## Farm Structures FACTSHEET

## PLANNING A HORIZONTAL SILO FOR BEEF OPERATIONS

This factsheet outlines the essential considerations in planning a horizontal silo including location and site preparation, sizing the storage, structural requirements and typical costs.

## INTRODUCTION

Silage is an effective and economical method of harvesting and storing forage. It is especially suited to areas of the Province where weather conditions are not favourable to quality hay harvesting.

Horizontal silos can be economical to build and use. A well constructed and managed horizontal silo can be used to store and supply high quality feed throughout the feeding period.

## LOCATION AND SITE PREPARATION

Consider the following points and Figure 1 when determining the location of a horizontal silo (see Figure 1)

- Access to feeding areas.
- Access from croplands during harvest.
- Integration of the silo within the overall farmstead plan - recommend drawing a farmstead plan prior to any construction
- Provide flexibility for expansion. Don't box yourself into a corner.
- Well drained, preferably south facing site to permit a 1 to $2 \%$ floor slope to the front face.
- Sited to prevent drainage of silo juices into natural watercourses.


Figure 1

## SIZING THE SILO

A silo is usually sized to store the maximum volume of feed required for the feeding season. Alternatively, the silo may be sized on the expected crop yield to be stored.

Plan for expansion either by allowing room for construction of a second silo or by allowing for extension of the silo towards the front. Extending the silo towards the back adds extra expense in removing and reconstructing the back wall. In sizing the silo to store feed necessary for animal consumption, the guidelines in Table 1 can be used for silage (without hay) feed consumption rates for beef cattle.

Once the daily consumption is known, the total storage capacity can be calculated. Add a $20 \%$ safety factor for storage losses and feed wastage. See Engineering Note "Forage Harvesting, Storage and Feeding Losses". Order No. 240.100-4.

| Table 1 | GE FE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feed Requirement |  |
|  | Silage | Grain |
| LIVESTOCK | ( lbs per day )* |  |
| 1100 lb MATURE COWS <br> - MID PREGNANCY <br> - LATE PREGNANCY <br> - LACTATION | $\begin{aligned} & 75.0 \\ & 73.0 \\ & 66.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 2.1 \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 800 lb YEARLING HEIFERS <br> - MID PREGNANCY | 38.4 | 7.2 |
| 850 lb YEARLING HEIFERS <br> - LATE PREGNANCY | 48.5 | 6.3 |
| 900 lb FIRST CALF <br> HEIFERS <br> - LACTATING | 44.0 | 9.8 |
| 700 lb STEER CALVES <br> - GAINING $1.5 \mathrm{lb} /$ day | 36.6 | 8.1 |
| 600 lb HEIFER CALVES <br> - GAINING $1.0 \mathrm{lb} /$ day | 27.3 | 7.2 |
| * $30 \%$ dry matter silage; $90 \% \mathrm{dm}$ grain |  |  |

## Example 1:

A ranch in Quesnel is overwintering an 80 cow herd. Calculate the silage requirements assuming no hay is fed. Feed is required from October 15 to April 30 (200 days) and calving begins March 1.

Silage required $=45$ days MID PREGNANCY x $75 \mathrm{lbs} /$ days $=$ 3,375 lbs per head (Oct 15 - Dec 1)
+90 days LATE PREGNANCY x $73 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day $=6$ 6,570 lbs per head
+60 days LACTATION $\mathrm{x} \quad 67 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day $=\quad 4,020 \mathrm{lbs}$ per head (Mar 1 - Apr 30)

Total intake requirement $=13,965 \mathrm{lbs}$ per head

```
Total Silage Required \(=13,965 \frac{\mathrm{lbs}}{\text { Head }} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad 80\) head \(=1,117,200 \mathrm{lbs}\) or 559 tons
Add \(20 \%\) for losses \(=670\) tons
```


## Example 2:

A rancher in Kamloops is backgrounding 200 beef calves from $500 \mathrm{lbs} /$ head to $850 \mathrm{lbs} /$ head through the winter (about 200 days). Average weight of the calves is 700 lbs and average daily gain, 1.5 lbs .

Silage Required $=200$ head $\times \frac{36.6 \mathrm{lbs}}{\text { day }} \times 200$ days $=1,464,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ or 732 tons
Add $20 \%$ for losses $=\underline{878 \text { tons }}$

## MINIMIZE SPOILAGE AT THE FACE

Once the silo is opened for feeding, the silage is exposed to the air. During the warmer periods of the feeding season, it can spoil, otherwise, it may freeze in mid-winter.

To minimize spoilage and freezing, size the silo face area (i.e., the vertical cross section) to permit a minimum 4 inches/day silage removal rate from the face.

## Example 1:

The maximum silo face area for the 80 cow herd in Quesnel is calculated as follows:

| Maximum |
| :--- |
| face area |
| $(\mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})$ |$=\frac{80 \text { head } \times \frac{70 \mathrm{lbs}}{\text { day/head }} \text { (avg.) }}{\frac{40 \mathrm{lbs}}{\mathrm{cu} \mathrm{ft}} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{in}}{\text { day }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{ft}}{12 \mathrm{in}}}=420 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$,

## Example 2:

The maximum silo face area for the 200 head backgrounding operation in Kamloops is calculated using the feeding rate of 37 lbs per day/head.

| Maximum <br> face area <br> $(\mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})$ |
| :--- |
| $\frac{40 \mathrm{lbs}}{\mathrm{cu} \mathrm{ft}}$ |$\quad \times \frac{4 \mathrm{in}}{\text { day }} \times \frac{37 \mathrm{lbs}}{12 \mathrm{in}} \quad=555 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$

## SELF FEEDING

ilage may be self-fed from the face. A self-feeding fence or electric wire is used to limit access and minimize feeding wastage. The vertical face of the settled silo should be no more than 8 feet. The feeding face width at the floor should be $6 "$ to 8 " per cow provided the cattle have access to the feeding face 24 hours per day.

## DETERMINE FINAL SILO DIMENSIONS

Once the total storage capacity and maximum silo face area have been determined as outlined above, the silo dimensions can be finalized. Use Table 2.

Table 2: CAPACITY OF PACKED HORIZONTAL SILO (tons)
(Based on packed density $=40 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{cu} \mathrm{ft}$ )

| Silo width <br> (ft) | Silage depth <br> (ft) | Silage Face area (sq ft) | Length of Silo (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 56 | 64 | 72 | 80 | 88 | 96 | 104 | 112 | 120 | 136 | 152 |
| 20 | 6 | 120 | 120 | 139 | 158 | 178 | 197 | 216 | 235 | 254 | 274 | 312 | 350 |
| 20 | 8 | 160 | 154 | 179 | 205 | 230 | 256 | 282 | 307 | 333 | 358 | 410 | 461 |
| 20 | 10 | 200 | 184 | 216 | 248 | 280 | 312 | 344 | 376 | 408 | 440 | 504 | 568 |
| 30 | 8 | 240 | 230 | 269 | 307 | 346 | 384 | 422 | 461 | 499 | 538 | 614 | 691 |
| 30 | 10 | 300 | 276 | 324 | 372 | 420 | 468 | 516 | 564 | 612 | 660 | 756 | 852 |
| 30 | 12 | 360 | 317 | 374 | 432 | 490 | 547 | 605 | 662 | 720 | 778 | 893 | 1008 |
| 40 | 8 | 320 | 307 | 358 | 410 | 461 | 512 | 563 | 614 | 666 | 717 | 819 | 922 |
| 40 | 10 | 400 | 368 | 432 | 496 | 560 | 624 | 688 | 752 | 816 | 880 | 1008 | 1136 |
| 40 | 12 | 480 | 422 | 499 | 576 | 653 | 730 | 806 | 883 | 960 | 1037 | 1190 | 1344 |
| 40 | 14 | 560 | 470 | 560 | 650 | 739 | 829 | 918 | 1008 | 1098 | 1187 | 1366 | 1546 |
| 50 | 10 | 500 | 460 | 540 | 620 | 700 | 780 | 860 | 940 | 1020 | 1100 | 1260 | 1420 |
| 50 | 12 | 600 | 528 | 624 | 720 | 816 | 912 | 1008 | 1104 | 1200 | 1296 | 1488 | 1680 |
| 50 | 14 | 700 | 588 | 700 | 812 | 924 | 1036 | 1148 | 1260 | 1372 | 1484 | 1708 | 1932 |
| 50 | 16 | 800 | 640 | 768 | 896 | 1024 | 1152 | 1280 | 1408 | 1536 | 1664 | 1920 | 2176 |
| 60 | 10 | 600 | 552 | 648 | 744 | 840 | 936 | 1032 | 1128 | 1224 | 1320 | 1512 | 1704 |
| 60 | 12 | 720 | 634 | 749 | 864 | 979 | 1094 | 1210 | 1325 | 1440 | 1555 | 1786 | 2016 |
| 60 | 14 | 840 | 706 | 840 | 974 | 1109 | 1243 | 1378 | 1512 | 1646 | 1781 | 2050 | 2318 |
| 60 | 16 | 960 | 768 | 922 | 1075 | 1229 | 1382 | 1536 | 1690 | 1843 | 1997 | 2304 | 2611 |

N.B. This table gives actual wet matter capacity in tons assuming the silo is filled and packed to the top of the sidewall with no crowning. The table takes into account a $1: 2$ sloping front face.

## Example 1

The 80 cow herd operation:

- $\quad$ storage volume $=670$ tons
- maximum silo face area $=420 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ face area

From Table 2, we can select several options that meet these requirements.
For example:

1. $30^{\prime}$ wide $\mathrm{x} 12^{\prime}$ high $\mathrm{x} 108^{\prime}$ long, or
2. $40^{\prime}$ wide $\mathrm{x} 10^{\prime}$ high $\mathrm{x} 96^{\prime}$ long.

## Example 2:

The 200 head backgrounding operation:

- $\quad$ storage volume $=878$ tons
- maximum silo face area $=555 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ face area

From Table 2, we can select several options that meet these requirements.
For example:

1. $40^{\prime}$ wide $\mathrm{x} 12^{\prime}$ deep $\mathrm{x} 104^{\prime}$ long, or
2. 50 ' wide $\mathrm{x} 10^{\prime}$ deep $\mathrm{x} 100^{\prime}$ long.

## STRUCTURAL REQUIREMENTS

Engineering drawings must be prepared and followed in constructing a horizontal silo. Figure 2 shows many critical points to which attention must be given; amongst others, these are:

- Sloping silo walls to permit tractor packing up to the wall.
- The silo wall must be designed with foundations and bracing to resist the tremendous pressures.
- The wall should be lined with plastic to establish an airtight seal and thus improve silage preservation.
- Use pressure treated wood. (CCA not PCP).
- Earth may be used as a backfill to minimize silage freezing. If the silo is constructed below grade, the walls must be designed as retaining walls to resist earth pressures. Perimeter drains will also be necessary.

A concrete floor is designed to reduce feed losses. This floor must be properly reinforced to carry heavy tractor loads. Use quality concrete.


Figure 2 Typical Structural Requirements - Pole Type Horizontal Silo

## TYPICAL COSTS TO CONSTRUCT A HORIZONTAL SILO

The cost of a structure can vary greatly depending on the site preparation requirements, local supplies, materials used, type of structure to be erected, manpower costs and construction techniques used.

A typical 40 ft wide x 96 ft long x 8 ft high structure would involve the following costs:

| Site Preparation: | $\$ 1,500$ | or | $\$ 0.40 / \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Materials: | 15,360 | or | $4.00 / \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Labour: | $\underline{4,200}$ | or | $\underline{1.10 / \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}}$ |
| Total: | $\$ 21,060$ | or | $\$ 5.50 / \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT
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