



Verification of HRAI Depressurization Calculation

Field Study

PREPARED FOR:

Energy Efficiency Division
Energy Technology Branch
Department of Natural Resources Canada
Ottawa, Ontario
Purchase Order No.: 104660
December, 1995

PREPARED BY:

Bowser Technical Inc.
222 Memorial Drive
Brantford, ON, N3R 5T1
Tel.: (519) 756-4157, Fax (519) 756-9227

SCIENTIFIC AUTHORITY:

Tom Hamlin
Buildings Group
Energy Efficiency Division
Energy Technology Branch
Department of Natural Resources Canada
580 Booth Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0E4

CITATION

Bowser Technical Inc., *Verification of HRAI Depressurization Calculation, Field Study*, Purchase Order No. 104660 Energy Technology Branch, CANMET - Energy Sector, Department of Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, 1995, (21 pages).

Copies of this report may be obtained through the following:

Energy Technology Branch, CANMET
Department of Natural Resources Canada
580 Booth Street, 7th Floor
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0E4

or

Intellectual Property and Technical Information Management (IPTIM)
Library and Documentation Services Division, CANMET
Department of Natural Resources Canada
555 Booth Street, 3rd Floor, Room 341
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0G1

DISCLAIMER

This report is distributed for information purposes only and does not necessarily reflect the views of the Government of Canada nor constitute an endorsement of any commercial product or person. Neither Canada nor its ministers, officers, employees or agents make any warranty in respect to this report or assume any liability arising out of this report.

NOTE

Funding for this project was provided by the Government of Canada under the Green Plan.

DISCLAIMER

This study was conducted by Bowser Technical Inc. for the Heating Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Institute and Natural Resources Canada. The analysis, interpretations and recommendations are those of the consultant and do not necessarily reflect the views of Heating Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Institute or Natural Resources Canada.

SUMMARY:

30 houses were tested for air-tightness and depressurization at 75 and 150 L/s.

The HRAI allowable airflow at 5 pa calculation was validated against the data obtained from the field and found to be somewhat lenient. That is to say that the HRAI calculation very often allowed more exhaust airflow than a field test. The average over-estimation appears to be 20%. Accuracy of the calculations appeared to be in the range of +/- 15%.

A revised, simplified and corrected calculation method is recommended. The recommended calculation method is slightly less accurate, but is simpler to use.

The sealed and open flue depressurization test conditions described in the HRAI Ventilation Manual were compared. No difference between the tests could be found for the group of houses, although individual houses could experience differences of up to +/- 2 pa depending on the test condition. The open flue depressurization method is recommended over the sealed flue method, simply because it is easier to carry out.

Re-examination of the air-tightness assumptions contained in the HRAI Ventilation Manual is recommended. This re-examination should take account of the findings of this study.

SOMMAIRE:

30 maisons étaient essayés pour l'étanchéité à air et dépressurisation à 75 et 150 L/s.

Le débit d'air admissible par le calcul de l'ICCR à 5 pa a été validé contre les données obtenu du champ et ils ont été trouvés à être relativement indulgent. C'est-à-dire que le calcul ICCR permettait très souvent plus d'évacuation d'air qu'un essai du champ. Le moyen sur-estimation paraît être 20%. L'exactitude des calculs paraît être dans la gamme de +/- 15%.

Une méthode de calcul corrigé, simplifié et révisé est recommandée. La méthode de calcul recommandée est légèrement moins exacte, mais il est plus simple à employer.

Les essais de dépressurisation avec conduit de cheminé ouvert et fermé décrites dans le Manuel De Ventilation de l'ICCR étaient comparés. Aucune différence entre les essais ne pourrait être trouvée pour le groupe de maisons, mais maisons individuelles pourraient éprouver des différences de jusqu'à +/- 2 pa dépendant de la condition d'essai. La méthode de dépressurisation "conduit de cheminée ouverte" est recommandée sur la méthode "conduit de cheminée fermé" simplement parce qu'il est plus facile à exécuter.

Le réexamen des suppositions d'étanchéité d'air contenues dans le Manuel De Ventilation de l'ICCR est recommandé. Ce réexamen devrait tenir compte des résultats de cette étude.

CONTENTS

1. GENERAL	1
1.1 Ontario Building Code (ref 1) allows Depressurization Compliance by Test or Calculation:	1
1.2 Calculation uses "Assumed" house ELA_{10}	1
1.3 HRAI sets "Assumed" ELA_{10} for use in Calculation.	1
2. PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
2.1 Predicted Exhaust @ 5 Pa is often less than Tested Exhaust @ 5 Pa	2
3. METHODOLOGY	5
3.1 Field Testing	5
3.2 Data Analysis	6
4. RESULTS	8
4.1 General	8
4.2 SEALED (CSA F326) vs OPEN (HRAI Alternate) Test Conditions	10
4.3 Comparison with $ELA_{10} * 0.15$ Calculation Method	12
4.4 Comparison to CP ^a Calculation Method	13
4.5 Comparison of CP ^a Calculation Method to $ELA_{10} * 0.15$ Method ..	13
4.6 Alternate Calculation Methods:	14
5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	17
5.1 SEALED (CSA F326) vs OPEN (HRAI Alternate) Test Conditions	17
5.2 Calculation Method	17
5.3 Further Study	18
REFERENCES	19
APPENDIX - Data	20

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the following individuals and corporations for their advice, contributions, and encouragement in the preparation of this paper.

Gord Arnott Heating Refrigerating and
Air Conditioning Institute
Tom Hamlin NRCan-CANMET
Bob Allison Region of Haldimand-Norfolk
John Hockman Appin Associates
Gord Cooke Air Solutions Inc
Venmar Ventilation Inc.
Nutech Energy Systems Ltd.
Broan Canada Ltd.
Gary Nelson The Energy Conservatory