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## 

This fourth annual report on financial service charges, now called The Cost of Banking Annual Report 2002, tracks the changes in the service fees charged by Canada's major banks, trust companies, credit unions and caisses populaires. In the report, Industry Canada's Office of Consumer Affairs provides a review of changes in the cost of banking for specific types of consumers, and records which institutions are increasing or decreasing fees and for what services.

Current and past issues of the report are available by visiting the Office of Consumer Affairs Web site (www.consumer.ic.gc.ca).

For additional information, to provide comments or to obtain copies of the report, please contact:

Office of Consumer Affairs
Industry Canada
9th Floor
235 Queen Street
Ottawa ON K1A 0H5
Tel.: (613) 952-3466
Fax: (613) 952-6927
E-Mail: enright.cathy@ic.gc.ca


- Averaged across institutions, service charges remained stable in 2001 for those who maintained monthly balances of less than $\$ 1000$, but fell significantly for many who kept more than $\$ 1000$ in their accounts.
- There were significant changes at some institutions:
- In-branch use has generally become cheaper, due to the introduction of lowfee accounts at eight banks and the elimination of the in-branch bill payment handling fee at four banks.
- There have been some new fees and increases in existing fees for electronic or self-serve transactions.
- Using another institution's automated banking machine (ABM) is becoming more expensive:
- CIBC and Royal Bank have increased their Interac® fee (also known as Interac® fee) from $\$ 1.25$ to $\$ 1.50$ per withdrawal at another institution's ABM.
- Both banks have also introduced surcharges for non-clients at off-premise (e.g. retail outlet) ABMs, in addition to account and Interac® fees charged by your institution. So, for example if you are a Scotiabank customer, and use a Royal or CIBC machine at a convenience store, the transaction may cost you $\$ 2.24$ or more above the regular transaction cost.

The number of white-label ABMs has also grown:

- White-label ABMs now represent about a third of all ABMs in Canada.
- When using white-label ABMs, consumers must pay extra fees (referred to as "convenience fees") on top of Interac® fees and other transaction fees they pay their financial institution for completing a transaction. The difference between using their own institution's ABM and a white label ABM can be $\$ 2.50$ or more per transaction.
- As part of a pilot project some white label point-of-sale terminals have also started adding a surcharge to debit card purchases, on top of the regular fees the consumer pays for using the card.
- At many of the surveyed institutions, having a minimum monthly balance of $\$ 1000$ can result in a significant reduction in service charges.
- Virtual banks still offer competitive pricing for consumers who do not need to use in-branch services.


## Conclusion:

- It is getting more expensive for convenience consumers, and they may benefit from comparison shopping.
- Things are getting better for consumers (often those with low incomes) who use basic banking at the Big Five Banks.
- On average costs for those with a minimum monthly balance of $\$ 1000$ fell, largely because of changes at CIBC.




## Data Generated by the Financial Service Charges Calculator

Other than public opinion data, the data in this report are generated using the Financial Service Charges Calculator, which is maintained by the Office of Consumer Affairs of Industry Canada. At present, the Financial Service Charges Calculator contains data on 14 financial institutions (including banks, credit unions and on-line banks across Canada). These financial institutions provided the Office of Consumer Affairs with the data that was used to generate the results in this report. The public opinion data are from Goldfarb and Ipsos-Reid. ${ }^{1}$

## Figures Based on Five Typical Consumer Profiles

The figures are based on five typical consumer profiles developed using survey data and focus-group testing by Option Consommateurs, a consumer organization in Quebec). This is the fourth consecutive year that these profiles, which allow comparisons to be made over time, have been included in the annual report.

## Findings Based on Least Expensive Accounts (LEAs) Available to New Customers

For each institution, the Financial Service Charges Calculator is used to generate the "least expensive account" (LEA) for the five profiles for those who either keep no minimum monthly balance or a minimum balance of $\$ 1000$ per month. In order to be selected as the "least expensive account" for a financial institution, the account must be available to all new customers. Consequently, "grandfathered accounts" (those no longer offered for sale to new customers) or "special accounts" (such as those available only to students or seniors) are not included in this analysis. The Financial Consumer Agency of Canada offers information on special accounts in their publication Consumer Guide to Basic Banking Services.

## What type of service charges are not included?

The Financial Service Charges Calculator currently does not take into account surcharges for the use of "white-label" ABMs and Point-of-Sale (POS) terminals, or of surcharges recently introduced by the CIBC and Royal Bank for non-clients who use their off-premise ABMs (e.g. in retail outlets). Consequently this year's annual report does not provide a profile-by-profile analysis of the impact of these surcharges.
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## The Five Profiles

The figures in this report are based on the same five typical consumer profiles used in previous years:

1. Minimal Transaction Consumer
2. Average Transaction Consumer
3. Convenience Consumer
4. Connected Consumer
5. Prosperous Branch Consumer

In addition this year, we have drawn on opinion polling figures from the Goldfarb Report 2001 and from figures on banking habits from the Canadian Bankers Association.


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Habits: | Consumer Habits: |
| - Multiple transactions | - Does transactions electronically |
| - High user of Interac® services |  |
| - Does not visit the bank branch | ABM Transactions: |
| ABM Transactions: | - 5 Withdrawals |
| - 7 Withdrawals | ABM Transactions at Other Institutions: |
| - 4 Bill Payments | - 2 Withdrawals |
| - 4 Transfers |  |
|  | Telephone Transactions: |
| ABM Transactions at Other Institutions: | - 6 Bill Payments |
| - 8 Withdrawals | - 6 Transfers |
| Other Transactions: | Other Transactions: |
| - 3 Cheques | - 3 Cheques |
| - 2 Direct Deposits | - 2 Direct Deposits |
| - 15 Interac® Direct Payments | - 8 Interac® Direct Deposits |
| - 2 Pre-authorized Debits | - 3 Pre-authorized Debits |
| Total Transactions $=45$ | Total Transactions $=35$ |


| XetIIT *ill <br> ~以 以 * |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Habits: | - Several financial institutions offer lower service |
| - Does not do any transactions electronically | charges to consumers who retain a minimum balance of $\$ 1000$ each month. |
| - Generally has money |  |
| Branch Transactions: | - To reflect this difference in service charges, we've created two separate analyses in our |
| - 3 Withdrawals | Annual Report: one for consumers who |
| - 5 Bill Payments | maintain a minimum monthly balance of \$0, |
| - 3 Transfers | and one for consumers who maintain a minimum monthly balance of $\$ 1000$. |
| Other Transactions: |  |
| - 7 Cheques |  |
| - 2 Direct Deposits |  |
| Total Transactions $=20$ |  |

## 

This table presents the fee changes (from 2000 to 2001) to the "least expensive accounts" for each profile and balance. The purpose is to summarize the changes in fees for each financial institution in 2001.

| \$02001 (by Profile) $\quad$ Change in Fees, 2000-2001 (by Profile) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\leftarrow$ PROFILE $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| -5.50 | -1.00 | n/c | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | -1.50 | Bank of Montreal | -9.00 | -1.00 | n/c | n/c | -5.00 |
| -6.00 | -4.85 | $\underline{+11.70}$ | $\underline{+4.20}$ | -10.80 | CIBC | -10.00 | -13.85 | -5.80 | -7.30 | -16.30 |
| - | - | n/c | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | - | Citizens Bank | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | - |
| - | - | - | +0.20 | - | CS CO-OP | - | - | - | +0.20 | - |
| n/c | n/c | n/c | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | n/c | Desjardins | n/c | n/c | n/c | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| n/c | n/c | n/c | n/c | n/c | HSBC | n/c | n/c | n/c | $n / \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| +0.55 | +1.05 | +5.40 | -0.60 | +0.55 | Laurentian Bank | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | $\underline{+1.05}$ | +2.05 | $\underline{+1.05}$ | n/c |
| +1.00 | +1.25 | -0.20 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | +1.00 | Metro Credit Union | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | +0.15 | -0.20 | +0.90 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | $\underline{+2.70}$ | -3.15 | -0.25 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | National Bank | -0.75 | 2.00 | n/c | -3.00 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| - | - | n/c | n/c | - | PC Financial | - | - | n/c | n/c | - |
| n/c | +0.50 | +2.00 | +0.50 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | Royal Bank | n/c | +0.50 | $\underline{+2.00}$ | $\underline{+0.50}$ | n/c |
| -4.00 | n/c | -3.00 | -3.00 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | Scotiabank | -4.00 | n/c | -3.00 | -3.00 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| -3.00 | - | - | n/c | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ | Canada Trust* | -4.00 | - | - | n/c | -2.95 |
| -4.65 | +0.95 | $\underline{+10.95}$ | $\underline{+7.95}$ | -2.05 | TD Bank* | -2.20 | +4.40 | $\underline{+2.95}$ | +10.45 | +0.50 |
| +1.50 | - | - | -7.50 | +0.50 | VanCity Savings Credit Union | +1.00 | - | - | -13.50 | +1.25 |

All analysis based on least expensive accounts, 2000 and 2001.
$n / \mathrm{c}=$ no change from the previous year.

* now TD Canada Trust
$\therefore$ コ以
A 2001 consumer survey reported that half of Canadians believed bank charges increased in 2001, while 42 percent believed they had stayed the same. Canadian attitudes on bank charges varied by age group. Less than a quarter ( 24 percent) of Canadians aged 65 or over believed that service fees had increased in 2001, suggesting that elderly Canadians may be taking advantage of special offers or discounts. Conversely, Canadians in their prime earning years were significantly more likely to report that bank service charges had increased in 2001 ( 60 percent for those aged $35-44$ and 62 percent for those aged 45-54).

Three in ten Canadians reported switching financial institutions at least once in the last five years and 8 percent reported switching at least twice in that time frame. Of those who have switched institutions over the past five years, the search for better service ( 36 percent) and a more convenient location ( 25 percent) have consistently been the top two reasons for switching. The third most cited reason was fewer or lower service charges ( 16 percent) and better interest rates on loans or mortgages (16 percent).


For Canadians who have switched financial institutions at least once in the last five years, this graph shows the top three cited reasons for doing so.

* Fewer or lower service charges and better interest rates on loans/mortgages were tied for the third most popular response in 2001.
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## 

White-label ABMs now account for about one third of the 35000 ABMs deployed in Canada. Despite significant surcharges applied to transactions at these machines, 35 percent of Canadians report using them, while 9 percent report using them more than six times monthly.

In comparison, 53 percent of Canadians report using $A B M$ s belonging to financial institutions other than their own, while 17 percent report doing this more than five times a month.

## 

## Those who hold a balance of less than $\$ 1000^{4}$

Those who primarily use in-branch services (Profile 1 and Profile 5) have seen average costs drop by over a dollar since last year. This change is largely a result of agreements reached in 2000 between the federal government and most major banks to provide a basic bank account with a low service fee and the elimination for this profile of handling fees on in-branch bill payments at the Big Five Banks. On the other hand, "convenience" consumers (Profile 3) have experienced an average increase of almost $\$ 2.00$ over the last year (exclusive of the effects of ABM surcharges, which users in this profile are more likely to incur). This average was driven by significant fee increases at three institutions. There was virtually no change in the average costs for the other profiles.
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## Those who hold a minimum monthly balance of more than $\$ 1000^{5}$

For those consumers holding a minimum balance of $\$ 1000$, the average cost declined in all five profiles due largely to reductions by Scotiabank and to the reintroduction by CIBC of an incentive to maintain a $\$ 1000$ balance. These average declines were significant and effectively reversed a trend toward increasing costs in all but Profile 3. In Profiles 1, 4 and 5 the reductions brought costs lower than they were in 1997 when we first began tracking service charges. Once again, customers using primarily in-branch services (Profiles 1 and Profile 5) benefited the most, with average costs dropping $\$ 2.27$ in Profile 1 and $\$ 1.90$ in Profile 5. The elimination of in-branch bill payment fees for these profiles by the Big Five Banks contributed to this decline. Fairly significant declines were also posted in Profile 2 (-\$1.08) and Profile 4 (-\$1.36), while the average cost for Profile 3 (-\$0.17) decreased slightly.


This graph shows the average monthly price of the least expensive accounts.
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## 

## No Minimum Monthly Balance

In January 2001, a memorandum of understanding was implemented between the federal government and eight federally regulated financial institutions ${ }^{6}$. The financial institutions agreed to provide a low-fee, basic account with certain minimum features (e.g. offer a debit card, no charge for deposits, some in-branch transactions and a free monthly statement or passbook). In addition, the Big Five Banks also eliminated the handling fee associated with in-branch bill payments for this profile over the last 20 months. Together, these changes have resulted in some very positive news for low-balance consumers with basic banking needs. As a result of these changes, the least expensive account option for low-balance, minimum-transaction consumers is found at one of the Big Five Banks and the Metro Credit UUnion.

|  <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | 2001 | 2000 | Handling Fee for Each In-Branch Bill Payment, 2001 |
| Bank of Montreal | \$3.50 | \$9.00 | - |
| CIBC | \$4.00 | \$10.00 | - |
| Royal Bank* | \$4.00 | \$4.00 | - |
| Metro Credit Union** | \$6.95 | \$5.95 | \$1.00 |
| Scotiabank | \$6.95 | \$10.95 | - |
| TD Canada Trust | \$6.95 | \$11.60*** | - |
| TD Canada Trust | \$6.95 | \$9.95**** | - |
| National Bank | \$8.75 | \$8.75 | \$2.00 |
| VanCity Savings Credit Union** | \$9.30 | \$7.80 | \$1.50 |
| HSBC | \$11.50 | \$11.50 | \$1.35 |
| Laurentian | \$11.50 | \$10.95 | \$2.00 |
| Desjardins | \$11.95 | \$11.95 | \$1.75 |

* Royal Bank introduced a low-fee account in late 2000.
** Metro Credit Union and VanCity Savings Credit Union do not charge a separate transaction fee for an in-branch bill payment.
*** TD Bank 2000 pricing
**** Canada Trust 2000 pricing

[^4]The elimination of the handling fee associated with in-branch bill payments at the Big Five Banks has significantly lowered service fees for those consumers who regularly undertake this activity. Of the remaining financial institutions in our survey, the handling fee varies from $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 2.00$ for each in-branch bill payment. This charge is often in addition to any applicable transaction fee.

## \$1000 Minimum Monthly Balance

In general, Profile 1 captures low-income consumers with basic banking needs. Consequently, few Canadians who fall within this profile will carry a minimum monthly balance of $\$ 1000$.


## 5

## No Minimum Monthly Balance

For the third consecutive year, the average cost to consumers in this profile who maintain low account balances has remained virtually unchanged. Between 1997 and 2001, the average cost for this profile has varied from a low of $\$ 12.99$ in 1998 to a high of $\$ 13.09$ in 1997 (the average was $\$ 13.08$ in 2001). By far, the most significant decline occurred at CIBC: $-\$ 4.85$ to $\$ 12.00$. Five institutions increased costs in 2001, lead by National Bank: $+\$ 2.70$ to $\$ 17.25$, while two reduced charges and three did not change.


## \$1000 Minimum Monthly Balance

For the first time since the launch of the annual report, the average cost for highbalance consumers in this profile declined from its peak of $\$ 9.28$ in 2000, to $\$ 8.20$ in 2001. The most significant changes occurred at: CIBC ( $-\$ 13.85$ to $\$ 3.00$ ) and TD Canada Trust (+\$4.40 to \$9.50).

*Three Banks are TD Canada Trust, Laurentian Bank and Royal Bank.

## 

Convenience consumers are the heaviest users of ABMs and are most likely to be users of the growing number of white-label ABMs and to be affected by new Royal Bank and CIBC surcharges at off-premise $A B M s^{7}$. White-label ABMs are typically $\$ 1.25$ to $\$ 1.50$ per transaction in addition to Interac® fees, for all users. The new Royal Bank and CIBC fees do not apply to their own customers. Non-customers pay $\$ 0.99$ to $\$ 1.50$ in addition to Interac® fees.

## No Minimum Monthly Balance

Low-balance consumers in Profile 3 witnessed an average increase of almost $\$ 2.00$ in the last year. This was primarily due to very steep fee hikes at three institutions: CIBC (+\$11.70 to \$29.50), TD Canada Trust (+\$10.95 to \$22.95) and Laurentian Bank ( $+\$ 5.40$ to $\$ 20.50$ ). For the fourth consecutive year, Citizens Bank offers the lowest-cost account in this category, at $\$ 8.00$.
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## \$1000 Minimum Monthly Balance

Profile 3 is designed to capture those consumers who like to use their bank card when and wherever they want (this profile includes eight withdrawals at ABMs not operated by their own financial institution). Hence, the Interac® fee - which varies by institution - may be of particular interest to Profile 3 consumers. Of the 14 institutions in our sample, eight charge $\$ 1.25$ for this service.

|  | Interac® Fee |
| :--- | :---: |
| Institution | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Citizens Bank | $\$ 1.10$ |
| CS CO-OP | $\$ 1.25$ |
| Median Charge (8 Institutions) | $\$ 1.35$ |
| Metro Credit Union | $\$ 1.50$ |
| CIBC | $\$ 1.50$ |
| Laurentian Bank | $\$ 1.50$ |
| Royal Bank |  |

For the third consecutive year, Citizens Bank offers an account for Profile 3 consumers (who maintain a minimum $\$ 1000$ monthly balance) with no service charges. The other eleven institutions in the sample have LEAs ranging in cost from $\$ 10.00$ to $\$ 21.50$.

## 

## No Minimum Monthly Balance

The biggest changes for low-balance connected consumers occurred at the VanCity Savings Credit Union ( $-\$ 7.50$ to $\$ 8.50$ ) and TD Canada Trust (+\$7.95 to $\$ 15.45$ ).
Continuing a three-year trend, the least expensive accounts are found at the virtual banks.


## \$1000 Minimum Monthly Balance

The average cost charged to high-balance, connected consumers fell by $\$ 1.36$ in 2001, due to significant price reductions at four institutions: VanCity Savings Credit Union (-\$13.50 to \$2.50), CIBC ( $-\$ 7.30$ to $\$ 3.00$ ), National Bank ( $-\$ 3.00$ to $\$ 4.75$ ) and Scotiabank ( $-\$ 3.00$ to $\$ 8.00$ ). The only institution to significantly increase costs was TD Canada Trust (+\$10.45 to \$12.95).

For the fourth consecutive year, Citizens Bank offers an account with no service fees for connected consumers maintaining a $\$ 1000$ minimum monthly balance. As long as the minimum balance is maintained, this account waives all transaction and Interac® fees.


## 

## No Minimum Monthly Balance

Generally speaking, Profile 5 reflects individuals who use in-branch banking exclusively. This profile tends to capture older consumers (who rely much less on electronic banking) who often carry minimum monthly balances over $\$ 1000$. For those carrying no minimum monthly balance, the most significant change occurred at CIBC ( $-\$ 10.80$ to $\$ 5.50$ ).


## \$1000 Minimum Monthly Balance

In 2001, the average cost charged to minimum $\$ 1000$ balance holders in this profile fell by almost $\$ 2.00$, due to large fee decreases at two institutions: CIBC ( $-\$ 16.30$ to $\$ 0.00$ ) and the Bank of Montreal ( $-\$ 5.00$ to $\$ 4.00$ ).



[^0]:    1 Goldfarb Report 2001; and Christmas Shopping and Buying: What's in the Window and How People Will Pay For It. Ipsos-Reid (December 18, 2001).
    

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Goldfarb Report 2001.

    *     * \% * $\because \square$ -

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Christmas Shopping and Buying: What's in the Window and How People Will Pay For It. Ipsos-Reid (December 18, 2001).
    ${ }^{4}$ Analysis based on evaluating all profiles with a minimum monthly account balance of $\$ 0$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Analysis based on evaluating all profiles with a minimum monthly account balance of $\$ 1000$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ See "Low Fee Accounts" for more information on this agreement.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ For more information on white-label ABMs and consumer-related issues, please refer to the companion document produced by the Financial Consumer Agency of Canada, entitled: Consumer's Guide to Basic Banking Services.

