

Substance Profile for Challenge to Stakeholders
Benzenesulfonic acid, 3,3'-[(9,10-dihydro-9,10-dioxo-1,4-anthracenediyl)diimino]bis[2,4,6-trimethyl-, disodium salt
(Acid Blue 80)
CAS No. 4474-24-2

Introduction

The *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999* (CEPA 1999) required the Minister of Health and Minister of the Environment to categorize the approximately 23 000 substances on the Domestic Substances List (DSL). Categorization involved identifying those substances on the DSL that are a) considered to be persistent (P) and/or bioaccumulative (B), based on criteria set out in the *Persistence and Bioaccumulation Regulations* (Government of Canada, 2000), and “inherently toxic” (iT) to humans or other organisms, or b) that present, to individuals in Canada, the greatest potential for exposure (GPE).

Further to this activity, the Act requires the Minister of the Environment and the Minister of Health to conduct screening assessments of substances that meet the categorization criteria. A screening assessment involves a scientific evaluation of available information for a substance to determine whether the substance meets the criteria set out in section 64 of CEPA 1999. Based on the results of a screening assessment, the Ministers can propose taking no further action with respect to the substance, adding the substance to the Priority Substances List (PSL) for further assessment or recommending the addition of the substance to the List of Toxic Substances in Schedule 1 of CEPA 1999 and, where applicable, the implementation of virtual elimination of releases to the environment.

A number of substances have been identified by the Ministers as high priorities for action based on the information obtained through the categorization process. This includes substances:

- that were found to meet all of the ecological categorization criteria, including persistence, bioaccumulation potential and inherent toxicity to aquatic organisms (PBiT), and that are known to be in commerce or of commercial interest, in Canada, and/or
- that were found either to meet the categorization criteria for GPE or to present an intermediate potential for exposure (IPE), and were identified as posing a high hazard to human health based on available evidence on carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, developmental toxicity or reproductive toxicity.

Based on a consideration of the ecological and/or human health concerns associated with these substances, and the requirement under section 76.1 of CEPA 1999 for the Ministers to apply a weight of evidence approach and the precautionary principle when conducting

and interpreting the results of an assessment, sufficient data are currently available to conclude whether these substances meet the criteria under section 64 of CEPA 1999.


As such, the Ministers have issued a Challenge to industry and other interested stakeholders through publication in Canada Gazette Part I December 9, 2006 to submit, within the timelines stated in the Challenge section of this document, specific information that may be used to inform risk assessment and to develop and benchmark best practices for risk management and product stewardship.

The substance benzenesulfonic acid, 3,3'-[(9,10-dihydro-9,10-dioxo-1,4-anthracenediyl)diimino]bis[2,4,6-trimethyl-, disodium salt was identified as a high priority for action as it was found to be persistent, bioaccumulative and inherently toxic to aquatic organisms and is believed to be in commerce in Canada. The technical human health and ecological information that formed the basis for concern associated with this substance is contained in Appendices I and II, respectively.

Substance Identity

For the purposes of this report, this substance will be referred to as Acid Blue 80.

CAS Registry Number (NCI)	4474-24-2
Inventory names	Benzenesulfonic acid, 3,3'-[(9,10-dihydro-9,10-dioxo-1,4-anthracenediyl)diimino]bis[2,4,6-trimethyl-, disodium salt; sodium 3,3'-(9,10-dioxoanthracene-1,4-diyl)diimino]bis(2,4,6-trimethylbenzenesulphonate); Acid Blue 80; Benzenesulfonic acid, 3,3'-[(9,10-dihydro-9,10-dioxo-1,4-anthracenediyl)diimino]bis[2,4,6-trimethyl-, disodium salt; C.I. acid blue 080; ALIZARIN E
Other names	2-Mesitylenesulfonic acid, 4,4'-(1,4-anthraquinonylenediimino)di-, disodium salt; Acid Anthraquinone Brilliant Blue; Acid Brilliant Blue Anthraquinone; Acid Brilliant Blue RAWL; Alizarine Blue BL; Alizarine Fast Blue R; Alizarine Milling Blue R; Atlantic Alizarine; Milling Blue RB; Brilliant Alizarine Milling Blue BL; C-WR Blue 10; C.I. 61585; C.I. Acid Blue 80; Coomassie Blue B; Endanil Blue B; Nylosan Blue C-L; Nylosan Blue F-L; Nylosan Blue F-L 150; Polar Brilliant Blue RAW; Polar Brilliant Blue RAWL; Sandolan Milling Blue N-BL; Sandolan Milling N-BL; Stenolana Brilliant Blue BL; Weak Acid Brilliant Blue RAW
Chemical group	Discrete organics
Chemical sub-group	Anthracenediones
Chemical formula	C ₃₂ H ₂₈ N ₂ O ₈ S ₂ .2Na

Chemical structure	
SMILES	<chem>O=C(C3=C2C=CC=C3)C1=C(NC5=C(C)C(S(=O)([O-])=O)=C(C)C=C5C)C=CC(NC4=C(C)C(S(=O)([O-])=O)=C(C)C=C4C)=C1C2=O.[Na+].[Na+]</chem>
Molecular mass	678.691 g/mol

Based on information submitted in response to a legal Notice published in 2006 under section 71 of CEPA 1999, Acid Blue 80 was not manufactured in Canada in 2005 in a quantity meeting the 100 kg reporting threshold. Four companies reported importing up to 100,000 kg into Canada in 2005 for activities described as soap, cleaning compound, and toilet preparation manufacturing, basic chemical manufacturing, and chemical (except agricultural) and allied product wholesaler- distributors. Acid Blue 80 is used in manufacturing and packaging soap and other detergents, such as laundry detergents; dishwashing detergents; toothpaste gels, and tooth powders; and natural glycerin. It acts as cleaning compounds, surface active agents, and textile and leather finishing agents used to reduce tension or speed the drying process. It is also used in manufacturing synthetic organic and inorganic dyes, pigments and toners. Finally, Acid Blue 80 is also used as industrial and household chemicals, cleaning compounds and preparations, plastics resins, plastic basic forms and shapes, and industrial gases (Environment Canada, 2006).

THE CHALLENGE

Respecting direction under section 76.1 of CEPA 1999, and in the absence of additional relevant information as a result of this Challenge, the Ministers are predisposed to conclude, based on a screening assessment, that this substance satisfies the definition of toxic under section 64 of CEPA 1999. As such, the Ministers are prepared to then recommend to the Governor in Council that this substance be added to the List of Toxic Substances in Schedule 1 of CEPA 1999, with the intent of initiating the development of risk management measures taking into account socio-economic considerations.

If it is determined that the substance meets the virtual elimination criteria in subsection 77(4) of CEPA 1999, then subsequent risk management activities will be based on the objective of eliminating the release of any measurable quantity of the substance to the environment. In the absence of further information on existing management practices for a substance, actions would be proposed based on the assumption of worst-case practices. The management actions being considered for such substances at this time include prohibition through regulations, of the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale and import of this substance, except for those activities controlled under the *Pest Control Products Act* and/or the *Food and Drugs Act*.

Exceptionally, should no information be identified to indicate that this substance is in commerce in Canada, the Ministers will conclude, based on a screening assessment, that this substance does not satisfy the definition of toxic under section 64 of CEPA 1999. However, given the properties of this substance, there is concern that new activities for the substance that have not been identified or assessed under CEPA 1999 could lead to the substance meeting the criteria set out in section 64 of the Act. Therefore it would be recommended that this substance be subject to the Significant New Activity provisions specified under subsection 81(3) of the Act, to ensure that any new manufacture, import or use of this substance in quantities greater than 100 kg/year is notified, and that ecological and human health risk assessments are conducted as specified in section 83 of the Act prior to the substance being introduced into Canada.

Section 71 Notice

Under the Challenge, information deemed necessary for improved decision making may be gathered by the Minister of Environment using section 71 of CEPA 1999. This information may be used for the purpose of assessing whether a substance is toxic or is capable of becoming toxic as defined under section 64 of CEPA 1999, or for the purpose of assessing whether to control, or the manner in which to control a substance.

The information mandated through the notices may relate to, among other things; quantity of the substance imported, manufactured, used, or released, concentrations, suppliers, customers, as well as types of uses of the substance.

Copies of the section 71 notice and guidance on how to comply with it are available from the Government of Canada Chemicals Portal (www.chemicalsubstanceschimiques.gc.ca), or from the contact provided below.

Opportunity to Submit Additional Information to Inform Screening Assessment

The Ministers of Health and Environment are inviting the submission of additional information for consideration during screening assessment of this substance. Data of the types described in the following paragraphs are considered most relevant, although other submitted information will be considered.

Data on the persistence, bioaccumulation, and potential for toxicity of the substance to organisms in different environmental media – Through the categorization exercise, available experimental data were collected up to December 2005. Where acceptable experimental data were not available, Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships (QSARs) or read across data were used to fill the data gaps. Since experimental data are preferred, interested parties have an opportunity to provide new or additional relevant experimental study information on the persistence, bioaccumulation, and potential for toxicity of this substance to organisms in different environmental media (air, water, sediment, soil). Efforts should focus on providing data for the endpoints for which quality experimental data does not already exist, as demonstrated by the information summarized in Appendix II of this document. As submitted data will be evaluated for completeness and robustness, it is recommended that stakeholders follow the guidance for test protocols and alternative approaches for test data, as described in Section 8 of the “Guidelines for the Notification and Testing of New Substances: Chemicals & Polymers”.¹

Data on the toxicity of the substance to human health - Through the categorization exercise, the high health priorities for action were those substances identified by various agencies as representing a high health hazard on the basis of potential to induce cancer, and/or adversely affect reproduction and development, two critical determinants of the health of Canadians of all ages. The hazard classifications used were those developed by national or international agencies in which large numbers of substances have been classified for endpoint-specific hazard based on original review and critical evaluation of data, assessments of weight of evidence and extensive peer review. Interested parties have an opportunity to provide new or additional relevant experimental study information on the toxicity of the substance to human health which could inform the screening assessment.

Responses to this part of the challenge for this substance should be received at the address provided below by November 13, 2007.

¹ “Guidelines for the Notification and Testing of New Substances: Chemicals & Polymers (version 2005)”, Government of Canada, Available from http://www.ec.gc.ca/substances/nsb/eng/cp_guidance_e.shtml

Opportunity to Submit Additional Information on Current Uses and Existing Control Measures to Inform the Risk Management Approach for this Substance

The Ministers of Health and Environment are inviting the submission of additional information that is deemed beneficial by interested stakeholders, relating to the extent and nature of the management/stewardship of substances listed under the Challenge.

Organizations that may be interested in submitting additional information in response to this invitation include those that manufacture, import, export or use this substance whether alone, in a mixture, in a product or in a manufactured item.

Additional information is being invited in the following areas:

- Import, manufacture and use quantities
- Substance and product use details
- Releases to the environment and spill management
- Current and potential risk management and product stewardship actions
- Existing legislative or regulatory programs controlling/managing the substance
- Information to support the development of a regulatory impact assessment.

A questionnaire is available which provides a detailed template as an example for the submission of this information. Guidance on how to respond to the challenge questionnaire is also available. Interested stakeholders are invited to provide available additional information, recognizing that not all questions in the questionnaire may be relevant to a particular substance, use, or industrial sector.

Copies of the questionnaire and associated guidance are available from the Government of Canada Chemicals Portal (www.chemicalsubstanceschimiques.gc.ca), or from the contact provided below.

Responses to this part of the challenge for this substance should be received at the address provided below by November 13, 2007.

Request for Documents and Submission of Information

Documents and instructions may be requested from the following contact. Information in response to the above Challenge must be submitted to this address.

DSL Surveys Coordinator
Place Vincent Massey, 20th Floor
351 Saint Joseph Boulevard
Gatineau QC K1A 0H3
Tel: 1-888-228-0530/819-956-9313

Fax: 1-800-410-4314 / 819-953-4936
Email: DSL.surveyco@ec.gc.ca

Appendix I
Human Health Information
to Support the Challenge for
Benzenesulfonic acid, 3,3'-[(9,10-dihydro-9,10-dioxo-1,4-
anthracenediyl)diimino]bis[2,4,6-trimethyl-, disodium salt
(Acid Blue 80)
CAS No. 4474-24-2

Introduction

Under the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999* (CEPA, 1999), Health Canada undertook to categorize substances on the Domestic Substances List (DSL) to identify those representing the greatest potential for human exposure (GPE) and those among a subset of substances considered persistent (P) and/or bioaccumulative (B) by Environment Canada that are also considered to be “inherently toxic” to humans.

In order to efficiently identify substances that represent the highest priorities for screening assessment from a human health perspective, Health Canada developed and applied a Simple Exposure Tool (SimET) to the DSL to identify those substances that meet the criteria for GPE, Intermediate Potential for Exposure (IPE) or Low Potential for Exposure (LPE), and a Simple Hazard Tool (SimHaz) to identify those substances that pose a high or low hazard.

Acid Blue 80 is considered to meet the criteria for LPE under SimET and does not meet the criteria for high hazard under SimHaz. This document summarizes the currently available information on which the SimET and SimHaz results are based.

Exposure Information from Health Related Components of DSL Categorization

SimET was developed and used to identify substances on the DSL considered to represent GPE. This approach was based on three lines of evidence: 1) the quantity in commerce in Canada, 2) the number of companies involved in commercial activities in Canada (i.e., number of notifiers), and 3) the consideration by experts of the potential for human exposure based on various use codes. The proposed approach was released for public comment in November 2003 and also enabled designation of substances as presenting an IPE or LPE, based on criteria for quantity and nature of use (Health Canada, 2003)

Results of the Application of SimET

Acid Blue 80 has been determined to be LPE based on a consideration of the DSL nomination information listed below.

Nomination Information for DSL

Quantity in Commerce

The quantity reported to be manufactured, imported or in commerce in Canada during the calendar year 1986 was 2000 kg

Number of Notifiers

The number of notifiers for the calendar years 1984-1986 was fewer than 4.

Use Codes and Description

The following DSL use codes have been identified for the substance:

- 13 Colourant – pigment/stain/dye/ink
- 94 Textile, Primary Manufacture

Hazard Information from Health Related Components of DSL Categorization

Simple Hazard Tool (SimHaz)

SimHaz is a tool that has been used to identify, among all of the approximately 23 000 substances on the DSL, those considered to present either high or low hazard to human health based on formalized weight of evidence criteria and/or peer review/consensus of experts. This tool has been developed through extensive compilation of hazard classifications of Health Canada and other agencies and consideration of their robustness based on availability of transparent documentation of both process and criteria (Health Canada, 2005)

Results of the Application of SimHaz

Acid Blue 80 has not been classified for hazard by any of the agencies considered under the SimHaz tool and therefore does not meet the criteria for high hazard under SimHaz

Uncertainties

SimET and SimHaz have been developed as robust tools for effectively identifying substances from the DSL that are considered to be human health priorities for further consideration. It is recognized that they do not include a number of elements normally considered in a human health risk assessment such as a comprehensive characterization of exposure and hazard, a comparison of exposure metrics to hazard metrics and a detailed analysis of uncertainties.

References

Health Canada. 2003. Proposal for Priority Setting for Existing Substances on the Domestic Substances List under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999: Greatest Potential for Human Exposure.

http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/alt_formats/hecs-sesc/pdf/pubs/contaminants/existsub/exposure/greatest_potential_human_exposure-risque_exposition_humaine_e.pdf

Health Canada. 2005. Proposed Integrated Framework for the Health-Related Components of Categorization of the Domestic Substances List under CEPA 1999

http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/alt_formats/hecs-sesc/pdf/contaminants/existsub/framework-int-cadre_e.pdf

Appendix II
Ecological Information
to Support The Challenge for
 (Benzenesulfonic acid, 3,3'-[(9,10-dihydro-9,10-dioxo-1,4-anthracenediyl)diimino]bis[2,4,6-trimethyl-, disodium salt)
 (Acid Blue 80)
CAS No. 4474-24-2

Introduction

The information in this document will form the basis of a screening assessment under section 74 of CEPA, 1999. Data relevant to an ecological screening assessment were identified in original literature, review documents, commercial and government databases prior to December 2005. Properties and characteristics may also have been estimated using Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship (QSAR) models. In addition, an industry survey was conducted for the year 2005 through a Canada Gazette Notice issued pursuant to section 71 of CEPA 1999 (Environment Canada, 2006). This Notice requested data on the Canadian manufacture and import of the substance.

Physical and Chemical Properties of Acid Blue 80

There is no empirical physical-chemical property data available. Table 1 contains modelled physical-chemical properties of Acid Blue 80 which are relevant to its environmental fate.

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of Acid Blue 80

Property	Type	Value	Reference
Boiling Point	Modelled	1017.94 °C	MPBPWIN v1.41
Melting Point	Modelled	349.84 °C	MPBPWIN v1.41
log Kow	Modelled	6.62	Kowwin v.1.67
log Koc	Modelled	5.569	PCKOCWIN v.1.66
Vapour Pressure	Modelled	7.026 x 10 ⁻²⁴ Pa	MPBPWIN v1.41
Vapour Pressure	Modelled	5.27 x 10 ⁻²⁶ mm Hg	MPBPWIN v1.41
Henry's Law Constant	Modelled	1.01 x 10 ⁻¹⁸ Pa-m ³ /mole	HenryWin v3.10
Water solubility	Modelled	2.419 x 10 ⁻⁵ mg/L	WSKOWWIN v1.41

Manufacture, Importation and Uses

Manufacture and Importation

In Canada, no manufacture of Acid Blue 80 was reported in response to a CEPA section 71 survey notice for the 2005 calendar year in a quantity meeting the 100kg reporting threshold. In total, four companies reported import of this substance with three companies in the 100-1,000 kg/year range and one company in the 1,001 – 100,000 kg/year range. The importing companies identified their business activities as: soap, cleaning compound, and toilet preparation manufacturing, basic chemical manufacturing, and chemical (except agricultural) and allied product wholesaler- distributors. In addition, three Canadian companies identified themselves as having a stakeholder interest in the substance (Environment Canada, 2006). Similar quantities were reported to the DSL during the calendar year 1986 (see Appendix I).

Elsewhere, Acid Blue 80 was reported to the US Environmental Protection Agency under the Inventory Update Rule for use between 4.5 and 225 tonnes from 1986 to 2002. Acid Blue 80 has not been reported by European Union (EU) Industry as an HPVC or LPVC (ESIS, 2007). However, the database for Substances in Preparations in Nordic Countries indicates that from 1999 to 2004, approximately 5 tonnes were in use in Sweden, 0.2 tonnes in Norway; and 260 tonnes in Denmark (SPIN, 2000). Acid Blue 80 was also used in Finland from 2001 to 2003; however, quantities were not specified.

Uses

There are 4 categories of potential uses for Acid Blue 80 that have been identified or suggested in Canada and worldwide:

1. Cleaning/washing agents; sanitation agents; colouring agents; non-agricultural pesticides and preservatives used in the following areas: general cleaning activities; industrial cleaning; specialized cleaning activities textile industry; construction; health and social work (SPIN, 2000);
2. Detergent and textiles applications (US ITC, 2004);
3. Disinfectants and sterilants ingredient (Australia TGA, 2007); and
4. Colouring agents allowed exclusively in cosmetic products intended to come into contact only briefly with the skin (EU, 2006).

Similar uses were reported to the DSL during the calendar year 1986 (see Appendix I).

Releases, Fate, and Presence in the Environment

Releases

Acid Blue 80 is not naturally produced in the environment. Data concerning the environmental releases in Canada are not available. Since Acid Blue 80 is not manufactured in Canada, there is no release to waste water effluent during the production phase. According to its major applications in manufacturing synthetic organic and inorganic dyes, pigments, lakes and toners; manufacturing and packaging soap and other detergents, such as laundry detergents; dishwashing detergents; toothpaste gels, and tooth powders; and natural glycerin, it is possible that Acid Blue 80 may be released to the environment in a dispersive manner. It is assumed that the predominant release route is through use of such products, and to a lesser degree at the end of their life cycle from landfills. The extensive used of Acid Blue 80 in manufacturing detergents could lead to release through wastewater treatment plants (STPs). The STP treatment and leaching from landfills may lead to soil (through land application of sewage sludge) and ground water exposures.

Fate

The high log K_{ow} and log K_{oc} values indicate this substance will likely partition to soil and sediments. Indeed, the results of the Level III Fugacity modelling indicates that if Acid Blue 80 is released equally to the three major environmental compartments (air, water, and soil), it will partition in water, soil, and sediments, with the latter two being the predominant compartments (Table 2).

Table 2: Results of the Level III fugacity modelling (EPIWIN V3.12) for Acid Blue 80

Receiving media	% in Air	% in Water	% in Soil	% in Sediment
Air (100%)	0.00	0.22	85.90	13.80
Water (100%)	0.00	1.55	0.00	98.50
Soil (100%)	0.00	0.00	99.81	0.19
Air, water, soil (33.3% each)	0.00	0.71	54.0	45.30

Aquatic fate

The very high log K_{oc} value of 5.569 (Table 1) indicates that if released into water, this chemical is expected to strongly adsorb to suspended solids and sediments. Volatilization from water surfaces is not expected based upon an estimated Henry's Law constant of $1.01 \times 10^{-18} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{m}^3/\text{mol}$ (Table 1). Thus, if water is a receiving medium, Acid Blue 80 is expected to mainly partition to sediments and, in some extent, remain in water, which can be illustrated by the results of Level III Fugacity modelling (Table 2).

Terrestrial fate

If released to soil, Acid Blue 80 is expected to have extremely high adsorptivity to soil and, therefore, will most likely be virtually immobile based upon an estimated $\log K_{oc}$ of 5.569 (Table 1). Volatilization from moist soil surfaces seems to be an unimportant fate process based upon an extremely low Henry's Law constant of $1.01 \times 10^{-18} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{m}^3/\text{mol}$ (Table 1). This chemical will not volatilize from dry soil surfaces based upon estimated vapor pressure which is exceptionally low ($7.026 \times 10^{-24} \text{ Pa}$). Therefore, if soil is a receiving medium, Acid Blue 80 is expected to remain exclusively in soil as indicated by the results of Level III Fugacity modelling (Table 2).

Atmospheric fate

If Acid Blue 80 is released solely to air, a vapour pressure of $7.026 \times 10^{-24} \text{ Pa}$ and Henry's Law constant of $1.01 \times 10^{-18} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{m}^3/\text{mol}$ indicate that the proportion that will remain in air will be negligible. The major two media where Acid Blue 80 will partition are soil and sediment (> 99 %) and a very small amount will partition into water (0.22 %) due to the substance's low water solubility.

Presence in the Environment

No monitoring data relating to the presence of the substance in environmental media (air, water, soil, sediment) have yet been identified.

Evaluation of P, B and iT Properties

Environmental persistence

No experimental data on biological degradation of Acid Blue 80 have yet been identified.

Once released into the environment, Acid Blue 80 appears to be relatively persistent in water, soil and sediments. The Level III Fugacity model indicates negligible partitioning of the substance into air. For the small amount of Acid Blue 80 that may partition into air, the predicted atmospheric oxidation half-life of 0.09 days (Table 3) demonstrates that in air, it is likely to be rapidly oxidized. Acid Blue 80 is not expected to react, or react appreciably, with other photo oxidative species in the atmosphere, such as O_3 and NO_3 , nor is it likely to degrade via direct photolysis. Therefore, it is expected that reactions with hydroxyl radicals will be the most important fate process in the atmosphere for this chemical. With a half-life of 0.09 days via reactions with hydroxyl radical, Acid Blue 80 is not persistent in air.

Table 3. Modelled persistence data for Acid Blue 80

Medium	Fate Process	Degradation Value	Degradation Endpoint	Reference
Air	Atm. oxidation	0.09	Half-life (days)	AOPWIN v1.91
Water/Soil	Biodegradation	182	Half-life (days)	BIOWIN v4.02 , Ultimate survey
Water/Soil	Biodegradation	1	Probability	TOPKAT Probability Aerobic Biodegradation v.6.1
Water/Soil	Biodegradation	0.0005	Probability	BIOWIN v4.02 , MITI Non-linear Probability; BIOWIN v4.02 , Non-linear probability

For estimating degradation in water, soil and sediment, a QSAR weight-of-evidence approach (ESD, 2006a) was applied using the models shown in Table 3. Based on these results shown in table 3, the estimated timeframe for biodegradation indicates that Acid Blue 80 can be considered as persistent in water and soil because the half-life from the BIOWIN Ultimate survey model is 182 days and the BIOWIN v4.02 Non-Linear Probability is less than 0.2 (ESD, 2006a).

To estimate a half-life in sediments, an approach has been developed using Boethling's extrapolation factors (BIOWIN v4.02, which involves estimating the half life in sediment from that estimated for water ($t_{1/2 \text{ water}}: t_{1/2 \text{ sediment}} = 1:4$). Therefore, in sediments, the half-life for Acid Blue 80 is expected to exceed 365 days.

The long-range transport potential (LRTP) of Acid Blue 80 from its point of release to air is estimated to be low according to the model prediction presented in Table 4. The TaPL3 model was used to estimate Characteristic Travel Distance (CTD), defined as the maximum distance traveled by 63% of a substance; or in other words, the distance that 37% of the substance may travel beyond. Beyer et al (2000) have proposed CTD's of >2000 km as representing high LRTP, 700-2000 km as moderate, and <700 km as low. Based on the result shown in Table 4, Acid Blue 80 is expected to remain primarily in areas close to its emission sources.

Table 4. Model Predicted Characteristic Travel Distance (CTD) for Acid Blue 80

Characteristic Travel Distance	Model (Reference)
480 km	TaPL3 (CEMC, 2000)

The modelled data (Tables 3) demonstrate that the Acid Blue 80 meets the persistence criteria (half-lives in soil and water greater or equal to 182 days and/or half-lives in sediment greater or equal to 365 days) as set out in the Persistence and Bioaccumulation Regulations (Government of Canada, 2000; Environment Canada, 2003).

Potential for bioaccumulation

There is no empirical bioaccumulation data available for this substance. The modelled log K_{ow} value for Acid Blue 80 indicates that this substance has the potential to bioaccumulate in the environment.

The Modified GOBAS BAF middle trophic level model produced a BAF value of 1975223 L/kg wet weight, indicating that this substance has the potential to bioconcentrate and biomagnify in the environment. The GOBAS BCF and BCF OASIS models also provide a weight-of-evidence to support the bioconcentration potential of the substance. A very low BCF value of 10 (Table 5) is the BCFWIN's default value for the substances which are salts, i.e. this result is not a model-generated bioconcentration factor calculated specifically for Acid Blue 80.

Table 5. Modelled bioaccumulation data for Acid Blue 80

Test Organism	Endpoint	Value (wet weight, L/kg)	Reference
Fish	BAF	1975223	Gobas BAF T2MTL (Arnot & Gobas, 2003)
Fish	BCF	40340	Modified Gobas BCF 5% T2LTL (Arnot & Gobas, 2003)
Fish	BCF	65502	OASIS, 2005
Fish	BCF	10	BCFWIN v2.15

The modelled bioaccumulation values do not take into account the metabolism potential of the substance.

The weight of evidence indicates that Acid Blue 80 meets the bioaccumulation criterion (BCF, BAF \geq 5000) as set out in the Persistence and Bioaccumulation Regulations (Government of Canada 2000).

Ecological Effects

In the Aquatic Compartment

There are no empirical ecotoxicity data available for this substance. The QSAR models suggest that this substance causes harm to aquatic organisms at relatively low concentrations (e.g., acute LC50 < 1 mg/L).

Table 6. Modeled aquatic toxicity values for representative structure of Acid Blue 80

Organism	Endpoint	Duration	Concentration (mg/L)	Reference
Fish	LC50	14 d	0.0597	ECOSAR Neutral Org. SAR
Fish	LC50	14 d	0.000597*	ECOSAR Neutral Org. SAR - with safety factor for "Alkylation / arylation based reactivity"

*This value has a safety factor of 100, because Acid Blue 80 is predicted to be a reactive chemical, more toxic than a neutral organic. This value was used as pivotal inherent toxicity value for categorization.

A range of aquatic toxicity predictions were obtained from the various QSAR models considered. Table 6 lists those predictions that were considered reliable and were used in the QSAR weight-of-evidence approach for aquatic toxicity (ESD, 2006a).

There is modelled evidence that the substance causes harm to aquatic organisms at relatively low concentrations (e.g. acute $LC_{50} \leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$) [Table 6]. A range of aquatic toxicity values were obtained from the various QSAR models considered, however none provided reliable toxicity estimates for Acid Blue 80 as the predictions indicated that acute effects would be expected beyond the range of bioavailability. Therefore, following the weight of evidence approach for combining QSARs to determine the pivotal inherent toxicity value, the ECOSAR Neutral Organic fish 14 days LC_{50} equation was used (ESD, 2006a). A safety factor of 100 was applied to the estimated toxicity for the representative Acid Blue 80 as it was predicted, by ASTER, to have a mode of action other than narcosis (U.S. EPA, 1999). These results indicate that Acid Blue 80 is highly hazardous to aquatic organisms (i.e. acute $LC/EC_{50} \leq 1.0 \text{ mg/L}$).

In Other Media

No effects studies for non-aquatic non-mammalian organisms were found for this compound.

Potential to Cause Ecological Harm

Evidence that a substance is highly persistent and bioaccumulative as defined in the Persistence and Bioaccumulation Regulations of CEPA 1999 (Government of Canada, 2000) together with evidence of toxicity and commercial activity provides a significant indication of its potential to be entering the environment under conditions that may have harmful long term ecological effects (ESD, 2006b). Substances that are persistent remain in the environment for a long time, increasing the potential magnitude and duration of exposure. Substances that have long half-lives in mobile media (air and water) and partition into these media in significant proportions have the potential to cause widespread contamination. Releases of small amounts of bioaccumulative substances may lead to high internal concentrations in exposed organisms. Highly bioaccumulative and persistent substances are of special concern, since they may biomagnify in food webs, resulting in very high internal exposures, especially for top predators. Evidence that a substance is both highly persistent and bioaccumulative, when taken together with other information (such as evidence of toxicity at relatively low concentrations, and evidence of uses and releases) may therefore be sufficient to indicate that the substance has the potential to cause ecological harm.

The volume of Acid Blue 80 imported into Canada was over 100 kilograms per year. The quantities of Acid Blue 80 contained in imported cleaning/washing, sanitation and colouring agents; non-agricultural pesticides and preservatives are not available. The

dispersive use of Acid Blue 80 indicates potential for releases into the Canadian environment. Once released in the environment, because of its resistance to degradation, Acid Blue 80 will remain in water, sediment and soil for long times. As it persists in the environment, it will likely bioaccumulate and may be biomagnified in trophic food chains. It has also demonstrated relatively high toxicity. This information suggests that Acid Blue 80 has the potential to cause ecological harm in Canada.

Uncertainties

The uncertainties exist in the conclusions reached in this document because all P, B, iT evaluations are based on modelled data. There were no empirical studies available relating to the persistence, bioaccumulation and toxicity of Acid Blue 80. The information on environmental concentration or monitoring data in Canada and long term low level exposure of Acid Blue 80 is also lacking.

The experimental or predicted concentrations, associated with inherent toxicity for aquatic organisms, may have an additional source of uncertainty in some situations, e.g. where these concentrations exceed the solubility of the chemical in water (either experimental or predicted). Given that concentrations for both the toxicity and water solubility often vary considerably (up to several orders of magnitude), it is acknowledged that these uncertainties exist.

Regarding toxicity, based on the predicted partitioning behaviour of the substance, the significance of soil and sediments as important media of exposure is not well addressed by the effects data available. Indeed, the only effects data identified apply primarily to pelagic aquatic exposures, although the water column may not be the medium of primary concern based on partitioning estimates.

There is also uncertainty associated with basing the overall conclusion that Acid Blue 80 may be causing ecological harm, solely on information relating to its persistence, bioaccumulation, relative toxicity and use pattern. Typically quantitative risk estimates (i.e., risk quotients or probabilistic analyses) are important lines of evidence when evaluating a substance's potential to cause environmental harm. However, when risks for persistent and bioaccumulative substances such as Acid Blue 80 are estimated using such quantitative methods, they are highly uncertain and are likely to be underestimated (ESD, 2006b). Given that long term risks associated with persistent and bioaccumulative substances cannot at present be reliably predicted, quantitative risk estimates have limited relevance. Furthermore, since accumulations of such substances may be widespread and are difficult to reverse, a conservative response to uncertainty (that avoids underestimation of risks) is justified.

Dyes are generally not considered to be model-difficult because of lack of inclusion in ecotoxicity model training sets. Such structures are treated as routine organic chemicals that are predictable by current models. Rather, the water solubility of these substances is difficult to ascertain, and the uncertainty, therefore, resides in determining their bioavailability. Since the predictions of inherent toxicity of Acid Blue 80 derived from

QSAR models indicated that acute effects would be expected beyond the range of bioavailability, additional uncertainty is recognized with predicting the inherent toxicity using a generic narcotic equation with an application factor (ESD, 2006a). The results of this approach indicate that Acid Blue 80 can harm organisms at low exposure concentrations.

References

- AOPWIN v1.91. 2000. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>
- Arnot, J.A. and Gobas, F.A.P.C. 2003. A Generic QSAR for Assessing the Bioaccumulation Potential of Organic Chemicals in Aquatic Food Webs. *QSAR Comb. Sci.* 22(3): 337-345.
- Australia TGA (Therapeutic Goods Administration), 2007. Available at
<http://www.tga.gov.au/docs/pdf/aan/aanchem.pdf>
- BCFWIN 2000. Version 2.15. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>
- Beyer, A., Mackay, D., Matthies, M., Wania, F., and Webster, E. 2000. Assessing Long-Range Transport Potential of Persistent Organic Pollutants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34 (4): 699-703.
- BIOWIN. 2000. Version 4.02. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>
- CEMC (Canadian Environmental Modelling Centre) 2000. TaPL3 v.2.10 model. Released June 2000. Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario.
www.trentu.ca/academic/aminss/envmodel.
- CEPA 1999. Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999. 1999, c. 33. C-15.31. [Assented to September 14th, 1999]. <http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/C-15.31/text.html>
- Danish EPA. 1998. Survey of azo-colorants in Denmark: Consumption, use, health and environmental aspects. Ministry of Environment and Energy, Denmark.
- ECOSAR 2004. Version 0.99h. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>
- Environment Canada. 2003. Guidance Manual for the Categorization of Organic and Inorganic Substances on Canada's Domestic Substances List. Existing Substances Branch, Environment Canada, Gatineau, Canada, 124 p.
- Environment Canada. 2006. Data collected pursuant to subsection 71(1) of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 and in accordance with the published notice "Notice with respect to Selected Substances identified as Priority for Action", *Canada Gazette*, Part 1, Vol. 140, No. 9.
- EPIWIN. 2000. Version 3.12 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>

ESD (Existing Substances Division) 2006a. Guidance Module on "Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships (QSARs)". Guidance for Conducting Ecological Risk Assessments Under CEPA 1999: Science Resource Technical Series, Environment Canada, Internal document available on request.

ESD (Existing Substances Division) 2006b. Issue paper on "Approach to Ecological Screening Assessments for Existing Substances that are both Persistence and Bioaccumulative". Environment Canada. The document may be obtained from the CD entitled "CEPA DSL Categorization: Overview and Results", that is periodically released by the Existing Substances Division, and is also available on request.

ESIS (European chemical Substances Information System) database. 2007. Available at <http://ecb.jrc.it/esis/index.php?GENRE=CASNO&ENTREE=4474-24-2>

EU (European Union) Commission Decision of 9 February 2006. Available at http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/site/en/oj/2006/l_097/l_09720060405en00010528.pdf

Government of Canada. 2000. Persistence and Bioaccumulation Regulations (SOR/2000-107). *Canada Gazette*, v. 134. Available at <http://www.ec.gc.ca/CEPARRegistry/regulations/detailReg.cfm?intReg=35> (accessed August, 2006).

HENRYWIN. 2000. Version 3.10. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>

KOWWIN. 2000. Version 1.67. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>

MPBPWIN. 2000. Version 1.41. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Information available to <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>

Oasis Forecast. 2005. Version 1.20. Laboratory of Mathematical Chemistry. Bourgas, Bulgaria. Information available to www.oasis-lmc.org

PCKOCWIN. 2000. Version 1.66. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/exposure/pubs/episuite.htm>

PMRA. 2005. PMRA List of Formulants. 31 March 2005. Available at <http://www.pmr-arla.gc.ca/english/pdf/reg/reg2005-01-e.pdf>

SPIN (Substances in Preparations in Nordic Countries) database. 2000. Available at <http://www.spin2000.net/spin.html>

Topkat. 2004. Version 6.1. Accelrys, Inc.
<http://www.accelrys.com/products/topkat/index.html>

USEPA. 2004. Inert Ingredients Ordered Alphabetically by Chemical Name - List 3
Updated August 2004. Available at
http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/inerts/inerts_list3name.pdf

U.S. EPA. 1999. ASessment Tools for the Evaluation of Risk (ASTER) System. U.S.
Environmental Protection Agency, Mid-Continent Ecology Division, Duluth, MN.

US Food and Drug Administration. 2006. Available at
<http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/opa-torx.html>

US ITC (Unites States International Trade Commission). 2004. Available at
http://hotdocs.usitc.gov/tata/hts/other/rel_doc/bill_reports/hr-4540.pdf

WSKOWWIN. 2000. Version 1.41. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.