7.1.1 Risk of Groundwater Contamination Map

The information from the reclassification of the surficial geology map is the basis for preparing the initial risk map. The depth to the first sand and gravel is then used to modify the initial map and to prepare the final map. The criteria used for preparing the final Risk of Groundwater Contamination map are outlined in the adjacent table.

Surface Permeability	Sand or Gravel Present Top Within One Metre Of Ground Surface	Groundwater Contamination Risk
Low	No	Low
Moderate	No	Moderate
High	No	High
Low	Yes	High
Moderate	Yes	High
High	Yes	Very High

Table 4. Risk of Groundwater Contamination Criteria

The Risk of Groundwater Contamination map shows that, in 30% of the County, there is a high or very high risk of the groundwater being contaminated. These areas would be considered the least desirable ones for a development that has a product or by-product that could cause groundwater contamination. However, because the map has been prepared as part of a regional study, the designations are a guide only; detailed hydrogeological studies must be completed at any proposed development site to ensure the groundwater is protected from possible contamination. At all locations, good environmental practices should be exercised in order to ensure that groundwater contamination would not affect groundwater quality.



Figure 29. Risk of Groundwater Contamination

8 RECOMMENDATIONS

The present study has been based on information available from the groundwater database. The database has three problems:

1) the quality of the data;
2) the coordinate system used for the horizontal control; and
3) the distribution of the data.

The quality of the data in the groundwater database is affected by two factors: a) the technical training of the persons collecting the data; and b) the quality control of the data. The possible options to upgrade the database include the creation of a “super” database, which includes only verified data. The level of verification would have to include identifying the water well in the field, obtaining meaningful horizontal coordinates for the water well and the verification of certain parameters such as water level and completed depth. An attempt to update the quality of the entire database is not recommended.

The results of the present study indicate that the only readily identifiable aquifers in the surficial deposits are the sand and gravel deposits associated with the lows in the bedrock surface. The most noteworthy bedrock lows include the Buried Beverly and Onoway valleys, linear bedrock lows, and a broad bedrock low in the southeastern part of the County. While details for each of the bedrock lows are generally available, specific details for each are lacking. This is particularly true for the area in the southeastern part of the County where the bedrock surface is poorly defined.

In areas where the lower 10 metres of the Upper Horseshoe Canyon Formation is the upper bedrock or is close to the bedrock surface, water well yields can be expected to be generally low. This condition occurs in an arc shaped area that is south, west and north of Stony Plain. In this area, there is a need to determine if the deeper Lower Horseshoe Canyon Formation is suitable as a source of groundwater for domestic needs. Water test holes may need to be drilled to depths of 250 metres to determine the aquifers present, to determine the hydraulic parameters and to obtain groundwater samples for analysis.

Another area where insufficient data are available is for the determination of a groundwater budget. There are only three observation water-well data sources in the County from which to obtain water levels for the groundwater budget. One method to obtain additional water-level data is to solicit the assistance of the water well owners who are stakeholders in the groundwater resource. In the M.D. of Rocky View, water well owners are being provided with a tax credit if they accurately measure the water level in their water well once per week for a year. A pilot project indicated that approximately five years of records are required to obtain a reasonable data set. The cost of a five-year project involving 50 water wells would be less than the cost of one drilling program that may provide two or three observation water wells.

In general, for the next level of study, the database needs updating. It requires more information from existing water wells, and additional information from new ones.

Before an attempt is made to upgrade the level of interpretation provided in this report and the accompanying maps and groundwater query, it is recommended that all water wells for which water well drilling reports are available be subjected to the following actions:

1. The horizontal location of the water well should be determined within 10 metres. The coordinates must be in 10TM NAD 27 or some other system that will allow conversion to 10TM NAD 27 coordinates.
2. A four-hour aquifer test should be performed with the water well to obtain a realistic estimate for the transmissivity of the aquifer in which the water well is completed.
3. Water samples should be collected for chemical analysis after 5 and 115 minutes of pumping, and analyzed for major and minor ions.

In addition to the data collection associated with the existing water wells, all available geophysical logs should be interpreted to establish a more accurate spatial definition of individual aquifers.

There is also a need to provide the water well drillers with feedback on the reports they are submitting to the regulatory agencies. The feedback is necessary to allow for a greater degree of uniformity in the reporting process. This is particularly true when trying to identify the bedrock surface. The water well drilling reports should be submitted to the AEP Resource Data Division in an electronic form. The money presently being spent by AEP and Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration (PFRA) to transpose the paper form to the electronic form should be used to allow for a technical review of the data and follow-up discussions with the drillers.

An effort should be made to form a partnership with the petroleum industry. The industry spends millions of dollars each year collecting information relative to water wells. Proper coordination of this effort could provide significantly better information from which future regional interpretations could be made. This could be accomplished by the County taking an active role in the activities associated with the drilling of hydrocarbon wells and conducting of seismic programs.

Groundwater is a renewable resource and it must be managed.

9 REFERENCES

Alberta Department of Environment, Environmental Protection Services, Earth Sciences Division, Groundwater Branch. (1980). Hydrogeologic Effects of Coal Strip Mining at Whitewood Mine, Alberta (main report). Edmonton, Alberta. January 1980. 050-03-W5, 051-03-W5.

Bibby, R. 1974. Hydrogeology of the Edmonton Area (Northwest Segment), Alberta. Alberta Research Council. Report 74-10.

Carlson, V. A. 1971. Bedrock Topography of the Wabamun Lake Map Area, Alberta. NTS 83G. Research Council of Alberta Map.

Carrigy, M. A. 1971. Lithostratigraphy of the Uppermost Cretaceous (Lance) and Paleocene Strata of the Alberta Plains. Research Council of Alberta. Bulletin 27.

Catuneanu, Octavian, Andrew D. Miall and Arthur R. Sweet. 1997. Reciprocal Architecture of Bearpaw T-R Sequences, Uppermost Cretaceous, Western Canada Sedimentary Basin. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology. Vol. 45, No. 1 (March, 1997), P. 75-94.

Ceroici, W. 1979. Hydrogeology of the Southwest Segment, Edmonton area, Alberta. Alberta Research Council. Earth Sciences Report 78-5.

Farvolden, R. N. 1963. Figure 14. Bedrock Topography. Edmonton-Red Deer Map Area, Alberta in Early Contributions to the Groundwater Hydrology of Alberta.

Glass, D. J. [editor]. 1990. Lexicon of Canadian Stratigraphy, Volume 4: Western Canada, including British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan and southern Manitoba. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Calgary.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. 1976. DAON Forest Green Subdivision. 1976. Dewatering Program. July, 1976. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. October 1976. Pumping Test Analysis, Water Well. NW 1/4 02-053-03 W5M. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. 1977. The University of Alberta Devonian Botanic Garden, Water Well. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. 1987. Devonian Botanical Gardens. 1986-87 Groundwater Program. SW 15-051-25 W4M. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. 1988. Pembina River Provincial Park. 1987 Water Well. Prepared for Alberta Recreation and Parks, Design and Implementation Division. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. 1991. Town of Stony Plain. Forest Green Subdivision. Alberta Environment File 17660. Groundwater Diversion Licence No. 09040. 1989/90 Groundwater Monitoring Report. Unpublished Contract Report.

Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. 1998. Town of Stony Plain. Forest Green Subdivision Tp 052, R 28, W4M. 1997 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report. Unpublished Contract Report.

Mossop, G. and I. Shetsen (co-compilers). 1994. Geological Atlas of the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin. Produced jointly by the Canadian Society of Petroleum Geology, Alberta Research Council, Alberta Energy, and the Geological Survey of Canada.

Ozoray, G. F. 1972. Hydrogeology of the Wabamun Lake Area, Alberta. Research Council of Alberta. Report 72-8.

Ozoray, G., M. Dubord and A. Cowen. 1990. Groundwater Resources of the Vermilion 73E Map Area, Alberta. Alberta Environmental Protection.

Pettijohn, F. J. 1957. Sedimentary Rocks. Harper and Brothers Publishing.

Shetsen, I. 1990. Quaternary Geology, Central Alberta. Produced by the Natural Resources Division of the Alberta Research Council.

Thornthwaite, C. W. and J. R. Mather. 1957. Instructions and Tables for Computing Potential Evapotranspiration and the Water Balance. Drexel Institute of Technology. Laboratory of Climatology. Publications in Climatology. Vol. 10, No. 3, P. 181-289.

10 GLOSSARY

Aquifer	a formation, group of formations, or part of a formation that contains saturated permeable rocks capable of transmitting groundwater to water wells or springs in economical quantities.
Aquitard	a confining bed that retards but does not prevent the flow of water to or from an adjacent aquifer.
Available Drawdown	in a confined aquifer, the distance between the non-pumping water level and the top of the aquifer. in an unconfined aquifer (water table aquifer), two thirds of the saturated thickness of the aquifer.
Deltaic	a depositional environment in standing water near the mouth of a river.
Facies	the aspect or character of the sediment within beds of one and the same age (Pettijohn, 1957).
Fluvial	produced by the action of a stream or river.
Hydraulic Conductivity	the rate of flow of water through a unit cross-section under a unit hydraulic gradient; units are length/time.
Kriging	a geo-statistical method for gridding irregularly-spaced data.
Lacustrine	fine-grained sedimentary deposits associated with a lake environment and not including shore-line deposits.
Surficial Deposits	includes all sediments above the bedrock.
Transmissivity	the rate at which water is transmitted through a unit width of an aquifer under a unit hydraulic gradient: a measure of the ease with which groundwater can move through the aquifer. Apparent Transmissivity: the value determined from a summary of aquifer test data, usually involving only two water-level readings. Effective Transmissivity: the value determined from late pumping and/or late recovery water-level data from an aquifer test. Aquifer Transmissivity: the value determined by multiplying the hydraulic conductivity of an aquifer by the thickness of the aquifer.
Yield	a regional analysis term referring to the rate a properly completed water well could be pumped, if fully penetrating the aquifer. Apparent Yield: based mainly on apparent transmissivity. Long-Term Yield: based on effective transmissivity.