Field Pea

How EFFECTIVE ARE GRANULAR INOCULANTS ON IRRIGATED PEA?

March 2006

Canada-Saskatchewan Irrigation Diversification Centre

THE QUESTION

How effective are seed placed and side-banded granular inoculants compared to the traditional seed applied peat and liquid formulations for irrigated pea?

INTRODUCTION

Pea is generally considered to be a good nitrogen fixing species capable of supplying a large portion of its N requirements through N fixation when inoculated with an appropriate Rhizobium strain (LaRue and Patterson, 1981). The amount of N derived from fixation in any given year, or any given location, is highly variable and depends on a number of factors including environmental conditions, soil fertility and general crop health (Rennie and Dubetz, 1986; Bremer et al., 1988; Kucey, 1989; Androsoff et al., 1995). Management strategies that maximize nitrogen fixation ultimately contribute to higher pea vields.

Application of commercial inoculants containing *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *viceae* with pea seed is one management strategy used to enhance nitrogen fixation. Inoculants are typically applied as peat based powders or liquid formulations directly to the seed coat prior to seeding. Manufacturers have developed and formulated inoculants as granules.



Figure 1. Granular inoculants are effective on irrigated pea

In the granular form, the *Rhizobium* inoculant is applied in the soil. When compared with more traditional seed-applied formulations, granular inoculants have been shown to lead to better nodulation and higher seed yields (Bezdicek et al., 1978; Brockwell et al., 1980).

RESULTS

No trends indicated that any one specific inoculant formulation was superior for irrigated Carneval pea (Figure 1).

In 1997, inoculation with rhizobial treatments did not significantly enhance seed yield compared to the control. In 1998, seed yield was lowest for the control treatment and was significantly increased with application of all inoculant formulations (Figure 1). No effect of inoculation on seed

weight was observed in 1997 or 1998 compared to the control treatment (results not shown).

Relatively high soil available nitrogen levels in both years may have masked inoculant treatment effects. Results from work conducted in Alberta indicated that inoculant application to pea was variable and only produced a significant response 41% of the time (McKenzie et al., 2001). However, the Alberta work concluded that rhizobia inoculation is a cost-effective way to ensure maximum pea yields are obtained.

In 1997, several inoculants enhanced nodule rating as compared to the control treatment. As well, N fixation, though variable, was enhanced for all the inoculant formulation treatments compared to the

🖌 Saskatchewan





control treatment (Figure 2). In 1998, nodule rating showed little difference between the noninoculated treatment and the inoculated treatment.

N fixation was low and variable with highest levels observed for granular seed placed and peat formulations. This was probably due to relatively high soil available nitrogen levels. Presence of high soil available nitrogen levels has been shown in previous research to eliminate the effect of rhizobium inoculants (Evans et al. 1996).

Although nitrogen fixation levels were variable and relatively low, seed yields associated with granular inoculant treatments were generally high and as good as the other inoculant formulations. These results are in keeping with reports by others who have similarly observed that granular inoculants are as effective, or better, than liquid and peat-based powders (Bezdicek et al., 1978; Brockwell et al., 1980; Lafond and Johnston, 1998; Rice et al., 2000).



The Study Details

 Location:
 CSIDC
 Variety:
 Carneval yellow pea

 Seeding rate:
 80 plants / m²
 Fertilizer:
 45 lb/ac 12-51-0 seed placed

 Weed Control:
 Ethylfluralin (Edge) pre-plant incorporated; bentazon (Basagran) and sethoxydim (Poast) applied post-emergence
 Treatments:

 Granular – MicroBio Rhizogen (MBR) and Liphatec seed-placed and sidebanded;
 Fertilizer:
 45 lb/ac 12-51-0 seed placed

Granular – MicroBio Rhizogen (MBR) and Liphatec seed-placed and sidebanded; Liquid – MicroBio Rhizogen (MBR) and Liphatec seed-applied; Peat – MicroBio Rhizogen and Liphatec seed-applied.



Funded by the Canada-Saskatchewan Agri-

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, PFRA

Food Innovation Fund and

The Bottom Line...
 Granular inoculant formulations are as effective as the traditional peat and liquid formulations for field pea grown under irrigation.

References

Androsoff, G.L., C. Van Kessel and D.J. Pennock. 1995. Landscape-scale estimates of dinitrogen fixation by *Pisum sativum* by nitrogen-15 natural abundance and enriched isotope dilution. Biol Fertil. Soils 20:33-40.

Bezdicek, D.F., D.W. Evans, B. Abeda and R.E. Witters. 1978. Evaluation of peat and granular inoculum for soybean yield and N fixation under irrigation. Agron. J. 70:865-868.

Bremer, E., R.J. Rennie and D.A. Rennie. 1988. Dinitrogen fixation of lentil, field pea and fababean under dryland conditions. Can. J. Soil Sci. 68:553-562.

Brockwell, J., R.R. Gault, D.L. Chase, F.W. Hely, M. Zorn and J.E. Corwin. 1980. An appraisal of practical alternatives to legume seed inoculation: Field experiments on seed bed inoculation with solid and liquid inoculants. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 31:47-60.

Evans, J., A. Gregory, N. Dombrowolski, S.G. Morris, G.E. O'Connor and C. Wallace. 1996. Nodulation of field grown *Pisum sativum* and *Vicia faba*: Competiveness of inoculant strains of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* by *viciae* determined by an indirect, competitive ELISA methods. Soil Biol. Biochem. 28:247-255.

Kucey, R.M.N. 1989. Contribution of N fixation to field bean and pea N uptake over the growing season under field conditions in southern Alberta. Can. J. Soil Sci. 69:695-699.

Lafond, G. and A. Johnston. 1998. Effect of inoculant formulation and nitrogen fertilizer on the yield of field pea. *In:* Proceedings of the Pulse Crops Research Workshop, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan. pp. 56-58.

LaRue, T.A. and T.G. Patterson. 1981. How Much Nitrogen Do Legumes Fix? Advan. Agron. 34:15-38.

McKenzie, R.H., A.B. Middleton, E.D Solberg, J. DeMulder, N. Flore, G.W. Clayton and E. Bremer. 2001. Response of pea to rhizobia inoculation and starter nitrogen in Alberta. Can. J. Plant Sci. 81:637-643.

Rennie, R.J. and S. Dubetz. 1986. Nitrogen-15-determined nitrogen fixation in field-grown chickpea, lentil, fababean and field pea. Agron. J. 78:654-660.

Rice, W.A., G.W. Clayton, P.E. Olsen and N.Z. Lupwayi. 2000. Rhizobial inoculant formulation and soil pH influence field pea nodulation and nitrogen fixation. Can. J. Soil Sci. 80:395-400.

Figure 2. Nitrogen fixing ability is similar between inoculant formulations.