

Proof of Principle (POP) Program Questionnaire

This questionnaire **must** be completed by the Nominated Principal Applicant and the Technology Transfer Office.

Nominated Principal Applicant: _____

TT Officer: _____

- Research in Specific Area** POP Grant for Mobility in Aging Technologies
 POP Grant for Drug Development
 Not Applicable

Question	Answer	
1. Name of the institution that will administer the CIHR POP grant funds?		
2. What is the Nominated Principal Applicant's Academic Position?		
3. Date of filing of patent application (if applicable) Year/Month/Day Specify if the patent application was a provisional or regular application.		
	Yes	No
4. Does the Nominated Principal Applicant meet CIHR eligibility criteria?		
5. Does the Nominated Principal Applicant hold or has he/she held competitive peer reviewed funding from a recognized agency in the area related to the proposed project?		
6. Has the Intellectual Property (IP) been disclosed to the TTO office?		
7. Has an invention assessment for this IP been done?		
8. Has any form of market assessment of this IP been done?		
9. Is the IP licensed, sold or assigned to any party other than the academic institution and researcher/inventor/applicant?		
10. For Phase I: A. Is the IP proposed currently, or has it been in the IP portfolio of a company? B. If yes, does this company, or any other company, currently hold any rights to the IP? Please clarify in a separate attachment.		
11. For Phase II: Are any of the applicants and/or TT Office affiliated with or partly/fully own the co-investing company in the application? If yes, is the ownership less than 30% for each individual? Please clarify the relationship between all applicants and/or the TT Office with the co-investigating company in a separate attachment.		
12. Do any of the applicants or TT Office have any relationship with a company or other party that would impede the freedom to operate on the IP?		
13. Is the IP the subject of an agreement with a foreign institution or organization? If yes, please provide a statement in a separate attachment confirming that a reasonable effort has been made in order to fulfill the need of a beneficial impact on Canada.		
14. For multi-centre applications: A. Is an inter-institutional Memorandum of Understanding, letter of agreement, or equivalent in place? B. Does this inter-institutional agreement specify co-ownership of IP?		
15. Is the IP wholly Canadian-owned? If not, please explain.		
16. Who currently owns the IP? Provide a list of owner(s) if owned by more than one entity or inventor.		

