Ontario

This presentation is intended for general information purposes only. It only identifies certain highlights of the Building Code. Code users are strongly advised to consult the official records for specific legislative and regulatory requirements, including:

Notice

- The Building Code Act, 1992, as amended; and
- The Building Code, including amendments not yet in force

Copies of these documents are available from Publications Ontario at 1-800-668-9938 or eLaws at www.e-laws.gov.on.ca

🐨 Ontario

Part 4 & 5 This slide deck is part of a series of slide decks prepared to accompany the Ministry of Municipa

prepared to accompany the Ministry of Municipal and Affairs and Housing's information sessions on the 2006 Building Code. Other slide decks and locations for the Ministry's information sessions are available from the Building Code website at www.obc.mah.gov.on.ca.

The complete series of slides is intended to:

- Provide an overview of the 2006 Building Code's new objective-based format and
- Introduce certain technical highlights of Ontario's 2006 Building Code

Overview: Agenda

🕲 Ontario

- The morning session:
 - Purpose
 - Introduction
 - Format and Structure
 - Highlights of changes to Part 3
 - Highlights of changes to Part 9
- The afternoon session:
 - Highlights of changes to Parts 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 11
 - Part 12: Resource Conservation (Energy and Water)

🕅 Ontario

2006 Building Code : Technical Changes

Division B – Part 4

Structural Design





















Significant additions - Manure Storage Tanks

🕲 Ontario

Must comply with 1995 model National Farm Building Code *except*.

- Constructed of Steel, reinforced concrete or prestressed concrete
- Concrete must be made using type 50 cement
- 32 MPa
- Water/cement materials ratio 0.45
- Placed on non-organic material
- If granular fill used must be >95% standard proctor

MAJOR CHANGES IN DESIGN (Contario REQUIREMENTS

Design methodology

- has been revised
 has been updated to reflect latest knowledge and data and to more closely reflect changes in other jurisdictions.
- End result will not be significantly different for most designs

Changes in design methodology 🚫 Ontario

- 4.1.2. Specified Loads and Effects -Importance categories and factors
- 4.1.3. Limit States Design Companion action format
- Working stress design eliminated
- Return period for snow and wind
- 4.1.6. Loads due to rain and snow snow loads
- 4.1.7. Wind Loads
- 4.1.8. Earthquake Loads and Effects

Changes in design methodology

🕲 Ontario

4.1.2. Specified Loads and Effects -Importance categories and factors

4.1.3. Limit States Design - Companion action format

Working stress design eliminated

- Return period for snow and wind
- 4.1.6. Loads due to rain and snow snow loads
- 4.1.7. Wind Loads
- 4.1.8. Earthquake Loads and Effects

Snow, Wind and Earthquake Load The Interior 1997 Building Code					
 Various methods of addressing loads on different types of buildings 					
 Importance factor in general load combination equation 					
 0.8 for low importance buildings 					
1.0 for other buildings					

	Snow	Wind	Earthquake
Post-Disaster		1-in-100 year	l = 1.5
School	1-in-30 year	1-in-30 year	I = 1.3
Other	r in de year	1-in-30 year	I = 1.0



1.2. Importance Categories	🗑 Onti
Use and Occupancy	Importance Category
Low or indirect hazard to human life in event of a failure	Low
Others	Normal
Post-disaster shelters Facilities housing hazardous substances	High
Post-disaster buildings	Post-disaster

L

	-

4.1.2. Compilation of mportance Factors				6	ଟ୍ଟ) Or	ntario
Harmonization of approach for wind, snow and earthquake						
Importance Category	Sno (Table)	w, I _S 4.1.6.2.)	Win (Table	d, l _w 4.1.7.1)	Earthqu (Table 4	uake, I _E 4.1.8.5.)
portanico catogory	ULS	SLS	ULS	SLS	ULS	SLS
Low	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.75	0.8	nits
Normal	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.75	1.0	n Lir
High	1.15	0.9	1.15	0.75	1.3	llectic
Post-Disaster	1.25	0.9	1.25	0.75	1.5	Det
ULS = ultimate limit states SLS = serviceability limit states						



Changes in Design Methodology

- 4.1.2. Specified Loads and Effects -Importance categories and factors
- 4.1.3. Limit States Design Companion action format

Working stress design eliminated

- Return period for snow and wind
- 4.1.6. Loads due to rain and snow snow loads
- 4.1.7. Wind Loads
- 4.1.8. Earthquake Loads and Effects



2006 Building Code

- one principal load at extreme value
 companion loads at largest expected value
- companion loads at largest expected valu





Case	Load C	Load Combination		
Case	Principal Loads	Companion Loads		
1	1.4D			
2	(1.25 D [*] or 0.9 D [*]) + 1.5 L [*]	0.5 S * or 0.4 W		
3	(1.25 D [*] or 0.9 D [*]) + 1.5 S	0.5L* or 0.4 W		
4	(1.25 D [*] or 0.9 D [*]) + 1.4 W	0.5L* or 0.5 S		
5	1.0 D * + 1.0 E *	0.5L* + 0.25 S *		

Changes in Design Methodology

4.1.2. Specified Loads and Effects -Importance categories and factors

🐨 Ontario

4.1.3. Limit States Design - Companion action format

Working stress design eliminated

Return period for snow and wind

- 4.1.6. Loads due to rain and snow snow loads
- 4.1.7. Wind Loads
- 4.1.8. Earthquake Loads and Effects





Ontario

Changes in Design Methodology

- 4.1.2. Specified Loads and Effects Importance categories and factors
- 4.1.3. Limit States Design Companion action format

Working stress design eliminated

- Return period for snow and wind
- 4.1.6. Loads due to rain and snow snow loads
- 4.1.7. Wind Loads
- 4.1.8. Earthquake Loads and Effects

(Ontario **Return Period – Snow and Wind**

1997 Building Code

- Snow
- · 1-in-30 year ground snow load same for all
- Wind
- 1-in-10 year for cladding, serviceability
 1-in-100 year for post-disaster
 1-in-30 year for other

2006 Building Code 1-in-50 year for all

Changes in Design Methodology

🐨 Ontario

- 4.1.2. Specified Loads and Effects -Importance categories and factors
- 4.1.3. Limit States Design Companion action format

Working stress design eliminated

- Return period for snow and wind
- 4.1.6. Loads due to rain and snow snow loads
- 4.1.7. Wind Loads
- 4.1.8. Earthquake Loads and Effects

🕅 Ontario

4.1.6. Snow and Rain

- Load differentiation
 - none in 1997 OBC
 - change prompted by ice storm
 - · 2006 OBC to use importance factors
- Snow load <u>no longer</u> considered a live load

• Exposure factor

- no reduction for High and Post-Disaster
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}\,$ tied to "open terrain" definition in Wind Subsection

Changes in Design Methodology

- 4.1.2. Specified Loads and Effects -Importance categories and factors
- 4.1.3. Limit States Design Companion action format

Working stress design eliminated

Return period for snow and wind

- 4.1.6. Loads due to rain and snow snow loads
- 4.1.7. Wind Loads
- 4.1.8. Earthquake Loads and Effects

4.1.7. Wind		() Ontario
	1997 Building Code	2006 Building Code
Load differentiation	• return periods – 1-in-10, 1-in-30, 1-in-100 year	 1-in-50 year use importance factors
Cladding	• 1-in-10 year for design	• 1-in-50 year
	'	'

















Changes in Design Methodology

- 4.1.2. Specified Loads and Effects -Importance categories and factors
 4.1.3 Limit States Design Companion action
- 4.1.3. Limit States Design Companion action format Working stress design eliminated

Return period for snow and wind

- 4.1.6. Loads due to rain and snow snow loads
- 4.1.7. Wind Loads
- 4.1.8. Earthquake Loads and Effects





- 🗑 Ontario
- Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:
 - · updated hazard in spectral format
 - a change in return period
 - period dependant site factors
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}\,$ the delineation of overstrength and ductility
 - system restrictions
 - revised period calculations
 - defined structural irregularities
 - · dynamic analysis as the default method

4.1.8. Earthquake

🕲 Ontario

Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:

- updated hazard in spectral format
- a change in return period
- · period dependant site factors
- the delineation of overstrength and ductility
- · system restrictions
- revised period calculations
- defined structural irregularities
- dynamic analysis as the default method

🕅 Ontario

4.1.8.4. Spectral Acceleration

1997 Building Code

- · peak ground velocity and acceleration
- sorted into discrete zones
- amplified to obtain period-dependant forces

2006 Building Code

- uniform hazard spectra at specific locations
- direct representation of structural response













🕲 Ontario

Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:

- · updated hazard in spectral format
- a change in return period
- period dependant site factors
- · the delineation of overstrength and ductility
- · system restrictions
- revised period calculations
- · defined structural irregularities
- · dynamic analysis as the default method

4.1.8. Probability of Exceedance

- From 10% in 50 year probability in 1997 OBC to a probability of $\ 2\%$ in 50 year for 2006 OBC
- This new methodology focuses on design criteria specific to the building location as well as the building itself. It takes into account:
 - Earthquake activity
 - Ground conditions
 - Building dependent criteria. (period of vibration, discontinuity, method of construction)
- Uniform margin of collapse.

(Contario

Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:

- updated hazard in spectral format
- a change in return period
- period dependant site factors
- the delineation of overstrength and ductility
- · system restrictions
- revised period calculations · defined structural irregularities
- · dynamic analysis as the default method

4.1.8.4. Period-Dependent Site Factors

- Six 'site classes' A,B,C,D,E and F
- Used in conjunction with spectral acceleration
- · Seismic response of building to ground movement.

		Average	e Properties in Top 30	m
Site Class	Ground Profile Name	Shear Wave Average Velocity, V₅ (m/s)	Standard Penetration Resistance, N∞	Soil Undrained Shear Strength, s
Α	Hard Rock	√s > 1500	Not applicable	Not applicable
в	Rock	760 < V _s ≤ 1500	Not applicable	Not applicable
с	Very Dense Soil and Soft Rock	360 < V _s < 760	N ₈₀ > 50	s _u > 100kPa
D	Stiff Soil	180 < V _s < 360	$15 \le N_{80} \le 50$	$50 \le s_u \le 100 \text{ kPa}$
	Soft Soil	V _s < 180	N ₆₀ < 15	s _u < 50kPa
E		Any profile with more than 3 Plastic index PI > 2 Moisture content w Undrained shear st	m of soil with the follow 0 $\ge 40\%$, and rength s _u < 25 kPa	ing characteristics:
F	(1) Others	Site Sp	ecific Evaluation Requir	ed



Ontario

Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:

- · updated hazard in spectral format
- a change in return period
- period dependant site factors
- the delineation of overstrength and ductility
- system restrictions
- revised period calculations
- defined structural irregularities
- · dynamic analysis as the default method



4.1.8. Earthquake

🕲 Ontario

Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:

- · updated hazard in spectral format
- a change in return period
- · period dependant site factors
- · the delineation of overstrength and ductility
- system restrictions
- revised period calculations
- defined structural irregularities
- dynamic analysis as the default method

🐨 Ontario

Ontario

4.1.8.10 System Restrictions

2006 OBC will restrict certain types of building form.

- •Force resisting system
- Spectral acceleration
- Soil properties
- Importance category



4.1.8. Earthquake

Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:

- updated hazard in spectral format
- a change in return period
- period-dependant site factors
- · the delineation of overstrength and ductility
- system restrictions
- revised period calculations
- · defined structural irregularities
- dynamic analysis as the default method



🗑 Ontario

Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:

- · updated hazard in spectral format
- a change in return period
- period-dependant site factors
- the delineation of overstrength and ductility
- system restrictions
- revised period calculations
- defined structural irregularities dynamic analysis as the default method

4.1.8.6. Structural Irregularities

Restrictions and special requirements:

- Irregular structures generally not permittedIf they are, then design forces are increased
- dynamic analysis must be used



4.1.8.6. Structural Irregularities

- Regular buildings perform better than irregular ones
- Triggers based on period, seismicity, importance

Туре	Irregularity Type and Definition	Notes
1	Vertical Stiffness Irregularity	(2) (3)
2	Weight (mass) Irregularity	(2)
3	Vertical Geometric Irregularity	(2) (3) (4)
4	In-plane Discontinuity in vertical lateral force-resisting element	(2) (3) (4)
5	Out-of-Plane Offsets	(2) (3) (4)
6	Discontinuity in Capacity - Weak Storey	(3)
7	Torsional Sensitivity - to be considered when diaphragms are not flexible	(2) (3) (5)
8	Non-orthogonal Systems	(6)

19









Ontario

Significant advances in knowledge and data lead to:

- updated hazard in spectral format
- · a change in return period
- period-dependant site factors
- the delineation of overstrength and ductility
- system restrictions
- revised period calculations
- defined structural irregularities
 dynamic analysis as the default method





🗑 Ontario

Part 4 changes - summary

•Wind, Snow and Earthquake - loads

 $\bullet \mbox{Revised}$ Design Codes for Steel, reinforced concrete, masonry and wood

•Companion Action now used for design.

•Earthquake design rewritten

Overview: Agenda

🗑 Ontario

- · The morning session:
 - Purpose
 - Introduction
 - Format and Structure
 - Highlights of changes to Part 3
 - Highlights of changes to Part 9
- The afternoon session:
 - Highlights of changes to Parts 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 11
 - Part 12: Resource Conservation (Energy and Water)

🕲 Ontario

Building Code 2006: Technical Changes

Division B – Part 5

Environmental Separation

Outline

Scope and Application Loads and Procedures Performance Requirements Sound Transmission Referenced Standards 🕅 Ontario

Outline Scope and Application Loads and Procedures Performance Requirements Sound Transmission Referenced Standards









5.1.4.1.

- to include the design and construction of environmental loads and structural loads pertaining to the selection of materials, components and assemblies of a building
- selected elements and loads
 performance addressed in Part 5
 structural designed to Part 4









🕲 Ontario

Resistance to Loads

For elements and loads not addressed in Sentence 5.1.4.1.(3)

Sentence 5.1.4.1.(4)

- a) determine structural loads and design according to Subsection 5.2.2. (Part 4), or
- b) for **common** materials, components, assemblies and their installation be based on proven past performance over several years









🕲 Ontario

- Resistance to Deterioration addressed in Article 5.1.4.2.
- Design and construction of assemblies exposed to exterior must be in accordance with good practice such as
- CSA S478, "Guideline on Durability in Buildings" Standard













🕲 Ontario

Intent - Part 5 - Structural Safety

- · displacements of the structural system
- as a whole or in part,
- component buckling, or
 failure of required environmental separator
 - elements
 - structural failure » harm to persons





5.3. Heat Transfer

OBC 2006

Control of Heat Transfer

- · materials to resist the transfer of heat
- means to dissipate the heat

Performance Target

- minimize condensation
 minimize ice damming
- Design Parameters

 conditions on either side of the separator



🕅 Ontario





Outline

Scope and Application Loads and Procedures Performance Requirements Sound Transmission **Referenced Standards**

🕅 Ontario



30



Outline	🕲 Ontario
Scope and Application Loads and Procedures Performance Requirements Sound Transmission Referenced Standards	



(Contario

Summary

- Assemblies exposed to the exterior now addressed
- Structural loads on building envelope elements better addressed
- Part 5 is becoming more performance-based
- Sound transmission requirements moved to Part 5
- New single table of referenced standards

