

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION FACILITY OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROJECTS

Section 16 of CEAA clearly defines information that must be provided to the Agency to meet the requirements of the Act prior to designating a report a MCSR. Previous sections of this report have been dedicated to describing the class of projects for which declaration is sought. This section of the MCSR describes the existing environment in which Aquila projects are undertaken so that potential environmental effects and the significance of residual environmental impacts can be determined. Specifically, this section will describe:

- The MCSR Study Area, or Class Screening Area (CSA) (Section 4.1)
- Existing environmental conditions (Section 4.2)
- Impact Assessment Approach (Section 4.3)
- Potential Environmental Effects of the Class of Projects (Section 4.4)
- Environmental mitigation practices (Section 4.5)
- Significance of residual environmental effects (Section 4.5)

Information provided in these sections also enables the RA to take into account site and situation-specific circumstances. This has been made possible through the integration of detailed ecological mapping and a thorough investigation of potential environmental impacts for each project in different ecological settings. Standard environmental mitigation measures have been detailed for each project undertaken by Aquila. Special mitigations for environmentally sensitive areas are identified on the ecological maps contained in Appendix F.

4.1 Description of the Class Screening Area

Primary distribution facilities owned and operated by Aquila occur in eight geographically distinct areas in BNP (Figure 3.1). In order to assess the significance of potential environmental impacts from this class of projects, it was necessary to describe the environmental setting of the facilities.

The study areas for the MCSR includes 250 m wide buffer on each side of the distribution line. These areas include the entire length of each primary distribution line, the line RoW as well as the “zone of influence” around the distribution line. “Zone of influence” includes all areas that have potential to be impacted by a project, either directly or indirectly. Factors considered when determining the zone of influence for this project include:

- **Width of the RoW.** Minimum clear widths on a RoW are required for safety purposes and are governed by a range of factors (including tree height, height of conductor, sag, flashover distances, safety factors for tree growth, conductor swing etc.), and so can vary. The widest of the primary distribution RoWs in BNP averages 14 m in width; this width is considered the maximum area directly impacted by Aquila operations.

- **Sensory disturbance to wildlife.** Linear disturbances (power lines, roads, cut lines, railways etc.) that have repeated disturbances associated with them often cause wildlife to avoid otherwise effective habitats. The degree of avoidance is species specific and is related to the type of linear disturbance. For example, the zone of influence for grizzly bears (a highly sensitive species) range from 200 m to 1600 m for areas with “hiding” cover, and 800 m to 3200 m for open habitats. Documented zones of influence for elk (an easily habituated species) can range from 200 m to 1600 m. Some nesting raptors have exhibited a flush response at a mean distance of 476 m from the approach of a pedestrian (Jalkotzy *et al.*, 1998).
- The type of linear disturbance and the frequency of disturbance is an important consideration when determining the wildlife zone of influence. Aquila activities on the RoW are generally localized and of short duration. The majority of the distribution lines in BNP parallel roadways, including the TransCanada Highway (TCH), Highway 1A, the Sunshine road, Lake Minnewanka Loop road or the CPR tracks. As such, it is reasonable to assume that wildlife living within the vicinity of these linear features, especially the TCH and Highway 1A, have habituated to a certain level of background noise. Therefore, in comparison to the level of activity occurring on these parallel roadways or human use corridors, sensory disturbances associated with the Aquila RoW would be relatively low. Therefore, 200 m on either side of the RoW is considered an appropriate “zone of influence” to incorporate into the final CSA based on the minimum zone of influence identified by Jalkotzy *et al.* (1998).
- **Non-native species invasion.** A paper on non-native vegetation species in Banff National Park concluded that 150 m is the maximum distance documented in the park for non-native species invasion originating from linear disturbances (Hansen; 2000). This distance is included within the wildlife zone of influence.
- **Disturbance to surface waters:** The movement of surface water over the land can enter waterbodies that are not directly within the RoW, thus resulting in indirect effects some distance from the source of the linear disturbance. Due to the nature of the activities within the RoW, it was considered that the appropriate CSA for evaluating potential impacts to waterbodies is within 250 m on either side of the distribution line.

The selected CSA combined the measure of maximum RoW width (± 14 m) and added it to the approximation of the wildlife sensory disturbance zone of influence (200 m on each side of the RoW) and rounded the total width up to 500 m (i.e. 250 m on either side from the centre of the RoW).

4.2 Existing Environmental Conditions

As Figure 3.1 indicates, Aquila distribution lines provide power to public and commercial facilities located in areas ranging from valley bottoms (i.e. Lake Minnewanka recreational area and Johnston Canyon) to mountain slopes supporting two alpine ski resorts (Sunshine Village and Lake Louise Ski hill). Differences in local climate, topography, elevation and soils result in a fairly wide range of vegetation communities and wildlife species supported throughout the CSA. The following section describes the various biophysical site attributes associated with Aquila facilities throughout BNP.

4.2.1 Terrestrial Setting

Ecological Land Classification (ELC) has been completed for BNP (Holland and Coen 1982). Parks authorities supported this mapping project as a means of determining base level data on natural resources for responsible planning and management in the park (East *et al.* 1979 in Holland and Coen 1982). Information in the ELC provided the basis for describing the environmental setting for the CSA. This information was augmented with scientific literature, and personal communication with local experts.

Ecoregions

The CSA transverses 3 ecoregions, 23 ecosections and 43 ecosites; these areas are illustrated on maps 1 to 8 (Appendix E). Ecoregions are areas with distinctive regional climates expressed through vegetation associations (Strong and Leggat 1992). Ecoregions associated with the CSA include:

- The montane ecoregion,
- The lower subalpine ecoregion
- The upper subalpine ecoregion

The **montane ecoregion** is the most biologically diverse and ecologically important area in BNP (BBVS 1996). Vegetation in the montane ecoregion is dominated by three main vegetation types; Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) and white spruce (*Picea glauca*) forests; aspen/poplar (*Populus spp*) forests; and grasslands at dry sites. Grasslands and mature Douglas fir stands are considered a special resource in BNP (Achuff 1996). One of the factors attributed to decreasing grassland areas is fire suppression. Fire safety and prevention is a primary focus of many of the activities undertaken by Aquila in order to protect facilities in the park.

The low elevation and open forests of the montane make it important wildlife habitat and a critical link for wildlife movement throughout the Park. Assemblages of terrestrial fauna associated with the montane ecoregion in BNP include white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), mule deer (*O. hemionus*), elk (*Cervus elaphus*), moose (*Alces alecs*), and bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*). Carnivores include pine marten (*Martes americana*), fisher (*Martes pennanti*), coyote (*Canis latrans*), wolf (*C. lupus*), cougar (*Felis concolor*), black bear (*Ursus americanus*), grizzly bear (*U. arctos*) and wolverine (*Gulo gulo*).

Most of the development in BNP including many of the Aquila primary distribution facilities occurs in the montane ecoregion. As a result, development and human disturbance has severed the Bow Valley (once a regional wildlife movement corridor) into several small corridors (Paquet *et al.* 1996). A number of Aquila's distribution RoWs cross through or span remaining wildlife movement corridors that have been identified in the Park. These corridors include (Pope 2001):

- Norquay-Cascade Corridor
- Minnewanka Corridor
- Two Jack Corridor
- Canal Corridor
- Penstock Corridor
- Borgeau Slopes Corridor
- Upper Borgeau Slopes Corridor
- Eagle Slopes Corridor
- River Flats Corridor
- Storm Slopes Corridor
- Whitehorn Corridor, and
- Fairview Corridor

The location of wildlife corridors in relation to Aquila facilities have been identified on maps 1 through 8 in Appendix F.

The subalpine ecoregion occurs at altitudes above the montane and below the non-forested alpine ecoregion between elevations of 1500 m to 1950 m. **Lower subalpine** areas encompass most of the closed forest in Banff and are predominantly characterized by Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*), and subalpine fir (*Abies bifolia*) (or hybrids of these species). Lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) are also common at lower altitudes. The **upper subalpine** is broadly ecotonal between the closed forests of the lower subalpine and the treeless alpine tundra (Holland and Coen 1982). The upper subalpine has higher snowfall and accumulation and as a result, is cooler and wetter. High wind speeds and short growing seasons affect the vegetation complexes of the upper subalpine, which are characteristically open forests and stunted trees (krummholz). The upper altitude boundary of the upper subalpine in Banff is approximately 2300 m.

Ecosections and Ecosites

Ecosections are ecological sub-units within each ecoregion that develop under similar environmental influences (climate, moisture and nutrient regime). Variations in local site attributes, the history of disturbance and other physical factors have lead to further classification of each ecosection into an ecosite. Knowing what ecosite a facility occurs in allows researchers and operators to predict the type of soils, vegetation communities and wildlife that are associated with each site. This information is important when assigning appropriate environmental mitigations to each project activity throughout the CSA.

The CSA includes 23 ecosections and 43 ecosites. The relationship between each ecoregion, ecosection and ecosite in the CSA is listed in Table 4.1

Table 4.1 Ecosites in the CSA

Ecoregion	Ecosection Name and Code	Ecosite Code
Montane	AT - Athabasca	AT1
	FR – Fireside	FR1
	GA – Garonne	GA1
	HD – Hillsdale	HD1, HD2, HD3, HD4
	NY – Norquay	NY1, NY3
	PT – Patricia	PT1, PT3, PT5
	VL – Vermillion Lakes	VL1, VL3, VL4
Lower Subalpine	AL - Altrude	AL1
	BK – Baker Creek	BK1, BK4
	BV – Bow Valley	BV1, BV2
	BY –Bryant	BY1
	CV – Consolation Valley	CV1
	GT – Goat	GT1, GT2
	HC – Hector	HC1, HC4
	IB – Ishbel	IB1
	ML - Moraine Lake	ML1
	PP – Pipestone	PP1, PP3
	PR – Panorama Ridge	PR1, PR2, PR3, PR4, PR6
	SB – Sawback	SB2, SB3, SB4
	VD – Verdant	VD1, VD2
Upper Subalpine	EG – Egypt	EG1
	PL –Peyto	PL1, PL5
	R + T – Rockland and Talus	R + T

Of the 43 ecosites in the CSA, 22 are crossed by Aquila facilities; the remaining ecosites occur within the zone of influence.

Ecosites associated with the CSA have been mapped and are illustrated on Maps 1 to 8 (Appendix F). Table E-1 (Appendix E) summarizes the predominant biophysical characteristics associated with each ecosite including landform, soils, vegetation and wildlife associations.

Wildlife

BNP is home to over 281 species of wildlife including 59 mammals, 281 species of birds, 1 reptile and 6 amphibians (Holyrod and Van Tieghem 1983, and McIvor 1999). For this reason, only characteristic wildlife species (as noted by Holyrod and Van Tieghem (1983) and McIvor 1999) that have special status and the potential to be impacted by Aquila projects are listed in Table E-1 (Appendix E).

Species with special conservation status that have the potential to be impacted by Aquila projects in BNP, and those species' basic ecology is discussed below.

- **Grizzly bears** are considered a species that “may be at risk” (AENV 2000; update July 2002). The most sensitive periods for grizzlies are during spring (hypophagia) and mid-late summer (hyperphagia). Hypophagia occurs during spring when the bears are hungry and weak after a winter of hibernation. At this time they are in need of protein, which they get from early green vegetation in wetlands and on avalanche slopes. Hyperphagia occurs during mid-late summer, when bears start to feed on their most important fattening foods (berries in the mountains) that are essential to get them through the winter. This is particularly important for pregnant females or females with cubs (Parks Canada, pers. com). Parks Canada tracks the locations of large or otherwise significant berry patches in the late summer. Grizzly bear home ranges are large and include the entire CSA. However, in particular, Lake Louise (Map 6), the habitat paralleling the 1A (Map 3, 4, 5) and Two Jack Campground (Map 1a and 1b) are associated with grizzly bears and/or significant berry patches.
- **Cougars** are considered to be a “sensitive” species in Alberta (AENV 2000). Historical cougar populations have been reduced, initially due to decline in large mammal populations in the beginning of the century followed by hunting under bounty from the mid-30s to mid-60s (Jalkotzy *et al.* 1992). Cougar population distribution is primarily associated with the mountains and foothills of southwestern Alberta. These areas encompass a variety of habitats including the montane, and subalpine ecoregions in BNP. Cougars are predators, preying primarily on ungulates (mostly deer and elk), and occasionally smaller mammals such as porcupine and beaver (Jalkotzy *et al.* 1992). They are almost exclusively solitary with breeding and gestation and caring for litters occurring at anytime throughout the year. The majority of births, however, have been recorded from April to August.
- **Canada Lynx** is considered to be “sensitive” in Alberta (AENV 2000). Lynx prey almost exclusively on snowshoe hare. Populations fluctuate with the hare populations; female lynx nearly stopping or stopping reproduction when hare populations are low (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2002). Human activities (other than trapping which does not occur in BNP) does not appear to affect lynx populations (Environment Canada 2002). Lynx are usually found in early succession forests (after a disturbance) where hare densities are higher due to dense shrub understory. Home ranges vary with available prey densities. Lynx hunt at night; male lynx usually hunt alone, females hunt with kittens as a family group except during mating season in late February/early March. Kittens are born under brush, logs or uprooted trees in April and May. Because lynx are usually active at night, it is expected that Aquila project will have little to no impact on species activities.
- **Wolverines** are considered “species of special concern” or “vulnerable” in western and Northern Canada (COSEWIC, 2002) and in Alberta they “may be at risk” of extinction or extirpation (AENV, 2000). Wolverine home ranges are often hundreds of square kilometres in size, encompassing a variety of habitats. Distribution is related to food availability and human development, preferring areas with low levels

of development. They are scavengers and predators, depending on the time of year (Peterson, 1997). Wolverines are solitary except for during mating from May to August. The female digs a den or gives birth under fallen trees or rock crevasses in late February or March. The majority of Aquila activities are proximate to developed area and areas supporting high levels of human use. As such it is considered unlikely that wolverine would select dens sites in areas with the potential to be impacted by Aquila projects.

- **Harlequin ducks** are listed as a “sensitive” species, making them vulnerable to human disturbance and worthy of special management considerations. Habitat degradation on breeding streams has been identified as a significant threat to the species long-term survival (Smith *et al.* 1995). Harlequin ducks are ground nesters; nests consist of depressions on the ground normally within 1 m of streams but have been found up to 55 m away (Smith, 2000). As such, there is potential for ground nest destruction during mowing activities on the ROW. Harlequin Ducks gather along the Bow River in April to mid-May before dispersing to nest in small mountain streams to lay their eggs in May-June with incubation often coinciding with peak stream runoff. Harlequin ducks frequently return to the same stream section to nest year after year. Harlequin ducks require clean fast flowing water with a healthy macro-invertebrate population. This makes them vulnerable to the effects of surface runoff and associated chemical pollutants and sedimentation.

Harlequin Ducks nest sites have been recorded at the following areas which are relevant to the CSA (Smith *et al.* 1995):

- Pipestone River (Map 6)
 - Bow River between Lake Louise and Castle Junction (Map 4, 5 and 6)
 - Baker Creek (Map 5)
 - Johnston Creek (Map 3)
 - Healy Creek (Map 2b and 2c)
 - Brewster Creek (Map 2a)
 - Lake Minnewanka (Map 1b)
- The **American Bittern** is a heron-like bird, considered to be “sensitive” in Alberta (AENV 2000). Marshes, swamps, moist meadows, wet alder and willow thickets are the preferred habitat of this bird, and it can be found throughout Alberta where suitable habitat exists. It is always found in areas with dense vegetation, preferring seclusion (Semenchuck 1993). American Bitterns nest on the ground or on raised tussocks, in marshy and occasionally dry areas with tall vegetation. It arrives in Alberta in late April or early May, leaving by early September but occasionally staying into late October (Semenchuck 1993). Breeding typically begins once new vegetation emerges suitable for cover (usually in May). Within the CSA, wetland areas are considered potential breeding habitat for American Bitterns.

- **Osprey** is a fish eating bird of prey listed as “sensitive” by Alberta Environment (2000). It nests in the vicinity of permanent lakes and rivers, building nests near the water at the top of trees or wooden distribution poles. They are found throughout Alberta provided lakes and nesting opportunity are present. Osprey spring migration into southern Alberta occurs in April and fall migration south is in September. Historically, osprey was persecuted in the early 1900s, and later population declines occurred from chemical pesticides use.
- **Northern Goshawk** is a “sensitive” species according to Alberta Environment (2000). Populations are shown to be very prey dependent, declining with prey populations. Prey includes grouse, snowshoe hare, red squirrel and other small mammals (Semenchuck 1993). Goshawks inhabit dense mixed wood forests. They build large nests (up to 1 m in diameter) in conifer or deciduous trees, often close to a permanent lake or river. The Northern Goshawk often migrates south or to parklands and prairie within Alberta in September-October, migrating back to densely forested boreal and mountain habitat for breeding in March-April (Semenchuck 1993, Fisher and Acorn 1998).
- The **Pileated Woodpecker** is considered to be “sensitive” in Alberta (AENV 2000). A pair of Pileated Woodpeckers require up to 40 hectares for foraging, preferring mature conifer or mixed wood forests (Fisher and Acorn 1998). They nest in mature to old-growth trees, excavating a cavity for 3 to 6 weeks in a dead or dying trunk. In the winter the Pileated woodpecker will excavate separate cavities for roosting, remaining in the same range year round. Populations are threatened due to intensive forest management (Semenchuck 1993). In the CSA pileated woodpeckers may be associated with mature deciduous stands that can be used as nest trees.
- **Western Tanager** is a “sensitive” species according to Alberta Environment (2000). Highest densities in the mountains are found in Douglas fir, aspen/lodgepole pine forests (Holroyd and Van Tighem 1983). Nests are generally built in conifers and occasionally deciduous up to 15 m high in the tree (Semenchuck 1993). This species arrives in the mountains in mid to late May, leaving in mid-August/September. Breeding would occur shortly after arrival, ending around mid-July.
- The **Clay-Coloured Sparrow** does not have special status in Alberta, however, it is considered a valued ecosystem component in the river valleys of the montane ecoregion as the grasslands, which are its preferred habitat, are considered rare in BNP.
- **Cooper’s Hawk** do not have special status in Alberta, however the presence of Cooper’s hawks usually indicate the presence of aspen and mixed-wood forests. Cooper’s Hawks inhabit mixed woodlands such as aspen/lodgepole pine forests or pure aspen stands. Aspen forests occupy a small portion of lands within BNP and are considered a special resource (Achuff, 1986).
- The **long-toed salamander** is ranked as a ‘sensitive’ species in Alberta (Alberta Environment, 2000). They are considered uncommon but not in decline, although

their clumped distribution tends to associate them with habitats potentially at risk (Alberta Wildlife Management Division, 1996). Habitat for the long-toed salamander typically occurs in closed canopy lodgepole pine and Douglas fir associations near a permanent waterbody or near balsam poplar and willow dominated wet areas (Graham, 1999). The long-toed salamander is found in the shallow breeding ponds that are generally free of fish and not necessarily permanent. Breeding occurs from mid-April to the end of May and the eggs hatch three weeks later. Long-toed salamanders are known to migrate up to 1 km from breeding ponds to overwinter. For the past 8 years all of the amphibians have been monitored in BNP (M. McIvor, pers. com.). The specific ecosites where long-toed salamander adults and egg masses have been found are:

- BK1: Blackpole Ponds north of Louise Creek (Map 7),
 - HD3: Sunshine borrow pits (Map 2a), and
 - PT5: Wetland area on either side of the road north of Two Jack Lakes (Map 1a and 1b).
- The **Columbia spotted frog** provincial status is uncertain, as it is not known whether populations are declining (James, 1998). The Committee on the Status and Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) considers it “not at risk” within Canada, but “of concern” in Alberta due to extremely limited distribution (James, 1998). Columbia spotted frogs inhabit cool, permanent waterbodies such as slow moving streams, rivers, marshes, ponds and the edges of small lakes. In the Bow Valley they have been found primarily in the lower subalpine and montane regions, and occasionally in the upper subalpine (James, 1998). They are often found in non-woody wetland plant communities, using thick algae and vegetation for cover. For the past 8 years all of the amphibians have been monitored in BNP (M. McIvor, pers. com.). The specific ecosite where Columbia spotted frog adults and egg masses have been found:
 - HC1: Adjacent to the Bow River north of Silverton Creek.

To maximize the level of protection afforded the Columbia spotted frog and long-toed salamander, all wetlands and waterbodies crossed by Aquila are assumed to have the potential to support amphibians and amphibian habitat, until Parks Canada investigations determine otherwise (Banff National Park Warden Service, Sept 26, 2002). This includes all wetlands and waterbodies listed in Tables 4.4 and 4.5 and/or on the maps, as well as wetlands and waterbodies not specifically listed.

Vegetation

Over 70 vegetation community types are supported in BNP (Holland and Coen, 1982); 49 of these have potential to occur in the CSA. The dominant vegetation community types associated with each ecosite in the CSA are listed in Table E-1 (Appendix E). Table 4.2 lists all of the vegetation communities supported in the CSA and their dominant species. Table 4.3 illustrates the associations between ecosites and the various vegetation communities. As Table 4.3 indicates, more than one vegetation complex can be associated with an ecosite. These vegetation

“mosaics” within each ecosite are tied to variations in local site attributes (aspect, slope, levels of disturbance etc.).

Several vegetation communities have been identified as special resources in BNP because of their limited distribution (Achuff, 1996). These are:

- Dry montane forest communities (C1:Douglas fir/hairy wild rye, O5:Douglas Fir/juniper bearberry and O2: Limberpine – Douglas fir/bearberry), montane grasslands (H6: Junegrass – pasture sage – wild blue flax)
- Aspen forests (C16: Aspen/hairy wildrye-peavine).

All of these vegetation communities have potential to occur in the CSA.

4.2.2 Wetland Setting

Aquila facilities cross a number of ecosites that are characterized by wet terrain or that are near surface water tables. Table 4.4 lists wet ecosites and wetlands crossed and potentially influenced by routine operation and maintenance activities on Aquila distribution lines, in an east to west direction. Wetlands crossed by Aquila facilities have been identified on maps in Appendix F.

It should be noted that no distribution poles are located in standing water.

Wetlands occupy a small portion of the total areas of BNP (around 2.6%), however, they contain a diverse assemblage of plants and animals and are significant areas of wildlife use (Schindler and Pacas, 1996). The presence of lush vegetation used for food and cover, and available water make wetlands excellent wildlife habitat. They provide seasonally critical habitat and travel corridors for wolves, coyotes, grizzly bears, black bears and elk within the region, and a diverse and abundant wildlife community is typically found in the wetland ecosites crossed by Aquila. Ungulates, large carnivores and furbearers that use the wetland ecosites in the CSA are typical of those found in the montane ecoregion.

Amphibians recorded using wetland areas in the CSA include: long-toed salamander (*Ambystoma macrodactylum*), wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*) and Columbia spotted frog (*Rana leuteiventris*) (McIvor and McIvor, 1999). The long-toed salamander and Columbia spotted frog are listed as “sensitive”, meaning that they are “not at risk of extinction or extirpation but may require special attention or protection to prevent them from becoming at risk” (Alberta Sustainable Resource Development/Alberta Environment, 2000). Egg clusters of both the long-toed salamander and the Columbia spotted frog have been found in areas crossed by the Aquila distribution lines (Table 4.4). These locations have been noted on the ecological mapping (Appendix F).

Table 4.2 Vegetation Community and Dominant Species in the CSA

C1	Douglas fir/hairy wild rye
C2	White spruce/shrubby cinquefoil/bearberry
C3	Lodgepole pine/juniper/bearberry
C4	White spruce/prickly rose/horsetail
C5	White spruce/Douglas fir/feather moss
C6	Lodgepole pine/buffaloberry/showy aster
C8	Black spruce – Lodgepole pine/willow/sedge
C9	Lodgepole pine/dwarf bilberry
C10	Lodgepole pine – white spruce/green alder/feather moss
C11	Lodgepole pine/feather moss
C13	Engelmann spruce subalpine fir/feather moss
C14	Engelmann spruce – subalpine fir/false azalea
C15	Engelmann spruce-subalpine fir/grouseberry
C16	Aspen/hairy wild rye – peavine
C17	Balsam poplar/buffaloberry
C18	Lodgepole pine/buffaloberry/grouseberry
C19	Lodgepole pine/buffaloberry/twin flower
C20	Lodgepole pine/false azalea/grouseberry
C21	Engelmann spruce – subalpine fir/tall bilberry/liverwort
C26	White spruce/buffaloberry/fern moss
C27	White spruce/prickly rose/fern moss
C28	Balsam Poplar/horsetail
C29	Lodgepole pine/Labrador tea
C30	Engelmann spruce – subalpine fir/Labrador tea/crowberry
C31	Engelmann spruce – subalpine fir/hairy wild rye – heart leaf arnica – twin flower/feather moss
C32	Engelmann spruce/horsetail/feather moss
O2	Limberpine – Douglas fir juniper/bearberry
O3	White spruce/shrubby cinquefoil/bearberry
O4	Engelmann spruce – subalpine fir – white bark pine-lodgepole pine
O5	Douglas fir/juniper/bearberry
O6	Engelmann spruce – subalpine fir/willow/ribbed bog moss
O10	Engelmann spruce – subalpine fir/heather
O11	Spruce/Labrador tea/brown moss
O14	Engelmann spruce-subalpine fir/rock willow/bracted lousewort
O17	White spruce/juniper/bearberry
S1	Dwarf birch – shrubby cinquefoil – willow/brown moss
S3	Dwarf birch – shrubby cinquefoil/needlerush
S4	Willow – dwarf birch/fleabane
S7	Willow-horsetail
S8	Willow/cinquefoil
S9	Dwarf birch – willow/Kobresia
S11	Willow/timber oat grass
H3	Sedge – saxifrage
H6	Junegrass – pasture sage – wild blue flax
H8	Yellow dryad – willow herb
H11	Water sedge – beaked sedge
H14	Hairy wild rye-junegrass-bearberry
H19	Bluebunch wheatgrass – hairy wild rye – showy aster
L1	Shrubby cinquefoil/bearberry – northern bedstraw

Contain special vegetation resources

Table 4.3 Vegetation Complex Associations within each ecosite

Ecosites		C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C8	C9	C10	C11	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17	C18	C19	C20	C21	C26	C27	C28	C29	C30	C31	C32	O2	O3	O4	O5	O6	O10	O11	O14	O17	S1	S3	S4	S7	S8	S9	S11	H3	H6	H8	H11	H19	L1									
Montane Ecoregion																																																										
AT – ATHABASCA	AT1			✓			✓											✓																																								
FR – FIRESIDE	FR1						✓		✓									✓																																								
GA – GARONNE	GA1	✓		✓			✓		✓						✓																																	✓										
HD – HILLSDALE	HD1														✓	✓																																										
HD – HILLSDALE	HD2																																																									
HD – HILLSDALE	HD3		✓			✓																																																				
HD – HILLSDALE	HD4			✓																																																						
NY – NORQUAY	NY1	✓					✓																																																			
NY – NORQUAY	NY3	✓		✓		✓													✓																																							
PT – PATRICIA	PT1			✓		✓	✓			✓																																																
PT – PATRICIA	PT3						✓	✓			✓																																															
PT – PATRICIA	PT5						✓	✓			✓																																															
VL – VERMILLION LAKES	VL1																																																									
VL – VERMILLION LAKES	VL3				✓																																																					
VL – VERMILLION LAKES	VL4				✓																																																					
Lower Subalpine Ecoregion																																																										
AL – ALTRUDE	AL1						✓		✓		✓							✓	✓	✓																																						
BK – BAKER CREEK	BK1						✓											✓	✓	✓																																						
BK – BAKER CREEK	BK4			✓			✓																																																			
BV – BOW VALLEY	BV1								✓									✓	✓																																							
BV – BOW VALLEY	BV2																			✓																																						
BY – BRYANT	BY1												✓	✓							✓																																					
HC – HECTOR	HC1																											✓																														
HC – HECTOR	HC4																																																									
ML –	ML1												✓	✓																																												
PP – PIPESTONE	PP1			✓			✓																																																			
PR – PANORAMA RIDGE	PR2														✓	✓																																										
PR – PANORAMA RIDGE	PR3									✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓																																							
PR – PANORAMA RIDGE	PR6										✓							✓	✓						✓																																	
SB – SAWBACK	SB3			✓			✓																																																			
VD – VERDANT	VD2								✓																																																	

Table 4.4 Aquila Distribution Lines Crossing Wetlands and Wet Ecosites within BNP (East to West)

Map Sheet ^(a)	Wetlands Crossed	Typically Wet Ecosites Crossed	Ecosite Classification ^(b)
Map 1A		Cascade Ponds, south of the old Cadet Camp site (within 500 m buffer)	HD2
Map 1A		Southwest of Banff Airstrip (underground line)	VL4
Map 1B	Lakeside Pond near Two Jack Lake (within 500 m buffer) ^(c)		PT5
Map 2A	Sunshine Borrow Pits, called Healy Pit Wetlands north of junction of Sunshine road and TransCanada (underground line) ^(a)		HD3
Map 4	Adjacent to the Bow River North of Silverton Creek ^(d)	North of Silverton Creek	HC1
Map 5		Southeast of Baker Creek near unnamed ephemeral creek	HC4
Map 6		South of Lake Louise Village following road to Lake Louise Sewage Lagoon	CV1
Map 7	North of Louise Creek in Blackpoll Ponds ^(a)		BK1
Map 7		East of Lake Louise	CV1

^(a) Sites have been identified on map sheets in Appendix F.

^(b) Ecosite classifications are defined in Table 4.1 and described in Appendix E

^(c) Long-toed salamander egg clusters and adults found in ponds surveyed and named by McIvor and McIvor (1999).

^(d) Columbia spotted frog egg clusters and adults found in ponds surveyed and named by McIvor and McIvor (1999).

Wetlands also provide essential habitat for birds, including resting and staging areas (Schindler and Pacas, 1996). Some species of breeding birds typically using these wetland ecosites include: Swainson's thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*), ruby crowned-kinglet (*Regulus calendula*), yellow-rumped warbler (*Dendroica coronata*), dark-eyed junco (*Junco hyemalis*), pileated woodpecker (*Dryocopus pileatus*), savannah sparrow (*Passerculus sandwichensis*) and osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*) (Holroyd and Van Tighen, 1983). Typical waterfowl species include: Harlequin duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*), mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*), American green-winged teal (*Anas crecca*) and wood duck (*Aix sponsa*) (Ferguson and Halverson, 2000). The pileated woodpecker, osprey and harlequin duck are all listed as "sensitive" (Alberta Sustainable Resource Development/Alberta Environment, 2000).

4.2.3 Aquatic Setting

The predominant aquatic features associated with the CSA are the Bow River, its tributaries and associated wetlands. Wetlands in the CSA are described in Section 4.2.2. Table 4.5 lists the waterbodies crossed and potentially impacted by Aquila distribution lines (i.e. are within 250 m on either side of Aquila's facilities), in an east to west direction. The maps in Appendix F contain information for the waterbodies crossed directly. Stream crossings by Aquila are rare; Aquila only fords streams when other access routes are inaccessible. Many potential stream crossings are eliminated from fording by Aquila based on physical restrictions and the impassability of the waterbodies.

The access maps in Appendix F demonstrate efforts to avoid stream crossings in the CSA through the utilization of alternative access routes or timing restrictions. These alternative access routes are the preferred routes for all planned maintenance activities that require the use of heavy machinery and equipment. Alternately, stream crossings are made as per timing restrictions. Stream crossings by ATVs and Argos, due to their low ground pressure and single crossings, are not restricted at any time.

Groundwater

Much of the lower elevation portions of the Bow Valley, along which the Aquila lines are found, are the result of fluvial and colluvial deposition. Groundwater flows through these deposits and ultimately reaches the Bow River. Groundwater studies in BNP indicate that groundwater moving through mountain streams and scree slopes is an important source of recharge (Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd., 2001).

Surface Water

Originating from its headwaters at the Bow Glacier, the Bow River flows through the glacially steepened, wide Bow Valley in a southeasterly direction. The river flows 130 km to the eastern edge of BNP. The outflow from Bow Glacier and Bow Lake flows steeply and swiftly, travelling down a series of small falls. The river gradually widens and decreases in gradient, such that rapids and gravels become prevalent. By the time it reaches Castle Junction, the Bow is wider, with the occurrence of gravel bars and some braiding of the streambed. Deep pools and slow currents eventually lead to the extensive wetland area of the Vermillion Lakes. From this point

on, with the exception of the Bow Falls, which is a 12 m high rapid just downstream of the Town of Banff, the Bow River exists as a wide, meandering river to the BNP boundary.

Several water quality-monitoring stations exist along the Bow River system, with several locations along the mainstem and at several of its lakes and tributary streams (Environment Canada, 2001). While specific water quality information exists for some of the waterbodies crossed by the Aquila distribution lines, only a general discussion of water quality within the Bow River system is relevant for this MCSR.

The water quality of the Bow River within BNP is generally very good, but changes occur down its longitudinal gradient, which may be due in part to human use. Snow and water samples taken near the headwaters of the Bow River confirmed the presence of several pesticides, demonstrating that long-range atmospheric transport and deposition occurs within BNP (Block *et al.*, 1993). Other persistent organic pollutants can be found in waters within BNP (Blais *et al.* 2001).

The Bow River and its tributaries contain very low sediment concentrations, even during spring freshet (Environment Canada, 2001), when snow and glacial melt and surface runoff typically carries with it large volumes of sediment. Sediment inputs from tributaries of the Bow increase the sediment loads as the river flows further downstream, but in general, the water is clear for most of the year. Modifications to alluvial fans as a result of the construction of the transportation corridors may have reduced material deposition to the Bow River from many of its northern tributaries since historic times (Pacas, pers. com.). However, fine sediments may have increased since human intervention of the area began over a century ago. Increased linear disturbances, road construction and use, municipal effluents and runoff, can all increase sediment loading to surface waters.

The water chemistry of the Bow River and many of its tributaries within BNP reflects the high proportion of carbonate rock in the area and is dominated by calcium, magnesium and bicarbonate ions (Mayhood *et al.*, 1976). The pH is slightly alkaline, and the total hardness increases from low to moderate along the length of the river (Environment Canada, 2001). The Bow River and its tributaries are generally oligotrophic (low in productivity), containing few nutrients (Schindler and Pacas, 1996). Nutrient limitation, particularly by phosphorus, is a common characteristic of high mountain streams fed by glaciers and springs.

Aquatic Resources

For the purposes of the MCSR, the aquatic resources potentially affected by the Aquila distribution lines were limited to fish and fish habitat. As the top predators, fish give an indication of the health of lower trophic levels, including aquatic plants and benthic invertebrates. Given the nature of projects included in the MCSR and the limited interaction between project activities and the aquatic environment, no fieldwork was conducted.

While there have been many studies of the fish and their habitat in Banff National Park, the specific distributions of all species and their spawning and overwintering sites have not been well documented (Brewin, pers. com.). In addition, existing documents are often contradictory

and incomplete, and records of non-sport fish distribution are limited (Brewin, pers. com.). Table 4.5 and the maps in Appendix F include known species and habitat use as interpreted and documented in the literature (Parks Canada and CPR, 2000; Godman, 1999; Agra Earth & Environmental, 1999; EnviResource Consulting Ltd., 1999; Duke *et al.*, 1996; Golder Associates Ltd., 1996; Schindler and Pacas, 1996; Mayhood, 1995; Bow River Water Quality Council, 1994; Brewin, 1994; Paul, 1994; Mayhood and Paczkowski, 1993; Nelson and Paetz, 1992; Environmental Management Associates, 1987; Mudry and Green, 1977; Mayhood *et al.*, 1976; Smiley, 1974; Ward, 1974; Ward, 1972). Alberta Environment, Trout Unlimited and Parks Canada were also contacted (Lajeunesse, Brewin and Pacas, pers. com.) for information.

Many species of fish are found in the Bow River system, however, the naturally cold waters, lack of shelter, small tributary size and low productivity limit the growth and abundance of fish species in BNP. Bow Falls, located just downstream of the town of Banff, acts as a natural physical barrier and prevents the upstream movement of fish. The Cascade power plant, operated by TransAlta Utilities, has regulated the flow of the lower Cascade Creek such that it exists as a dry streambed for much of the year reducing its potential for fish habitat (Golder Associates Ltd., 1996). Over time, the fish populations in the upper Bow River system have changed substantially, with native species declining and being replaced by non-native species.

Species native to the upper Bow River system include westslope cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki lewisi*), bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) mountain whitefish (*Prosopium williamsoni*), longnose sucker (*Catostomus catostomus*), mountain sucker (*Catostomus platyrhynchus*), longnose dace (*Rhinichthys cataractae*), brook stickleback (*Culaea inconstans*), lake chub (*Couesius plumbeus*) and burbot (*Lota lota*) (Banff-Bow Valley Study, 1996; Nelson and Paetz, 1992; Leeson and Harrison, 1988). Historically, non-native fish species, including brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), were stocked in the Bow River near Banff. Other introductions of non-native fish to the system include Yellowstone cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki bouvieri*), lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) and white sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*) (Brewin, 1994).

Introductions of non-native fish have impacted some of the native populations, particularly the westslope cutthroat trout and bull trout, through competition and hybridization. Overfishing and habitat destruction have also contributed to the decline of these native species (BBVS, 1996; Mayhood, 1995). Fisheries investigations in the Bow River and its tributaries near Banff suggest that the fish community is currently dominated by brook trout, mountain whitefish, longnose suckers and white suckers, where it was historically dominated by bull and westslope cutthroat trout and mountain whitefish (Bow River Water Quality Council, 1994; Brewin, 1994).

Most of the literature on fish distribution and habitat use within BNP does not specify the subspecies of cutthroat trout, likely due to difficulties in identification and also due to its introgressive hybridization with other black-spotted trout species throughout the Bow River basin (Mayhood, 1999; Mayhood, 1995). Therefore, distinctions between the Yellowstone cutthroat trout, the westslope cutthroat trout and introgressed forms have not been made here.

Table 4.5 Aquila Distribution Lines Waterbody Crossings within BNP (East to West)

Map Sheet ^(a)	Waterbodies Crossed by Distribution Lines	Waterbodies in 500 m Buffer	Vehicle/Equipment Crossing	Fish Species Present
1a		Cascade Ponds	No crossing required	Brook trout
1a, 1b	Cascade Creek		Heavy equipment stream crossings are permitted Aug. 16-30 and Apr. 16-30 No timing restrictions for Argos/ATVs.	Brook trout <i>Brook trout spawn in Cascade Creek</i>
1b		Lake Minnewanka	No crossing required	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout, brown trout, lake trout, mountain whitefish, cisco, splake, longnose sucker, mountain sucker, lake chub <i>Resident fish spawn in Lake Minnewanka</i>
1b		Johnson Lake	No crossing required	Cutthroat trout, rainbow trout, brook trout, brown trout, splake, mountain sucker
1b		Two Jack Lake	No crossing required	Bull trout, brook trout, brown trout, lake trout, mountain whitefish, cisco, splake, longnose sucker
1b	Power Canal from Two Jack Lake		No crossing required; alternative access available	Bull trout, brook trout, brown trout, lake trout, mountain whitefish, cisco, splake, longnose sucker
2a		Brewster Creek	No crossing required	Bull trout, brook trout, brook stickleback <i>Brook trout possibly spawn in Brewster Creek</i>
2a		Healy Creek	No crossing required	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout
2a, 4, 6	Bow River		Impassable waterbody	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brown trout, brook trout, rainbow trout, lake trout, mountain whitefish, splake, longnose dace, white sucker, longnose sucker, brook stickleback <i>Cutthroat trout may spawn in the Bow up of Lake Louise</i> <i>Brook trout spawn in the Bow River between its confluence with Silverton Creek and Muleshoe Lake</i> <i>Mountain whitefish and brook trout likely spawn throughout the Bow River, including confluence with Brewster Creek.</i> <i>Mountain whitefish and possibly cutthroat and brown trout spawn in the Bow near its confluence with Cascade Creek.</i>

Table 4.5 Aquila Distribution Lines Waterbody Crossings within BNP (East to West) – *Continued*

Map Sheet ^(a)	Waterbodies Crossed by Distribution Lines	Waterbodies in 500 m Buffer	Vehicle/Equipment Crossing	Fish Species Present
2b, 2c	Healy Creek		Impassable waterbody	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout
2c	Unnamed ephemeral creek		Impassable waterbody	Unknown, but wet only during spring runoff, therefore, generally not fish-bearing
3	Johnson Creek		No crossing required; alternate access available	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout, rainbow trout
4	Silverton Creek (dry during winter)		Heavy equipment stream crossings are permitted Aug. 16 to Apr. 30 and whenever streambed is dry. No timing restrictions for Argos/ATVs.	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout when wet, but dry during winter and not fish-bearing
4		Altrude Creek	No crossing required	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout, mountain whitefish. <i>All species possibly spawn in Altrude Creek</i>
5		Baker Creek	No crossing required	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout, mountain whitefish <i>Brook trout possibly spawn in Baker Creek</i>
5	Unnamed ephemeral creek		No crossing required; alternate access available	Unknown, but wet only during spring runoff, therefore, generally not fish-bearing
6	Pipestone River		Impassable waterbody	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout, mountain whitefish <i>All species possibly spawn in the Pipestone River</i>
7	Louise Creek		Impassable waterbody	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, brook trout, mountain whitefish
7		Lake Louise	No crossing required	Cutthroat trout, bull trout, splake, mountain whitefish

^(a) Sites have been identified on map sheets in Appendix F.

Bull trout and cutthroat trout appear to be quite widely distributed throughout the upper Bow River system upstream of Bow Falls, but have decreased in abundance since historic times. Reports (Mayhood, 1999; Schindler and Pacas, 1996; Mayhood, 1995; Brewin, 1994) state that these native populations are in jeopardy, and may be at, or approaching, levels associated with a high risk of extirpation. Compounding the decreases in their abundance is the high relative abundance and distribution of brook trout, which is known to outcompete cutthroat trout and hybridize with bull trout (Brewin, 1994).

As a result, the bull trout has been listed as “sensitive”, meaning that it “is not at risk of extinction or extirpation but may require special attention or protection to prevent it from becoming at risk” (Alberta Sustainable Resource Development/Alberta Environment, 2000). Although the westslope cutthroat trout is not officially recognized as being at risk, there is currently a zero possession limit for both bull and cutthroat trout caught in BNP (Parks Canada, 2002).

Fish spawning and overwintering likely occurs throughout the Bow River system, wherever suitable habitat can be found. Each fish species has different behaviour and habitat preferences, including the time of year, stream flows and size, substrate size and spawning method. Table 4.5 and the maps in Appendix F list the known and potential spawning areas within the waterbodies crossed by Aquila facilities. It is important to note that all listed waterbodies may provide spawning habitat for the fish species known to be present.

In order to protect the reproduction, growth and survival of these fish species during the spawning and egg incubation period, timing restrictions have been put in place throughout the province by Alberta Environment. These timing restrictions prevent activities within the waterbodies, and vary depending on the fish species present or potentially present. In general, the Bow River has a “no activity” clause spanning from September 15 to April 15 (Lajeunesse, pers. com.). Specific timing restrictions for sportfish species in the Bow River differ for spring and fall spawners (Alberta Transportation and Utilities, 2000):

- Spring spawners:
 - Rainbow trout: May 1 to July 15
 - Cutthroat trout: May 27 to August 15

- Fall spawners:
 - Bull trout: September 1 to March 25
 - Lake trout: September 10 to April 5
 - Mountain whitefish: September 10 to April 15
 - Brook trout: September 15 to March 25
 - Brown trout: September 15 to March 25

Although unlikely, if all sportfish species were theoretically present and spawning in one area, timing restrictions would span from September 1 to April 15 and from May 1 to August 15. The only window available for stream crossings would be from August 16 to 31 and from April 16 to 30, which would protect all incubating eggs, developing fry and spawning and overwintering

fish. As a conservative measure, these are the timing windows recommended for most stream crossings in this MCSR. In reality, stream crossings by Aquila are expected to be rare, since Aquila only fords streams when other access routes are inaccessible. In addition, many of the streams along the RoW are physically impassable (refer to Section 4.5.5, Table 4.10, stream crossing best management practices.)

Because of the potential for additional fish presence and spawning habitat in the waterbodies crossed by Aquila not specifically listed in Table 4.5 and in the maps, it is recommended that all waterbodies be treated equally, regardless of the presence or absence of documented spawning areas or fish species. To maximize the level of protection afforded fish and fish habitat in the Bow valley, all waterbodies are assumed to have the potential to support all life stages of all fish species, until further studies determine otherwise (Parks Canada, 2002).

4.2.4 Heritage Resources

Parks Canada provided information related to cultural resources in BNP. All known historic sites are described below and were mapped on maps 1 through 8 (Appendix F). Sites 1185, 1767, 355 and 1190 are a cluster of stratified aboriginal campsites on the terrace of the north bank of the old Cascade channels (Gwyn Langemann, pers. comm.).

Sites located on the RoW include:

Map Sheet	Site #	Description
1a	1185	A pre-contact stratified campsite on the terrace edge.
1a	1767	At pre-contact stratified campsite on the terrace edge (below the ruined church steps at Bankhead).
1a	355	A pre-contact campsite on the terrace edge.
1b	1190	A pre-contact campsite on the terrace edge (immediately southwest of the Lake Minnewanka dam).
1b	63	The Cascade Power House complex; historic building.
5	111	Campsite ruin with berms and depressions suggesting 3 or 4 structures and a refuse dump were once there; artifacts from pre 1918 (near the Baker Creek bungalows).
7	1114	An historic frame building (behind the Inglenook Cafeteria, Lake Louise).

Source: Gwyn Langemann, pers. comm.

4.2.5 Human Use and Recreation

The majority of the infrastructure and facilities supporting human use in BNP occur in the frontcountry areas. Frontcountry is defined as vehicle-accessible regions of the park and the adjacent lands about 10 km from a roadway (Fisher *in* Taylor and Ryall, 2001). Aquila distribution facilities are all located in the frontcountry and provide power to recreation areas,

campgrounds, outlying commercial accommodations (hostels, cabins, hotels, lodges etc) and mountain communities. In addition, several of the Aquila facilities intersect or parallel recreational trails that support human use. Other anthropogenic features associated with human use that share the CSA with Aquila facilities include the TransCanada Highway, Highway 1A, Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) and the various roadways that provide access recreational areas and OCAs.

4.3 Impact Assessment Approach

CEAA requires a review of the environmental effects of the projects included in the MCSR. To identify the potential environmental impacts associated with projects included in the MCSR, the following approach was taken:

- Describing the project with particular attention to those aspects that have potential to interact with the environment;
- Describing the typical environments that are potentially affected by projects;
- Identifying the potential project/environmental interactions (*i.e.*, project activities that may affect the environment);
- Describing the potential environmental impacts of project activities;
- Identifying appropriate environmental mitigation measures;
- Assessing potential environmental effects of accidents and malfunctions and identifying appropriate control and response measures;
- Identifying potential residual effects and rating their likely significance;
- Consideration of potential cumulative environmental effects and,
- Identifying follow-up and monitoring requirements.

Based on the activities associated with Aquila projects described in:

- Section 3.6;
- Table 3.2;

and, the existing environment described in:

- Section 4.2;
- Table E-1 (Appendix E); and,
- The ecological constraint mapping (Appendix F).

Potential pre-mitigation environmental impact ratings were assigned for each project activity based on the criteria listed in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 Impact Rating Attributes

Impact Attribute	Rating Term	Definition
Direction	Positive	Beneficial change
	Neutral	No Change
	Negative	Adverse change in the Valued Ecosystem Component (VEC) being evaluated
Geographic Extent	Local	Within the project area or its immediate environs
	Regional	Beyond the project area but within the Bow River Watershed within BNP
	Extra-regional	Outside the Park
Duration	Short-term	During the construction phase
	Medium term	Up to two years
	Long term	Longer than two years
Frequency	Once	Occurs only once
	Intermittent	Occurs occasionally (e.g. 3 times per year)
	Continuous	Occurs continuously
Reversibility	Reversible	May be reversed over time or when activity ceases
	Non-Reversible	Will not be reversed
Magnitude	None	<i>These terms combine the above attributes. They are relative and assigned by professional environmental practitioners</i>
	Negligible	
	Low	
	Medium	
	High	

4.4 Potential Environmental Effects of Distribution Facility Routine Maintenance and Operation

As required under CEAA, potential pre-mitigation environmental effects related to routine operation and maintenance activities were identified. Potential environmental effects related to the routine operation and maintenance of Aquila facilities in BNP were identified for those environmental resources that are considered to be Valued Ecosystem Components (VEC) in BNP: air quality, groundwater, surface water, aquatic resources, soil, vegetation, wetlands and riparian habitat, wildlife and historical resources. Social VECs are public safety and recreational use.

4.4.1 *Air Quality*

- **Access and Travel along the RoW:** Dust and emissions from poorly maintained vehicles and equipment (i.e. trucks, chainsaws, mowers) have potential to negatively affect air quality (Hydrocarbons and green house gas). The effects of dust and emissions are extremely localized and of short duration and thus considered negligible.
- **Burning** during vegetation management (only used as directed by Parks Canada personnel for the disposal of brushing debris) can create smoke and increased particulate matter (PM). Smoke from burning activity in some conditions can create temporary safety and health hazards. Potential impacts to air quality are considered low.
- **Herbicide application for brush and weed control** can produce spray drift negatively impacting air quality. The environmental effects of this drift are extremely localized and of short duration and thus considered negligible.

4.4.2 *Groundwater*

- **Pole test/pole wrap or re-treatment and/or pole salvage, straightening, realignment or replacement:** Accidental spills or leaching of pole treatment/re-treatment chemicals (fungicides, pesticides and other chemicals) may result during their transportation, handling, mixing, application and storage. These spills can result in groundwater contamination. Some pole treatment/re-treatment chemicals (pentachlorophenol, or “penta”) bind strongly to soils and sediments and biodegrade within a few weeks, resulting in little potential for groundwater contamination (Brooks, 1998). Others (e.g. bendiocarb) are more mobile, but generally degrade before they can leach through soils into groundwater (US EPA, 1999). Pole wraps provide an impervious barrier between the pole and soil environment. This offers additional protection from chemical migration. Pole re-treatment significantly increases the service life of each pole. Without these treatments, poles would have to be replaced more often; a range of environmental impacts are associated with pole replacement. Due to the low frequency and magnitude of pole re-treatment and

replacement, the overall effects to groundwater from pole re-treatment or pole replacements are considered negligible.

- **Herbicide application for brush and weed control:** Accidental spills of herbicides may result during their mixing and application onto vegetation. These spills can result in groundwater contamination. Some herbicides (e.g. Triclopyr) are mobile and somewhat persistent in soil, and have the potential to leach to groundwater (Cox, 2000b). Only herbicides federally approved and registered by Agriculture Canada under the Pest Control Products Act are used. The potential effects to groundwater resulting from activities associated with herbicide applications, specifically accidental spills, are considered low.
- **Hazardous materials handling and/or materials storage, staging and handling:** Accidental spills of herbicides, fuel or waste oils may result during their transportation, handling, mixing, application and storage. These spills can result in groundwater contamination. Products like gasoline, diesel and lube oil can move quickly through soil and contaminate groundwater sources. Herbicides (e.g. Triclopyr) used to control brush and weeds can be mobile and somewhat persistent in soil, and have the potential to leach to groundwater (Cox, 2000b). Pre-mitigation potential effects to groundwater associated with hazardous waste handling, specifically accidental spills, are considered low to moderate.
- **Vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance:** Accidental spills of fuel or waste oils may result during regular operations, maintenance and refuelling of vehicles and equipment. Spills may also result during their transportation, handling and storage. Gasoline, diesel and lube oil can move quickly through soil and contaminate groundwater sources. Pre-mitigation potential effects to groundwater associated with accidental spills during vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance are considered low, since the risk of accidental spills is considered low.

4.4.3 Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources

- **Access and travel along the RoW/Ground Patrols:** Travel along access roads or on the RoW in wet conditions has the potential to create rutting of roads and may cause the channelization or pooling of surface waters, preventing normal flows.

Surface water runoff and increased sedimentation resulting from eroded soils can decrease the quality of surface waters or wetlands that they enter. These changes in water quality can impact aquatic resources; sediment can settle out to fill interstitial spaces in the substrate, reducing aquatic habitat and smothering benthic invertebrates and fish eggs and developing fry. The pre-mitigation impacts to wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources from travel along the RoW range from low to moderate, depending on the timing, frequency, extent and localized environmental conditions (slope, erosion risks, wet conditions etc.).

- **Pole test/pole wrap or re-treatment and/or pole salvage, straightening, realignment or replacement:** Accidental spills of pole re-treatment chemicals (fungicides, pesticides and other chemicals) may result during their transportation, handling, mixing, application and storage. If these spills occur near open water, they can result in wetland and surface water contamination and impact aquatic organisms and wildlife. The leaching of pole treatment/re-treatment chemicals in or near water can also contaminate surface waters, however, changes to water quality vary depending on the chemical. “Penta”, a chemical found in treated poles, is rapidly biodegraded within days in freshwater streams, but may cause short-term contamination (Brooks, 1998). Ant fumigants (e.g. Bendiocarb) can break down quickly and do not build up in water (US EPA, 1999). Other chemicals (e.g. metam sodium, copper naphthenate and sodium fluoride) may also contaminate surface waters. Boron is a less toxic alternative to other fungicides, however, it is very water soluble in some forms, so is applied in the form of glass rods, which are inserted internally into the pole.

Impacts on aquatic organisms and wetland species may cause direct mortality, or affect their growth and reproduction, the degree of toxicity depending on the chemical. “Penta” and copper naphthenate are toxic to aquatic life in very low concentrations (US EPA, 1999; Brooks, 1998). Metam sodium and bendiocarb are very highly toxic to aquatic organisms (Cox, 2000a). The use of boron rods or sodium fluoride in flurods, however, appears to pose little risk to aquatic organisms (Mallinckrodt Baker, Inc., 2001). Bioaccumulation, or an increase in the chemical in animal tissues found higher in the food chain, can be a concern to secondary or tertiary species through food chain transfer. “Penta” and bendiocarb do not appear to bioaccumulate or bioconcentrate in aquatic organisms or their predators (US EPA, 1999; Brooks, 1998).

The excavation required to replace a pole near or in water can be extensive, potentially releasing sediments to the surface water, decreasing its quality. This sediment can settle out to fill interstitial spaces in the substrate, reducing aquatic habitat and smothering benthic invertebrates and fish eggs and developing fry.

Due to the low frequency and magnitude of pole re-treatment and replacement, the overall effects to wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources are considered low.

- **Insulator washing:** The washing of insulators near or in surface waters may result in their contamination by dust and road salts, impairing water quality and potentially, the health of aquatic organisms. Increased sedimentation can settle out to fill interstitial spaces in the substrate, reducing the quality of aquatic habitat. Road salts are persistent in the environment and can also impair water quality. Surface waters contaminated by road salts can result in toxic effects on aquatic organisms (Environment Canada and Health Canada, 2001). Because of the low frequency and localized areas potentially affected, the effects of insulator washing on wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources are considered negligible.

- **All RoW Maintenance and Vegetation Control:** Vegetation removal can reduce the water storage capacity of slopes and wetlands, changing the timing and volume of surface runoff received by the waterbodies.

Riparian vegetation removal may decrease available aquatic habitat and reduce important organic inputs, potentially reducing the productivity of wetlands. Low order streams such as the tributaries to the Bow River crossed by the RoW also typically rely on organic inputs of terrestrial origin due to their low productivity. Riparian removal may also increase light penetration and therefore, water temperatures, which may impact aquatic communities. Streambank vegetation removal may decrease overhanging cover for fish, reducing the quality of habitat. Riparian removal may decrease bank stability and increase the sediment and debris inputs to the waterbody. This sediment can settle out to fill interstitial spaces in the substrate, reducing aquatic habitat and smothering benthic invertebrates and fish eggs and developing fry. Pre-mitigation potential effects to wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources associated with various forms of vegetation control are considered low and are reversible.

- **Burning:** The removal of vegetation by burning can impact surface waters and wetlands if it occurs in adjacent areas. Localized inputs of organic material and nutrients could enter wetlands and waterbodies from the burned material through surface water runoff, potentially increasing the productivity of the system. Sediments may also be released through runoff into wetlands and surface waters, with negative impacts on water quality and aquatic habitat. Pre-mitigation potential effects of burning vegetation on wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources are considered negligible due to the low magnitude, frequency and reversibility of the impacts.
- **Herbicide application for brush and weed control:** The application of herbicides for brush and weed control in or near water can contaminate surface waters and wetlands. In turn, this can harm aquatic organisms, through water or food that has been contaminated by spray drift or surface runoff. Herbicides (e.g. Triclopry, imazapyr, glyphosate and 2,4-D) can be mobile in soil and can move from treated areas to contaminate rivers and streams outside the area of application. Herbicides range from highly toxic to non-toxic to aquatic organisms and can dissipate rapidly in moving water, but may remain longer in standing waters. Glyphosate and imazapyr typically do not bioaccumulate in aquatic systems (Information Ventures, 1995*a,b,c*, Cox, 2000*b*). Only herbicides federally approved and registered by Agriculture Canada under the Pest Control Products Act are used. Pre-mitigation potential effects to wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources associated with herbicide application are considered low due to the low frequency and magnitude of the applications.
- **Fording streams, wetlands and rivers:** The fording of waterbodies may increase erosion and disturbance of streambanks and streambeds, resulting in sedimentation of the waterbody. Fording may also release particles or liquids attached to the equipment and/or vehicles, potentially contaminating surface waters and wetlands with oils, grease, fuel and other automotive fluids, which can be toxic to aquatic organisms.

Fording may increase erosion and disturbance of riparian vegetation, wetlands, streambanks and streambeds, affecting water quality and habitat. Sedimentation can reduce the quality of aquatic habitat and can kill or affect the growth of developing fish eggs and fry. Fording may also physically damage or destroy aquatic organisms. Non-native aquatic species, such as foreign vegetation, seeds, small aquatic organisms and pathogens may also be released into the waterbody during fording, potentially influencing the health, populations and dynamics of the aquatic community.

Pre-mitigation potential effects to wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources from fording range from negligible to medium, depending on the timing, frequency, extent and localized environmental conditions (streambed and bank composition, slope, erosion risks, width of crossing etc.).

- **Hazardous materials handling and/or material storage, staging and handling:** Accidental spills of pesticides, herbicides, fuel or waste oils may result during their transportation, handling, mixing, application and storage. If these spills occur near open water, they can result in surface water and wetland contamination. Chemicals can also contaminate surface waters and wetlands by chemical spray drift, improper chemical disposal and from runoff of contaminated soils. Aquatic organisms can be exposed to hazardous waste spills through contaminated water or food, either causing direct mortality or affecting their growth and reproduction. Pre-mitigation potential effects to wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources associated with accidental spills during hazardous waste handling are considered moderate.
- **Vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance:** Accidental spills of fuel or waste oils near surface waters may result during regular operations and maintenance of vehicles and equipment. Surface water contamination can lead to toxic effects on aquatic organisms through water or food exposure. Pre-mitigation potential effects to wetlands, surface water and aquatic resources associated with vehicle operation and maintenance, and accidental spills in particular, are considered low due to their low frequency of occurrence.

4.4.4 Soil

- **Access and travel along the RoW:** Irresponsible travel along the RoW including excessive speeds, improper turning and other “stunting” can rip organic mats (duff layer) and expose soils making them vulnerable to erosion. Organic matter in soil improves soil nutrient content, structure, and water holding capacity. High organic content reduces vulnerability to wind and water erosion.

Repeated travel along a route by heavy equipment can result in soil compaction (an alteration of soil structure affecting the substrate’s water holding capacity, levels of aeration, microbial diversity and overall productivity). Compacted soils are vulnerable to water erosion. Vegetation associated with compacted soils also become vulnerable from the direct trampling effects of heavy equipment, but also from limited capability of compacted soils to provide necessary moisture and nutrient

regime necessary for survival. Given the frequency of events and localized duration, impacts associated with travel on the ROW are considered low.

- **All RoW Maintenance and Vegetation Control:** Water and wind erosion is always a concern on steep slopes, which have been disturbed and left bare. Considering the Aquila vegetation management strategy, impacts to soil associated with vegetation removal from excavations are considered low.
- **Hazardous materials handling and/or material storage, staging and handling:** Improper disposal of products such as waste oil, old batteries and agrochemical containers can contaminate localized areas of soil and reduce soil quality. Impacts associated with this activity are considered low given the low frequency and short duration of these activities.
- **Vegetation removal/Excavations:** Water and wind erosion is always a concern on steep slopes, which have been disturbed and left bare. Considering the Aquila vegetation management strategy, impacts to soil associated with vegetation removal from excavations are considered low to moderate.

4.4.5 *Vegetation*

- **Access and travel along the RoW:** Irresponsible travel along the RoW including excessive speeds, improper turning and other “stunting” can result in a direct loss of vegetation. Compacted soils limit root access to nutrients and water as a result of restricted root growth, reduced water infiltration rates and decreased oxygen in the substrate. Soil compaction also negatively affects micro-organism communities, which play a critical role in nutrient recycling and mineral uptake.

Vehicles and equipment entering onto the RoW have potential to bring with them weeds or weed seeds. Some exotic plants have potential to out-compete native species alter soil stability and nutrient cycling, change fire regimes and inhibit native seedling regeneration. The frequency, duration and extent of these impacts are considered low.

- **Excavation** activities associated with pole replacements, realignments, salvage and pole anchoring, pole testing and underground line repair expose subsurface materials creating an environment susceptible to non-native species introduction. Introduction of exotic species can lead to shifts in community structure, decreasing natural variation and biodiversity. Preventing the establishment of non-native species in BNP is one of Parks Canada’s primary management objectives. Piling excavated materials on top of native healthy vegetation can damage or kill it. Vegetation in the lower sub-alpine is sensitive to disturbance and is difficult to re-establish due to the short growing season (June – August). Considering the frequency, duration and extent of these activities, impacts to vegetation associated with excavation activities for routine maintenance projects are considered low to moderate.
- **Herbicide application for brush and weed control** Chemical spray drift can cause serious damage or kill non-target vegetation. The limited use of herbicides around

Aquila facilities in the park in terms of frequency, extent and duration result in low impact rating for chemical herbicide brush and weed control.

4.4.6 Wildlife

- **All Project Activities:** Anthropogenic sensory disturbance can negatively affect wildlife especially during certain times of the year. The following periods have been identified as being sensitive time frames for wildlife species (Semenchuck 1993; S. Michel. pers. comm.; M. McIvor pers. comm.):
 - Elk calving (May 01 to June 30)
 - Elk rut (August and September)
 - Sheep lambing (May 01 to June 30)
 - Grizzly Bear hyperphagia (August 01-September 30)
 - Grizzly Bear hypophagia (May 01-June 30)
 - Wolf denning (April 01-July 30)
 - Waterfowl and migratory bird nesting/rearing (May 15 to July 15)
 - Osprey nesting/rearing (May 01 to August 15)
 - Hawk/Eagle nesting/rearing (April 01 to July 15)
 - Owl nesting/rearing (Feb 15 to June 01)
 - Harlequin Duck staging/nesting/rearing (April 01 to June 30)
 - Long-toed Salamander breeding and migration (April 01 to May 30 and September)
 - Columbia Spotted Frog breeding (April 01 to August 30)

Distribution lines and facilities in BNP are located with varying distances to other developments and linear disturbances in the park. BNP Management Plan (1997) identifies Land Use zones based on a level of disturbance. Under this system facilities can be grouped into three general categories:

- Zone V – Park services zone in highly populated areas (for example, those facilities within and adjacent to the Town of Banff and Lake Louise).
- Zone IV – Outdoor recreation zone in regularly disturbed areas (for example portions of the RoWs that parallel the Trans-Canada, Highway 1A, the CPR and the Sunshine Road).
- Zone III – Natural environment zone that includes less disturbed areas (facilities more than 200 m from another development or linear disturbance for example sections of line in the Sunshine and Lake Louise ski areas [maps 2c and 8]).

The locations of these facilities influence the degree to which Aquila projects contribute to sensory disturbance impacts to wildlife and overall cumulative effects.

Wildlife in remote areas that receive little disturbance from human activity or development are likely to be more sensitive to project activities. Wildlife living in the vicinity of highly populated areas, however, receive regular disturbance from development and human activity, therefore wildlife is likely habituated to some extent to noise disturbance. Similarly, wildlife inhabiting areas adjacent to the Trans-Canada, Highway 1A, the CPR and/or the Sunshine Road are subject to regular disturbance. In these areas of regular disturbance, wildlife sensory disturbance from Aquila projects is less significant than more remote areas.

- **Aerial and climbing patrols, Pole straightening, realignment, replacement or salvage, and some hardware replacement** have potential to disturb raptor nests associated with power poles, especially during periods of parturition. Osprey (known to nest on power poles) remain in the park until fall migration in early September.

Noise associated with aerial, ground and detailed patrols have potential to cause temporary sensory disturbance to wildlife during sensitive life stages, in particular sensitive species such as grizzly bears. Grizzly bears are particularly sensitive during spring (hypophagia) and mid-late summer (hyperphagia) and all helicopter staging areas are located in areas associated with grizzly bear habitat. While short term sensory disturbance impacts to grizzly bear during sensitive life stages may be considered moderate; the limited frequency of aerial patrols and the short period of disturbance associated with them, impacts to the overall wildlife population in the park are considered low. Detailed climbing patrols and disturbance associated with pole top equipment repair, replacement or installation is also of low frequency, limited duration and considered a low impact on wildlife.

- **Pole re-treatment:** Bears, porcupines and bushytailed wood rats have been known to eat pole wraps, which contain copper naphthenate (Parks Canada pers. comm.). Little is known about the toxicity effects of copper naphthenate, except that in extreme exposure conditions, excess copper is cleared from organisms (www.ncamp.org/poisonpoles/copper.html). “Penta” and copper naphthenate are known to be toxic to aquatic life in very low concentrations (US EPA, 1999; Brooks, 1998) and can be harmful to amphibians. “Penta”, a chemical found in treated poles, is rapidly biodegraded within days in freshwater streams (Brooks, 1998). In laboratory tests on rats and rabbits, metam sodium (a fumigant) suppressed the activity of the immune system, caused cancer and increased fetal loss in pregnant animals and caused birth defects (Cox 2000a). Short-term exposure effects in mice were associated with hyperactivity followed by mild lethargy (Cox, 2000a). It is expected that the presence of the maintenance crew would limit the wildlife present in the area during pole re-treatment activities and thus exposure would be *very* limited. Impacts to wildlife resulting from pole re-treatments are expected to be low.
- **Brush mowing** at certain times of the year can alter/damage avian nesting sites and/or kill young birds or parents. This is a particular concern for ground nesting species including Harlequin ducks. These activities also have potential to cause direct mortality to small mammals. The noise associated with mowing activities is considerable and can last for prolonged periods in relatively small areas. This noise

can induce the flight response in a range of wildlife species. Mowing is not conducted along waterways. Given the limited extent of these activities, impacts to wildlife are considered low to moderate. Complete vegetation cover removal (mowing) when combined with the presence of numerous “perches” available to raptors (the power poles) on RoWs has potential to change predator/prey relationships and can negatively skew small mammal populations. Complete elimination of woody vegetation limits available nesting habitat for avian species. Given the limited extent of these activities, impacts to wildlife are considered low.

- **Herbicide application for brush and weed control:** Herbicides and other chemicals can cause wildlife to become sick, exhibit reproductive problems or die as a result of exposure or ingestion. Direct exposure can result if birds or small mammals/furbearers eat pesticide granules or pole wraps, or when amphibians (such as the long-toed salamander) absorb chemicals through their skin, or when wildlife breathes in chemical vapours/spray. Indirect effects of chemical use on wildlife can occur through the consumption of food or water that has been contaminated by spray drift or surface runoff. For example, waterfowl, gallinaceous birds, small mammals or amphibians could be affected by eating recently treated foliage, seeds or insects. Triclopyr decreases the survival of newly hatched nestlings between 15 and 20% when mother’s food contained concentrations of 200 ppm. Sodium fluoride is not expected to be toxic to wildlife (goats, sheep or wildbirds) (Mallinckrodt Baker, Inc., 2001). Herbicide applications are limited to areas targeted for non-native plant control, which are typically associated with surface disturbances and roads. Risks to wildlife health associated with controlled herbicide applications are considered low.
- **General Waste Management:** Waste produced during project activities is either from employees on-site or discarded material. Mitigations for disposal of waste limit wildlife exposure to waste therefore the impact is negligible.
- **Vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance:** Accidental spills of fuel or waste oils can result during regular operations and maintenance of vehicles and equipment. Spill contamination can lead to toxic effects on wildlife from water or food exposure. Pre-mitigation potential effects on wildlife associated with vehicle operation and maintenance, and accidental spills in particular, are considered low due to their low frequency of occurrence.

4.4.7 Public Health and Safety

- **Burning** poses obvious threats to public safety if not properly controlled and can result in health hazards and even death. The smoke associated with burning can be dangerous if inhaled, but smoke crossing paved highways and roadways is of equal concern with respect to traffic visibility and safety. As burning is controlled and limited, the risk to public health and safety is considered low.
- **Herbicide application for brush and weed control:** Herbicide applications are limited to areas targeted for non-native plant control, which are typically associated with surface disturbances. Indirect effects of herbicide use can occur through

consumption of water that has been contaminated by spray drift or surface runoff. The limited use of herbicides around Aquila facilities in the park in terms of frequency, extent and duration, and regulations inhibiting use within 30 m of water, results in low impact rating for chemical herbicide brush and weed control.

- **Hazardous waste handling:** Public health and safety is a concern during any operation involving the storage, handling, transportation and application of chemicals. Many of these chemicals are flammable, explosive, corrosive and/or poisonous and can have serious health effects or even cause death. Exposure can be through inhalation or absorption; absorption through the skin is the most common. Improper disposal of agrochemical containers can contaminate drinking water sources. Dangerous substances are only handled by certified professionals under strict guidelines; the risk to public health and safety is considered low.

4.4.8 *Heritage Resources*

- Excavation activities have potential to disturb historical resource sites. Archaeological resources have been surveyed along the majority of the Aquila RoW. The 7 sites occur on the RoW are listed in Section 4.2.4 and mapped on the ecological constraint maps. Excavation activities associated with routine maintenance operations of above ground lines tend to be localized (within 5 feet of the base of each pole) and relatively uncommon. Pre-contact sites are clusters of stratified aboriginal campsites on the north bank of the old Cascade channels. For these sites, any new ground disturbance, however shallow, has the potential to disturb archaeological remains (Gwyn Langemann, pers. comm.). However, the RoW itself has been disturbed in the past thus the magnitude of impacts to historical resources are considered low.

Potential pre-mitigation environmental impacts associated with Aquila projects included in the MCSR are rated in Table 4.7 and are based on the assessment criteria in Table 4.6.

Table 4.7 Matrix of Potential Pre-mitigation Environmental Impacts from Activities*

Activity	Environmental Components									
	CEAA Triggered	EA may be Requested Under Parks Canada Procedures	Air Quality	Ground-water	Wetlands, Surface Water, Aquatic Resources	Soil	Vegetation	Wildlife	Public Safety	Historical Resources
Maintenance and Operation of Overhead Distribution Lines										
Access and travel along Right-of-Way	-	✓	N	-	L-M	L	L	L	-	-
Aerial inspections and patrols	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	L	-	-
RoW ground/detailed line patrols	-	-	-	-	N	L	L-M	L	-	-
Pole test/pole wrap /pole re-treatment	✓	-	-	N	L	N-L	N-L	L	-	L
Pole salvage/straightening/realignment/replacement	✓	-	-	N	L	L-M	L-M	L	-	L
Re-anchoring or new anchor installation	✓	-	-	-	-	L-M	L-M	L	-	L
Rod grounding	✓	-	-	-	-	L	L-M	L	-	L
Crossarm repair, replacement, salvage	-	✓	-	-	-	N	N	L	-	-
Conductor repair, replacement, salvage; Ground and pole top equipment repair, replacement; Insulator washing;	-	✓	-	-	N	N	N	L	-	-
Maintenance and Operation of Underground Distribution Lines										
Equipment inspections, repair or replacement	✓	-	-	-	-	L	L-M	-	-	-
Line repair	✓	-	-	-	-	L	L-M	L	-	L
Vegetation Control (approximately every 3 to 4 years)										
Tree removal/Selective thinning on the RoW	✓	-	-	-	-	L	L	L	-	-
Tree trimming on the RoW	✓	-	-	-	-	L	L	L	-	-
Manual brushing (slashing) on the RoW	✓	-	-	-	L	L	L	L	-	-
Mowing on the RoW	✓	-	-	-	L	-	L	L	-	-
Burning on the RoW	-	✓	L	-	N	L	L-M	-	L	-
Herbicide brush and weed control on the RoW	-	✓	N	L	L	L	L	L	L	-
General Activities										
Fording streams, wetlands and rivers	-	✓	-	-	N-M	-	-	N-L	-	-
General waste management	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hazardous materials handling	-	✓	-	L-M	L	L	L	-	L	-
Material storage, staging and handling	-	✓	-	L-M	L	L	-	-	-	-
Vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance	-	-	-	L	L	L	-	L	-	-

* Refer to Table 3.1 for more information on assessment requirements.

N – Negligible

L – Low

M - Moderate

4.5 Standard Environmental Mitigation Practices and Constraint Mapping

The purpose of this MCSR is to streamline the CEAA approval process by demonstrating that impacts from routine operation and maintenance projects covered by the MCSR are easily mitigable. By evaluating routine operations and identifying mitigations that reduce the negative environmental impacts associated with these projects into a single document (the MCSR), the environmental assessment process is standardized and streamlined making it more efficient, consistent and predictable.

Standard environmental mitigation practices can significantly reduce the magnitude of the potential impacts identified in Section 4.4. Standard environmental mitigations (i.e. those that are applicable to the entire study area) for activities undertaken by Aquila maintenance crews have been detailed for each project included in the MCSR in Table 4.10. Many of these recommended practices are currently practised by Aquila within BNP.

It is important to recognize that appropriate mitigations depend on site-specific environmental conditions. Because Aquila facilities cross a large number of ecosites and thus varied environmental conditions, mitigations (in terms of timing constraints or equipment restrictions) are also identified on maps to indicate site-specific considerations. The ecological constraint mapping provided in Appendix F illustrates known environmentally sensitive areas in relation to Aquila facilities (including wetlands, areas of steep terrain, archaeological resources, habitats for special vegetation resources, stream crossings and critical habitats for certain wildlife species) and identified appropriate mitigations.

The mitigation table (Table 4.10) and the ecological constraint mapping (Appendix F) are used together in the preparation of CSPRs. Together they identify general and site-specific mitigations including:

1. Locations of sensitive sites,
2. Potential locations of sensitive species,
3. Timing constraints for each project activity,
4. Vegetation clearing restrictions,
5. Equipment restrictions associated with sensitive terrain.

Descriptions of these constraints are included below.

4.5.1 Project Planning and Time Restrictions

Upon declaration of this MCSR, Aquila will be committing to the development of one year Operations and Maintenance Plans. These plans will be provided to Parks Canada in advance to allow for special considerations in sensitive areas in BNP. Preparation of these annual plans will allow for co-ordination among utility maintenance crews and minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.

Whenever possible routine projects will be planned for the fall. This scheduling lends itself to a variety of environmental and operational benefits, for example:

- Hardened ground reduces potential impacts to wet or otherwise erodible soils;
- Avoidance of sensitive wildlife life stages;
- Decreased interaction with recreational park users:
 - Summer users (hiking, rafting, canoes, rock climbers, campers, etc.)
 - Winter Users (ice climbers, cross country skiers, downhill skiers etc.).

When it is not possible to undertake projects in the fall, Aquila will commit to avoiding known sensitive areas and from undertaking certain activities in specified locations, at particular times of year.

Timing restrictions and limitations regarding access for Aquila projects in BNP are linked to:

- Stream crossings, and,
- Sensitive wildlife life stages.

Timing restrictions for stream crossings and sensitive wildlife life stages for each map sheet are detailed in Table 4.8, and summarized in Table 4.9. Table 4.9 groups projects into three categories:

- Green- no timing restrictions
- Yellow – some restrictions apply
- Red – access is restricted.

This enables Aquila to carry out its maintenance projects around sensitive wildlife stages. These groups are based on the following criteria:

- **Green** timing windows are outside sensitive wildlife time windows and projects are unlikely to cause significant levels of disturbance to wildlife and fish, thus no access restrictions apply;
- **Yellow** timing windows are when project activities *may* result in negative effects to wildlife and utility crews are obligated to apply special mitigations to activities pursuant to the advice of Parks Canada and Table 4.10. Adherence to these mitigations will reduce potential impacts to negligible levels; and,
- During **red** timing windows it is too difficult to predict the effectiveness of mitigations in protecting vulnerable wildlife and fish during these periods and thus, access is restricted.

Table 4.8 Time Constraints and Sensitive Wildlife Timing Windows related to Aquila Projects in BNP

Project Activity	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Map Sheet	
Maintenance and operation of overhead primary distribution lines														
Aerial inspections and patrols													1a or 1b Lake Minnewanka	
RoW ground/detailed line patrols; pole test/wrap/re-treatment														
Pole salvage, straightening, realignment or replacement; Re-anchoring or new anchor installation; Rod grounding														
Crossarm repair, replacement or salvage; Conductor repair, replacement and salvage; Ground and pole top equipment installation, repair or replacement; Insulator washing														
Maintenance and operation of underground distribution lines														
Equipment inspections, repair or replacement														
Line repair														
Vegetation control on the RoW														
Tree removal/selective thinning; Tree trimming; Slashing														
Mowing														
Herbicide brush and weed control														
Fording streams and wetlands														
Fording wetlands (Lakeside Pond)														
Fording Cascade Creek; respect timing restrictions														
No fording of Power Canal required; use alternate access route														
Maintenance and operation of overhead primary distribution lines														
Aerial inspections and patrols													2a, 2b or 2c Sunshine Ski Area	
RoW ground/detailed line patrols; pole test/wrap/re-treatment														
Pole salvage, straightening, realignment or replacement; Re-anchoring or new anchor installation; Rod grounding														
Crossarm repair, replacement or salvage; Conductor repair, replacement and salvage; Ground and pole top equipment installation, repair or replacement; Insulator washing;														
Maintenance and operation of underground distribution lines														
Equipment inspections, repair or replacement														
Line repair														
Vegetation control on the RoW														
Tree removal/selective thinning; Tree trimming; Slashing														
Mowing														
Herbicide brush and weed control														
Fording streams and wetlands														
Fording wetlands (Sunshine Borrow Pits)														
No fording of Bow River possible; impassable														
No fording of Healy Creek possible; impassable														
No fording of unnamed ephemeral creek possible; impassable														

Time Restrictions	
	Columbia Spotted Frog breeding: no access April to September
	Potential fish spawning and development: no stream crossings Sept. 01-April 15 and May 01-Aug. 15
	Long-toed salamander breeding and migration: no access April, May or September
	Potential Harlequin Duck nesting: contact Parks Canada prior to commencing activities from May 15 to June 30
	Minnewanka Loop Road: minimize access Nov. 15 to April 15
	Highway 1A to Johnston Canyon access between 9 am and 6 pm only, from March 01 to June 25
Not Restricted Access- Required to Apply Species-Specific Mitigation	
	Grizzly Bear habitat: contact Parks Canada wildlife specialists prior to commencing activities May 01-June 30 and Aug. 01-Sept. 30
	Potential for Cooper's Hawk and/or Pileated Woodpecker nest trees: cooper's hawk nesting/rearing April 01 to July 15
	High ungulate use along Upper Borgeau Slopes Wildlife Corridors: sheep lambing and elk calving May 01 to June 30
	High large mammal use along Castle Slopes Wildlife Corridor: wolf denning April 01 to July 30
	Potential Western Tanager nesting: May 15 to July 15
	Potential for Osprey nest trees: osprey nesting/rearing May 01 to August 15
	Potential American Bittern nesting: May 01 to July 15
	Potential for Northern Goshawk breeding in dense mixed wood forest: March and April

Table 4.8 Time Constraints related to Aquila Projects in BNP – *Continued*

Project Activity	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Map Sheet	
Maintenance and operation of overhead primary distribution lines														
Aerial inspections and patrols													3 Johnston Canyon	
RoW ground/detailed line patrols; pole test/wrap/re-treatment														
Pole salvage, straightening, realignment or replacement; Re-anchoring or new anchor installation; Rod grounding														
Crossarm repair, replacement or salvage; Conductor repair, replacement and salvage; Ground and pole top equipment installation, repair or replacement; Insulator washing;														
Maintenance and operation of underground distribution lines														
Equipment inspections, repair or replacement														
Line repair														
Vegetation control on the RoW														
Tree removal/selective thinning; Tree trimming; Slashing														
Mowing														
Herbicide brush and weed control														
Fording streams and wetlands														
No fording of Johnson Creek required; use alternate access routes														
Maintenance and operation of overhead primary distribution lines														
Aerial inspections and patrols													4 Castle Junction	
RoW ground/detailed line patrols; pole test/wrap/re-treatment														
Pole salvage, straightening, realignment or replacement; Re-anchoring or new anchor installation; Rod grounding														
Crossarm repair, replacement or salvage; Conductor repair, replacement and salvage; Ground and pole top equipment installation, repair or replacement; Insulator washing;														
Maintenance and operation of underground distribution lines														
Equipment inspections, repair or replacement														
Line repair														
Vegetation control on the RoW														
Tree removal/selective thinning; Tree trimming; Slashing														
Mowing														
Herbicide brush and weed control														
Fording streams and wetlands														
Fording wetlands (unnamed pond adjacent to Bow River)														
No fording of Bow River possible; impassable														
Fording Silverton Creek; generally dry but respect timing restrictions when wet														

Time Restrictions	
	Columbia Spotted Frog breeding: no access April to September
	Potential fish spawning and development: no stream crossings May 01-Aug. 15
	Long-toed salamander breeding and migration: no access April, May or September
	Potential Harlequin Duck nesting: contact Parks Canada prior to commencing activities from May 15 to June 30
	Minnewanka Loop Road: minimize access Nov. 15 to April 15
	Highway 1A to Johnston Canyon access between 9 am and 6 pm only, from March 01 to June 25
Not Restricted Access- Required to Apply Species-Specific Mitigation	
	Grizzly Bear habitat: contact Parks Canada wildlife specialists prior to commencing activities May 01-June 30 and Aug. 01-Sept. 30
	Potential for Cooper's Hawk and/or Pileated Woodpecker nest trees: cooper's hawk nesting/rearing April 01 to July 15
	High ungulate use along Upper Borgeau Slopes Wildlife Corridors: sheep lambing and elk calving May 01 to June 30
	High large mammal use along Castle Slopes Wildlife Corridor: wolf denning April 01 to July 30
	Potential Western Tanager nesting: May 15 to July 15
	Potential for Osprey nest trees: osprey nesting/rearing May 01 to August 15
	Potential American Bittern nesting: May 01 to July 15
	Potential for Northern Goshawk breeding in dense mixed wood forest: March and April

Table 4.8 Time Constraints related to Aquila Projects in BNP – Concluded

Project Activity	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Map Sheet	
Maintenance and operation of overhead primary distribution lines														
Aerial inspections and patrols													5 Eldon West	
RoW ground/detailed line patrols; pole test/wrap/re-treatment														
Pole salvage, straightening, realignment or replacement; Re-anchoring or new anchor installation; Rod grounding														
Crossarm repair, replacement or salvage; Conductor repair, replacement and salvage; Ground and pole top equipment installation, repair or replacement; Insulator washing														
Maintenance and operation of underground distribution lines														
Equipment inspections, repair or replacement														
Line repair														
Vegetation control on the RoW														
Tree removal/selective thinning; Tree trimming; Slashing														
Mowing														
Herbicide brush and weed control														
Fording streams and wetlands														
Fording wetlands														
No fording of unnamed ephemeral creek required; use alternate access routes														
Maintenance and operation of overhead primary distribution lines														
Aerial inspections and patrols													6 Village of Lake Louise, 7 Chateau Lake Louise or 8 Lake Louise Ski Area	
RoW ground/detailed line patrols; pole test/wrap/re-treatment														
Pole salvage, straightening, realignment or replacement; Re-anchoring or new anchor installation; Rod grounding														
Crossarm repair, replacement or salvage; Conductor repair, replacement and salvage; Ground and pole top equipment installation, repair or replacement; Insulator washing														
Maintenance and operation of underground distribution lines														
Equipment inspections, repair or replacement														
Line repair														
Vegetation control on the RoW														
Tree removal/selective thinning; Tree trimming; Slashing														
Mowing														
Herbicide brush and weed control														
Fording streams and wetlands														
Fording wetlands (Blackpoll Ponds)														
No fording of Bow River possible; impassable														
No fording of Pipestone River possible; impassable														
No fording of Louise Creek possible; impassable														

Time Restrictions	
	Columbia Spotted Frog breeding: no access April to September
	Potential fish spawning and development: no stream crossings Sept. 01-April 15 and May 01-Aug. 15
	Long-toed salamander breeding and migration: no access April, May or September
	Potential Harlequin Duck nesting: contact Parks Canada prior to commencing activities from May 15 to June 30
	Minnewanka Loop Road: minimize access Nov. 15 to April 15
	Highway 1A to Johnston Canyon access between 9am and 6 pm only, from March 01 to June 25
Not Restricted Access- Required to Apply Species-Specific Mitigation	
	Grizzly Bear habitat: contact Parks Canada wildlife specialists prior to commencing activities May 01-June 30 and Aug. 01-Sept. 30
	Potential for Cooper's Hawk and/or Pileated Woodpecker nest trees: cooper's hawk nesting/rearing April 01 to July 15
	High ungulate use along Upper Borgeau Slopes Wildlife Corridors: sheep lambing and elk calving May 01 to June 30
	High large mammal use along Castle Slopes Wildlife Corridor: wolf denning April 01 to July 30
	Potential Western Tanager nesting: May 15 to July 15
	Potential for Osprey nest trees: osprey nesting/rearing May 01 to August 15
	Potential American Bittern nesting: May 01 to July 15
	Potential for Northern Goshawk breeding in dense mixed wood forest: March and April

Sources: Semenchuck 1993, Fish and Acorn 1998, McIvor 1999, Holyrod and VanTeigem 1983, Heuer *et al* 1998, Duke 2000, Smith 2000, Michel, S. pers. comm., Alberta Transportation and Utilities 2000.

Not all species with special conservation status in the CSA have been included based on the following rationale:

- Lynx – there is little to no potential for UtiliCorp activities to directly affect lynx since this species is most active at night
- Cougar – a wary animal that avoids human encounters; cougar breeding and rearing occurs year-round (i.e. no particular sensitive time period)
- Wolverine – this species selects habitats with low levels of development. The majority of UtiliCorp facilities are proximate to development and high human use areas thus it is considered unlikely that wolverine would select den sites in areas within the CSA that have potential to be impacted by projects in the MCSR

Table 4.9 Access Timing Windows

Project	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Lake Minnewanka (Map 1a and 1b; Lakeside Ponds, Cascade Creek and the Power Canal from Two Jack Lake)												
Air -access projects												
All ground access projects in wetland areas (Lakeside Ponds), including stream crossing of Cascade Creek, with quads and Argos												
Stream crossing of Cascade Creek using heavy equipment												
No stream crossing required for Power Canal; use alternate access route												
Sunshine Road and Ski Area (Maps 2a, 2b and 2c; Sunshine Borrow Pits, Bow River, Healy Creek and unnamed ephemeral creek)												
Air -access projects												
All ground access projects in wetland areas (Sunshine Borrow Pits) with quads and Argos												
No stream crossing required for Bow River; impassable												
No stream crossing required for Healy Creek; impassable												
No stream crossing required for unnamed ephemeral creek; impassable												
Johnston Canyon (Map 3, Johnson Creek)												
Air -access projects												
All ground access projects in wetland areas with quads and Argos												
No stream crossing required for Johnson Creek; use alternate access route												
Castle Junction (Map 4; Unnamed pond, Bow River and Silverton Creek)												
Air -access projects												
All ground access projects in wetland areas (unnamed pond adjacent to Bow River) including stream crossing of Silverton Creek with quads and Argos												
No stream crossing required for Bow River; impassable												
Stream crossing of Silverton Creek using heavy equipment, when wet												

	No restrictions
	Projects not permitted during these timing windows due to possible presence of amphibians and/or fish
	Consult Parks Canada wildlife specialists and Table 4.10 of the MCSR to apply species-specific mitigation prior to undertaking projects

Sources: Semenchuck 1993, Fish and Acorn 1998, McIvor 1999, Holyrod and Van Teigem 1983, Heuer *et al* 1998, Duke 2000, Smith 2000, Pope 2001, Stevens 1996, Michel, S. pers. com., Alberta Transportation and Utilities 2000.

Table 4.9 Access Timing Windows – *Continued*

Project	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Eldon West (Map 5, unnamed ephemeral creek)												
Air -access projects												
All ground access projects in wetland areas with quads and Argos												
No stream crossing required for unnamed ephemeral creek; use alternate access route												
Lake Louise Village, Chateau and Ski Area (Maps 6, 7 and 8; Blackpoll Ponds, Bow River, Pipestone River and Louise Creek)												
Air -access projects												
All ground access projects in wetland areas (Blackpoll Ponds) with quads and Argos												
No stream crossing required for Bow River; impassable												
No stream crossing required for Pipestone River; impassable												
No stream crossing required for Louise Creek; impassable												

	No restrictions
	Projects not permitted during these timing windows due to possible presence of amphibians and/or fish
	Consult Parks Canada wildlife specialists and Table 4.10 of the MCSR to apply species-specific mitigation prior to undertaking projects

Sources: Semenchuck 1993, Fish and Acorn 1998, McIvor 1999, Holyrod and Van Teigem 1983, Heuer *et al* 1998, Duke 2000, Smith 2000, Pope 2001, Stevens 1996, Michel, S. pers. com., Alberta Transportation and Utilities 2000.

Stream Crossings

Aquila maintenance crews rarely ford streams since in most cases access onto a RoW can be achieved through the use of alternate access routes without crossing waterbodies. Many potential stream crossings are also impassable and not forded due to physical barriers. Exceptions to this involve two stream crossings along the RoW (Cascade Creek and Silverton Creek), where fording is the only practical option for maintenance crews and thus, required (Table 4.5).

In general, timing restrictions for Cascade Creek span from September 1 to April 15 and from May 1 to August 15; these time periods are when sportfish species may be spawning or their eggs and fry may be developing within the streambed. The open window for stream crossings of Cascade Creek is therefore August 16 to 30 and April 16 to 30. While brook trout are the only sportfish believed to be within Cascade Creek, there is the potential for future populations of fish species to exist or become established. As a result, timing restrictions encompass the requirements for all sportfish species, not just the fall-spawning brook trout.

The other stream crossing along the RoW is Silverton Creek. While its streambed was completely dry during field visits in both December and May, historical records indicate it has been used by a variety of fish species, including cutthroat, bull and brook trout. For this reason, timing restrictions for Silverton Creek extend from May 1 to August 15, with an open window for stream crossings available from August 16 to April 30. If the streambed is dry during the restricted period, stream crossings are permitted, since no impacts on the stream would occur.

Specific timing restrictions for fording are also dependent upon the type of vehicle and equipment required to undertake an activity. All fording of Cascade Creek and Silverton Creek by heavy equipment (i.e., foresters, nodwells or backhoes and 4x4 trucks) is restricted to the timing windows mentioned above. Single stream crossings with lighter vehicles (i.e., ATVs and argos) are *not* restricted to the timing windows. These types of stream crossings are extremely short-term disturbances with impacts similar to, or less intrusive than natural disturbances, including storm events and bedload movement. Therefore, fording is permitted at all times for one/two crossings of ATVs and argos.

The physical attributes of waterbodies further define the restrictions limiting stream-crossing activities (as listed in Table 4.10). It is possible that the ground crews may encounter additional waterbodies or wet areas not listed on the maps during regular maintenance and operations. In these situations, the ground crews will use their best judgement and discretion in determining the appropriate stream crossing method and equipment, based on the best management practices and timing restrictions outlined in the MSCR.

Sensitive wildlife life stages

All activities undertaken by Aquila have the potential to create sensory disturbance effects that can negatively affect wildlife, especially during certain times of the year. The following periods have been identified as being sensitive time frames for wildlife species (Semenchuck 1993; S. Michel. pers. comm.; M. McIvor pers. comm.):

- Elk calving (May 01 to June 30)

- Elk rut (August and September)
- Sheep lambing (May 01 to June 30)
- Grizzly Bear hyperphagia (August 01-September 30)
- Grizzly Bear hypophagia (May 01-June 30)
- Wolf denning (April 01-July 30)
- Waterfowl and migratory bird nesting/rearing (May 15 to July 15)
- Osprey nesting/rearing (May 01 to August 15)
- Hawk/Eagle nesting/rearing (April 01 to July 15)
- Owl nesting/rearing (Feb 15 to June 01)
- Harlequin Duck staging/nesting/rearing (April 01 to June 30)
- Long-toed Salamander breeding and migration (April 01 to May 30 and September)
- Columbia Spotted Frog breeding (April to September).

Many of these animals are large, mobile and are easily visible. Some of them (such as grizzly bears and wolves) are monitored by Parks Canada. Others, such as raptors and piliated woodpeckers, have nest sites that will be noted during ground patrols. During sensitive life stages for these species, Aquila project activities are *not restricted per se*, however special environmental mitigation practices must be applied should utility workers or contractors encounter wildlife species or their associated nest or den locations. These time periods are indicated as “yellow” on Table 4.9. In most cases, potential negative effects to wildlife can be avoided by maintaining a certain distance away from animals or nest sites; and, by allowing them to passively move off the RoW. For some species, Parks Canada wildlife wardens must be contacted to prior to undertaking project activities during sensitive (i.e., “yellow”) wildlife timing windows. Wildlife wardens will know if bears or wolves/wolf den sites are in the area in addition to other current wildlife concerns (i.e., elk rut). Species-specific mitigations are listed in the mitigation table, Table 4.10.

Other species or nest locations are small, challenging to locate and generally difficult to avoid. Within the CSA, these include:

- Long-toed salamander breeding and migration areas
- Columbia spotted frog breeding and migration areas
- Harlequin ducks nesting/breeding/rearing areas.

Due to the difficulty in identifying the presence of these species or their nest sites, the time periods during their sensitive life stages have been classed as “red” in areas supporting known breeding sites and/or preferred habitats. This ensures that project activities will not occur in these areas during critical times.

Other time restrictions relate to broader Parks Canada Policy. For the purposes of wildlife protection, road closures have been applied to areas of the Park. The only such closure that is within the CSA is the Highway 1A (Johnston Canyon east to the TransCanada Highway) closure. From March 1st to June 25th, access along this highway is only permitted between 9:00 am to 6:00 pm. Access via the Minnewanka Loop Road should also be minimized between November 15 to April 15.

Time restrictions associated with stream crossings, wildlife and road closures are identified on the ecological constraint maps in Appendix F.

4.5.2 Special Vegetation Clearing Measures

The ecological constraint mapping also identifies areas that have potential to support special vegetation resources in the park, and thus requires special clearing measures (described in table 4.10). Special vegetation resource habitat noted on the mapping include:

- Douglas fir;
- Limber pine;
- Mountain Juniper and,
- Aspen stands (also associated with Cooper’s hawks, northern Goshawk and Piliated woodpecker nest sites)

Trees supporting raptor nests (such as Osprey) will be given special consideration. During RoW maintenance activities, these trees require special flagging, brushing and clearing measures. Similarly, trees identified as wildlife trees (i.e. with obvious nest cavities) that are in danger of interfering with power lines will be topped rather than cleared. These special measures are listed in Table 4.10, Standard Environmental Mitigation Measures.

All vegetation management practices are selective.

As a standard mitigation, Aquila crews limit some RoW maintenance activities to particular areas. In particular:

- **Brush Mowing** – limited to sections of the RoW that have fairly smooth ground and an absence of rock. Brush mowing is never performed within 30 m of a waterbody.
- **Herbicide applications** – Only chemicals registered and approved by Agriculture Canada under the Pest Control Products Act are used by Aquila. Herbicide applications are only used on an “as needed” basis and are typically limited to areas within fenced substations that are gravelled and require “bare ground”; or, in the event of an outbreak of weed species listed on the Parks Canada Priority Control list (Appendix D).

4.5.3 *Equipment Restrictions*

Maps 1 to 8 (Appendix F) identify areas characterized by wet and/or steep terrain. These areas have equipment restrictions associated with them; restrictions are identified on the maps and are congruent with Table 3.3 presented earlier in Section 3.6.1.

Vehicular travel and other equipment operation will be restricted to the RoW and approved access routes (cleared, graded roadways maintained by CPR, Parks Canada, TransAlta Utilities or established facility access routes). The ecological mapping identifies primary access points associated with Aquila facility RoWs.

4.5.4 *Maintenance Crews and Aquila Personnel*

Parks “awareness training” is mandatory for all Aquila employees and contractors undertaking Aquila projects, typically conducted at “tailgate” meetings. Aquila will ensure employees and contractors become familiar with and accountable for adherence to standard environmental mitigation practices (identified by the Aquila EHS department) that are associated with each project. On site foreman directing Aquila activities are responsible for ensuring environmental mitigation measures are applied for every project undertaken in BNP. Trained environmental specialists will be responsible for completing the CSPR forms and are accountable to ensure that crews have been briefed on all environmental constraints and mitigations. CSPR conditions, code of practice and standard environmental mitigations will be highlighted during this start-up tailboard meeting.

Preliminary tailgate meetings should include discussion on appropriate travel speeds and turning methods for equipment operation on the RoW.

4.5.5 *Standard Environmental Mitigation Practices*

Standard environmental mitigation measures that must be adhered to for every project undertaken by Aquila in BNP are detailed in Table 4.10. Mitigations have been listed for each project.

4.5.6 *Sub-Alpine Mitigations*

For Sunshine and Lake Louise ski hills, additional mitigations are required for the lower sub-alpine. Specific best management practice, mitigations and reclamation measures are described in the OCA Draft Ski Area Guidelines (Golder, 1999) developed for the four national park ski areas, to provide a consistent approach to managing and reporting reclamation practices for ski area improvements.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION					
Overhead Line Maintenance					
Access and Travel along the RoW (see also Fording p. 4-58)	Soil and Vegetation	Soil compaction, loss of organic matter, damage and/or loss to vegetation, erosion and loss of topsoil.	L	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to equipment restrictions listed on ecological constraint maps (Appendix F).</p> <p>Appropriate equipment will be selected in accordance to accessibility of terrain and site specific ground conditions (i.e. foremost in snowy or potentially wet areas).</p> <p>Confine all activities to the RoW; restrict vehicular travel and other equipment operation to the RoW and approved access routes (cleared, graded roadways maintained by CCPR, Parks Canada or AltaLink Utilities, access trails approved by Parks Canada); and select the appropriate vehicle as per ground conditions, i.e. the foremost when it is wet.</p> <p>Preliminary tailgate meetings will include discussion on appropriate travel speed and general conduct including project mitigations.</p> <p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>All equipment will be in good working order and shall be cleaned of weeds, weed seeds and other plant material prior to entry onto the site.</p> <p>Special effort will be used to preserve Douglas fir, Limber pine and Mountain Juniper. Special note of these species will be made during ground patrols to ensure their protection in accordance to the management initiatives listed below.</p> <p>Within the RoW, these species will be allowed to grow until the plant attains a height that has potential to impact the powerline. At this time Douglas fir will become a target species and will be controlled. In most cases, limber pine and mountain juniper will not grow beyond a hazardous threshold height and thus do not have to be removed.</p> <p>Adjacent to the RoW, individual trees will be assessed. Healthy trees that do not threaten the integrity of the line or interfere with danger tree removal will not be cleared. Danger trees will be removed, topped or trimmed as appropriate to the site-specific location.</p> <p><i>Lower Sub-alpine Ecosites</i></p> <p>Existing topsoil and vegetative material will be salvaged and retained for reclamation prior to significant ground disturbance. If this is not possible, native species will be used for re-seeding/vegetation and/or adjacent plant communities will be allowed to re-establish naturally. Disturbance will be contained to as small an area as possible and the construction area boundary clearly marked; construction should occur over snow or using a helicopter where possible.</p> <p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p> <p><i>Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i></p> <p>Minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW by co-ordinating all routine operation and maintenance activities</p> <p>Confine all activities to the RoW; restrict vehicular travel and other equipment operation to the RoW and approved access routes</p> <p>Stream crossings by heavy equipment are rare and avoided when practically possible – the majority of Aquila facilities can be accessed without crossing streams.</p> <p>If stream crossings by heavy equipment are considered absolutely necessary, the environmental mitigations detailed for “Fording Streams, Wetlands and Rivers” (page 4-58) below will be adhered to; in particular, time constraints to avoid impacts to spawning and developing fish. No restrictions are placed on stream crossings by ATVs and argos.</p> <p><i>Historical Resources</i></p> <p>Notify Parks Canada Archaeology department prior to any ground disturbing activity in areas identified on mapping (see Appendix F) and in Section 4.2.4 as potential sites.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Loss of vegetation, introduction of non-native species.	L		
	Lower Sub-alpine (Sunshine and Lake Louise Ski Hills)	Loss of or damage to vegetation and soils, introduction of non-native species.	M		
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance.	L		
Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources	Travel along the RoW has the potential to adversely affect water quality and fisheries habitat through rutting, surface water sedimentation and stream crossings.	L-M			

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Aerial Inspections and Patrols	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance (i.e. disturbance of raptor nests associated with power poles) especially during periods of nesting.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>For routine operations, aerial patrols will be scheduled for green timing windows (Table 4.9) to minimize disturbance to wildlife during sensitive life stages.</p> <p>If aerial patrols are deemed absolutely necessary during the spring and summer months, helicopters should remain a minimum of 500 m from male and subadult bears, and 700 m from female bears with cubs, particularly during hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent)^(a). Similarly, helicopters must stay at least 250 m away from active osprey nests (May 01 to August 15), active owl nests (February 15 to June 01), and active hawk/eagle nests (April 01 to July 15).</p>	N
RoW Ground Patrols and Detailed (climbing) Line Patrols	Soil	Soil compaction, loss of organic matter, erosion and loss of topsoil.	L	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Loss of vegetation, introduction of non-native species.	L-M	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>All equipment will be in good working order and shall be cleaned of weeds, weed seeds and other plant material prior to entry onto the site.</p> <p>Special effort will be used to preserve Douglas fir, Limber pine and Mountain Juniper. Special note of these species will be made during ground patrols to ensure their protection in accordance to the management initiatives listed below.</p> <p>Within the RoW, these species will be allowed to grow until the plant attains a height that has potential to impact the powerline. At this time Douglas fir will become a target species and will be controlled. In most cases, limber pine and mountain juniper will not grow beyond a hazardous threshold height and thus do not have to be removed.</p> <p>Adjacent to the RoW, individual trees will be assessed. Healthy trees that do not threaten the integrity of the line or interfere with danger tree removal will not be cleared. Danger trees will be removed, topped or trimmed as appropriate to the site-specific location.</p>	N
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance, direct mortality/ destruction of nests for avian ground nesting species.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>The feeding, harassment or destruction of any wildlife is strictly prohibited and will be grounds for dismissal from work. Wildlife encountered will be allowed to passively disperse from roads/access trails/RoW.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p> <p>During ground patrols, wildlife trees/snags and raptor nest trees on the RoW will be flagged.</p>	N
	Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources	Increased rutting of roads and disruption of normal flow patterns. Soil erosion and surface water runoff can decrease water quality and aquatic habitat of surface waters and wetlands.	N	<p><i>Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i></p> <p>Adhere to equipment restrictions listed on maps.</p> <p>Halt activities during events of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff (end of May, beginning of September).</p>	N
Pole Test, Pole Wrap and Re-Treatment	Soil	Soil compaction, loss of organic matter, erosion and loss of topsoil.	N-L	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Projects will be halted during events of heavy rainfall intensity, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p> <p>Soil that has been temporarily shoved away from poles and temporarily places on tarps will be shovelled back against the pole and lightly tamped to prevent slumping or pooling of water.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Pole Test, Pole Wrap and Re-Treatment - <i>Continued</i>	Vegetation	Loss of vegetation, introduction of non-native species.	N-L	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>All equipment should be in good working order and shall be cleaned of weeds, weed seeds and other plant material prior to entry onto the RoW.</p> <p>Protect undisturbed land by only stockpiling materials. Excavated material should not be permitted to damage or bury plant material that is to be retained on the RoW or in adjacent areas.</p>	N
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance, potential for negative health affects related to ingestion of pole wraps (porcupines).	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>The feeding, harassment or destruction of any wildlife is strictly prohibited and will be grounds for dismissal from work. Wildlife encountered will be allowed to passively disperse from roads/RoW.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01- May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01-June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p>	N
	Groundwater	Chemicals from pole treatments can leach into groundwater and impair its quality	N	<p><i>Groundwater</i></p> <p>New and existing poles within the 30 m water buffer zone will be treated with fumigants and/or boron rods. No liquid chemicals or pole wraps or liquid chemicals will be applied within the 30 m water buffer zone.</p>	N
	Wetlands, Surface Water, and Aquatic Resources	Chemicals from pole treatments including pole wraps, chemical fumes and chemical rods (listed in Appendix D) can impair water quality and be toxic to aquatic organisms if introduced into a waterbody	L	<p><i>Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i></p> <p>New and existing poles within 30 m of open water will be treated with fumigants and/or boron rods. No liquid chemicals or pole wraps will be applied within the 30 m water buffer zone. When testing and repairing treated poles near or in water, remove and dispose of all sawdust, chips and wood particles.</p>	N
	Historical Resources	All excavation activities have potential to disturb archaeological/historical resources.	L	<p><i>Historical Resources</i></p> <p>Notify Parks Canada Archaeology department prior to any ground disturbing activity in areas identified on mapping (see Appendix F) and in Section 4.2.4 as potential sites.</p>	N
Pole Salvage, Straightening, Realignment or Replacement	Soil	Heavy equipment may result in soil compaction, loss of organic matter, erosion and loss of topsoil.	L-M	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Plan for the worst case, i.e., heavy rainfall and runoff events. Halt activities during events of heavy rainfall intensity, peak snowmelt (end of May, beginning of August) and high runoff.</p> <p>Select appropriate equipment, especially in erosion/slump prone areas (as identified on mapping. Appendix E). In sensitive areas wide tracked or low ground pressure equipment can be used.</p> <p>Soil that has been temporarily shoved away from poles and temporarily places on tarps will be shovelled back against the pole and lightly tamped to prevent slumping or pooling of water.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Pole Salvage, Straightening, Realignment or Replacement – <i>Continued</i>	Vegetation	Loss of or damage to vegetation, introduction of non-native species	L-M	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Protect undisturbed land by only stockpiling materials on disturbed or designated areas or on heavy canvas, polypropylene tarpaulins or plywood sheets to protect native vegetation. Excavated material should not be permitted to damage or bury plant material that is to be retained on the RoW or in adjacent areas.</p>	N
	Lower Sub-alpine (Sunshine and Lake Louise Ski Hills)	Loss of or damage to vegetation and soils, introduction of non-native species.	M	<p><i>Lower Sub-alpine Ecosites</i></p> <p>Existing topsoil and vegetative material will be salvaged and retained for reclamation prior to significant ground disturbance. If this is not possible, native species will be used for re-seeding/vegetation and/or adjacent plant communities will be allowed to re-establish naturally. Disturbance will be contained to as small an area as possible and the construction area boundary clearly marked; construction should occur over snow or using a helicopter where possible.</p>	L
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance disturbance of raptor nests associated with power poles.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>The feeding, harassment or destruction of any wildlife is strictly prohibited and will be grounds for dismissal from work. Wildlife encountered will be allowed to passively disperse from roads/RoW.</p> <p>Pole wraps are installed 2 feet below ground and only 1 inch above ground to minimize wildlife attraction.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01- May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01-June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01-July 30^(a).</p>	N
	Groundwater	Wood preservatives can leach into groundwater and impair its quality	N	<p><i>Groundwater</i></p> <p>Locations where replacement poles that are in or have the potential to be in standing water part of the year will be assessed for alternative solutions. The first choice will be to explore options to move the pole to an alternate dry ground location. Alternatively, replacement poles will consist of an untreated pole.</p>	N
	Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources	Wood preservatives such as pentachlorophenol may enter the water body, impair water quality and be toxic to aquatic organisms.	L	<p><i>Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i></p> <p>New and existing poles within 30 m of open water will be treated with fumigants and/or boron rods. No liquid chemicals or pole wraps will be applied within the 30 m water buffer zone. When testing and repairing treated poles near or in water, remove and dispose of all sawdust, chips and wood particles.</p> <p>Locations where replacement poles that are in or have the potential to be in standing water part of the year will be assessed for alternative solutions to pentachlorophenol use. The first choice will be to explore options to move the pole to an alternate dry ground location. Alternatively, replacement poles will consist of an untreated pole.</p>	N
	Historical Resources	All excavation activities have potential to disturb archaeological/historical resources.	L	<p><i>Historical Resources</i></p> <p>Notify Parks Canada Archaeology department prior to any ground disturbing activity in areas identified on mapping (see Appendix F) and Section 4.2.4 as potential sites.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Re-anchoring or New Anchor Installation	Soil	Soil compaction, loss of organic matter, erosion and loss of topsoil.	L-M	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p> <p>Soil that has been temporarily shoved away from poles and temporarily placed on tarps will be shovelled back against the pole and lightly tamped to prevent slumping or pooling of water.</p> <p>Remove all excess soil materials created during excavations. Loose soil shall be tarped during truck removal.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Loss of vegetation, introduction of non-native species	L-M	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Protect undisturbed land by only stockpiling materials on disturbed or designated areas or on heavy canvas, polypropylene tarpaulins or plywood sheets to protect native vegetation. Excavated material should not be permitted to damage or bury plant material that is to be retained on the RoW or in adjacent areas.</p>	N
	Lower Sub-alpine (Sunshine and Lake Louise Ski Hills)	Loss of or damage to vegetation and soils, introduction of non-native species.	M	<p><i>Lower Sub-alpine Ecosites</i></p> <p>Existing topsoil and vegetative material will be salvaged and retained for reclamation prior to significant ground disturbance. If this is not possible, native species will be used for re-seeding/vegetation and/or adjacent plant communities will be allowed to re-establish naturally. Disturbance will be contained to as small an area as possible and the construction area boundary clearly marked; construction should occur over snow or using a helicopter where possible.</p>	L
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>The feeding, harassment or destruction of any wildlife is strictly prohibited and will be grounds for dismissal from work. Wildlife encountered will be allowed to passively disperse from roads/RoW.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01- May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01-June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01-July 30^(a).</p>	N
	Historical Resources	All excavation activities have potential to disturb archaeological/historical resources.	L	<p><i>Historical Resources</i></p> <p>Notify Parks Canada Archaeology department prior to any ground disturbing activity in areas identified on mapping as potential sites (see Appendix F) and Section 4.2.4 as potential sites.</p>	N
Crossarm Repair, Replacement or Salvage	Soil	Heavy equipment may result in soil compaction, erosion and loss of topsoil.	N	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Loss of/damage to vegetation, introduction of non-native species.	N	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Crossarm Repair, Replacement or Salvage - <i>Continued</i>	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance (helicopters and ground access), disturbance of raptor nests associated with power poles	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p>	N
Conductor Repair, Replacement and Salvage; Ground and Pole Top Equipment (i.e. Transformer, OCR, Insulator) Repair, Replacement and Salvage; Insulator Washing; Rod Grounding	Soil	Heavy equipment may cause soil compaction, loss of organic matter, erosion, loss of topsoil.	N	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Introduction of non-native species.	N	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p>	N
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance, disturbance of raptor nests associated with power poles.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p>	N
	Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources	Insulator washing may release sediments and road salts into surface waters, impairing water quality and the quality of aquatic habitat.	N	<p><i>Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Wash insulators during dry periods to reduce surface runoff into wetlands and surface waters.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Underground Line Maintenance					
Equipment Inspection, Repair, Replacement	Soil	Improper handling of soils during excavation activities can result in wind and water soil erosion.	L	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p> <p>Stockpiles related to excavations will be stored a minimum of 2 m from embankments, slumps, water bodies and containment sources to prevent material loss or degradation.</p> <p>Soil that has been temporarily shoved away from poles and temporarily placed on tarps will be shovelled back against the pole and lightly tamped to prevent slumping or pooling of water.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Potential damage or destruction of native vegetation.	L-M	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities</p> <p>Protect undisturbed land by only stockpiling materials on disturbed or designated areas. Excavated materials will be placed on heavy canvas, polypropylene tarpaulins or plywood sheets to protect native vegetation.</p>	N
Line Repair	Soil	Soil compaction, loss of organic matter, erosion and loss of topsoil.	L	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p> <p>Plan and control all activities to prevent blockage of drainage or impoundment of water that have potential to create artificial runoff conditions.</p> <p>Stockpiles related to excavations will be stored a minimum of 2 m from embankments, slumps, water bodies and containment sources to prevent material loss or degradation. Soil that has been temporarily stockpiled away from the line and temporarily placed on tarps will be shovelled back to cover the line and lightly tamped to prevent slumping or pooling of water.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Loss of vegetation, introduction of non-native species.	L-M	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Protect undisturbed land by only stockpiling materials on disturbed or designated areas or on heavy canvas, polypropylene tarpaulins or plywood sheets to protect native vegetation. Excavated material should not be permitted to damage or bury plant material that is to be retained on the RoW or in adjacent areas.</p>	N
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p>	N
	Historical Resources	All excavations have potential to disturb archaeological/ historical resources.	L	<p><i>Historical Resources</i></p> <p>Notify Parks Canada Archaeology department prior to any ground disturbing activity in areas identified on mapping as potential sites (see Appendix F) and Section 4.2.4 as potential sites.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Vegetation Control (approximately every 3 to 4 years)					
Tree Removal/Selective Thinning on the RoW	Vegetation	Introduction of non-native species.	L	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p> <p>Soil that has been temporarily shoved away from poles and temporarily placed on tarps will be shovelled back against the pole and lightly tamped to prevent slumping or pooling of water.</p> <p>Selectively cut vegetation in the vicinity of steep slopes, erosion sensitive soils, 30 m water buffer zones, sensitive areas (as identified on the mapping); existing vegetation and root systems are the best method of slope stabilization and runoff filtration. Never skid or yard trees across steep embankments.</p>	N
		Damage and loss of vegetation; loss of special vegetation resources.	L	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Special effort will be used to preserve Douglas fir, limber pine and mountain juniper.</p> <p>Within the RoW, these species will be allowed to grow until the plant attains a height that has potential to impact the powerline. At this time Douglas fir will become a target species and will be controlled. In most cases, limber pine and mountain juniper will not grow beyond a hazardous threshold height and thus do not have to be removed.</p> <p>Adjacent to the RoW, individual trees will be assessed. Healthy trees that do not threaten the integrity of the line or interfere with danger tree removal will not be cleared. Danger trees will be removed, topped or trimmed as appropriate to the site specific location.</p> <p>In the 30 m riparian buffer zone, special effort will be used to maintain vegetation density. Trees attaining a hazardous height will be selectively removed or topped (depending on site specific circumstances) leaving all stumps and roots in place.</p>	
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance, potential limitation for wildlife movement, disruption/ loss of raptor nest trees.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p> <p>Wildlife trees (snags with obvious cavity nests and nest trees) and deciduous trees will be noted during ground patrols. In Wildlife corridors (identified on the mapping) and wetland areas, wildlife trees will be “topped” rather than removed for the purpose of cavity nesting birds. Wildlife trees/snags will be marked during ground patrols. Nest trees will not be felled until after September. Perches and nesting platforms may be added to entice raptors away from the lines.</p> <p>Slash will be bucked (and limbed) into maximum 2 m lengths and left flat on the ground to decompose. Obvious wildlife trails will not be obstructed.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Manual Brushing (Slashing) on the RoW	Soil	Soil compaction, loss of organic matter, erosion and loss of topsoil.	L	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p> <p>Soil that has been temporarily shoved away from poles and temporarily placed on tarps will be shovelled back against the pole and lightly tamped to prevent slumping or pooling of water.</p> <p>Selectively cut vegetation in the vicinity of steep slopes, erosion sensitive soils, 30 m water buffer zones, sensitive areas (as identified on the mapping); existing vegetation and root systems are the best method of slope stabilization and runoff filtration. Never skid or yard trees across steep embankments.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Loss of vegetation (including riparian), introduction of non-native species.	L	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigation listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Special effort will be used to preserve Douglas fir, limber pine and mountain juniper.</p> <p>Within the RoW, these species will be allowed to grow until the plant attains a height that has potential to impact the powerline. At this time Douglas fir will become a target species and will be controlled. In most cases, limber pine and mountain juniper will not grow beyond a hazardous threshold height and thus do not have to be removed.</p> <p>Adjacent to the RoW, individual trees will be assessed. Healthy trees that do not threaten the integrity of the line or interfere with danger tree removal will not be cleared. Danger trees will be removed, topped or trimmed as appropriate to the site specific location.</p> <p>In the 30 m riparian buffer zone, special effort will be used to maintain vegetation density. Trees attaining a hazardous height will be selectively removed or topped (depending on site specific circumstances) leaving all stumps and roots in place.</p>	N
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance, Loss of wildlife food and cover, potential limitation for wildlife movement, disruption/loss of raptor nest trees.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigation listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p> <p>Wildlife trees (snags with obvious cavity nests and nest trees) and deciduous trees will be noted during ground patrols. In Wildlife corridors (identified on the mapping) and wetland areas, wildlife trees will be “topped” rather than removed for the purpose of cavity nesting birds. Wildlife trees/snags will be marked during ground patrols. Nest trees will not be felled until after September. Perches and nesting platforms may be added to entice raptors away from the lines.</p> <p>Slash will be bucked (and limbed) into maximum 2 m lengths and left flat on the ground to decompose. Obvious wildlife trails will not be obstructed.</p>	N
	Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources	Riparian vegetation removal can lead to increased erosion and siltation, which can negatively affect water quality and aquatic resources.	L	<p><i>Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>In the 30 m riparian buffer zone, special effort will be used to maintain vegetation density. Trees attaining a hazardous height will be selectively removed or topped (depending on site specific circumstances) leaving all stumps and roots in place.</p> <p>No felled vegetation or debris will be placed in a waterbody.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Tree Trimming on the RoW	Soil	Heavy equipment associated with trimming can cause soil compaction, erosion and loss of topsoil.	L	<p><i>Soil</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Work projects will be halted during periods of heavy rainfall, peak snowmelt and high runoff.</p> <p>Soil that has been temporarily shoved away from poles and temporarily placed on tarps will be shovelled back against the pole and lightly tamped to prevent slumping or pooling of water.</p> <p>Selectively cut vegetation in the vicinity of steep slopes, erosion sensitive soils, 30 m water buffer zones, sensitive areas (as identified on the mapping); existing vegetation and root systems are the best method of slope stabilization and runoff filtration. Never skid or yard trees across steep embankments.</p>	N
	Vegetation	Introduction of non-native species.	L	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p>	N
	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance, potential limitation for wildlife movement, disruption/loss of raptor nest trees.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p> <p>Wildlife trees (snags with obvious cavity nests and nest trees) and deciduous trees will be noted during ground patrols. In Wildlife corridors (identified on the mapping) and wetland areas, wildlife trees will be “topped” rather than removed for the purpose of cavity nesting birds. Wildlife trees/snags will be marked during ground patrols. Nest trees will not be felled until after September. Perches and nesting platforms may be added to entice raptors away from the lines.</p> <p>Slash will be bucked (and limbed) into maximum 2 m lengths and left flat on the ground to decompose. Obvious wildlife trails will not be obstructed.</p>	N
Mowing on the RoW	Vegetation	Loss of vegetation, introduction of non-native species.	L	<p><i>Vegetation</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>All Douglas fir, Limber pine and Mountain Juniper will be marked or flagged during ground patrols and pre-mow slashing and flagged sites will be avoided during mowing.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Mowing on the RoW – <i>Continued</i>	Wildlife	Short-term sensory disturbance/habitat avoidance, potential limitation for wildlife movement, disruption/loss of ground nests.	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: active owl nests – February 15 to June 01, Active hawk/eagle nests – April 01 to July 15, Active Osprey nests – May 01 to August 15, Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Minimize activity within 500 m: Elk calving activity: May 01 to June 30, Sheep lambing: May 01 to June 30, Bear hypophagia: May 01 to June 30 (weather dependent) and hyperphagia: August 01 to September 30 (berry dependent), Wolf denning: April 01 to July 30^(a).</p> <p>No mowing will occur April to September in the vicinity of the following water bodies. These waterways in the study area have been documented as being used by Harlequin Ducks (Smith <i>et al.</i> 1995, Smith 2000):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lake Minnewanka (Map 1b) • Brewster Creek (Map 2a) • Healy Creek (Map 2b and 2c) • Johnston Creek (Map 3) • Bow River (particularly the section of the Bow River between Lake Louise and Castle Junction) (Map 4, 56) • Baker Creek (Map 5) • Pipestone River (Map 6) 	
	Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources	Riparian vegetation removal can lead to increased erosion and siltation, which can negatively affect water quality and aquatic resources.	L	<p><i>Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>In the 30 m riparian buffer zone, special effort will be used to maintain vegetation density.</p> <p>No mowed vegetation debris or clippings will be placed in a waterbody.</p>	
Burning on the RoW	Air Quality and Public Safety	Smoke associated with burning can be a safety hazard, especially if burning occurs near roadways. Compaction, loss of organic matter, erosion and loss of topsoil.	L	<p>Brush debris is only burned if directed by Parks personnel.</p> <p>In the event of a burn, all burn piles are kept small and are supervised at all times.</p> <p><i>Air Quality and Safety</i></p> <p>Burning dry materials create less smoke than damp/wet materials. This reduces visibility and effects potential safety hazards on roadways.</p> <p>A courtesy call to downwind operators of OCAs and recreation areas will be made to inform them of planned, controlled burns related to vegetation management. Parks Canada, the Banff Fire Hall and the transportation authority will be contacted to determine if “smoke warning” signs are required.</p> <p>Weather will always be taken into consideration before burning; showers and fronts will cause wind gusts and wind reversals.</p> <p>If possible, burns will only occur during the maximum “Smoke Dissipation Window” – winds at 3 to 8 mph (5-13 km/hr); clear or high cloud ceiling; between 1:00 pm and 6:00 pm; complete burning activity at least 4 hours prior to sunset. Use a small test fire to check smoke dissipation.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Burning on the RoW - <i>Continued</i>	Vegetation and Soil	Loss of vegetation. Burning can result in a loss of organic matter in soils and can increase the risk of erosion and loss of topsoil.	L-M and L	<i>Vegetation and Soils</i> Once felled, trees will be piled and burned on the RoW. Care is taken to ensure flames and smoke do not create flashover conditions from the conductor and the potential for sparks entering the forest. Burning operations will be done with snow cover on the ground. No burning is allowed within 30 m of any waterbody. Standard methods will be applied to ensure all fires are completely out.	N
	Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources	Burning vegetation piles can introduce nutrients and sediments, impairing water quality and the quality of aquatic resources	N	<i>Wetlands, Surface Water Quality and Aquatic Resources</i> Minimize the size of burning brush piles and do not locate them in the vicinity of open water (at least 30 m away).	N
Herbicide Brush and Weed Control on the RoW	Vegetation and Soil	Improper application techniques can result in native vegetation damage/loss.	L	<i>General Spray BMPs</i> Pesticide use in BNP requires filling in an Integrated Pest Management application form by February 28 th of each year. Only chemicals approved by Agriculture Canada under the Pest Control Products Act, which is administered by the Pest Management Regulatory Agency, will be used on the RoW or at substations (see Appendix D). Non-native plants typically are found in areas that have experienced a soil surface disturbance or the placement of new topsoil. Most transmission facility operation and maintenance activities do not result in exposed bare topsoil. Thus, non-native vegetation control has not been an issue on distribution RoWs. However, when required, herbicides are selectively used on RoWs to manage outbreaks of noxious or restricted weed species. Herbicides may be used in conjunction with mechanical or hand labour methods (Appendix D lists Restricted & Noxious Weeds). <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Outbreaks of non-native vegetation will be identified during ground patrols.• An applicable herbicide will be selected based on the non-native target species type, stem density, plant life stage, and adjacent non-target vegetation species. The herbicide will be selectively applied (spot spraying) only to the area that has been infested with non-native plants. Herbicide application rates and timing will be as stated by label requirements.• All herbicide applications are conducted by provincially certified applicators.• Herbicide applications will be conducted during appropriate weather conditions, dry and light winds (<16 km/hr). Herbicide applications will be monitored to determine success of applications.	N
	Groundwater, Wetlands, Surface Water, Aquatic Resources, Soils	Improper application techniques (methods, rates) or spills can contaminate groundwater, surface water, and soils and can be harmful to aquatic resources.	L	<i>Vegetation and Soil</i> Herbicides must be applied selectively using hand spraying, either from a hose and handgun, or a backpack sprayer. Herbicides will be applied selectively using hose and handgun or backpack spray methods. Detailed records will be kept of each area sprayed as per provincial regulations including information on application date, weather conditions, equipment and pesticides used, growth stage of the non-native species and surrounding vegetation is important. Subsequent ground patrols will note the success of spray programs.. <i>Groundwater, Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i> Retain a 30 m buffer zone around waterbodies where herbicide application is prohibited. Only herbicides approved by Agriculture Canada under the Pest Control Products Act, which is administered by the Pest Management Regulatory Agency, will be used. Do not pump or use open water for mixing herbicides.	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Herbicide Brush and Weed Control on the RoW – <i>Continued</i>	Wildlife	Chemicals used for pest control can be toxic to wildlife (direct and indirect pathways).	L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Plant species identified for non-native plant control are typically unpalatable to wildlife.</p> <p><i>Public Safety</i></p> <p>Public safety will not be at risk due to the rare need for herbicide applications and generally the RoWs are not high public use areas.</p>	N
	Public Safety	Chemicals used for pest control can be harmful to the humans.	L		
GENERAL ACTIVITIES					
Fording Streams, Wetlands and Rivers	Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources	Soil materials that enter a waterbody as a result of fording (i.e., mud on tires), temporarily decrease water quality. Increased siltation can decrease light penetration, clog spawning beds, reduce water flow and oxygenation of gravels and impact developing fish eggs.	N-M	<p><i>Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Minimize the number of stream crossings.</p> <p>Work periods will be scheduled in accordance to Table 4.9.</p> <p>Equipment will be inspected and repaired to stop any leaks of oil and other fluids prior to entering a waterbody. Equipment will be clean and free of external grease, oil and other fluids prior to the equipment entering a waterbody.</p> <p>Non-toxic hydraulic fluids such as vegetable based fluids should be used in equipment where possible.</p> <p>All equipment should be in good working order and shall be cleaned of weeds, weed seeds and other plant material prior to entering the waterbody.</p> <p>Respect fish timing windows; stream crossings of Cascade Creek with large, heavy equipment are permitted only from August 16 to 30 and from April 16 to 30. Stream crossings of Silverton Creek with large, heavy equipment are permitted only from August 16 to April 30, and whenever the streambed is dry.</p> <p>No stream crossing timing restrictions apply to ATVs and argos for any waterbody.</p> <p>Fording is acceptable under the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Firm rock or coarse gravel streambed • Stream depth < 1 m <p>Fording is unacceptable under the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Soft substrates • During fish timing restrictions • During spring runoff and high stream discharge • High stream depth (> 1 m), wide width and steep gradient • Active channel streambanks > 2 m • Unstable soil conditions and high erosion potential of banks 	N-L
		Released particles or liquids attached to equipment, including oils, grease and fuel, decrease water quality and are potentially toxic to aquatic organisms.	N		N
		Releases of non-native aquatic species, such as foreign vegetation, seeds, small aquatic organisms and pathogens attached to equipment and/or vehicles may influence the health, populations and dynamics of the aquatic community.	N		N
		Physical damage to streambed and aquatic organisms as a result of fording.	M		N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Fording Streams, Wetlands and Rivers – <i>Continued</i>	Wildlife	Disrupt/damage or destroy avian nests in riparian, wetland areas.	N-L	<p><i>Wildlife</i></p> <p>Adhere to all access mitigations listed in this table for all activities.</p> <p>Whenever possible, routine operations and maintenance projects will be scheduled to avoid sensitive wildlife stages (i.e. during GREEN timing windows (Table 4.9)) and will be co-ordinated to minimize the number of occasions crews enter onto the RoW.</p> <p>When it is not possible to undertake projects during green timing windows (Table 4.9), the following mitigations apply to projects undertaken during YELLOW timing windows in known sensitive areas (as identified on ecological mapping and Table 4.9): will Minimize activity within 250 m of: Active waterfowl nesting areas – May 15 to July 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (pre-nesting/staging) – April 01 to May 15, Harlequin Duck nesting habitat (nesting) – May 15 to June 30. Harlequin Suck nesting areas have been identified at the following locations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lake Minnewanka (Map 1b) • Healy Creek (Maps 2b and 2c) • Johnston Creek (Map 3) • Bow River (particularly the section of the Bow River between Lake Louise and Castle Junction) (Maps 4, 5 and 6) • Pipestone River (Map 6) 	N
General Waste Management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decommissioned poles • Anchors 	Aesthetics	Stockpiles of industry related waste is prohibited in the park.	L	<p>Salvaged poles are taken off the RoW and collected. Salvaged poles are sold to the public for alternate uses. Other management measures for pole disposal continue to be explored.</p> <p>Anchor rods are detached from the anchor and removed when the pole is moved or salvaged. Anchors will be left in-situ below groundline if they do not present a hazard, or where excavating for removal would cause excess disturbance.</p>	P
Hazardous Materials Handling	Groundwater Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources Soils Vegetation Wetlands Public Safety	<p>Improper mixing handling or spill containment application techniques (methods, rates) or spills can contaminate surface water, groundwater, and soils and can be harmful to aquatic resources.</p> <p>Improper mixing or spill containment can result in native vegetation damage/loss.</p> <p>Chemicals used for pest control can be harmful to the humans.</p>	L-M L L L L	<p><i>Herbicides</i></p> <p>Herbicides will be mixed according to labels.</p> <p>No herbicide mixing will take place within 30 m of open water.</p> <p>Water to mix herbicides will be obtained from a town water supply.</p> <p>Herbicide containers must be disposed of in accordance with provincial guidelines.</p> <p><i>Petroleum, oils and lubricants – See Vehicle and Equipment Operation and Maintenance</i></p>	N
Material Storage, Staging and Handling	Groundwater Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources Soil	Improper handling or spill containment techniques (methods, rates) or spills can contaminate surface water, groundwater, and soils and can be harmful to aquatic resources.	L-M L L	<p>Store fuels and oils at least 100 m away from a waterbody.</p> <p>Refuel and service vehicles at least 100 m away from a waterbody.</p> <p>Non-toxic hydraulic fluids such as vegetable based fluids should be used in equipment where possible.</p> <p>Staging areas for maintenance equipment will be tidy and garbage-free. Upon termination of the project, sites will be promptly cleaned and vacated, and the Parks office will be advised. Substations are often used as staging areas, and do not require advisement from Parks Canada.</p>	N

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

Table 4.10 Standard Environmental Mitigations Associated with Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities for Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park – *Continued*

Project Activity	Environmental Component Affected	Potential Impact	Impact Rating	Recommended Best Management Practice	Residual Impact Rating
Vehicle and Equipment Operation and Maintenance	Groundwater	Improper handling or spill containment techniques (methods, rates) or spills can contaminate surface water, groundwater, and soils and can be harmful to aquatic resources.	L	Refuel and service vehicles at least 100 m away from a waterbody.	N
	Wetlands, Surface Water and Aquatic Resources		L	Inspect and repair equipment to stop any leaks of oil and other fluids.	
	Soil	Wildlife	L	Non-toxic hydraulic fluids such as vegetable based fluids should be used in equipment where possible. Inspect and repair equipment to stop any leaks of oil and other fluids. Ensure all vehicles are equipped with spill kits to appropriately manage and contain any spills.	

N – Negligible Impact Rating

L – Low Impact Rating

M – Medium Impact Rating

H – High Impact Rating

P – Positive Impact Rating

* Constraint mapping identifies locations that have higher sensitivity to impacts than other areas.

^(a) Contact Banff National Parks Wildlife Specialists: Tom Hurd for east of Castle Junction and Alan Dibb for west of Castle Junction, before commencing any activities during the timing windows specified for bear and wolf, to determine if these species are active in the area.

4.6 Effects of the Environment on the Project

Natural event including flooding, extreme erosion, forest fire, wind throw, snow, rock or debris avalanches have potential to damage distribution facilities; and, in some cases create emergency situations when these events threaten the integrity of structures or the safe, reliable delivery of electrical power to park infrastructure. Similarly birds and insects can damage poles; beaver and bears are known to chew on pole wraps. All of these activities can increase the frequency of undertaking certain projects.

The physical environment supporting Aquila will influence the time of year and the type of equipment that may be used to complete that project. For example, equipment restrictions apply in wet areas and in areas vulnerable to erosion. Sensitive wildlife stages will also influence the time of year some project may be undertaken in BNP.

4.7 Malfunctions and Accidents

Malfunctions and accidents on a high voltage transmission facility are an extremely serious concern. Distribution facility malfunctions and accidents can create an electrocution and fire hazard.

Distribution facilities are designed and maintained with the objective of delivering safe reliable power on a continuous basis. The *Alberta Electrical and Communication Utility Code* (Alberta Labour and the Safety Codes Council 1999) establishes a minimum safety standard for the installation and maintenance of electrical and communication utility systems. The guiding principal of this code is to enhance public safety by minimizing potential risk of shock and fire hazards and establishing safety rules for utility workers and other who must work near electrical and utility systems. Despite such regulations, routine inspections and maintenance, malfunctions and accidents can occur.

Conductor malfunction is when either the conductor physically breaks or an object from the ground makes contact with the conductor. External forces such as a tree falling onto the line, lightning, and extreme ice and wind loading can cause physical conductor breaks. Ground to conductor contacts can occur by trees growing into or falling onto the conductor. Ground to conductor contacts can also occur when inappropriately operating construction equipment near overhead powerlines. Conductor mechanical failure could occur where lengths of conductor are joined together or attached to structures, though this is very rare.

An insulator's function is to prevent electricity flowing from the powerline conductor to the ground. **Insulator malfunction** can be caused by dust and other contaminants coating the insulating porcelain. Especially when an insulator becomes moist, an electrical conducting situation can be created. Typically, natural occurring rain keeps insulators clean. If not, then insulator washing may be necessary. Insulator porcelain can crack and chip due to weathering.

Wood Pole Failure Wood pole structures, similar to conductors, can malfunction due to extreme weather situations. Wood poles also can malfunction due to loss of strength through rot. Wood poles are routinely tested and retreated with wood preservatives to prevent this. Another mode of

pole failure is through vehicle or construction equipment collisions. This mode of failure is remote due to the physical location of the powerline RoW.

To reduce risks of malfunctions and accidents, all distribution facilities are routinely inspected, modified and repaired as required. RoW vegetation management reduces fire risk and ensures access in the event of emergencies. Emergency response plans outline protocol for responding in the event of malfunctions or accidents. The likelihood of these malfunctions occurring is reduced through use of appropriate operation and maintenance procedures.

4.8 Emergency Situations

The Agency has advised Parks Canada “that pursuant to Section 7(1) of the Act, an environmental assessment is not required of a project where the project is to be carried out in response to an emergency and the project is carried out in the interest of preventing damage to property, the environment, or is in the interest of public health and safety. The scope and magnitude of actions taken by Federal Authorities in these circumstances will be defined by the powers that authorize the emergency actions. However, Federal Authorities should, as a matter of policy, attempt to ensure that environmental considerations are factored into their emergency response planning to the extent possible.”

Emergencies within BNP, other than those of a national scale, include but are not limited to the actual occurrence of, and/or imminent threat of flooding, dam failure, extreme erosion, facility structural damage and forest fire, snow, rock or debris avalanche, natural gas leaks or explosions, train derailments and railway track failure, toxic materials release or spill, natural event blockage of the TransCanada Highway or CPR Mainline, and telephone or electrical failure to the Town of Banff or the Hamlet of Lake Louise. Initial actions or immediate containment will be approved but will require a post project environmental assessment and follow-up. If a longer-term project arises from the initial emergency, the normal environmental assessment protocol will apply to any further undertakings.

4.8.1 Emergency Situation Environmental Assessment Procedure

Protocols in the event of one of the above specified emergencies requires notification to the Warden Office of the nature and location of the emergency, initial action proposed and any subsequent follow-up. The 24 hour Banff Park Dispatch Office [Tel: (403) 762-1470] will notify the appropriate staff who will determine the acceptability of the proposed emergency action and issue an appropriate permit.

Emergency electrical service interruption restorations are restricted to disturbed rights of ways, standard access methods, use of standard equipment and are to follow codes of good practice identified in the Model Class Screening.

4.8.2 *Post Emergency Environmental Assessment*

Should the emergency repair require further long-term work already covered under the class, a CSPR form may be used. When emergency repair is outside the activities included under the class, an individual environmental screening will be required.

When an individual environmental assessment is required, proponents are to follow the format established in the document entitled Mountain District Terms of Reference for Preparing a CEAA Environmental Screening, amended June 24, 1998.

Upon submission, the individual environmental screening will undergo a 14 day public review period. Repairs deemed to be made as a result of emergency actions but requiring an environmental screening will not be subjected to the Advisory Development Board review process.

4.8.3 *Town of Banff Class Screening*

Projects (emergency or otherwise) that occur within or proximate to outlying areas of the Town of Banff may be covered off by the Revised Town of Banff Model Class Screening Report for Routine Projects (2003). Proximate outlying areas include the Banff Rocky Mountain Resort, Timberline Lodge, Rimrock Hotel, Tunnel Mountain Campground, Cave and Basin, Upper Hot Springs and the Sulphur Mountain Gondola. Outlying area facilities include those which are tied into the Town utilities, including water and sewage, power, natural gas and telephone services.

Routine projects as defined by the class are comprised of four sub-classes and include: buildings, service lines, roads and trails. Service lines include construction of new service lines (underground gas, water, sewage, electricity and communication and above ground power), modification, maintenance and repair, decommissioning and abandonment. Any of the above mentioned activities that trigger the Act and conform to the General Development Plan for the Town of Banff National Park Development Guidelines are covered by the Class Screening.

4.9 Residual Impacts

Residual impacts are those impacts still remaining **after all appropriate mitigation has been implemented**.

The potential residual impacts likely to result from this project have been defined using the ratings in Table 4.6.

If appropriate measures are followed, most of the potential impacts identified in Table 4.7 and described in Section 4.4 are already rated as low and should be reduced to insignificant levels. Potential residual impacts include:

- Operating in proximity to waterbodies may cause sedimentation and contamination of surface waters and impacts on aquatic resources. However, if appropriate mitigations are followed, resulting effects would be **insignificant**.

- Sensory disturbance to wildlife can result from numerous incursions onto the ROW. However, adhering to time restrictions and reducing the number of times crews enter the RoW can reduce these impacts. If this is done these impacts become **insignificant**.
- Continuous dangerous tree removal and mowing can reduce the vegetation resources of BNP, particularly special resources. However, as special resources are flagged and may be topped rather than cleared from the RoW, and mowing is minimal, this impact will be **insignificant** provided all mitigations are followed.
- Operating in the lower sub-alpine may impact sensitive soil and vegetation resources. However, if appropriate mitigations are followed, resulting effects would be **insignificant**.

4.10 Cumulative Effects

For the purposes of the MCSR, cumulative environmental effects are defined as those effects on the environment that result from project activities when combined with effects on the environment as a result of other past, current and imminent projects and activities.

The routine operation and maintenance activities covered by this MCSR occur in a regional setting where numerous activities are occurring simultaneously that affect the environment. Other activities that could result in similar types of effects include operation and maintenance in other linear corridors (power transmission lines, roads, cut lines, railways etc.) such as the AltaLink transmission line, TransCanada Highway, Highway 1A, and the Canadian Pacific Railway RoW. Many small activities within the same area have the potential to cause ‘nibbling’ effects. For example, linear corridors that have repeated disturbances associated with them often cause wildlife to avoid otherwise effective habitats. The degree of avoidance is species specific and is related to the type of linear disturbance.

Aquila activities along the right of way are generally localized, of short duration and predictable. In relation to other activities within linear corridors that parallel the distribution line RoWs, the routine activities covered by the MCSR would add small incremental effects to other existing impacts. Cumulative effects associated with the Aquila RoW would be of negligible magnitude.

The potential for cumulative environmental effects will be addressed in the CSPR by identifying other projects and activities that occur within the geographical area and same temporal scale as the proposed operation and maintenance project. Other projects and activities identified, which also have effects on the same environmental components identified for operation and maintenance projects (i.e. wildlife, vegetation, aquatic resources etc.), will be assessed in combination with the operation and maintenance project for cumulative environmental effects. Additional mitigation will be recommended as required. Significances of cumulative effects evaluation is facilitated through the Class Screening Project Report on a project-specific basis.

4.11 Follow-Up Programs and Monitoring

Aquila has an environmental management system (EMS) that is compatible with ISO 14001 standards. Auditing is an integral component of this environmental management system. Each year certain aspect of Aquila's EMS undergoes an external third party environmental audit. All work sites are susceptible to periodic random spot checks and inspections by Aquila personnel.

Aquila will prepare a crew book to be used by all crews working in BNP. Training on mitigations in the crew book will be provided before crews enter the RoW.

Parks Canada, as the RA, and Aquila, as the proponent, will ensure that mitigation commitments required, as part of the CSPR approval will be carried out during project activities. As the RA, Parks Canada routinely conducts surveillance of RoW projects. Long-term vegetation monitoring projects related to weeds and prescribed burns are also conducted by Parks Canada, as are long-term wildlife movement studies. Every winter in BNP, Parks wildlife specialists conduct wildlife corridor monitoring and subsequent reporting.

5.0 PREPARING THE CLASS SCREENING PROJECT REPORT

The information included in the MCSR provides the background environmental and project information necessary to prepare a CSPR. It is the responsibility of Aquila to provide site-specific information necessary for Parks Canada, the RA, to reach a decision on project approval. This information will be provided through completion of a CSPR. Information and copies of forms can be obtained from the Warden's Office in Banff and Lake Louise.

Projects that clearly meet the conditions of the class will receive approval based on the information provided in the CSPR.

5.1 The Class Screening Process

A CSPR format has been developed that will identify project activities, specific locations, and the appropriate mitigations on a site-specific basis. The CSPR form is shown in Section 5.4. The following procedure applies:

- Aquila will submit to Parks Canada their maintenance/operation schedule for the distribution lines annually. Their plan will include:
 - Project activities;
 - Estimated project timelines; and
 - Project locations.
- Aquila and Parks Canada will meet to review the annual plan 4 to 6 weeks after submission to identify any Parks Canada projects or other concerns that may arise.
- A CSPR will be submitted for all activities at least seven days before the activity is planned and an approval will be issued by Parks Canada. When a Restricted Activity Permit is required it will be issued upon approval of the CSPR.
- The CSPR may be submitted by fax, email or post to the Warden's Office in BNP.

5.2 Timelines and Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the proponent and Parks Canada in the class screening process are outlined below:

- It will be the responsibility of Parks Canada to have a MCSR prepared .
- It will be the responsibility of the proponent to ensure that an environmental practitioner familiar with Aquila practices prepares the CSPR form so that all information provided in CSPR is accurate. The proponent will be required to sign a statement to this effect. If it becomes known that the proponent has provided inaccurate information, any approval will be invalidated.

- It will be the responsibility of Parks Canada to:
 - Provide the necessary forms, appropriate information and advice to the proponent (for example, regarding recent bear activity in an area to be visited by the proponent).
 - Review the completed CSPRs within 7 days of submission.
 - Approve or reject the proposed project pursuant to Section 20(1) of CEAA or reclassify the project to an individual screening.
 - Parks Canada, as the RA, will review all projects and provide a response to the proponent within seven days of submission of the CSPR. Projects that the RA feels are outside the scope of the Class (see exclusions in Section 3.4) will be bumped out of the Class and will require an individual screening.

5.3 Federal Environmental Assessment Index

The MCSR will be listed in the Federal Environmental Assessment Index (FEAI). The FEAI will indicate the MCSR, the RA and RA contact, and the location where project specific information may be obtained.

Projects screened under the MCSR will not be listed individually on the FEAI, rather the RA will maintain a running tally of all projects completed under the MCSR and submit the tally semi-annually to the Agency for incorporation in the FEAI (*i.e.* September 30 and March 31). Projects that do not fit within the MCSR will be subject to individual screening and will be placed on the FEAI as is the RA's current practice.

5.4 Aquila Networks Canada Class Screening Project Report Form for Routine Operation and Maintenance of Electrical Power Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park

Procedure

This Class Screening Project Report (CSPR) form applies to activities and projects covered by the *Model Class Screening Report for Routine Operation and Maintenance of Distribution Facilities in Banff National Park*. It must be completed and submitted to the Warden's Office in Banff or Lake Louise fourteen (14) days prior to the planned activity. This form is necessary:

- To receive approval under CEAA to perform the work;
- To obtain an associated Restricted Activity Permit;
- As a follow up to emergency procedures;
- The form may be submitted by email, fax or mail and a reply must be received before access to the Right-of-Way may be obtained.

In the event of emergency operations (as defined in Section 4.8 of the MCSR) outside of normal office hours, the Emergency Response Warden will be contacted at (403) 762-1409 or (403) 762-4506 to notify of any emergency procedures required. This form relative to those emergency works must be completed and submitted to the Warden's office within three days with an attachment explaining:

- The cause of the emergency;
- The remedy/actions taken;
- The name of the Emergency Response Warden contacted, and
- The time.

Use Table 4.9, Table 4.10 and the ecological constraints maps (Appendix F) from the Model Class Screening Report to complete the following section.

The CSPR form provides the following information:

Part 1: Identifies whether the projects are subject to CEAA.

Part 2: Provides the project description including:

- Identifies projects (as per Table 3.2 of the MCSR)
- Locations of the projects (as per map sheets/locations)
- Timing of the projects (e.g., the month/week).
- Identifies constraints to project activities.

Part 3: Identifies the probable environmental and cumulative effects of the proposed projects, and evaluates their significance.

Part 4: Emergency Procedures.

Information provided in Part 1 and Part 2 of the CSPR form is required to obtain Restricted Activity Permit.

Part 1: Are the proposed projects subject to CEAA?

Date: _____

AltaLink Personnel (name and title): _____

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

Brief Project Description: _____

Does your project involve the following:

	Yes	No
Clearing new land within BNP for construction of a new ROW;	_____	_____
Brush mowing or chemical spraying on the RoW closer than 30 m to a waterbody. This exclusion does not affect herbicide applications within fenced substations;	_____	_____
Modifications that increase a line rating capacity;	_____	_____
Instream activities, except fording activities, triggering Section 35 (2) of the <i>Fisheries Act</i> ; or	_____	_____
Project activities that do not comply with the mitigation measures identifies in the MCSR?	_____	_____

If yes to any, the project is not subject to the MCSR. Do not proceed with the CSPR. An individual screening under CEAA may be required. For further information, contact Parks Canada Agency.

Part 2: Project Description

General Project Description *(include purpose, location and scope of project)*

Project Purpose: _____

Project Activities: _____

Project Location: _____

Vehicle/helicopter
Description: _____

Vehicle/helicopter
Licence: _____

Access Points and
Description: _____

Project Timelines: _____

Detailed Activity List: Please check (✓) boxes to indicate which activities will be undertaken, their location and proposed timing of activities. Using Table 4.9 (Red, green and yellow access timing windows), please identify any activities you are planning to undertake during RED or YELLOW (restricted time) periods.

Are the Activities: Routine Emergency Activity	✓	CEAA Triggered	Assessment May be Requested under Parks Canada Procedures	Proposed Date	Location	Timing of Activities			Additional Constraints/mitigations NOT LISTED IN THE MCSR that must be applied to project activities as directed by Parks Canada.
					Ecological Constraint Map Sheet #	Green timing window	Yellow timing window	Red timing window	
Maintenance and Operation of Overhead Distribution Lines									
Access and Travel along RoW									
Aerial Inspections and Patrols									
Ground Patrols along RoW									
Detailed Line Patrols									
Ground Patrols									
Pole Test, Pole Wrap or Re-Treatment		✓							
Pole Salvage, Straightening, Realignment or Replacement		✓							
Rod Grounding		✓							
Re-anchoring or New Anchor Installation		✓							
Crossarm Replacement			✓						
Conductor Repair, Replacement and Salvage			✓						

Activity	✓	CEAA Triggered	Assessment May be Requested under Parks Canada Procedures	Proposed Date	Location	Timing of Activities			Additional Constraints/mitigations NOT LISTED IN THE MCSR that must be applied to project activities as directed by Parks Canada.
					Ecological Constraint Map Sheet #	Green timing window	Yellow timing window	Red timing window	
<i>Maintenance and Operation of Overhead Distribution Lines - Continued</i>									
Ground and Pole Top Equipment Installation, Repair, Replacement or Salvage			✓						
Insulator Washing			✓						
<i>Maintenance and Operation of Underground Distribution Facilities</i>									
Equipment Inspection, Repair, or Replacement									
Line Repair									
<i>Vegetation Control on the RoW</i>									
Tree and Brush Removal (manual brushing, slashing, tree trimming, selective thinning)		✓							
Mowing		✓							
Burning									
Herbicide Brush and Weed Control		✓							

Activity	✓	CEAA Triggered	Assessment May be Requested under Parks Canada Procedures	Proposed Date	Location	Timing of Activities			Additional Constraints/mitigations NOT LISTED IN THE MCSR that must be applied to project activities as directed by Parks Canada.
					Ecological Constraint Map Sheet #	Green timing window	Yellow timing window	Red timing window	
General Activities									
Materials Storage, Disposal, Handling									
General Waste Management									
Hazardous Material Handling			✓						
Material Storage, Staging and Handling			✓						
Vehicle and Equipment Operation and Maintenance									
Equipment Refuelling									
Other									
Fording streams, wetlands and rivers			✓						

Part 3: Potential Residual and Cumulative Environmental Effects

Will the project likely cause environmental effects other than those described in the MCSR as summarized below?

Yes	No
-----	----

Valued Ecosystem Components	Potential Residual Environmental Effects
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decrease in air quality
Groundwater	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contamination
Wetlands, Surface Water, Aquatic Resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contamination
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Destruction of /damage to habitat
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sedimentation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Run-off
Soil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compaction
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Erosion
Vegetation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loss/Damage to species
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction of non-native species
Wildlife	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short term sensory disturbance or habitat avoidance
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contamination of habitat (pesticides, chemicals)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical destruction/disturbance to habitat
Public Safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contamination (toxins, pesticides, chemicals)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased visibility in relation to burning
Historical Resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Destruction or disturbance of archaeological or heritage sites

If yes, please describe:

Part 4: Emergency Procedures (Described in Section 4.8, page 4-67)

To be completed for emergencies only

Emergency Attachment:	_____ YES _____	_____ NO _____
Time of Contacting Emergency Response Warden:	_____	_____
Name of Emergency Response Warden Contacted:	_____	_____
Cause of Emergency:	_____	_____
Operations required to redress the situation:	_____	_____
Follow-up required:	_____	_____

I am Familiar with all of the Mitigations identified in Attachment A: ***Aquila Networks Canada Environmental Mitigation Measures For Routine Maintenance Operations in Banff National Park*** and will comply with all of these mitigations while involved in activities on the Right-of-Way in Banff National Park.

Crew Boss Name:

Title:

Signature:

I am Knowledgeable of Aquila’s Model Class Screening. I have prepared and reviewed this form and find it complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Aquila Environmental Services Officer Name:

Title:

Signature:

Authorization (Parks Canada):

6.0 AMENDING THE MCSR

The purpose of an amending procedure is to allow the modification of the MCSR after experience has been gained with its operation and effectiveness. The reasons for such modification may include:

- Clarification of ambiguous areas of document and procedures;
- Streamlining or modifying the planning process in areas where problems may have arisen;
- Minor modifications and revisions to the scope of assessment to reflect new or changed regulatory requirements, policies or standards; and,
- New procedures and environmental mitigation practices will be developed over time.

Parks Canada Agency will notify the Agency in writing of its intention to modify the MCSR. It will discuss the proposed amendments with the Agency and affected federal government departments. It may invite comment from stakeholders and the public on the proposed changes and, submit the amended MCSR to the Agency along with a statement of rationale for the modification.

Depending on the nature of changes the Agency will:

1. Amend the MCSR

The Agency will review the proposed modifications and, if they are consistent with requirements of the Act and:

- Are minor;
- Represent editorial changes intended to clarify or improve the screening process;
- Do not materially alter either the scope of the projects subject to the MCSR or the scope of the assessment required for these projects; and
- Do not reflect new or changed regulatory requirements, policies or standards.

The Agency will accept the changes and add the amended document to its public registry while not changing the declaration period.

2. Amend the MCSR with conditions

The Agency may accept the amended document with conditions and add the report to the public registry while not changing the declaration period.

3. Re-declare the MCSR

Following the requirements of Section 19 of the Act and after consulting with the responsible authority, the Agency may re-declare the report for the remaining balance of the declaration period or for a new five year period when:

- The proposed amendments are considered to be substantial; or
- The proposed amendments represent modifications to the scope of the projects subject to the class or the scope of the assessment required for these projects.

The Agency will add the amended document to its public registry.

Parks Canada will review the performance of the MCSR within two years of the anniversary of the declaration of the MCSR in consultation with stakeholders and the public and will make any amendments considered necessary in accordance with the amendment mechanism described above.

7.0 REFERENCES

- Agra Earth and Environmental. 1999. New lease and licenses of occupation. Environmental Screening. Prepared for Minnewanka Tours Ltd., Banff.
- Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (AEUB). 1981. Guide 22: Guidelines Respecting an Application to Construct or Alter an Electrical Transmission Line. May, 1981.
- Alberta Environment (AENV). 1995. Environmental Protection Guidelines for Electric Transmission Lines. Conservation and Reclamation Information Letter 95-2.
- Alberta Environment (AENV). 2000. The General Status of Alberta Wild Species 2000. Alberta Environment/Alberta Sustainable Resource Development. Edmonton. Available at www.gov.ab.ca/env/fw/status (Accessed April 5th, 2002)
- Alberta Labour. 1999. Alberta Electrical and Communication Utility Code. Established by the Electrical Technical Council, Safety Codes Council April 1999.
- Alberta Sustainable Development. 2002. Amphibians of Alberta. Alberta Environment/Alberta Sustainable Resource Development. Edmonton. Available at <http://www3.gov.ab.ca/srd/fw/amphib/index.html> (Accessed April 5th, 2002).
- Alberta Transportation and Utilities. 2000. Fish Habitat Manual. Prepared by Golder Associates Ltd.
- Axys Environmental Consulting Ltd. and David Walker and Associates. 1998. Best available methods for common leaseholders activities. Prepared for Line Leaseholders Working Group, Jasper National Park.
- Banff National Park Warden Service. Sept. 26th, 2002. Comments on 'Aquila's Activities within Banff National Park'. Prepared by the Aquatics Section.
- Banff National Park. 2000. Control of Canada Thistle and Perennial Sow Thistle through an Integrated Program of Physical and Chemical Control Methods. Prepared by the Heritage Resource Conservation Fire and Resource Section.
- Banff-Bow Valley Task Force. 1996. Banff-Bow Valley: At the Crossroads: Technical Report. Prepared for the Minister of Canadian Heritage.
- Blais, J.M., D.W. Schindler, D.C.G. Muir, M. Sharp, D. Donald, M. Lafrenière, E. Braekevelt and W.M.J. Strachan. 2001. Melting Glaciers: A Major Source of Persistent Organochlorines to Subalpine Bow Lake in Banff National Park, Canada.
- Bow River Water Quality Council. 1994. Preserving our lifeline: a report on the state of the Bow River. Calgary, Alberta.
- Brewin, K. 2002. Personal communications regarding the fish species and spawning locations within BNP. Trout Unlimited, Calgary.

- Brewin, M.K. 1994. 1993 fishery investigations in the upper Bow River system, Banff National Park, Alberta. Prepared for: Banff National Park Warden Service, Banff.
- Brooks, K.M. 1998. Literature Review, Computer Model and Assessment of the Potential Environmental Risks Associated with Pentachlorophenol Treated Wood Products Used in Aquatic Environments. Prepared for Western Wood Preservers Institute, Vancouver, WA.
- Canadian Institute of Treated Wood. 1997. Best Management Practices for the use of Treated Wood in Aquatic Environments. Canadian Version. Developed for Use in Specifying Materials for Use in Aquatic Projects in Canada and the Western United States by: Canadian Institute of Treated Wood, Western Wood Preservers Institute.
- Canadian Pipeline Water Crossing Committee. 1999. Watercourse Crossings. 2nd Edition. Prepared by TERA Environmental Consultants Ltd. and Salmo Consulting Inc., Calgary.
- COSEWIC, 2002. Canadian Species at Risk, May 2002. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Available at:
http://www.cosepac.gc.ca/pdf/English/Species_at_risk_may_02_e.pdf.
- Cox, C. 2000a. Fumigant Fact Sheet: Metam sodium. Journal of Pesticide Reform, 20(1): 14 – 19.
- Cox, C. 2000b. Herbicide Fact Sheet: Triclopyr. Journal of Pesticide Reform, 20(4): 12 – 19.
- Doucet, G.J., C. Seguin, and M. Giguere (Eds). 1993. Fifth International Symposium on Environmental Concerns in Rights-of-Way Management. September 19-22, 1993. Montreal, Quebec. Hydro-Quebec.
- Duke, D. 2000. Wildlife corridors around developed areas in Banff National Park. Progress Report, Winter 1999/2000. Prepared for Parks Canada Warden Service. 52 p.
- Duke, D., M. Hebblewhite and M. Percy. 1996. Assessment of Bull Trout, *Salvelinus confluentus*, Spawning with Redd Count Surveys in the upper Bow and Cascade Rover systems, Banff National Park, Alberta. Fall, 1996. Prepared for the Banff National Park Warden Service.
- EnviResource Consulting Ltd. 1999. Fall and Winter Fisheries Investigations of the Pipestone River and Corral Creek. Prepared for Skiing Louise Ltd., Banff.
- Environment Canada and Health Canada. 2001. Priority Substances Assessment Report: Road Salts. Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999.
- Environment Canada. 2001. EcoAtlas+ database. Version 2001_1_601AR2. Prairie Section, Atmospheric and Hydrologic Sciences Division.

- Environment Canada. 2002. Canada's Wildlife Service Hinterland Who's Who, Canada Lynx. Available at: <http://www.cws-scf.ec.gc.ca/hww-fap/hww-fap.cfm?IDspecies=54&lang=e>. Accessed July 26th, 2002.
- Environmental Management Associates. 1987. Fish Populations and Habitat of Altrude Creek Relative to Diversion of the TransCanada Highway. Prepared for Public Works Canada, Edmonton.
- Ferguson, R.S. and L. Halverson. 2000. Checklist of Birds of the Upper Columbia River Valley British Columbia. Available at: <http://birdsandbears.redshift.bc.ca/checklist.htm>. (Accessed May 29th, 2002).
- Fisher, C. and J. Acorn. 1998. Birds of Alberta. Lone Pine Publishing, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Godman, P.E. 1999. Fishery Habitat Modelling of Cascade Creek, Banff National Park. An Abandoned Mountain Channel. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Calgary.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 1996. Report on Fisheries Assessment of the Proposed Pipeline Watercrossing Replacement of the Bow and Cascade Creeks at Banff, Alberta. Prepared for TERA Environmental Consultants Ltd., Calgary.
- Graham, K.L., and G.L. Powell. 1999. Status of the Long-toed Salamander (*Ambystoma macrodactylum*) in Alberta. Alberta Environmental Protection, Fisheries and Wildlife Management Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Management Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 22, Edmonton.
- Hanson. 2000. Road Impacts on plants – spread of introduced species in Banff National Park, Canada.
- Holland W.D. and G.M. Coen. 1982. Ecological (Biophysical) Land Classification of Banff and Jasper National Parks. Vol. II: Soil and Vegetation Resources.
- Holroyd, G.L. and K.J. Van Tighen. 1983. Ecological (Biophysical) Land Classification of Banff and Jasper National Parks. Volume III: Wildlife.
- Hydrogeological Consultants Ltd. 2001. Banff Water Master Plan – Banff Aquifer. Prepared for the Town of Banff.
- Jalkotzy M.G., Ross, P.I, and M.D. Nasserden. 1998. The effects of Linear Development on Wildlife: A review of Selected Scientific Literature. Pub. # 1998-0002. Prepared for the Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP).
- Jalkotzy, M., I. Ross and J.R. Gunson. 1992. Management Plan for Cougars in Alberta. Wildlife Management Plan Planning Series Number 5. Alberta Forestry, Lands and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Division. Edmonton, Alberta.

- James, J.D. 1998. Status of the Columbia Spotted Frog (*Rana luteiventris*) in Alberta. Alberta Environmental Protection, Fisheries and Wildlife Management Division, and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 17, Edmonton, AB. 21pp.
- Lajeunesse, B. 2000. Personal communications regarding timing restrictions in the Bow River within Banff National Park. Fisheries biologist, Alberta Environment, Canmore.
- Langemann, Gwyn. 2003. Personal communications regarding heritage resources on the Aquila RoW. Parks Canada, Calgary Alberta.
- Leeson, B.F. and G. Harrison. 1988. Banff Townsite Peripheral Land Use. Initial Environmental Evaluation. Final Report. Natural History Research Division, Environment Canada, Canadian Parks Service, Western Region Office, Calgary.
- MacCallum, Beth. 2001. Status of the Harlequin Duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*) in Alberta. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Fisheries and Wildlife Management Division and Alberta Conservation Association, Wildlife Status Report No. 36, Edmonton, AB. 38 pp.
- Mallinckrodt Baker, Inc. 2001. Material Safety Data Sheet for Sodium Fluoride.
- Matteson, M.Y. 1993. Denning ecology of wolves in Northwest Montana and southern Canadian Rockies. Masters Thesis, University of Montana, Missoula. Available at: C:\Documents and Settings\mel\My Documents\info\Wolf Clearinghouse.htm. Accessed June 10th, 2002.
- Mayhood, D.W. 1995. The Fishes of the Central Canadian Rockies Ecosystem. Prepared for Parks Canada, Banff.
- Mayhood, D.W. 1999. Provisional evaluation of the status of westslope cutthroat trout in Canada. In: L.M. Darling, Ed. Proceedings of the Biological and Management of Species and Habitats at Risk, Kamloops, B.C., Feb. 15 – 19, 1999.
- Mayhood, D.W. and J. Paczkowski. 1993. Preliminary Fall Survey of the Fishes of the upper Bow River, Banff National Park. Prepared for Parks Canada Warden Service, Banff.
- Mayhood, D.W., R.S. Anderson, D.B. Donald and R.B. Green. 1976. Limnological Survey of the Lake Louise Area, Banff National Park. Prepared for Parks Canada, Banff.
- McIvor, M. and D. McIvor. 1999. Amphibian Surveys in Banff National Park and Adjacent Areas, 1992-1998. Parks Canada.
- Michel, S. 2002. Personal communications regarding wolf denning habits and grizzly bear use areas. Park's Canada Warden's Service. Yoho National Park.
- Mudry, D.R. and R. B. Green. 1977. Limnological investigations on Sunshine, Healy and Brewster Creeks, Banff National Park, during 1976. Prepared for Parks Canada, Banff.

- Nelson, J.S. and M. J. Paetz. 1992. *The Fishes of Alberta*. The University of Alberta Press, Edmonton.
- Pacas, C. 2000 and 2001. Personal communications regarding fisheries resources and spawning sites in Banff National Park. Aquatics Specialist, Parks Canada Warden's Service, Banff.
- Parks Canada. No date. Procedures of the Department of Canadian Heritage for Complying with the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act.
- Parks Canada. Fishing Regulations. Summary April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2003. Mountain National Parks in Alberta and British Columbia.
- Paul, A.J. 1994. Extent of Past Fisheries Management Practices on Lakes from Banff National Park. Prepared for the Friends of Banff National Park.
- Pope, W. 2001. Wildlife corridors around developed areas in Banff National Park. Progress Report, Winter 2000/2001. Prepared for Parks Canada Warden Service.
- Schindler, D.W. and C. Pacas. 1996. Cumulative effects of human activity on aquatic ecosystems in the Bow Valley of Banff National Park. Chapter 5 in: Green, J. *et al.* (Eds). Ecological Outlooks Project. A Cumulative Effects Assessment and Futures Outlook of the Banff Bow Valley. Prepared for the Banff Bow Valley Study. Department of Canadian Heritage, Ottawa.
- Semenchuck, G.P. 1993. *The Atlas of Breeding Birds of Alberta*. Published by the Federation of Alberta Naturalists, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Smith, C., P. Clarkson. 1995. A status review of harlequin ducks within Banff National Park. Prepared on behalf of Parks Canada and Banff National Park.
- Smith, C.M. 2000. Survival and recruitment of juvenile harlequin ducks. MSc. Thesis Simon Fraser University.
- Tessolini, R. Personal Communications. Parks Canada Warden Service. Banff National Park.
- Thurston, L. 1998. Studies of Wolf Denning Behaviour in Yellowstone National Park, progress report 1998. M.S. candidate at Texas A & M University. Available at: <http://www.wolftracker.com/thurston/den98.htm>. Accessed June 10th, 2002.
- TransAlta Utilities Corporation. 1994. Application to the Energy Resources Conservation Board and the Department of Heritage Canada, Parks Canada, Re: 138 kV Transmission Lines 54L from the Cascade Substation 29S to Banff Substation 123S and Salvage of 25 kV Transmission Line 55L in Banff National Park.
- TransAlta Utilities Corporation. 1994. Working Environmental Protection Plan: Operations and Maintenance of TransAlta's Facilities in Banff National Park. "The Red Book".

- United States Department of Agriculture. 2001. Techline: Environmental Impact of Preservative-Treated Wood. Forest Products Laboratory, Forest Service.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 1999. Registration Eligibility Decision Facts: Bendiocarb. Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances EPA 738-F-99-010.
- Ward, J.C. 1972. Two Decades of Fisheries Management in the Mountain National Parks. Prepared for the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Calgary.
- Ward, J.C. 1974. The Fishes and Their Distribution in the Mountain National Parks of Canada. Canadian Wildlife Service Manuscript Report.
- Williams, James, John Goodrich-Mahoney, Jan Wisniewski and Joe Wisniewski (Eds). 1997. The Sixth International Symposium on Environmental Concerns in Rights-of-Way Management. February 22-26, 1997. New Orleans, USA. Elsevier Science Ltd.