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## Presentation Overview

. Risk premium estimate:
. Historical excess yields

- Prospective approaches:
. Breakdown of returns
- Implicit risk premium: EBO model
- Risk and return on assets and surplus:
- Asset optimization
- Surplus optimization
. Globalization and population aging
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# Historical Real Returns 

## 1900-2000 (Dimson, Narch and Staunton) - Percentages

Geometric Return

| Country | Stocks | Bonds | Excess return | Stnd. <br> deviation <br> Excess return | Inflation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Africa | 6.9 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 19.7 | 4.8 |
| Germany | 4.4 | -2.2 | 6.7 | 28.4 | $5.1^{*}$ |
| Australia | 7.5 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 18.9 | 4.1 |
| Belgium | 2.6 | -0.4 | 3.1 | 20.7 | 5.5 |
| Canada | 6.4 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 17.8 | 3.1 |
| Denmark | 4.6 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 16.9 | 4.1 |
| Spain | 3.7 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 20.3 | 6.1 |
| United States | 6.7 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 3.2 |
| France | 3.9 | -1.0 | 4.9 | 21.6 | 7.9 |
| Ireland | 4.8 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 17.4 | 4.5 |
| Italy | 2.7 | -2.2 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 9.1 |
| Japan | 4.6 | -1.6 | 6.2 | 33.2 | 7.6 |
| Netherlands | 5.8 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 21.4 | 3.0 |
| United Kingdom | 5.8 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 16.7 | 4.1 |
| Sweden | 7.6 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 22.1 | 3.7 |
| Switzerland | 5.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 17.9 | 2.2 |
| World equiweighted |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |
| World weighed |  | 4.6 | 14.5 |  |  |

* For Germany, years 1922-23 are excluded.


## Historical Real Returns <br> Tfime Fiorizon: 1802-2001 (Stegel, 2002, United States) - Percentages

| Holding period | Stocks |  | Bonds |  | \% Stocks <br> > Bonds | Risk |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min. | Max. | Min. | Max. |  | Stocks | Bonds |
| 1 year | -38.6 | 66.6 | -21.9 | 35.1 | 61 | 18.1 | 8.6 |
| 2 years | -31.6 | 41.0 | -15.9 | 24.7 | 65 | 13.0 | 6.4 |
| 5 years | -11.0 | 26.7 | -10.1 | 17.7 | 71 | 7.5 | 5.2 |
| 10 years | -4.1 | 16.9 | -5.4 | 12.4 | 80 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| 20 years | 1.0 | 12.6 | -3.1 | 8.8 | 92 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| 30 years | 2.6 | 10.6 | -2.0 | 7.4 | 99 | 1.5 | 2.6 |

## Historical Real Returns <br> 1956-2002

|  | Canada |  | United States |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | S\&P/TSX | Bonds * | S\&P 500 | Bonds ** |
| $1956-2002$ |  |  |  |  |
| Geometric return | 15.5 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 2.6 |
| Standard deviation |  | 8.8 | 14.9 | 8.7 |
| Excess return | 0.8 |  | 3.1 |  |

* Canada : Long-term SC bond holder indices (1956-1979) and long-term Canada SC (1980-2002).
** United States: Interest rates on federal long-term bonds adjusted for the period (1956-1972) and Lehman Brothers Long Term US Treasury (1973-2002).


## Prospective Approach 1: Breakdown of Return In real terms

- Actual return on stocks: $R R S_{t}=\operatorname{Div} Y_{t}+R C G_{t}$

Where: $\operatorname{Div} Y_{t}$ : dividend yield
$R C G_{t}$ real capital gains return

- $\quad R G C_{t} \approx g_{P / E, t}+g_{R E, t}$

Where: $g_{P / E, t}$ : growth in price/earnings multiple $g_{R E, t}:$ actual earnings growth

|  | Example 1 |  | Example 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year 0 | Year 1 | Year 0 | Year 1 |
| P/E | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 |
| E | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| P | 10.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 |
| gP/E,t |  | 0\% |  | 10\% |
| $\mathrm{gre}_{\text {R,t }}$ |  | 10\% |  | 0\% |
| $\mathrm{RCG}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{gr/E,t}+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{RE,t}}$ |  | 10\% |  | 10\% |

## Breakdown of Stock Market Returns

## Canadian and Amerioan 1956-2002- Percentages

| S\&P/TSX |  | S\&P 500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual average | Annual average |
|  | 4.5 | 5.8 |
|  | 3.2 | 3.4 |
|  | 1.2 | 2.3 |
|  | -0.6 | 0.5 |
| ratio | - 1.8 | 1.9 |


| Total actual return | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dividend yield | 3.2 |  | 3.4 |  |
| Capital gain | 1.2 |  | 2.3 |  |
| Breakdown of capital gain |  |  |  |  |
| Actual earnings growth | -0.6 |  | 0.5 |  |
| Changes in price/earnings ratio | 1.8 |  | 1.9 |  |

Caisse de dépôt et placement du Québec

# Prospective Approach 1: Breakdown of Return Real Growth Lag in United States (I900-2001) 

- Real growth lag:
- GDP: 3.3\% (1.9\% per capita)
- Earnings (stock market indexes): 1.5\%
- Dividends (stock market indexes): 1.1\%
- Has there been a structural change in business distribution policies?
- Replacing dividends with cash through share redemptions in the United States:
. $4 \%$ of earnings in 1972 versus 42\% in 2000
- In 2000, \$172 B for dividends versus \$194 B for redemptions
- In 2000, positive net redemptions


## Prospective Approach 1: Breakdown of Return Wiat real growih?

Why has earnings growth lagged behind that of GDP?

- Transfer of wealth to employees and managers?
- Earnings of businesses not included in stock market indexes?
. Problem with calculation of indexes?
- Discontinuity in earnings and dividends: replacement of value stocks with growth stocks
Choice: real GDP growth (consensus forecast) in order to forecast real earnings growth


# Dividend yield: 1.8 Real earnings growth:* Changes in P/E ratio: 

. Real stock returns:
4.4
" Real bond yields:** 3.1

- Risk premium: 1.3
* Real economic growth and anticipated real earnings growth (estimated by Consensus Economics)
** Rate of return on SC real return bond index


## Prospective Approach 1: Breakdown of Return

## Prospective Risk Premium in Genadea



## Prospective Approach 2: Implicit Risk Premium

- Implicit risk premium:

$$
v_{t}=p_{t}=\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{F M_{t+s}}{(1+k)^{t+s}}
$$

- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{2003}=1000 \\
& \mathrm{FM}=80 \text { (perpetuity) } \\
& \mathrm{K}=8 \% \\
& \quad \mathrm{YTM}_{2003}=5 \% \\
& \quad \text { Premium }=8 \%-5 \%=3 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## EdwardS; Bell and Ohlson (EBO) Model

- EBO model:

$$
v_{t}=b v_{t}+\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{t+s}-k_{t} \times b v_{t+s-1}}{\left(1+k_{t}\right)^{t+s}}
$$

Where $v_{t}=\quad$ intrinsic value of index at time $t$

| $b v_{t}=$ | book value of equity at time $t$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e_{t+s}$ | $=$ | $\quad$ earnings projections at time $t+s$ |
| $k_{t}$ | $=$ | implicit yield |

## EBO Model

## Example: Implifit Premitum - August 2003

|  | MSCI <br> Canada | MS of <br> price | MSCI <br> United <br> States | \% of <br> price |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Index price | 919.6 | 931.1 |  |  |
| $E P S_{t+1}$ | 55.5 | 50.6 |  |  |
| $E P S_{t+2}$ | 63.1 | 57.1 |  |  |
| $E P S_{t+3}$ | 68.5 | 62.2 |  |  |
| g long term (nomical EPS) | $4.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |  |  |
| $b v_{t}$ | 461.8 | $50.2 \%$ | 312.9 | $33.6 \%$ |
| $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 . 5 \%}$ |  |
| YTM $_{t}{ }^{*}$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Premium $_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $3.0 \%$ |  | $3.0 \%$ |  |

EPS= Earnings per share
*Rate of return at maturity on 10-year government bonds

## Comparison of Approaches 1 and 2

## Ristr Premium in Canadal


Approach 1: Breakdown of return
. Prospective risk premium =1.3

- Redemption correction $\approx$ ..... $0.5^{*}$
1,8
Approach 2: Implicit risk premium (EBO)
. Prospective risk premium (12-month MA)= ..... 3.5
- Optimism bias correction (-10\%) $\approx$ ..... $-0.7$2.8
Conclusion: Approach 2 adopted
*See Liang and Sharpe (1999), "Share repurchases and employee stock options and their implications for expected returns".


## Projected Stock Market Returns <br> EBO Approach in August 2003 - corrected for opitimism bias

| Percentage | Implicit premium <br> $(12$-month MA) | Projected local <br> return | Interest rate <br> differential | Projected covered <br> return |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)=(2)+(3)$ |
| Canada | 2.8 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| United States | 3.2 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 8.1 |
| United Kingdom | 2.2 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 |
| Germany | 4.6 | 8.8 | 0.7 | 9.5 |
| Haly | 3.2 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 8.2 |
| France | 4.1 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 9.0 |
| Japan | 5.1 | 6.5 | 3.4 | 9.9 |
| Australia | 2.5 | 8.0 | -0.6 | 7.4 |
| Netherlands | 5.0 | 9.2 | 0.7 | 9.9 |
| Sweden | 3.8 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 8.6 |
| EAFE |  |  |  | 8.8 |

## Return and Risk Projection by Asset Class August 2003

| Percentage | Projected <br> return | Projected <br> risk |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Short-term securities | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| Bonds | 4.6 | 6.5 |
| Canadian stocks | 7.7 | 16.7 |
| U.S. stocks | 8.1 | 17.8 |
| Foreign stocks | 8.8 | 19.9 |
| Quebec global | 9.7 | 19.8 |
| Shareholdings and infrastructures | 9.0 | 23.4 |
| Private placements | 12.0 | 31.5 |
| Real property | 9.0 | 13.1 |
| Alternative placements | 7.3 | 10.0 |

## Risk of Non-Iraditional Asset Classes

- Shareholdings and infrastructures: historical volatility of S\&P/TSX, adjusted for:
- sectors
. non-diversification and
- size
- Private placements: historical volatility adjusted for:
. size: S\&P600
- sectors: technologies, health and telecommunications
- leverage: buyouts
- lack of liquidity


## Risk of Non-Iraditional Asset CJasses

- Real property: estimated volatility after correction for:
- smoothing of yield series and
- leverage (40\%)
- Alternative placements: volatility estimated after correction for:
- smoothing of yield series and
- operating risk

| วəqənò np <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1．61 | で9 | LOG | $\mathcal{G}^{\prime} \varepsilon$ | $0^{\circ} \varepsilon$ | $G^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}$ | カ0 | әэนәләџ！ด |
| $(\downarrow \bullet$ ） | $\varepsilon^{\prime} G$ | （l｀G） | L＇も | $0 \cdot 8$ | で8 | ガ8 | غع |
| L＇Gレ | G゙レ | 9．98 | で8 | 0 ロレ | LOL | $8 \cdot 8$ | Ө！！uenb łS $\downarrow$ |
| ＊＊słuәшәэe．d <br>  | Kıradod ןеәу | ＊słuәuәэe｜d әұеハ！лd | $\begin{gathered} \text { syગ0łs } \\ \text { u6!əJo」 } \\ \text { ع00乙 ‘0\& } \end{gathered}$ | syoołs＇S＇ <br> ol s．eəK 0 レ | syools ue！peuej | spu |  |

Estimated Return and Risk of Optimum Portfolios Asset Optimization

| Percentage | Optimal Portfolios |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 6\% Risk | 8\% Risk | 10\% Risk | Maximum <br> Return |
| \% in variable income <br> securities | 41 | 54 | 64 | 75 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Return on assets | 6.1 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 8.0 |
| Asset risk | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 |
| Sharpe ratio | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.36 |
| Surplus* | 0.64 | 1.31 | 1.91 | 2.52 |
| Surplus yield | 8.9 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 13.9 |
| Surplus risk |  |  |  |  |

*Liabilities are represented by the long-term SC index, YTM $=5.5 \%$ and $D M=12$.

## Probabilities Related to Certain Return Thresholds

Asset Optimization

| Percentage | Optimum Portfolios |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6\% Risk | 8\% Risk | 10\% Risk | Maximum Return |
| \% in variable income securities | 41 | 54 | 64 | 75 |
| One-year horizon |  |  |  |  |
| Greater than 10\% | 26 | 35 | 40 | 44 |
| Less than 4\% | 36 | 36 | 37 | 38 |
| Less than 0\% | 15 | 20 | 23 | 27 |
| Five-year horizon |  |  |  |  |
| Greater than 10\% | 8 | 19 | 28 | 37 |
| Less than 4\% | 21 | 22 | 22 | 24 |
| Less than 0\% | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |

## Probabilities Related to Certain Thresholds

## Asseyflability ratio (surpi(us) - Asset opitmization

| Percentage | Optimum Portfolios |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 6\% Risk | 8\% Risk | 10\% Risk | Maximum <br> Return |
| \% in variable income <br> securities | 41 | 54 | 64 | 75 |
| One-year horizon | 15 | 18 | 23 | 29 |
| Ratio greater than 1.1 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 18 |
| Ratio less than 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Five-year horizon | 20 | 27 | 34 | 41 |
| Ratio greater than 1.2 | 25 | 22 | 21 | 23 |
| Ratio less than 0.9 |  |  |  |  |

## Asset Optimization Versus Surplus Optimization

Percentage Optimum Portfolios

| Asset optimization |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% in variable income <br> securities | 41 | 54 | 64 | 75 |
| Asset risk | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 |
| Return on suprlus | 0.64 | 1.31 | 1.91 | 2.52 |
| Surplus risk | 8.9 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 13.9 |
| Surplus optimization | 50 | 55 | 68 | 75 |
| \% in variable income <br> securities | 8.7 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 13.0 |
| Asset risk | 1.44 | 1.64 | 2.12 | 2.52 |
| Return on suprlus | 8.9 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 13.9 |
| Surplus risk |  |  |  |  |

## Integration of Financial Markets

- International diversification easier:
- Lower risk premium than in the past
- Risk premium parity across liquid markets (arbitrage for same risk level)
. Sectoral premiums versus country premiums?


## Impact of Aging Population on Expected Return on Financial Assets

- Negative factors:
- Lower GDP and earnings growth
. Sale of risky assets:
. Risk reduction
- Consumption
. Highly attenuating factors:
- Uncertainty over life expectancy: wealth at death
- Rising age of retirement
- In an inflationary environment, stocks perform better than bonds
- Immigration/emigration
. Emerging countries: global manufacturing


## Conclusion

- Anticipated share premium is in the order of $3 \%$.
- Increase variable income securities from 0\% to 50\%:
. approximate $2 \%$ increase in return,
. surplus risk reaches $9.5 \%$.
- Non-traditional assets (real property, private placements and hedge funds) appear more promising than stocks, but introduce a significant "manager's choice" risk
- Aging population: negative impact on yields attenuated by economic and demographic factors


## Annexes
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## Composition of Optimum Portfolios for Various Risk Levels

| Percentage | Optimum Portfolios |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 6\% Risk | 8\% Risk | $10 \%$ Risk | Maximum <br> Return |
| Short-term securities | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bonds | 52.8 | 45.5 | 36.1 | 25.0 |
| Fixed income securities | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 46 | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Canadian stocks | 12.3 | 14.7 | 11.9 | 15.0 |
| U.S. and foreign stocks | 4.4 | 4.0 | 7.9 | 20.0 |
| Quebec global | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Shareholdings and infrastructures | 0.0 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 10.0 |
| Private placements | 4.7 | 4.4 | 9.5 | 10.0 |
| Real property | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Alternative placements | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Variable income securities | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |

## Composition of Optimum Portfolios for Various Risk Levels

Asset optimization

| Percentage | Optimum Portfolios |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surplus risk of | 8.9 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 13.9 |
| Short-term securities | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bonds | 49.9 | 44.7 | 32.0 | 25.0 |
| Fixed income securities | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Canadian stocks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |
| U.S. and foreign stocks | 5.2 | 8.7 | 18.0 | 20.0 |
| Quebec global | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Shareholdings and infrastructures | 4.9 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Private placements | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Real property | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Alternative placements | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Variable income securities | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |

## Optimization Constraints

| Percentage | Lower <br> thresholds | Upper <br> thresholds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Short-term securities | 0 | 20 |
| Bonds | 25 | 70 |
| Canadian stocks | 0 | 40 |
| U.S. and foreign stocks | 0 | 30 |
| Quebec global | 0 | 10 |
| Shareholdings and infrastructures | 0 | 10 |
| Private placements | 0 | 10 |
| Real property | 0 | 10 |
| Alternative placements | 0 | 10 |

## Correlation Matrix

| Percentage | Bonds | Canadian stocks | U.S. stocks | Foreign stocks | Quebec global | Shareholdings \& infr. | Private placements | Real property |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canadian stocks | 0.1 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. stocks | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign stocks | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec global | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| Shareholdings and infrastructures | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Private placements | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 |  |
| Real property | (0.6) | (0.1) | (0.1) | 0.2 | (0.1) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| Alternative placements | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
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