to be recorded on all data collection sheets. A sketch showing the location of the water well relative to surrounding features is required. The sketch should answer the question, "If this water well is tested in the future, how will the person doing the testing know this is the water well I tested?"

The water well should be taken out of service as long as possible before the start of the aquifer test, preferably not less than 30 minutes before the start of pumping. The non-pumping water level is to be measured 30, 10, and 5 minutes before the start of pumping and immediately before the start of pumping which is to be designated as time 0 for the test. All water levels must be from the same designated reference, usually the top of the casing. Water levels are to be measured during the pumping interval and during the recovery interval after the pump has been turned off; all water measurements are to be with an accuracy of ± 0.01 metres.

During the pumping and recovery intervals, the water level is to be measured at the appropriate times. An example of the time schedule for a four-hour test is as follows, measured in minutes after the pump is turned on and again after the pump is turned off:

1,2,3,4,6,8,10,13,16,20,25,32,40,50,64,80,100,120.

For a four-hour test, the reading after 120 minutes of pumping will be the same as the 0 minutes of recovery. Under no circumstance will the recovery interval be less than the pumping interval.

Flow rate during the aquifer test should be measured and recorded with the maximum accuracy possible. Ideally, a water meter with an accuracy of better than ±1% displaying instantaneous and total flow should be used. If a water meter is not available, then the time required to completely fill a container of known volume should be recorded, noting the time to the nearest 0.5 seconds or better. Flow rate should be determined and recorded often to ensure a constant pumping rate.

Groundwater samples should be collected as soon as possible after the start of pumping and within 10 minutes of the end of pumping. Initially only the groundwater samples collected near the end of the pumping interval need to be submitted to the accredited laboratory for analysis. All samples must be properly stored for transportation to the laboratory and, in the case of the bacteriological analysis, there is a maximum time allowed between the time the sample is collected and the time the sample is delivered to the laboratory. The first samples collected are only analyzed if there is a problem or a concern with the first samples submitted to the laboratory.

Procedure

Site Diagrams

These diagrams are a map showing the distance to nearby significant features. This would include things like a corner of a building (house, barn, garage etc.) or the distance to the half-mile or mile fence. The description should allow anyone not familiar with the site to be able to unequivocally identify the water well that was tested. In lieu of a map, UTM coordinates accurate to within five metres would be acceptable. If a hand-held GPS is used, the post-processing correction details must be provided.

Surface Details

The type of surface completion must be noted. This will include such things as a pitless adapter, well pit, pump house, in basement, etc. Also, the reference point used for measuring water levels needs to be noted. This would include top of casing (TOC) XX metres above ground level; well pit lid, XX metres above TOC; TOC in well pit XX metres below ground level.

Groundwater Discharge Point

Where was the flow of groundwater discharge regulated? For example was the discharge through a hydrant downstream from the pressure tank; discharged directly to ground either by connecting directly above the well seal or by pulling the pump up out of the pitless adapter; from a tap on the house downstream from the pressure tank? Also note must be made if any action was taken to ensure the pump would operate continuously during the pumping interval and whether the groundwater was passing through any water-treatment equipment before the discharge point.

Water-Level Measurements

How were the water-level measurements obtained? If obtained using a contact gauge, what type of cable was on the tape, graduated tape or a tape with tags? If a tape with tags, when was the last time the tags were calibrated? If a graduated tape, what is the serial number of the tape and is the tape shorter than its original length (i.e. is any tape missing)?

If water levels are obtained using a transducer and data logger, the serial numbers of both transducer and data logger are needed and a copy of the calibration sheet. The additional information required is the depth the transducer was set and the length of time between when the transducer was installed and when the calibration water level was measured, plus the length of time between the installation of the transducer and the start of the aquifer test. All water levels must be measured at least to the nearest 0.01 metres.

Discharge Measurements

Type of water meter used. This could include such things as a turbine or positive displacement meter. How were the readings obtained from the meter? Were the readings visually noted and recorded or were they recorded using a data logger?

Water Samples

A water sample must be collected between the 4- and 6-minute water-level measurements, whenever there is an observed physical change in the groundwater being pumped, and 10 minutes before the end of the planned pumping interval. Additional water samples must be collected if it is expected that pumping will be terminated before the planned pumping interval.

Water Act - Water (Ministerial) Regulation

PROVINCE OF ALBERTA

WATER ACT

WATER (MINISTERIAL) REGULATION

Alberta Regulation 205/98

EXTRACT FROM THE
ALBERTA GAZETTE

© Published by
the Queen's Printer for Alberta

Queen's Printer Bookstore
11510 Kingsway
Edmonton, AB T5G 2Y5
(403) 427-4952
Fax: (403) 452-0668
Note: After Jan. 25/99 use area code (780)

Queen's Printer Bookstore
Main Floor, McDougall Centre
455 - 6th Street S.W.
Calgary, AB T2P 4E8
(403) 297-6251
Fax: (403) 297-8450

Shop On-line at http://www.gov.ab.ca/qp

ALBERTA REGULATION 205/98

Water Act

WATER (MINISTERIAL) REGULATION

Table of Contents

1

Water Act – Flowchart



*This flow chart was developed by Mow-Tech Ltd. and is provided as a guide **only** to Alberta's new **Water Act**. Mow-Tech Ltd. accepts no responsibility for the information provided.*