

Canadian Centre
for Foreign Policy
Development



Centre canadien
pour le développement
de la politique étrangère

125 Sussex Dr. Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0G2

**ROUNDTABLE ON CANADA-NORWAY RELATIONS:
THE LYSØEN DECLARATION**

CCFPD

9 October 1998

7006.1E

ISBN: E2-319/1998E-IN
0-662-30380-6

ROUNDTABLE ON CANADA-NORWAY RELATIONS: THE LYSØEN DECLARATION

On October 9, 1998, Ambassador Lovald of Norway, Eric Hoskins, Andre Ouellet, Senator Landon Pearson, Irwin Cotler, Peter Johnson, Wendy Cukier, Nigel Fisher, Necla Tshirgi, Nancy Smyth, Dr. Marshall Conley, Professor Don Desserud, Paul Hannon, Phillip Pinnington, Christopher Hale, Ingrid Urberg, Laurie Wiseberg, Mark Hecht, met to provide civil society input on the Canada-Norway Co-operation Agreement (Lysøen Declaration). The meeting was hosted by the Canadian Centre for Foreign Policy Development (CCFPD) and was chaired by Steve Lee, its National Director. The participants were invited to contribute to the Lysøen Declaration themes based on their own fields of expertise.

Following the introductions, Ambassador Lovald of Norway began the discussion by congratulating the CCFPD for its innovative work in strengthening civil society-government relations and suggested a Norwegian interest in this model. He also congratulated Canada and the Canadian government for the successful campaign for the UN Security Council seat and noted Norwegian support for Canada, and drew attention to the Canadian campaign for election to the Security Council based on a Security Council program and policy ideas. This, he said, had never been done before and was especially important. The Norwegian ambassador drew attention to Minister Axworthy's emphasis on human security issues and stressed that human security issues were the key elements of Canada-Norway co-operation. Canada's seat on the Security Council in the next two years makes this co-operation even more important. He drew attention to the Axworthy-Volleboek Foreign Minister's meeting in New York and Canada's efforts to broaden the group of countries addressing human security issues. He also said that what is going on, including community-building of like-minded countries and the broad political appeal to both North and South, is very interesting from the political science point of view.

It is very important that Norway and Canada pursue these human security issues and use the time now to concretize these ideas. Can these human security elements become a constant in foreign policy-making efforts? To this end, Canada and Norway are both independent actors in the UN and NATO and have freedom to take initiatives.

The Chair thanked the Ambassador for his introduction to the discussion and outlined the goals of the meeting: to share expert views and experience from outside government and apply them to the elements of the Lysøen Declaration, to explore:

- possible concrete steps and activities: what to implement, division of labour, resources, funding, role of experts and civil society;

- what “like-minded” means;
- the definitions of human security.

The Chair stressed that this was the first round of what would be an on-going discussion and a good place to start on sharing views, experience and perspectives.

Developments with the agreement at DFAIT since early August include draft action plans, the co-hosted lunch in New York on 25 September, and the look ahead to the next senior officials` meeting and further drafts of an action plan. It was stressed that a number of people were struck by the one page simplicity of the bilateral agreement and also that it is an issues-driven agreement being dealt with at an issue-by-issue level by experts on both the Norwegian and Canadian sides. Ambassador Lovald noted that Norway was pushing for early ratification of the International Criminal Court (ICC) agreement and stressed that Canada-Norway co-operation was being coordinated at a high level of officials and at the political level on this matter. He stressed to keep in mind academics, NGOs and civil society and to encourage people to bring project ideas forward and requests for funding.

In presenting how the Canada-Norway agreement is understood in Norway, the Ambassador said that the important players are well informed of it and that there is a well-established network in Norwegian civil society, including four or five big NGO players like Norwegian People`s Aid.

The discussion was organised along the following topics: the landmines campaign, child labour, the International Criminal Court and humanitarian law, human rights, the child soldier, small arms control, Arctic and Northern co-operation, new technologies, and democracy and good governance.

The presentation on the landmines campaign noted that it exemplified the success that can be achieved via government-NGO co-operation on an international scale. It followed a simple, three-step process: identify a growing humanitarian crisis, propose a solution, and promote the solution (with the governments of the world and civil society). It was also noted that although the exact process of the landmines campaign may not be replicated, the following lessons could be learned from it:

- NGOs should be present at international negotiations alongside state officials;
- the need for continued NGO-governmental co-operation regarding the implementation and monitoring of the success of an agreement (such as in the landmine monitor system);
- capitalisation of communication technologies by NGOs to communicate and make an international impact.

Canada and Norway can continue to co-operate in further exploration of the landmine campaign as a model for foreign policy development, especially regarding the role of civil society. In this respect, it was stressed that the successful elements of the landmines campaign could be applied to Canada-Norway interests and formal channels, such as conventions and the UN system, new technologies, stronger NGO presence on official delegations and commitments of money and

other resources at critical moments. A cautionary note was sounded about governments sometimes responding to hot issues followed by a loss of government and media attention. It was recommended that Canada and Norway pursue specific invitations to help maintain focus and attention on the landmines campaign as implementation is the hardest part of the initiative with little media attention on it and the need for even more resources.

Child labour was discussed as an issue that has been championed by international civil society for years. For example, Norwegian civil society has been involved in this issue for years. However, Canadian civil society has not yet embraced the issue. According to a poll taken in the summer of 1998, the Canadian public considers the child labour issue very important and would like to see it addressed. The challenge is to link this public interest with Canadian and other international NGOs. Attention was drawn to Ambassador Lovald's description of civil society and Norway and the value of development education in Canadian schools was stressed. It was noted that there was no coalition in Canadian civil society on child labour and that working with Norwegians could be helpful in building a Canadian community around this issue. It was also suggested that co-operation with Norway and links to other Europeans could be helpful in addressing both sex exploitation of children and follow-up to the Victoria Declaration of Action.

The International Criminal Court and the state of international law was also reviewed by the round-table. In particular, it was stressed that the July 17 agreement in Rome was a watershed for the promotion of humanitarian law and protection of human rights. In this respect, there are few crisis issues as important in the 20th century and the 1990s as genocide, ethnic cleansing, war crimes and human rights. Now 50 years after the Nuremberg and Tokyo trials, there is an international court with global jurisdiction able to deal with war criminals. The features of the court were outlined as well as the evolution of international humanitarian law, the basic principles of which include jurisdiction over internal conflicts and crimes against humanity in times of war and peace.

Recommendations on this topic included:

- Canada to sign and ratify the International Criminal Court (ICC) agreement;
- Canada and Norway to lead the international ratification campaign (perhaps through the Helsinki process framework);
- Canada and Norway to lead on building the gender perspective into the ICC and extending it to protect women and children in conflict;
- institutionalise the NGO role and co-operate with the Steering Committee for the ICC (i.e. International Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights);
- as a teaching tool, pursue with NGOs a public education campaign on the ICC and international humanitarian law;
- Canada and Norway to lead PrepComm in 1999;
- Canada and Norway bilateral experts groups for policy development and implementation as well as selection of judges;
- Canada and Norway could pick-up on work concerning control of terrorism, in which new efforts are needed.

Canadian-Norwegian co-operation on human rights is exemplified by the participation of NGOs from both countries in the Vienna +5 forum. Specifically, Canada-Norway co-operation has resulted in recommended changes to the Vienna Declaration involving freedom of religion issues. The Vienna +5 forum was a great opportunity for NGOs to connect and establish common projects and programs.

Areas for future Canada-Norway co-operation in human rights:

- freedom of religion, specifically possible projects and future initiatives on creating a website and generating a civil society role in discussing these issues;
- New technology has been instrumental in promoting human rights around the globe. It provides for the rapid transmission of information on human rights abuses to NGOs, governments, international organisations and media. However, access to this information in the southern hemisphere is limited. It is proposed that Canadian and Norwegian interests in the field of human rights could be advanced by exploring methods through which information skills and technology could be transferred or promoted in the southern hemispheric NGO community;
- indigenous communities, with possible co-operation on the Inuit Circumpolar Conference and its interests and activities, including human rights and strengthening indigenous communities.

Further to human rights, it was also pointed out that codes of conduct and the role of the private sector in human rights could also be areas for Canada-Norway co-operation.

With respect to the issue of the child soldier, it was noted that, in war, children are exploited intentionally and the problem cannot be framed as ‘collateral damage.’ For example, children are exploited for slave labour and soldiering. However, they have also become the targets for violence in conflict as combatants pursue ethnic cleansing agendas and wage campaigns of terror against civilians. The exploitation of children in conflict is indicative of the moral bankruptcy that many combatants embrace during conflict. At the same time, this ethical vacuum also exists within the international community as evident in the small effort to enforce existing international standards or to amend those standards that have proven ineffective.

The issue of children in conflict simply does not appear on the international political agenda. The visibility of children can be an important element in peace accords and demobilisation. For instance, children can be more prominently featured as subjects of dialogue and advocacy, and children’s activities, such as children’s theatre, can contribute to peace-building. The issue of the child soldier needs to be addressed more vigorously in such frameworks as problems of demobilisation, reintegrating child soldiers into society and linking that to the development agenda. Examples of success do not exist. Canada and Norway could take a long-term leadership approach to this challenge.

Other areas of Canada-Norway co-operation regarding the child soldier and children in war:

- Canada, in co-operation with Norway, should use its Security Council seat to promote the issue of “kids in conflict;”
- further study of the possibility of using children as a subject for organising a forum for dialogue when other avenues of communication for peace are closed. For example, a program for the vaccination of children during a conflict could be expanded to include cease-fires and possibly a settlement to the conflict;
- the reintegration of children into post-war societies.

The issue of small arms control has been on the international agenda for approximately three years and it is still in the early stages of development. International control of small arms is a very complex issue and as a result, the international community is still struggling to conceptualise the issue. Canada and Norway continue to be active on this issue, promoting it in many different fora, including the UN and NGO round-tables. However, the potential for increased co-operation and leadership on the issue stems from common Canadian and Norwegian foreign policy objectives, such as crime prevention, values-building and good governance, post-conflict decommissioning and social reintegration of combatants.

The various approaches and perspectives on small arms issues and problems were reviewed, including the human security perspective, conflict prevention, democracy and good government. As well, gender is an issue from the perspective of women as victims of small arms use and as agents for change. At the same time, small arms are not landmines. In this respect, Norway and Canada have many household firearms and an international ban will not work. South Africa has shown that crime perspectives and conflict perspectives cannot be separated. The big problem is to further refine the concept for small arms control. Various Canadian and Norwegian initiatives and the NGO conference in Orillia were also reviewed. Canada has taken the lead in the crime perspective in the OAS and the UN. Canadian and Norwegian work that could be complementary includes the Orillian NGO network-building, lessons from landmines and the Peace Research Institute of Oslo on illicit trade, Coalition on Gun Control in Canada on public health and gun control. There is a need for capacity building to deal with small arms in areas like justice, the police, the legal community and the need to address the culture of violence. In this respect, Canada and Norway could support capacity building within the NGO community, thereby increasing the ability of civil society to promote the issue. Canada and Norway could also provide leadership in the enforcement and development of international law regarding the global small arms trade.

Unlike the other topics discussed at the round-table, the issues of the circumpolar region are focussed mostly on culture and environment. Arctic countries have long co-operated on these issues, including the devolution of power to native peoples, the affects of pollution on Arctic food and environment, sustainable development, bio-diversity and emergency response programs. As part of this co-operative effort, Norway has relocated all of its polar programs to

Tromsø in an attempt to centralise circumpolar research and education. Unfortunately, circumpolar research is currently restricted by a lack of new technologies, specifically communications technology.

Human security in the circumpolar world can be advanced through Arctic co-operation including empowerment of Peoples in the region and their regional relationships. Canada is leading in addressing the human security interests of indigenous peoples in the Arctic and can now pull together various experiences such as land claim, devolution, new political power and cultural interests to share with others. Human security in the Arctic is also fundamentally based on environmental problems.

Canada and Norway can co-operate by possibly spearheading efforts to expand satellite communications to northern communities in an effort to provide e-mail, telephone and other electronic communication services. Also, Canada and Norway could push for increased scientific funding as increased financing for circumpolar projects is seen as vital to the success of northern foreign policy. On education, Canada and Norway can help lead the creation of a university of the Arctic and better education in the region.

From the fax machine to the World Wide Web, the invention of information technology has vastly expanded the ability of governments and civil society alike to communicate and organise. NGOs campaigning against landmines and the MAI have acknowledged that e-mail was an invaluable communications tool allowing the rapid transfer of information around the globe. NGOs, universities and governments continue to expand the use of the world wide web, by setting up sites promoting culture, peace and education. UNESCO has created the virtual “University of Peace” to promote peace and understanding through the medium of education.

Particular mention was made of the role of the fax machine in Tiananmen Square, e-mail on the International Criminal Court and the MAI and the ability of NGOs to lead through data sharing and presentation, while governments trying to catch-up to the level of these communications are often constrained by security issues. A presentation was also made on the IDRC’s partnership agenda on new technology. The trend for the future is for inter-activity, which can be applied to foreign policy interests like creating a culture of peace, new virtual international universities, and greater public participation in public policy. Discussion on new technology also included comments about access, particularly in the South, the continuing value of radio, the Minister’s interests in addressing cyber-hate, Austria’s concerns about child pornography, and the January 1999 UNESCO conference on that subject and the value of including these subjects in the Canada-Norway partnership. In this respect, areas of greater co-operation between Canada and Norway include increased access to the internet for lesser developed countries and a collective policy on governing the internet and content on the WWW. Two other participants participated in the discussion by telephone and expressed the hope that they could contribute to the next meeting on the Canada-Norway partnership.

Concluding remarks were framed in the context of the Enlightenment and common Canadian-Norwegian traditions. It was noted that Canadian foreign policy and our preference for conflict

mediation is a part of our heritage, developed in part from our unique relationships with Britain and the United States. Attention was drawn to the 1774 petition by Nova Scotians to Britain fearing a threat to their security by being caught in an American-British conflict. They asked, “Is it permissible to live in a peaceable state?” Their attempt to establish an active neutral model in international affairs at a time of international crisis and their commitment to good governance, peacebuilding and conflict resolution are experiences we can build on today. Since 1776, Canadians have gained experience as war refugees and victims of political and ethnic conflict. In this respect, there is a need for the Canadian public to better understand our own history and country as the public plays a larger role in foreign policy. The discussion that followed generated the recommendation that Canadian studies in Norway could be strengthened as part of the Canada-Norway relationship.

It was agreed that there is a continuing challenge to define human security and the continuing need to involve experts and others from civil society in concretizing the elements of the Canada-Norway partnership. Participants thanked the Chair and each other for the useful and engaging meeting and offered to continue to participate in these discussions.

**Canada Norway Roundtable
Lester B. Pearson Building
125 Sussex Drive
A3-boardroom 10:00-14:00p.m.**

AGENDA

- 10:00 Welcome by Steve Lee
- 10:05 Roundtable introductions
- 10:15 Greetings from Norway's Ambassador to Canada, Mr.Lovald
- 10:20 Greetings from Canada's Ambassador to Norway, Ms. Morin
- 10:25 The Lysoen Declaration - Phil Pinnington
Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade
- 10:35-10:45 Landmines -Paul Hannon/ Jeff Woods (for John English)
- 10:45-10:55 Child Labour -Senator Pearson
- 10:55-11:05 International Criminal Court and Humanitarian Law
-Irwin Cotler
- 11:05-11:15 Human Rights -Laurie Wiseberg
- 11:15-11:25 Child Soldier -Nigel Fisher
- 11:25-11:35 Small Arms -Wendy Cukier
- 11:35-11:45 Arctic and Northern Cooperation -Peter Johnson
- 11:45-11:55 New Technologies -Marshall Conley
- 11:55-12:05 Democracy and Good Governance -Don Desserud
- 12:05-12:30 Comments
- 12:30-13:00 LUNCH
- 13:00-14:00 Discussion

**Canada-Norway Roundtable
9 October 1998 Ottawa**

**List of Participants
A3-500 Boardroom**

Ambassador Lovald
Kingdom of Norway

Don Desserud
UNB

Ambassador Morin
Canadian Embassy: Norway (tbc-conference call)

Paul Hannon
Mines Action Canada

Eric Hoskins
Office of the Minister of Foreign Affairs

Phillip Pinnington
Department of Foreign Affairs and International
Trade

Andre Ouellet
Departmental Assistant
Office of the Minister for International
Cooperation and Minister Responsible for La
Francophonie

Christopher Hale (conference call)
Scandinavian Studies
University of Alberta

Senator Landon Pearson

Ingrid Urberg (tbc-conference call)
Augustana University College
Camrose Alberta

Steve Lee
CCFPD

Laurie Wiseberg/Marc Hecht
Human Rights Internet

Irwin Cotler
Faculty of Law, McGill University

Jeff Woods
Consultant

Peter Johnson
President Assos of Can Uni for N. Studies

Gerard Duhaime-regrets
President International Association of Arctic
Studies

Wendy Cukier
Ryerson University

John English-regrets
Waterloo University

Nigel Fisher
CCFPD

Michael O'Shaughnessy
CCFPD

Necla Tschirgi/Nancy Smyth
IDRC

Dr. Marshall Wm. Conley
Political Science
Acadia University