. Please describe your organization (e.g., private collection, state historical society, private non-profit nuseum, etc):				
What type of collection do you work with (e.g. history, art, natural history, mixed) and what does your ollection specialize in (e.g. agricultural history, medical equipment, regional items, etc.)? Please list as many sapplicable and rank them in order according to percentage of objects in the collection. List the highest ercentage first (and if possible the percentage itself) and so on:				
3. What is your collecting period (please indicate specific years or centuries)?				
4. How many items are in your collection? Less than 5,000 5,000-10,000 10,000-25,000 25,000-50,000 Over 50,000				
5. How long has your organization used <i>Nomenclature?</i>				
6. How long have you (personally) used <i>Nomenclature</i> ?				
7. Which <i>Nomenclature</i> edition do you currently use? 1978 1988				
8. How often do you use <i>Nomenclature</i> ? Daily Weekly Monthly Hardly Ever Other (please explain below)				
9. How is your collection physically grouped/organized? (i.e., is it organized according to <i>Nomenclature</i> , object material, object type, object date, etc.)				
10. Please name any other classification/nomenclature systems you currently use:				
11. Please name any other classification/nomenclature systems you have used and how they compare to <i>Nomenclature</i> ?				
12. How do you use <i>Nomenclature</i> in your organization's collections management system?				
COLLECTIONS MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE: 13. Does your organization maintain collection records on computer? Yes No				
13.a If yes, what type of software do you use (e.g., Argus, Collection, Minaret, Past Perfect, Regis, Snap, Timeless Collections, Excel, Custom, Modified off-the-shelf, etc.)				

14.	14. Does your software permit the entry of object nan Yes	mes that aren't included in the <i>Nomenclature</i> lexicon? es No
15.	15. Do you normally get your <i>Nomenclature</i> terms fro Software	rom a pre-existing list in your software or from the book? re Book
IN'	INTRODUCTORY SECTION & SUPPLEMENTA	AL INFORMATION:
	16. Have you read the introductory section in Nomena	
	Yes	es No
17.	17. Did you find the introductory section helpful?	
	Yes	es No
18.	18. How often do you peruse the introductory chapter	ers to Nomenclature?
	Daily Weekly	
	19. Additional information is provided in <i>Nomenclatu</i> concerning the use of preferred and non-preferred terrification. Yes	
20.	20. Please list any suggestions for additional supplem	nental information:
Just	CLASSIFICATIONS & CATEGORIES Just a reminder: Category (e.g., Furnishing) Classification (e.g., Bedding) Preferred Term (e.g., Blanket) 21. If you find any of the classification definitions in the company of the classification definitions in the classification definition definition definitions in the classification definition	the introduction confusing or unclear, please indicate
	which ones:	
22.	22. What additional categories and classifications sho	ould be added to <i>Nomenclature</i> ?
23.	23. What categories and classifications should be dele	eted from <i>Nomenclature</i> ?
24.	24. What categories and classifications should be con	mbined?

25. Which categories and classifications are most in need of additional terms?	
26. What sort of items do you tend to place in the unclassifiable artifacts category?	
27. How often have you encountered collection objects that don't seem to fit into the categories and classifications of <i>Nomenclature</i> ? Never Seldom Occasionally Regularly Frequently	
28. What categories and classifications have you added terms to the most?	
29. Have you further broken down the classification of Clothing (i.e., children's clothing, men's clothing, military clothing) and if so, how?	
30. How have you interpreted the definition of the classification "Merchandising T&E" (what types of iten you put into that classification)?	ns do
PREFERRED TERMS 31. Which categories and classifications in <i>Nomenclature</i> need preferred term definitions?	
32. How do you deal with objects that have two or more common names or spelling variants?	
33. How do you deal with objects whose proper name is in a language other than English?	
34. Do you add regional terms to <i>Nomenclature</i> ? Yes No	
35. Would you like to see regional terms added to <i>Nomenclature</i> in some capacity? Add Don't Add	

6. How is the addition of terms handled within your institution (by a committee, a specified person, whomever s using it at the time, etc.)?				
37. How have you dealt with consumable products that don't fit neatly within given categories (e.g., tobacco wists, canned foods, unpackaged food such as hard tack, etc.)?				
38. How have you dealt with the dual nature of some objects (i.e., a ruler that has a business advertisement printed on one side)?				
39. Would a <i>Nomenclature</i> dictionary, that defined uncommon preferred terms specifically, be a helpful resource? Yes No				
40. Do you have many (more than 50) Native American materials and/or natural history objects? Yes No				
40a. If yes, do you use <i>Nomenclature</i> to classify them? Yes No				
40b. If yes, how				
41. About how many terms would you estimate that you've added through the years? Less than 50 50-100 100-250 250-500 Over 500				
42. Please indicate whether the terms you have added are mostly highly descriptive terms (Envelope, Glassine) or general terms that <i>Nomenclature</i> left out (television)? Descriptive General				
42a. Are most of your additions related to specific categories or classifications, and if so, which ones?				
43. How many of your added terms have you retained? Less than 10% 10%-25% 25%-50% 50%-75% 75%-100%				
44. When assigning a term, do you normally find it through: The hierarchical list The alphabetical list (index) Use both evenly				
45. Do you generally follow <i>Nomenclature</i> rules when assigning a term or do you often ignore the "rules?" (i.e., if you want to call something "hutch," but the book says that's too general, do you choose one of the preferred terms like "Cabinet, Corner" or "Cabinet, China?") Never Seldom Occasionally Regularly Always				

Never Seldom Occasionally Regularly Frequently 17. In cases where the proper object name is not to be found in the Namenclature levicon, do you choose a						
47. In cases where the proper object name is not to be found in the Newscaleture levices, do you choose a						
47. In cases where the proper object name is not to be found in the <i>Nomenclature</i> lexicon, do you choose a lexicon term, even if it is less precise, or add the desired name to the lexicon? Choose Add						
48. How often have you been uncertain as to which of two (or several) <i>Nomenclature</i> terms would identify a particular collection object?						
Never Seldom Occasionally Regularly Frequently						
49. If you are trying to classify a component of an object (say a horn for a phonograph record player), do you choose the object term for the larger object, or add the term of the specific component? Choose Add						
REVISION FORMAT/UPDATES 50. Would you prefer a revised edition of <i>Nomenclature</i> be published in a traditional hard copy format, or electronically (i.e., as a web site whose content might be freely viewed or might be downloaded to a museum's computers on a subscription basis)? Traditional Format Electronic Format Both						
51. If <i>Nomenclature</i> were available electronically, would you still want to purchase and use a traditional book form?						
Yes No						
52. If expanded versions of the <i>Nomenclature</i> lexicon (incorporation of new terms suggested by users and endorsed by a central clearinghouse or steering committee to encourage consistency and avoid redundancy) were made available on a periodic basis (i.e., an annual supplement to subscribers), would your institution be likely to subscribe? Yes No						
53. Would you like to see the updates available as: Via the internet In a traditional hard copy format						
54. If there were a "clearinghouse" to which <i>Nomenclature</i> -related questions or problems could be referred, would you use it?						
Yes No						
55. Would you participate in an online discussion group regarding <i>Nomenclature</i> ? Yes No						
56. Is there anything concerning the revision of <i>Nomenclature</i> you would like to suggest to the committee that has not been covered previously in this survey?						