



# **Thermal Performance of Complex Fenestration Systems: Skylights, Greenhouse Windows and Curtainwalls**

## **PREPARED FOR:**

The CANMET Energy Technology Centre  
Energy Technology Branch, Energy Sector  
Department of Natural Resources Canada  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1A 0E4  
CANMET Contract No. 2344 0-92-9615  
June, 1994

## **PREPARED BY:**

Enermodal Engineering Ltd  
368 Phillip Street  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 5J1  
Tel.: (519) 884-6421; Fax (519) 884-0103  
e-mail: office@enermodal.com

## **SCIENTIFIC AUTHORITY:**

Roger Henry  
Buildings Group  
The CANMET Energy Technology Centre  
Energy Technology Branch, Energy Sector  
Department of Natural Resources Canada  
580 Booth Street, 13th Floor  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1A 0E4

## **CITATION**

Enermodal Engineering Ltd., *Thermal Performance of Complex Fenestration Systems: Skylights, Greenhouse Windows and Curtainwalls*, Prepared under CANMET Contract No. 23440-92-9615. The CANMET Energy Technology Centre, Energy Technology Branch, Energy Sector, Department of Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, 1995. (46 pages)

Copies of this report may be obtained through the following:

Energy Technology Branch, CANMET  
Department of Natural Resources Canada  
580 Booth Street, 13th Floor  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada  
K1A 0E4

or

Intellectual Property and Technical Information Management (IPTIM)  
Library and Documentation Services Division, CANMET  
Department of Natural Resources Canada  
555 Booth Street, 3rd Floor, Room 341  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada  
K1A 0G1

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report is distributed for informational purposes only and does not necessarily reflect the views of the Government of Canada nor constitute an endorsement of any commercial product or person. Neither Canada nor its ministers, officers, employees or agents make any warranty in respect to this report or assume any liability arising out of this report.

## **NOTE**

Funding for this project was provided by the Federal Panel on Energy Research and Development, Department of Natural Resources Canada.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

A recently developed standard, CSA A440.2, provides a method to rate regular windows and sliding glass doors for energy performance. In addition to other doors, which are being addressed in another standard, CSA A453, there are a few additional fenestration products found in Canadian buildings. This report specifically addresses problems of obtaining U-values for some complex window systems: skylights, greenhouse windows and curtainwalls.

Examples of these products are examined for energy performance using guarded-hot-box testing and detailed computer simulation.

Considerable difficulty was found both in testing and simulating these products. However reasonably good agreement was found between testing and simulation for a flat skylight and for a curtainwall, less with a domed skylight and a greenhouse. Film coefficients and thermal bridging caused concern.

Noteworthy was the fact that all products tested had substantially higher U-values than even standard vertical windows, let alone high-performance windows. Recommendations are made for further development of test procedures, further testing and extension of CSA standards to cover these products.

## **RÉSUMÉ**

La norme CAS A440.2, récemment établie, fournit une méthode d'évaluation de la performance énergétique des fenêtres ordinaires et des portes coulissantes. En plus des autres portes, régis par la norme CSA A453, il existe d'autres produits de fenestration que l'on retrouve dans le bâtiment canadien. La rapport porte sur les difficultés posées par l'évaluation de la valeur U sur certains systèmes de fenêtres complexes: puits de lumière, fenêtres de serre et murs-rideaux.

On étudie la performance énergétique de certains produits à titre d'exemples à l'aide de boîtes d'essais thermique et de simulation par ordinateur.

ON a rencontré de nombreux obstacles en voulant mettre à l'essai ou simuler ces produits. Les puits de lumières plats ainsi que les murs-rideaux ne présentèrent pas trop de difficultés comparativement aux serres et aux puits de lumière en coupole. Les coefficients de film et les ponts thermiques susciteront particulièrement l'attention.

Il faut noter que tous les produits étudiés comportaient des valeurs U plus élevées que dans le cas des fenêtres ordinaires verticales, sans mentionner les fenêtres haute performance. Des recommandations sont faites pour élaborer des procédures d'essais, faire plus d'essais et élaborer les normes CSA afin d'englober ces produits.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<i>Page</i>
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 METHODOLOGY	2
2.1 Evaluation Procedures	2
2.2 Products Selected for Evaluation	3
2.3 Test Procedure	16
2.4 Simulation Procedure	16
3.0 TEST AND SIMULATION RESULTS	19
4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	23
4.1 Conclusions	23
4.2 Recommendations	24
5.0 REFERENCES	25
APPENDIX A: NRC Test Report	26