Review of Inventory Issues Identified in Timber Supply Review AAC Rationales

January 13, 2006

Prepared for

John Wakelin, RPF VRI Section Head Forest Analysis and Inventory Branch BC Ministry of Forests and Range

Prepared by

Terje Vold, RPF, RPBio Terje Vold & Associates Consulting

Table of Contents

| Summary of Suggestions |
|---|
| Methods5 |
| Issue 1: Site productivity (managed stand yields, site index)6 |
| Issue 2: Existing unmanaged stand volumes (inventory audit; VRI phase 2)8 |
| Issue 3: Vegetation Resource Inventory10 |
| Issue 4: Decay, waste and breakage11 |
| Issue 5: Site productivity: alternative silviculture systems |
| Issue 6: Site productivity: forest health and OAFs12 |
| Issue 7: Stand dynamics outside timber harvesting land base13 |
| Issue 8: NSR14 |
| Issue 9: Other forest inventory issues15 |
| Issue 10: Traditional use studies and related issues15 |
| Issue 11: Recreation and landscape inventory16 |
| Appendix 1: Detailed Summary of Inventory Issues by TSA/TFL |

| -pponum 11 | Detailed Summary | | | 10 |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----|
| Appendix 2: | AAC Rationale Rep | ports used to identify I | Inventory Issues | 35 |

Summary of Suggestions

The suggestions below are based on a review of implementation recommendations in AAC rationale reports related to inventory and growth and yield issues as they can affect timber supply forecasts and subsequent AAC determinations.

Incentives to encourage licensees to use FIA or other funds to undertake the work needed to address the outstanding issues noted in the report by management unit (TSA/TFLs) needs to be explored. Even with improved incentives, however, there could be incomplete or spotty efforts made to address the concerns. Alternative or complementary provincial approaches are noted below for consideration where applicable.

Issue 1: Site productivity (managed stand yields, site index)

Assess (or use any existing assessments) of the provincial SIBEC data base to initiate a provincial project to improve expected reliability of site productivity estimates for regenerated managed stands particularly for those ecosystem types that contribute most to timber supply.

Issue 2: Existing unmanaged stand volumes (inventory audit; VRI phase 2) Give high priority consideration for undertaking VRI phase 2 in Prince George, Quesnel, Kamloops and Mackenzie TSAs as the issue of existing stand volumes has been raised in these TSAs and they collectively represent about 33% of the current provincial AAC.

Issue 3: Vegetation Resource Inventory

High priority consideration should be given to undertaking VRI phase 1 for the Okanagan, 100 Mile and Merritt TSAs and TFL 46 and 47 with particular focus on the Okanagan TSA as the forest cover inventory is considered one of the oldest in the province.

Issue 4: Decay, waste and breakage

High priority consideration should be given to undertaking NVAF for the Merritt, Arrowsmith and Soo TSAs and TFL 30.

Issue 5: Site productivity: alternative silviculture systems

Develop (or further develop) a provincial data base on the productivity of forests due to use of alternative silvicultural systems with focus on those management units where uncertainty in this factor can have the greatest impact on timber supply.

Issue 6: Site productivity: forest health and OAFs

Initiate a provincial study or regional studies to refine OAF reductions with focus on those management units where uncertainty in this factor (due to concerns such as root rot) can have the greatest impact on timber supply.

Issue 7: Stand dynamics outside timber harvesting land base

Initiate a provincial study or regional studies that better allow disturbances in the nontimber harvesting land base (e.g. inoperable areas, parks) to be modeled with focus on those management units where uncertainty in this factor can have the greatest impact on timber supply.

Issue 8: NSR

Initiate a provincial study on ways to confirm or update the inventory files related to NSR, for example, using databases such as RESULTS.

Issue 9: Other forest inventory issues

Address the other forest inventory issues noted in Appendix 1 as resources allow.

Issue 10: Traditional use studies and related issues

That FIA and other funding mechanism be made available to support traditional use studies, AOAs and other related cultural heritage resource studies to help ensure these values are better addressed in timber supply review.

Issue 11: Recreation and landscape inventory

If not already underway, specific roles and responsibilities regarding the inventory should be clearly established between MOFR, MOAL and MOTSA with one task being to identify those inventories in greatest need for updating in support of timber supply review.

Methods

The inventory and growth and yield issues identified in 6 regional summaries of timber supply review (from AAC rationale reports) completed in March 2001 were compiled and collated by type of inventory-related issue. The regional summaries cover 83 rationale documents largely in TSR 1 but also some from TSR 2.

This initial compilation and collation of issues was augmented by reviewing all remaining newer AAC rationale reports not covered by the 2001 regional summaries – i.e. an additional 70 AAC rationales from TSR 2 and 3. The focus of the review was on "implementation" issues identified by the chief forester or deputy chief forester where additional inventory-related information was needed to improve subsequent AAC determinations.

The compiled issues from 153 AAC (see Appendix 1 and 2) rationale reports were compared with AAC rationale statements that the issue had or had not been resolved; and with *Copy of Inventory Status and Priorities November 2005* provided by the Forest Analysis and Inventory Branch which summarizes by TSA/TFL the status of inventory audits, inventory updates, VRI phase 1 and 2 work, FIA projects, forest inventory issues and priorities, and related information.

Each issue type is described below, in relative priority (in context of importance of issue with respect to timber supply and how many times the issue is raised in AAC rationale reports), with respect to:

- the *nature* of the issue and why it is important to timber supply review;
- *trends* in the issue from TSR 1 to TSR 3, and how or if the issue has been actioned and resolved;
- *outstanding issues* and status (description of required action, action underway, responsibility, and impact if not actioned); and a
- *suggestion(s)* on how to resolve the outstanding issue.

The 2001 regional summaries identified issues as being inventory or growth and yield related, and similar types of issues were addressed when reviewing post-2001 AAC rationales. However many issues that were not tagged as inventory or growth and yield-related do have a large connection with forest inventories. For example:

- estimates of unsalvaged losses;
- spread and severity of mountain pine beetle infestation;
- environmental sensitivity areas (ESAs);
- harvesting performance in problem forest types and their identification; and
- availability of old growth forests to achieve legal targets.

These inventory-related issues are not directly addressed in this review unless they were tagged as being an inventory issue in the regional summaries or in the implementation section of the AAC rationale reports.

Issue 1: Site productivity (managed stand yields, site index)

nature of the issue

The productivity of a site largely determines how quickly trees will grow. This in turn affects the time seedlings will take to reach green-up conditions (i.e. to achieve forest cover adjacency constraints in timber supply review), the volume of timber that can be produced, and the ages at which a stand will satisfy mature forest cover requirements and reach a merchantable size. If regenerating managed stands can reach merchantable age at an earlier age than originally estimated due to refined work showing that sites have higher site productivity, then the time interval to harvest existing mature unmanaged stands can be correspondingly shortened and the short-term AAC can often be increased. In addition, the previously estimated "fall down" in mid- to long-term timber supply can be reduced or often eliminated in many TSAs or TFLs should estimated site productivity increase.

trends

Because of the vital importance of site productivity estimates to timber supply review, 45 AAC rationales in TSR 1 (about 73% of all TSR 1 rationales) emphasized the importance of assessing the implications of the then on-going provincial paired plot study on timber supply prior to the next determination. In TSR 1, site productivity was largely based on the estimates of volume growth from mature stands (using VDYP) whose ages had often exceeded culmination of mean annual increment and therefore was believed to significantly underestimate site productivity. Paired plot studies (e.g. Old Growth Site Index or OGSI project) looked at the site productivity of younger managed stands between 30 and 150 years of age in comparison to comparable old-growth stands on similar sites. The studies confirmed that when old stands are harvested and regenerated, site productivity is generally higher than inventory-based site index estimates of older stands would predict.

The OGSI study led to the Site Index Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classification (SIBEC) work where site productivity estimates were provided, based on field samples, to distinctive biogeoclimatic units that could be identified from Predictive Ecosystem Mapping (PEM) using VRI or forest cover inventory.

In TSR 2 and 3, refined site productivity estimates using OGSI or SIBEC/PEM were used in most TSAs/TFLs which showed substantial improvements in the timber supply forecast in the mid- to long-term, and in some units also in the short-term.

Nevertheless, even with this refined information, the need for improved estimates for site productivity was raised in 49 TSR 2 AAC rationale reports (about 71%) and in 12 TSR 3 rationales (about 55% of those available for review). The main concern raised was that the substantive increases in site productivity now estimated should be confirmed or revised based on local sampling within the applicable TSA or TFL to augment the provincial OGSI or SIBEC work. Additional sample plots collected in TSAs/TFLs is also used to annually revise the provincial SIBEC thereby improving estimates overall. A limiting factor in some management units in providing additional sample plots may be

the relative difficulty of finding suitable managed stands between 30 and 150 years of age; for example, where harvest history is relatively recent. Also, in some units, the need for PEM mapping, or improved PEM mapping, is also identified.

outstanding issues

The TSAs/TFLs in TSR 3 or 2 were it is recommended that additional local sampling be undertaken to confirm/refined site productivity estimates are listed below where the status of this work is unknown at this time.

| TSAs in TSR 3 | TFLs in TSR 3 | TSAs in TSR 2* | TFLs in TSR 2* |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Arrow | 8 | Arrowsmith | 10 |
| Fraser | 15 | Boundary | 19 |
| Golden | 53 | Bulkley | 26 |
| Invermere | 57 | Cassiar | 30 |
| Prince George | | Cranberry | 35 |
| Quesnel | | Cranbrook | 39 |
| Revelstoke | | Kalum | 43 |
| | | Kingcome | 47 |
| | | Kispiox | 48 |
| | | Lakes | 55 |
| | | Lillooet | 56 |
| | | Mackenzie | |
| | | Merritt | |
| | | Mid-Coast | |
| | | Morice | |
| | | Nass | |
| | | North Coast | |
| | | 100 Mile House | |
| | | Robson Valley | |
| | | Soo | |
| | | Strathcona | |
| | | Sunshine Coast | |
| | | Williams Lake | |

*if raised in TSR 2 and 3 only listed in TSR 3

The majority of the management units (45, or about 66%) are explicitly mentioned in AAC rationales as in need of additional site productivity work at the local level to improve estimates in support of timber supply review. Although SIBEC has greatly improved estimates of site productivity in support of timber supply review, there remains some uncertainty and this uncertainty not only can cause substantial effects on mid- to long-term timber supply but also to short-term timber supply in some management units. A particular concern is some units is where the short-term timber supply has been substantially increased (e.g. due to beetle uplifts or increased estimates of existing stand volumes) with expectation that forecasted mid-term levels are acceptable but predicated on existing site productivity estimates using provincial SIBEC or OGSI data without significant sampling within the unit itself. This causes angst regarding future timber

supply and the possibility that necessary adjustments from the short-to mid-term levels may result in larger decreases than currently forecasted.

suggestion(s)

Incentives to encourage licensees to use FIA or other funds to undertake the recommended local site productivity sampling work needs to be explored to help ensure the outstanding concerns raised in AAC rationale reports are addressed.

Even with improved incentives, however, there could be incomplete or spotty efforts made to address the concerns. An alternative or complementary approach may be to assess (or use any existing assessment of) the provincial SIBEC data base to initiate a provincial project to improve expected reliability of site productivity estimates particularly for those ecosystem types that contribute most to timber supply.

Issue 2: Existing unmanaged stand volumes (inventory audit; VRI phase 2)

nature of issue

Uncertainty in the volumes in existing unmanaged stands as determined from inventory attributes (such as age and height by species and site index) can affect short-term timber supply which is the primary focus of an AAC determination over a 5-year time period. Sensitivity analysis in many management units (TSAs/TFLs) show a general direct relationship between a possible increase or decrease in existing unmanaged stand volumes and available short-term timber supply (e.g. a 10% increase or decrease in volumes often affects short-term timber supply by a corresponding 10%).

trends

Because of the vital importance of this issue to timber supply, and because of uncertainties with respect to existing forest cover inventories in enabling accurate estimates to be provided, 38 AAC rationales in TSR 1 (just over 60% of all 62 TSR 1 rationales) specifically highlighted the need to get more accurate estimates of existing unmanaged stand volumes.

The Inventory Audits largely completed between 1994 and 1999 helped to address this issue. In some TSA/TFLs, the audits found volume estimates based on the inventory to be reasonable accurate, in other units, volume estimates were under- or over-estimated.

In TSR 2, the results of the inventory audits were considered in AAC determinations when available. As a consequence the issue of existing unmanaged stand volumes was less frequently raised (i.e. in 11 rationales, or about 16% of the 69 total number of TSR 2 rationales). Where the issue remained a concern, this was often because the inventory audit showed strong trends that estimated volumes were either under- or over-estimated but the results were not statistically significant for the portion of the land base that contributes to timber supply (i.e. the timber harvesting land base). As a consequence, a request was sometimes made in these rationales that additional work be carried out.

The Vegetation Resource Inventory (VRI) is replacing the older forest cover inventory mapping for TSAs and TFLs over time. VRI phase 2 ground sampling can be conducted prior to phase 1 photo-interpretation work. Phase 2 work provides a basis for verifying or adjusting inventory attributes as it relates to estimating existing unmanaged stand volumes (i.e. it has replaced the forest cover inventory audits).

In TSR 3, the need for phase 2 work to be completed in order to provide better estimates for existing unmanaged stand volumes was raised in 6 rationales (about 27% of the 22 TSR rationales reviewed). Also, where phase 2 sampling has been completed, particularly where the work indicates substantial increases in volumes for existing unmanaged stands and this up-dated information has been used to increase the AAC – there has been the occasional request in AAC rationales to confirm or refine the estimated increased volumes through monitoring of the phase 2 work (e.g. in the TFL 49).

The issue therefore can be viewed as a critical concern in TSR 1 (prior to inventory audit program), a moderate issue during TSR 2 (with inventory audits largely completed), to an important concern in TSR 3 for many TSAs/TFLs where VRI phase 2 is deemed necessary to improve subsequent AAC determinations.

The management units in TSR 2 and 3 where the issue remains an important concern and the extent to which the issue has been addressed is summarized below:

| Sunshine Coast-TSR2 | VRI phase 2 done |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Fort Nelson – TSR 2 | VRI phase 2 done |
| 100 Mile House-TSR2 | VRI phase 2 done |
| Golden – TSR3 | VRI phase 2 in-progress |
| TFL 45 – TSR2 | VRI phase 2 in-progress |
| TFL 48 – TSR2 | VRI phase 2 in-progress |
| TFL 52 – TSR2 | VRI phase 2 in-progress |

Issue raised but appears to be actioned (completed or in-progress):

outstanding issues

Issue raised but appears to remain an outstanding concern:

| Quesnel – TSR3 | No VRI work including phase 2 |
|----------------------|---|
| Prince George – TSR3 | No VRI phase 2 |
| Mackenzie- TSR2 | No VRI phase 2 |
| Kamloops- TSR2 | No VRI phase 2 |
| Revelstoke – TSR3 | No VRI phase 2 |
| TFL 15 – TSR3 | No VRI phase 2 |
| TFL 49 – TSR3 | VRI phase 2 complete but estimated increases in volume need |
| | to monitored to confirm or refine |
| Cassiar – TSR2 | No VRI phase 2 |
| Kispiox – TSR 2 | No VRI phase 2 |
| Mid Coast – TSR 2 | No VRI phase 2 |

suggestion(s)

The Prince George, Quesnel, Kamloops and Mackenzie TSAs represent a total AAC of 27.6 million cubic metres – or about 33% of the current provincial AAC of 83 million cubic metres. If up to a 10% uncertainty exists in existing stand volumes for just these four TSAs, resolving this uncertainty could increase or decrease the AAC by up to 3 million cubic metres. This uncertainty therefore can represent a substantive impact on the local, regional and provincial economy. Consideration therefore should be given to giving high priority focus to these four TSAs.

Issue 3: Vegetation Resource Inventory

nature of issue

The existing forest cover inventory in several TSAs and TFLs is old and needs to be replaced with a more up-to-date VRI. Older forest cover inventories not only result in uncertainties with respect to existing unmanaged stand volumes (as discussed above in issue 2), but also result in uncertainty with respect other inventory attributes that have a direct bearing on timber supply (such as the age of forests that determine when various mature or old forest cover targets have been achieved).

trends

The need for a re-inventory and current status of this effort is summarized in table below by TSR (where the issues have not already been raised in context of phase 2 work under Issue 2 above).

| TFL 15 – TSR2 | Phase 1 complete and 2 in progress |
|--------------------|---|
| Golden-TSR2 | Phase 1 complete and 2 in progress |
| Arrow- TSR2 | Phase 1 complete and 2 in progress |
| Fraser – TSR3 | Phase 1 and 2 complete; need to update VRI done to 2001 |
| Dawson Creek-TSR2 | 40% phase 1; phase 2 done |
| Fort Nelson-TSR2 | Phase 1 done for operable land base |
| Fort St. John-TSR2 | 45% phase complete |

Issue raised but appears to be actioned (completed or substantially completed):

outstanding issues

Issue raised but appears to remain an outstanding concern or only partially completed:

| 100 Mile House-TSR2 | No phase 1; phase 2 completed |
|---------------------|---|
| Okanagan-TSR3 | 3% phase 1; phase 2 completed |
| Merritt – TSR2 | No phase 1; phase 2 done |
| TFL 46 – TSR2 | Not available |
| TFL 47 – TSR2 | Portions of TFL are high priority for inventory |

suggestion(s)

High priority consideration should be given to undertaking VRI phase 1 for the Okanagan, 100 Mile and Merritt TSAs and TFL 46 and 47 - with particular focus on the Okanagan TSA as the forest cover inventory is considered one of the oldest in the province.

Issue 4: Decay, waste and breakage

nature of issue

"Allowance for decay, waste and breakage expected to be applied with respect to timber harvesting on the area" is specifically mentioned in the *Forest Act* as one of the considerations that the chief forester must take into account when making AAC determinations. Stand volumes available to support timber supply are therefore adjusted to account for decay, waste and breakage (DWB) in timber supply analysis.

trends

In TSR 1, 19 of the rationales (about 30%) noted the need to improve allowances for DWB and often mentioned the need to incorporate new provincial DWB factors.

Under VRI, Net Volume Adjustment Factors (NVAF) sampling for a TSA or TFL is currently considered to provide measures of net merchantable volume of stands after reductions for decay and other factors that are more accurate than the standard 1976 provincial loss factors. NVAF is the ratio of a tree's actual net merchantable volume (measure using destructive sampling) to the volume estimated by a timber cruiser.

In TSR 2 and 3, the issue was only raised in 6 rationales (about 7%) with current status of work noted below:

| issue faised but appears to be actioned (completed of underway). | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Kamloops- TSR2 | NVAF planned for 2005 | | | |
| Golden – TSR2 | NVAP in progress | | | |

Issue raised but appears to be actioned (completed or underway):

outstanding issues

Issue raised but appears to remain an outstanding concern:

| Merritt – TSR 3 | No NVAF |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Arrowsmith – TSR2 | NVAF unknown |
| Soo-TSR2 | NVAF unknown |
| TFL 30 | No NVAF |

suggestion(s)

High priority consideration should be given to undertaking NVAF for the Merritt, Arrowsmith and Soo TSAs and TFL 30.

Issue 5: Site productivity: alternative silviculture systems

nature of issue

Alternative silvicultural systems such as partial cutting or variable retention are often promoted in order to better provide for non-timber values such as visuals or biodiversity, or were undertaken decades ago with residual stands remaining. There is less information about growth and yield in these stands, many of which are uneven aged, following harvest and consequently concern that their productivity may not be appropriately modeled in timber supply analysis. Better information about stand dynamics following alternate harvest systems should facilitate improved operational decisions about when and where these systems should be used.

trends

The need for improved information was cited in 15 rationales in TSR 1 (about 25%), 10 in TSR 2 (about 15%), and so far in 2 TSR 3 rationales (about 10%).

outstanding issues

The need for this information appears to remain an outstanding issue in the following TSAs and TFLs from TSR 2 and 3:

- TSAs: Merritt and Cranbrook;
- TFLs: 18, 46, 47, 48, 56, 57

suggestion(s)

Incentives to encourage licensees to use FIA or other funds to undertake the recommended local site productivity sampling work for alternative silviculture systems needs to be explored to help ensure the outstanding concerns raised in current and future AAC rationale reports are addressed.

Even with improved incentives, however, there could be incomplete or spotty efforts made to address the concerns. Another or complementary approach may be to develop (or further develop) a provincial data base on the productivity of forests due to use of alternative silvicultural systems (such as uneven aged stands) with focus on those management units where uncertainty in this factor can have the greatest impact on timber supply. This can be assessed by reviewing available sensitivity analyses in timber supply analyses documents.

Issue 6: Site productivity: forest health and OAFs

nature of issue

In timber supply analysis, the standard BCFS growth and yield model Table Interpolation Program for Stand Yields or TIPSY are used to estimate the timber volumes for regenerated managed stands. The TIPSY projections are initially based on ideal conditions, assuming full site occupancy and the absence of pests, diseases and significant brush competition. However, certain operational conditions, such as a less-than-ideal distribution of trees, the presence of small non-productive areas, endemic pests and diseases, or age-dependent factors such as decay, waste and breakage, may cause yields to be reduced over time. Two operational adjustment factors (OAFs) are therefore applied to yields generated using TIPSY, to account for losses of timber volume resulting from these operational conditions. OAF 1 is designed to account for factors affecting the yield curve across all ages, such as small stand openings. OAF 2 accounts for factors whose impacts tend to increase over time such as pests, disease, decay, waste and breakage. In most timber supply analysis, the standard provincial modeling reductions of 15 percent for OAF1 and 5 percent for OAF2 are applied. Several AAC rationales express concern that the standard OAF reductions may not be applicable to a particular TSA or TFL because of unusually severe forest health issues affecting some regenerating stands such the impacts of Armillaria root rot.

trends

The concern was raised in 16 TSR 1 AAC rationales (about 26%), 14 TSR 2 rationales (about 20%), and so far about 5 TSR 3 rationales (about 23%). Although some limited work has been undertaken in some TSAs, the concern remains in many management units and/or the nature of the studies undertaken need further refinement to improve the estimated reduction factor to be applied.

outstanding issues

The following TSAs and TFLs listed in TSR 2 and 3 where the issue appears to remain outstanding:

- TSAs: Arrow, Arrowsmith, Cranberry, Kispiox, Kootenay Lake, Merritt, 100 Mile House, Revelstoke
- TFLs: 10, 15, 18, 33, 35, 49 and 56.

suggestion(s)

Incentives to encourage licensees to use FIA or other funds to undertake the recommended local sampling work to refine OAF adjustments needs to be explored to help ensure the outstanding concerns raised in current and future AAC rationale reports are addressed.

Even with improved incentives, however, there could be incomplete or spotty efforts made to address the concerns. Another or complementary approach may be to initiate a provincial study or regional studies with focus on those management units where uncertainty in this factor can have the greatest impact on timber supply. This can be assessed by reviewing available sensitivity analyses in timber supply analyses documents.

Issue 7: Stand dynamics outside timber harvesting land base

nature of issue

Forest stands outside the timber harvesting land base, including inoperable areas and parks, do not contribute to timber supply, but are used in timber supply analyses to help achieve forest cover objectives that would otherwise constrain access to the timber harvesting land base. For example, mature and old growth stands in inoperable areas can contribute to attainment of the non-spatial old growth order – which is a legal objective under the *Forest and Range Practices Act* (FRPA), and to forest cover requirements for visuals and wildlife such as ungulate winter range which may also be legal objectives under FRPA.

Some timber supply analyses have continued to age non-contributing areas over time in the model – which overestimates the contribution of these areas to achieving forest cover objectives since some disturbances (such as fire) do occur.

trends

The issue was not raised in TSR 1, but has been raised in 6 rationales in TSR 2 (about 10%) and so far 4 in TSR 3 (about 18%). The trend therefore is increasing reference to this concern particularly in TSAs or TFLs with a relatively large area outside the timber harvesting land base and/or with large adjacent parks where their contribution to achieving forest cover objectives has a significant impact on timber supply

outstanding issue

The issue appears to remain outstanding in the following TSAs and TFLs:

- TSAs: Arrow, Cranbrook, Golden, Invermere, Merritt, Kamloops, Revelstoke
- TFLs: 53.

suggestion(s)

Incentives to encourage licensees to use FIA or other funds to undertake the recommended local work to better model disturbances in the non-timber harvesting land base need to be examined.

Even with improved incentives, however, there could be incomplete or spotty efforts made to address the concerns. Another or complementary approach may be to initiate a provincial study or regional studies with focus on those management units where uncertainty in this factor can have the greatest impact on timber supply. This can be assessed by reviewing available sensitivity analyses in timber supply analyses documents.

Issue 8: NSR

nature of issue

The issue of the size and contribution of not-satisfactorily-restocked (NSR) areas in TSAs and TFLs causes uncertainty in timber supply analysis. The age of existing inventories and the lack of recent updates can lead to questions regarding the nature of NSR areas that are labeled in existing inventory files. (Note: this issue was likely also raised as a "NSR" issue rather than an "inventory" issue and therefore may be a bigger issue than noted below).

trends

The need to confirm the area of NSR and their contribution over time to timber supply has been raised in 7 rationales in TSR 2 (about 11%) and the concern was repeated in 1 rationale in TSR 3.

outstanding issues

The issue appears to remain outstanding in the following units:

- TSAs: Dawson Creek, Quesnel, Revelstoke and Williams Lake
- TFLs: 42, 48 and 55.

suggestion(s)

Incentives to encourage licensees to use FIA or other funds to undertake the recommended local work to confirm the area of NSR needs to be examined.

Even with improved incentives, however, there could be incomplete or spotty efforts made to address the concerns. Another or complementary approach may be to initiate a provincial study on ways to confirm or update the inventory files related to NSR, for example, using databases such as RESULTS.

Issue 9: Other forest inventory issues

Several other forest inventory issues are noted in Appendix 1 and these should be reviewed and addressed as resources allow.

Issue 10: Traditional use studies and related issues

nature of issue

In the context of AAC determinations, accurate information on aboriginal interests and uses, and archaeological sites, can help ensure that cultural heritage and archaeological resources are appropriately factored into timber supply review. This helps reduce uncertainty in timber supply modeling and can help demonstrate respect for and responsiveness to aboriginal interests and uses.

trends

The need for improved information about cultural heritage and archaeological resources through inventories such as traditional use studies (TUSs) and archaeological overview assessments (AOAs) has been mentioned in 14 TSR 1 rationales (about 23%), 5 TSR 2 rationales (about 7%), and so far in 1 TSR 3 rationale. Several TUSs or AOAs were underway during TSR1 or were completed after TSR 1 which helped address the concern.

outstanding issues

Some recent rationales have expressed need that TUSs be completed in areas where they have not been undertaken and/or that cultural heritage resource information be provided in a manner where its impact on timber supply can be more appropriately modeled to account for First Nations interests. For example, the recent TSR 3 rationale for the Merritt TSA recommends completion of the TUS. Under FIA, however, TUSs are not an eligible activity.

suggestion(s)

That FIA and other funding mechanisms be made available to support traditional use studies, AOAs and other related cultural heritage resource studies to help ensure these values are better addressed in timber supply review. It is also important that existing studies are readily maintained as part of the inventory data base and not get inadvertently lost.

Issue 11: Recreation and visual landscape inventory

nature of issue

In the context of timber supply review, an accurate visual landscape inventory is particularly needed to help ensure that visual resource values are adequately accounted for in AAC determinations. Accounting for visual resources tends to have a greater impact on timber supply than recreation resources. However, an accurate recreation inventory is also useful to improve the accounting for this value particularly in those management units where much older ESA mapping for recreation (Er) have been used in timber supply analysis.

Trends

The need to improve the recreation or landscape inventory for TSAs or TFLs was mentioned in 7 TSR 1 rationales (about 11%) and 6 TSR 2 rationales (about 9%). Based on TSR 2 implementation recommendations, this appears to be an outstanding issue in the following units (or portions of those units):

- TSAs: Mid Coast, Merritt and Cranberry
- TFLs: 42 and 44

suggestion(s)

Incentives should be explored for licensees to utilize FIA or other funding sources to improve recreation and landscape inventories particularly where recommended in AAC rationales as an important implementation task. It is also important that existing inventories remain readily maintained as part of the inventory data base and not get inadvertently lost. If not already underway, specific roles and responsibilities regarding the inventory should be clearly established between MOFR, MOAL and MOTSA with one task being to identify those inventories in greatest need for updating in support of timber supply review.

Appendix 1:

Detailed Summary of Inventory Issues by TSA/TFL

Type of issue:

The 2001 regional summaries (see section on "Methods") characterized the many issues identified in AAC rationale documents into the following types:

- **Urgent** Issue: an outstanding issue which needs to be tracked closely and may lead to an early re-determination.
- **High** Priority Issue: work requested to be completed.
- **Info**rmation **Need**: identifies issues where more information would be beneficial; often being addressed under an existing project.
- **Issue Statement**: identifies issues that require operational consideration or require further study.

AAC rationales reviewed (subsequent to the 2001 regional summaries – see Appendix 2) identifies issues raised under the:

• **Implement**ation section of each rationale.

The bold names above are therefore used to characterize the type of issue in the tables below.

Status of issue:

The 2001 regional summaries use the terms:

- yes means that actions are underway to address the issue, and
- **complete** means that the issue has been successfully addressed.

As noted under "Methods", *Copy of Inventory Status and Priorities November 2005* was reviewed to assess status of issue where applicable.

- **IP** refers to in-progress
- % refers to percent of TSA or TFL with coverage where less than 100%.

Also:

• - or **unknown** or **?** – refers to not knowing the status of issue based on above information

Issue 1: Site productivity (managed stand yields, site index)

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|--------|---|------------------|-----|----------|
| Urgent | Examination of the data that supports TIPSY to ensure it reasonably estimates regenerated stand volumes in the TSA. | Mackenzie | 1 | - |
| Urgent | Assess and examine ways to localize VDYP to reduce uncertainties. | Lillooet | 1 | Complete |
| High | Assess the implications of the paired plot study | Prince George | 1 | - |
| High | Assess the implications of the paired plot study. | Quesnel | 1 | Yes |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Lillooet | 1 | Yes |
|-------------|--|------------------|---|----------|
| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Arrow | 1 | Yes |
| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Kootenay | 1 | Yes |
| U | | Lake | | |
| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Revelstoke | 1 | Yes |
| High | Assess the implications of the paired plot study. | Kingcome | 1 | Yes |
| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot and SIBEC study | Cassiar | 1 | - |
| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Kalum | 1 | - |
| High | Assess the site productivity on small diameter pine | Lakes | 1 | - |
| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Lillooet | 1 | Yes |
| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot study | North | 1 | Yes |
| | | Coast | | |
| Info. Need | Resolve potential for increased site index to augment timber | 100 Mile | 1 | Yes |
| | supply | House | | |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | 100 Mile | 1 | Yes |
| | | House | | |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Williams | 1 | Yes |
| | | Lake | | |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Robson | 1 | - |
| | | Valley | | |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Kamloops | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Merritt | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Okanagan | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications of the paired plot study and other | Strathcona | 1 | Yes |
| | findings and incorporate into next determination. | : | | |
| Info. Need | Site productivity work required in all managed stands for both | Kispiox | 1 | Yes |
| | single and mixed species. | | | |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Boundary | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | Invermere | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot and SIBEC study | Bulkley | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study | Nass | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Use managed stand yield tables to establish regenerated stand | Kootenay | 1 | Complete |
| | volumes | Lake | | |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications of the paired plot study | Soo | 1 | Yes |
| Issue | Use managed stand yield tables to establish regenerated stand | Arrow | 1 | Complete |
| Statement | volumes | TEL 10 | 1 | |
| High | Assess the implications of the paired plot study and licensee's | TFL 19 | 1 | - |
| TT: 1 | study | | 1 | |
| High | Further improvements in managed cottonwood stand yield. | TFL 43 TFL 52 | 1 | - |
| High | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | | 1 | ? |
| High | Licensee to prepare yield curves which more accurately | TFL 6 | 1 | - |
| Info Nood | reflect the conditions of the stands being modeled | TFL 30 | 1 | |
| Info Need | Examine the possibility that site productivity is | IFL 50 | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | underestimated. Examine uncertainty of assignment of managed stands for | TFL 47 | 1 | |
| mito. meeu | stands between 30 and 40 years of age. | 1FL 4/ | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Have methods reviewed by Research and Resource Inventory | TFL 6 | 1 | |
| millo. meeu | Branches. Complete necessary work prior to MP No. 9 | 11.50 | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Continue exploring methods to assess/adjust site index | TFL 10 | 1 | |
| Info. Need | Additional studies for ecosite classification required. | TFL 10 TFL 24 | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Better quantify effects of stand conversion on site index. | TFL 24 TFL 24 | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Develop appropriate methodology to deal with site index. | TFL 24 TFL 25 | 1 | - |
| mito. meed | estimates. | 11°L 23 | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications of the paired plot study and other | TFL 45 | 1 | |

| | findings and incorporate into next determination | [[] | | |
|------------|--|------------|---|-----|
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | TFL 18 | 1 | |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired plot study. | TFL 33 | 1 | |
| Info. Need | Assess the implications on the paired pilot study. | TFL 14 | 1 | _ |
| High | Monitor performance in regenerated stands with field-based | Golden | 2 | |
| mgn | plots to determine whether the increases in site productivity | Condeni | 2 | |
| | suggested by the old growth site index studies are in fact | | | |
| | warranted. | | | |
| High | Assess the implications of the paired plot study particularly | QCI | 2 | Yes |
| 0 | the uncertainty with respect to Sitka spruce | | | |
| Info. Need | Obtain localized data to provide better estimates of site | Soo | 2 | - |
| | productivity. | | | |
| Info. Need | Site productivity studies to assess the appropriate adjustments | Mid Coast | 2 | - |
| | to incorporate in future timber supply analyses. | | | |
| Info. Need | Obtain improved site productivity information for the | North | 2 | - |
| | managed stands in the TSA | Coast | | |
| Info. Need | In consultation with Research Branch staff, validate an | Cranberry | 2 | - |
| | appropriate site index adjustment for the TSA, for single and | | | |
| | mixed species. | | | |
| Info. Need | Performance in regenerated stands should be monitored with | Revelstoke | 2 | - |
| | field-based plots to determine if the increases in site | | | |
| | productivity suggested by the old growth site index studies | | | |
| | are fully warranted. | | | |
| Info. Need | Collect improved site productivity data for the stands in the | Arrow | 2 | - |
| | TSA, including involvement as appropriate in the ongoing | | | |
| | project under the IFPA. | | | |
| Info. Need | Study and report on the specific implications of old growth | Strathcona | 2 | - |
| | site adjustments in the TSA, and the extent to which these | | | |
| | have already been accounted for in the inventory. | | | |
| - | Work with Research Branch staff to assess the degree of | Kalum | 2 | - |
| | applicability of provincial site index adjustments to the TSA, | | | |
| | as will as the indications that inventory inaccuracies have led | | | |
| | to underestimation of site productivity in 20- to 60 year old | | | |
| | stands | | - | |
| High | Test accuracy of site indexes applied in current analysis. | TFL 8 | 2 | - |
| Info. Need | Continue monitoring the permanent G&Y sampling plots, | TFL 43 | 2 | - |
| | which will provide information that is reflective of the | | | |
| | growing conditions within the TFL and install new PSPs | | 2 | |
| - | The licensee work with BCFS staff to monitor managed stand | TFL 53 | 2 | - |
| | yields, in particular with regard to the yields attributed to | | | |
| | genetic gain and site productivity estimates as projected in the | | | |
| | analysis. | | | |

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|-----------|---|-------------------|-----|--------|
| Implement | To maintain accurate projections of future timber supplies, carry out field studies to refine estimates of the site indices for those zones and species not already addressed in the previous study (i.e. completed in CWH but not IDF, MH, or ESSF. | Fraser | 3 | - |
| Implement | Collect local site productivity data since no local studies have been undertaken in TSA. | Sunshine Coast | 2 | - |
| Implement | Collect the necessary data through the TEM project to allow for more precise estimates of site productivity using SIBEC | TFL 39 | 2 | - |

Datio . 1 .1 1. 2001

| Implement | In view of the potential benefits to timber supply projections, as identified in other parts of the province, I encourage | Golden | 3 | - |
|-----------|--|------------|---|---|
| | licensees to apply for FIA funding to carry out local field | | | |
| | studies to refine estimates of site indices specific to the TSA | | | |
| | for direction application in future timber supply analyses, | | | |
| | rather than relying on the provincial OGSI or veteran figures. | | | |
| | It is possible that underestimated OAFs could be offset by | | | |
| | underestimated site indices. (OGSI plots were outside TSA) | | | |
| Implement | Initiate work in the district to confirm site productivity in | Quesnel | 2 | - |
| | view of the high sensitivity of the mid-term timber supply to | | | |
| | increases in site index. | | | |
| Implement | Initiate work in the district to confirm site productivity, in | Quesnel | 3 | - |
| | view of the corresponding potential to increase the mid-term | | | |
| | timber supply. | | - | |
| Implement | Collect data from stands within the TSA to provide better | Prince | 2 | - |
| | certainty around the magnitude of site productivity | George | | |
| | adjustments | | | |
| Implement | Continue work to confirm site productivity, in view of the | Prince | 3 | - |
| | potential increase to the mid- and long-term timber supply | George | | |
| Implement | Collect information on appropriate site index adjustments for | Lake | 2 | - |
| | species other than pine | | | |
| Implement | Conduct a study on site productivity specific to the TSA | Mackenzie | 2 | - |
| Implement | Consider doing Site Index/BEC (SIBEC) work or ground | TFL 57 | 3 | - |
| | sampling to improve estimates of productivity because of its | | | |
| | impact on minimum harvestable ages | | | |
| Implement | Site productivity for existing and future managed stands based | Arrow | 3 | - |
| | on PEM/SIBEC. Given sensitivity of timber supply in TSA | | | |
| | to uncertainty in site index, monitoring growth of young | | | |
| | stands would be useful as part of IFPA | | | |
| Implement | Collect site productivity data from stands within the TSA to | Arrowsmith | 2 | - |
| | determine if adjustments are appropriate | | | |
| Implement | Review the assumptions to decrease the uncertainty about site | Boundary | 2 | - |
| | productivity estimates | | | |
| Implement | Improve site index information for the OASIS approach to | Bulkley | 2 | - |
| | estimating site index | | | |
| Implement | Clarify site productivity issues and associated issues of green- | Cassiar | 2 | - |
| | up by reviewing SIBEC and inventory data | | | |
| Implement | Licensees encouraged to develop PEM for use in SIBEC | Cranbrook | 2 | - |
| | assessment of managed stand site indices given large potential | | | |
| | impact on timber supply relative to use of inventory derived | | | |
| | site indices | | | |
| Implement | The use of SIBEC-derived site indices for managed stands has | Invermere | 3 | - |
| | a large impact on timber supply. I acknowledge the | | | |
| | significant research behind these estimates showing that first | | | |
| | and second approx. SIBEC estimates are suitable for | | | |
| | supporting AAC determinations. I ask licensees to continue | | | |
| | to monitor growth and yield from their second growth stands | | | |
| | to track against expected productivity as predicted from | | | |
| | SIBEC. I also ask licensees to continue to refine PEM to | | | |
| | allow continued improvement and better estimates of site | | | |
| | index | | | |
| Implement | Collect local data to better define the site productivity of | Kingcome | 2 | - |
| | second growth stands | | | |
| | | 17 | • | |
| Implement | Collect local site productivity data to enable an assessment of the applicability of provincial site index adjustments to | Kispiox | 2 | - |

| | managed stands in the TSA | | | |
|-----------|---|------------------|---|---------|
| Implement | Collect data on site productivity from stands within the TSA | Lillooet | 2 | - |
| Implement | Collect local data to improve confidence about the magnitude | Merritt | 2 | - |
| | of site productivity adjustments appropriate for the TSA | | | |
| Implement | District staff should pursue funding for site productivity | Mid Coast | 2 | - |
| | studies to assess the appropriate adjustments to incorporate in | | | |
| | future timber supply analysis | | | |
| Implement | Collect data on site productivity from stands within the TSA | Morice | 2 | - |
| Implement | Collect data from the Nass TSA to confirm appropriate | Nass | 2 | - |
| | estimates of site productivity | | | |
| Implement | Obtain improved site productivity information for the | North | 2 | - |
| | managed stands in the TSA | Coast | | |
| Implement | Work with licensee staff to collect improved site productivity | Okanagan | 2 | Work |
| | data for stands in the TSA | | | done in |
| | | | | 2002 |
| Implement | Collect and analyze more local data regarding site | 100 Mile | 2 | - |
| - | productivity estimates in the TSA | House | | |
| Implement | Performance in regenerated stands should be monitored with | Revelstoke | 2 | - |
| - | field-based plots to determine if the increases in site | | | |
| | productivity suggested by the OGSI studies are fully | | | |
| | warranted | | | |
| Implement | That district and licensee staff work to gather local data to | Revelstoke | 3 | - |
| 1 | better quantify the site productivity in old growth stands | | | |
| Implement | Resolve the appropriate adjustments to make to site indices in | Robson | 2 | - |
| 1 | the TSA and monitor growth in second growth stands | Valley | | |
| Implement | Obtain localized data to provide better estimates of site | Soo | 2 | - |
| 1 | productivity | | | |
| Implement | Study and report on the specific implications of OGSI | Strathcona | 2 | - |
| 1 | adjustments in the TSA and the extent to which these have | | | |
| | already been accounted for in the inventory | | | |
| Implement | In view of the associated potential for large increases in the | Williams | 2 | - |
| 1 | projected timber supply, I encourage the collection of locally- | Lake | | |
| | based estimates of site productivity for consideration in the | | | |
| | next determination | | | |
| Implement | If possible, improve site index estimates in the ESSF | TFL 8 | 3 | - |
| Implement | Collect localized site productivity information | TFL 10 | 2 | - |
| Implement | Develop local site index estimates for interior Douglas-fir and | TFL 15 | 3 | - |
| mprement | spruce to reduce the uncertainty regarding the application of | _ | U | |
| | the provincial site index conversions | | | |
| Implement | Strengthen the basis for site index assumptions | TFL 19 | 2 | - |
| Implement | Collect localized site productivity estimates | TFL 26 | 2 | _ |
| Implement | Examine and refine site index estimates applied in higher | TFL 30 | 2 | _ |
| Implement | elevation stands | 11 2 30 | 2 | _ |
| Implement | Confirm or refine the estimates of site index for high | TFL 35 | 2 | _ |
| Implement | elevation areas and for spruce generally | 11 12 55 | 2 | _ |
| Implement | Continue monitoring the permanent G&Y sampling plots, | TFL 43 | 2 | - |
| Implement | which will provide information that is reflective of the | 11 L 45 | 2 | - |
| | growing conditions within the TFL, and install new | | | |
| | permanent sample plots in the Kingcome and Homathko | | | |
| | Blocks | | | |
| Implement | | TFL 47 | 2 | |
| Implement | Investigate site indices on the Bonanza Lake and Moresby | 1112 4/ | 2 | - |
| | Island MUs by establishing local studies to validate the SIREC work already completed | | | |
| Implement | SIBEC work already completed | TFL 48 | 2 | |
| Implement | Obtain localized site productivity information | TFL 48 TFL 49 | 2 | - |
| Implement | Monitor improved site index estimates for next analysis | 1 FL 49 | 3 | - 1 |

| Implement | Collect data to obtain better site productivity estimates | TFL 55 | 2 | - |
|-----------|---|--------|---|---|
| Implement | Monitor actual stand volume realized in comparison to | TFL 53 | 3 | - |
| | predicted volumes in managed stands | | | |
| Implement | Continue to collect site productivity data and compare | TFL 56 | 2 | - |
| | estimates derived from the inventory data to field values | | | |

Issue 2: Existing unmanaged stand volumes (inventory audit; VRI phase 2)

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|------------|---|-------------------|-----|---|
| Urgent | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Kootenay Lake | 1 | Complete; audit 1994 |
| Urgent | Check volume estimates for existing stands. If significant problems found, may revisit determination early. | Kispiox | 1 | Audit 1997 |
| Urgent | Check volume estimates for existing stands | North Coast | 1 | Audit 1994 |
| Urgent | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Bulkley | 1 | Audit 1994 |
| Urgent | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Kalum | 1 | Audit 1996 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Prince George | 1 | Audit 1997/98 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Arrow | 1 | Complete; Audit 1995 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Boundary | 1 | Complete; Audit 1997 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Cranbrook | 1 | Complete; Audit 1996 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Golden | 1 | Complete; Audit 1994 |
| High | Evaluate discrepancy between actual harvested and expected volumes. | Kootenay Lake | 1 | Complete; Audit 1994 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Lakes | 1 | Audit 1998 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Kingcome | 1 | Complete; Audit 1995 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Sunshine Coast | 1 | Complete; Audit not completed (but phase 2 VRI complete) |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Kamloops | 1 | Complete; Audit 1996 |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Merritt | 1 | Complete; Audit 1996 |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Okanagan | 1 | Complete; Audit 1996 |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | 100 Mile House | 1 | Complete; Audit 1994 |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Fort St John | 1 | Audit 1994 |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Robson Valley | 1 | Audit 1998 |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Mid Coast | 1 | Complete; audit 1994 |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Cassiar | 1 | Audit 1996 |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Nass | 1 | Audit 1996 |
| Info. Need | Explore the possibilities of the addition of more sample plots within the timber harvesting land base | QCI | 1 | Complete; audit 1993/97 |
| Issue | Discrepancy between actual harvested and expected volumes | Revelstoke | 1 | Complete; Audit 1997 |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

| Statement | (inventory overestimated). | | | |
|--------------------|---|--------|---|---|
| Issue statement | Check volume estimates for existing stands | Soo | 1 | Complete; audit 1997 |
| | | | 1 | Audit 1995 |
| Urgent | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 18 | - | |
| Urgent | Reassess the inventory for existing stand volumes | TFL 14 | 1 | Audit 1994 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 55 | 1 | Audit 1996 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 56 | 1 | Audit 1996 |
| High | Resolve and correct the discrepancy of actual v. expected | TFL 30 | 1 | Audit 1994 |
| _ | volumes as identified in the inventory audit. | | | |
| High | Any further conclusions of the inventory audit will be | TFL 42 | 1 | Audit 1996 |
| e | considered in the next determination. | | | |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 19 | 1 | Audit 1999 |
| High | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 43 | 1 | Unknown |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 6 | 1 | No audit |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 25 | 1 | No audit |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 45 | 1 | No audit completed |
| Info. Need | Check volume estimates for existing stands | TFL 47 | 1 | Audit 1995/97 |
| Urgent | Check volume estimates for existing stands. | Fraser | 2 | Complete; Audit 1994 and 1995; Phase 2 complete |
| - | Undertake further work in cooperation with Resources Inventory Branch to attempt to isolate concern regarding operational timber volumes for existing stands. | Kalum | 2 | Audit 1996; VRI phase 2 complete |

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| Rati | onales since regional summaries (post March 2001) | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Ratu Implement Note | Document the volume of cedar harvested relative to the volume of cedar in the inventory profile. (Note: Not really an inventory issue but more one to assess if profile assumed to be harvested in the analysis supporting the determination is in fact being harvested) High uncertainty remains in existing stand volumes despite | TFL 44 Cassiar | 3 | Unknown; internal audit completed ; phase 2 not done Audit |
| | audit (i.e. from 0 to 16% overestimation) | | | 1996; phase 2 unknown |
| Implement | Ministry staff and licensees should work to explain and reduce discrepancies between stand volumes estimated by VDYP and those measured in the field (cruises) or during scaling | Fort Nelson | 2 | No audit; phase 2 complete |
| Implement | Clarify whether the inventory data does indeed overestimate volume estimates for existing stands. Note: 1996 audit suggests this but needs to be confirmed or refined. | Kispiox | 2 | Audit 1997; Phase 2 unknown |
| Implement | If funding permits, a second phase of the audit should be carried out, with emphasis on collecting data to resolve questions about the volume estimates for existing natural stands for the operable land base in the outer and inner coast areas | Mid Coast | 2 | Unknown; Audit 1994; Phase 2 unknown |
| Implement | Fully review the concern that the forest inventory may over- estimate forest ages (as indicated by the 1999 inventory audit) and hence affect assumptions regarding the achievement of the seral stage distribution for landscape-level biodiversity | 100 Mile House | 2 | Audit 1994; phase 2 complete |
| Implement | Reduce uncertainty in VRI data (i.e. address VRI phase 2 | Sunshine | 2 | VRI phase |

| | volume adjustments using Fraser Protocol) | Coast | | 2 done |
|-----------|---|------------------|---|--|
| Implement | Completing Phase 2 of VRI, which is FIA funded, is very important with respect to confirming appropriate volume assignments to the inventory figures for existing mature stands. (VRI Phase 1 completed in 2001. Recompiled 1994 audit ground samples suggest 4-10% volume overestimation in new phase VRI.) | Golden | 3 | VRI phase 2 IP |
| Implement | Initiate work in the district to more accurately estimate existing stand volumes. (1999 audit suggests existing mature stands overestimated in inventory by about 12%). | Quesnel | 3 | No VRI work incl. phase 2 |
| Implement | Initiate work in the TSA to more accurately estimate existing stand volumes. (Earlier audits and initial VRI phase 2 samples suggest volume overestimation) | Prince George | 3 | No VRI phase 2 |
| Implement | Complete the VRI in order to provide data which will help to evaluate existing stand volumes | Mackenzie | 2 | 15% VRI Phase 1; no phase 2 |
| Implement | Work with MSRM to complete VRI work in the TSA to assess the reliability of existing stand volume estimates | Kamloops | 2 | 15% VRI phase 1; no phase 2 |
| Implement | BCFS staff and licensees collaborate to undertake VRI phase 2 prior to next determination in order to provide a better volume estimates for existing stands | Revelstoke | 3 | Phase 1 complete; no phase 2 |
| Implement | Undertake work to improve the inventory in order to reduce uncertainty, in particular volume estimates for existing stands, and preferably using the standard methodology supported by MOFR FAIB, formerly with MSRM | TFL 15 | 3 | Phase 1 complete; phase 2 sampling not completed |
| Implement | Complete ground sampling (Phase 2) of VRI well before the next timber supply analysis | TFL 45 | 2 | Phase 1 complete; phase 2 IP |
| Implement | Complete Phase 2 of the VRI | TFL 48 | 2 | 100% VRI phase 1; phase 2 IP |
| Implement | Monitor VRI phase 2 estimated volume increases for mature natural stands to confirm/refine | TFL 49 | 3 | Phase 1 and 2 complete |
| Implement | Complete phase 2 of VRI in order to refine estimates of existing stand volumes | TFL 52 | 2 | VRI phase 1 and 2 IP |

Issue 3: Vegetation Resource Inventory

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|------------|---|-----------------|-----|--------------------------------|
| Urgent | Re-inventory required particularly in the northern portion of the TSA | Mackenzie | 1 | 15% VRI Phase 1 |
| Info Need | It would be very beneficial to conduct a re-inventory prior to the next determination | Fort St John | 1 | 45% VRI phase 1 |
| Info Need | Re-inventory for TSA recommended. Focus on Moberly PSYU first. | Dawson Creek | 1 | 40% VRI phase 1; phase 2 |
| Info. Need | Re-inventory information to be incorporated into TSR 2. | Kamloops | 1 | 15% VRI phase 1 |
| Info Need | A re-inventory of the TSA is underway | Quesnel | 1 | Update but no VRI |
| High | Licensee required to update inventory (as per Chief Forester letter of August 23, 1994) prior to next determination | TFL 41 | 1 | No VRI |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

| High | Re-inventory required before next determination; re-inventory plan to be approved by BCFS. | TFL 52 | 1 | VRI phase 1 and 2 IP |
|------------|--|--------|---|------------------------------------|
| High | Complete a comprehensive inventory for the TFL prior to next determination | TFL 48 | 1 | 100% VRI phase 1; phase 2 IP |
| Info. Need | Any required volume adjustment to be made after VRI completed, and if required a suitable revision to the AAC determined | TFL 54 | 1 | 100% VRI phase 1 |
| High | Complete VRI before TSR 3 | Fraser | 2 | 100% VRI phase 1; phase 2 |
| High | Strongly encourage licensee to complete the Phase 2 VRI | TFL 15 | 2 | 100% VRI phase 1; phase 2 IP |
| Info. Need | Undertake a new forest inventory for the TSA | Golden | 2 | 100% VRI phase 1; phase 2 IP |
| Info. Need | Pursue funding for a new forest inventory | Arrow | 2 | 100% VRI phase 1; phase 2 IP |

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| | onales since regional summaries (post March 2001) | | | • |
|-----------|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Implement | Need to update VRI based on depletions and other volume- related inventory attributes in a timely manner | Fraser | 3 | Updated to 2001 |
| Implement | Encourage licensee to update the TFL forest inventory (i.e. to undertake a VRI given age of the inventory (1967-70 with some updates 1976-77) | TFL 46 | 2 | NA |
| Implement | Continue to update forest inventories of the TSA including VRI phase 1 | Dawson Creek | 2 | 40% VRI phase 1; phase 2 |
| Implement | MOF staff should continue to work with Slocan to implement 5-year re-inventory plan | Fort Nelson | 2 | VRI phase 1 for operable land base; phase 2 incomplete |
| Implement | Encourage completion of the VRI project | Fort St John | 2 | 45% VRI phase 1 |
| Implement | Standard procedures (Fraser Protocol) for adjusting inventory attributes based on phase 2 VRI ground samples in the TSA led to problems and were therefore not used in the timber supply analysis. The problems with the use of the procedures needs to be better understood and addressed prior to the next timber supply analysis | Merritt | 2 | No phase 1 VRI; phase 2 done |
| Implement | Pursue funding for a new forest inventory for the TSA | Okanagan | 2 | 3% VRI phase 1; phase 2 completed |
| Implement | The Okanagan TSA has one of the oldest forest inventories in the province; VRI phase 1 re-inventory work needs to be completed given the age of the existing inventory | Okanagan | 3 | 3% VRI phase 1; phase 2 completed |
| Implement | Completed a VRI for the TSA in particular to improve the forest cover attributes | 100 Mile House | 2 | No phase 1; phase 2 completed |
| Implement | Give a high priority to completing a forest cover re-inventory of the Johnstone Strait and Moresby Island MUs | TFL 47 | 2 | NA |

Issue 4: Decay, waste and breakage

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|------------|---|------------------|-----|-------------------------------|
| Urgent | Determine relationship between trees identified for high stumping as wildlife trees and assumed losses due to decay, waste and breakage | Lillooet | 1 | Complete; NVAF complete |
| High | Incorporate new provincial decay, waste and breakage factors where feasible. | Arrow | 1 | Complete; NVAF IP |
| High | Incorporate new provincial decay, waste and breakage factors where feasible. | Golden | 1 | Complete; NVAF IP |
| High | Clarify cedar and hemlock factors in Longworth PSYU | Robson Valley | 1 | Unknown; no NVAF |
| High | Investigate for red cedar in Kyuquout supply block | Strathcona | 1 | Yes; no NVAF |
| High | Incorporate new provincial decay, waste and breakage factors where feasible. | Kalum | 1 | Complete; NVAF complete |
| Info. Need | Monitor losses in balsam leading stands and refine OAF2 if required. | Morice | 1 | Yes; no NVAF |
| Info. Need | Incorporate new provincial decay, waste and breakage factors where feasible. | North Coast | 1 | Complete; no NVAF |
| Info. Need | Incorporate new provincial decay, waste and breakage factors where feasible. | Merritt | 1 | No; no NVAF |
| Info Need | Incorporate new provincial decay, waste and breakage factors where feasible. | Quesnel | 1 | Complete; no NVAF |
| Info Need | Incorporate new provincial decay, waste and breakage factors where feasible. | Williams Lake | 1 | Complete; NVAF complete |
| Info Need | Decay, waste and breakage factors require better allowances for deciduous stands within this TSA | Fort St John | 1 | Unknown; no NVAF |
| Info. Need | Inventory may be improved through collection of more localized data. | Mid Coast | 1 | Complete; no NVAF |
| Info. Need | Complete compilation and review of information for next determination | QCI | 1 | Complete; no NVAF |
| High | Quantify factors for further volume-based analysis. | TFL 43 | 1 | Unknown |
| Info. Need | Review factor for next management plan | TFL 39 | 1 | Unknown |
| Info. Need | Use provincial zonal factors for next determination. | TFL 19 | 1 | Unknown; NVAF complete |
| Info. Need | Review and refine deduction factors based on Provincial review. | TFL 18 | 1 | Unknown |
| Info Need | Incorporate new provincial decay, waste and breakage factors where feasible or further analysis by licensee may be useful. | TFL 52 | 1 | Unknown; NVAF complete |
| Info. Need | Refine estimates for stands in Pemberton Supply Block with high levels of decay. | Soo | 2 | Unknown; no NVAF |
| Info. Need | Complete and determine the relevance at the stand level of ongoing studies of cedar and hemlock loss factors, in consultation with staff of Resources Inventory Branch. | Golden | 2 | Unknown; NVAF IP |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| Implement | Undertake studies to resolve the | concern about appropriate | Arrowsmith | 2 | Unknown |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---|---------|

| | loss factors to account for decay, waste and breakage in existing red cedar stands | | | NVAF |
|-----------|--|----------|---|-----------------------------|
| Implement | Gather data to assess decay, waste and breakage in cedar and hemlock stands | Kamloops | 2 | NVAF planned for 2005 |
| Implement | I encourage completion of NVAF sampling in the TSA as these results can be used to better account for decay and waste losses in support of future timber supply analyses | Merritt | 3 | No NVAF |
| Implement | Work with Resources Inventory Branch staff to refine decay, waste and breakage estimates for stands in the Pemberton Supply Block with high levels of decay | Soo | 2 | Unknown NVAF |
| Implement | Complete sampling necessary to develop Net Volume Adjustment Factors to replace the existing loss factors | TFL 30 | 2 | No NVAF |

Issue 5: Site productivity: alternative silviculture systems

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|-----|--------|
| High | Timber supply implications of uneven-aged, mixed species and silviculturally treated stands. | Boundary | 1 | ? |
| High | Evaluate alternative analytical approaches to assessing the timber supply implications of uneven aged silvicultural systems and mixed species management. | Cranbrook | 1 | Yes |
| High | Evaluate alternative analytical approaches for assessing the timber supply implications of uneven-aged silvicultural systems and mixed species management | Invermere | 1 | Yes |
| High | Quantify effects of intensive silvicultural activities. | Lillooet | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Evaluate success of intensive silvicultural activities on stand volumes. | Revelstoke | 1 | - |
| Info Need | Review the partial cut regime and confirm the appropriate method of projecting stand volume estimates for regenerated stands. | Robson Valley | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Provide improved information for the next analysis with respect to site index adjustments, alternative silvicultural systems and associated patch-distributions. | Fraser | 2 | - |
| Info. Need | Determine/quantify timber yield implications of employing alternative silviculture (including genetically improved stock) systems | TFL 47 | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Quantify growth and yield under alternative silviculture conditions. | TFL 39 | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Impact to timber supply by using alternative and intensive silvicultural systems. | Cassiar | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Quantify impacts of alternative silviculture, such as wildlife trees and patch retention, on timber supply and G&Y | Bulkley | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Modeling required for alternative harvesting systems. | Kamloops | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | New model(s) required for uneven aged stands. | Kamloops | 1 | Yes |
| Issue statement | Incorporation of changes to available information for dry-belt Douglas-fir stands managed on an uneven-aged basis. | Williams Lake | 1 | Yes |
| Issue statement | Prediction of long tern timber supplies for uneven aged management. | 100 Mile House | 1 | Yes |
| High | Better models required to assess implications of alternative silvicultural systems. Evaluate alternative analytical | TFL 14 | 1 | - |
| | approaches. | | | |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

| Info. Need | Further investigate the growth and yield and stand dynamics of residual balsam stands that are proposed to be managed as future crops. | TFL 18 | 2 | - |
|------------|--|--------|---|---|
| Info. Need | Quantify effects of intensive and alternative silvicultural activities where possible | TFL 18 | 2 | - |

| Rationales | since | regional | summaries | (nost | March 2001) |
|------------|-------|----------|-----------|-------|--------------------|
| Ranonaies | since | regionai | summanes | posi | <i>March</i> 2001) |

| Kun | onales since regional summaries (post March 2001) | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|---|---|
| Implement | Monitor the impact that variable retention is having on timber availability including the productivity of regenerating stands | TFL 46 | 2 | - |
| Implement | Monitor the impact that variable retention is having on timber availability including the productivity of regenerating stands | TFL 57 | 3 | - |
| Implement | Licensees need to monitor their use of various silvicultural systems and the associated growth and yield implications | Cranbrook | 2 | - |
| Implement | Monitor characteristics of partly harvested forest cover polygons to improve information on species composition and assess the importance of possible volume reductions | Merritt | 2 | - |
| Implement | District staff and licensees need to work together to improve information on retention levels and growth and yield, particularly in lodgepole pine- Douglas-fir stands so that timber supply implications can be better accounted for | Merritt | 3 | - |
| Implement | The BCFS Research Branch is currently examining and reviewing expected productivity changes at varying levels of retention. It will be important to combine the emerging information with an assessment by district staff of the expected use of these systems, the extent of the areas affected, the amounts of expected retention, and the frequency of harvesting entries, for incorporation in the next timber supply analysis for the TSA | Mid Coast | 2 | _ |
| Implement | Assess volume and growth losses attributable to variable retention harvesting (e.g. blow down losses) | TFL 47 | 2 | - |
| Implement | Monitor the productivity of regenerating and advanced regeneration stands in areas managed under the irregular shelter wood silvicultural system | TFL 48 | 2 | - |
| Implement | Continue to refine the site productivity loss estimates for areas subject to group selection | TFL 56 | 2 | - |

Issue 6: Site productivity: forest health and OAFs

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|--------|---|----------|-----|--------|
| | | | | |
| Urgent | Quantify impacts on volume due to pests, disease (root rot), decay, waste and breakage | Lillooet | 1 | Yes |
| High | Quantify impacts of pests, such as root rot, terminal weevil, western gall rust, spruce budworm, tussock moth, aphids and cattle grazing on stand volume. | Kamloops | 1 | Yes |
| High | Quantify impacts of root rot on stand volume and green-up. | Okanagan | 1 | Yes |
| High | Quantify impacts on volume and green-up periods due to root rot | Arrow | 1 | ? |
| High | Investigate the use of additional adjustments (10-15% for stands over 200 years) | Arrow | 1 | Yes |
| High | Review and confirm or updated the estimated losses due to | Boundary | 1 | No |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

| | root rot | | | |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|---|-----|
| High | Finalize studies on the effects of stand yields and green-up of root rot. | Kootenay Lake | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Initiate studies on the losses from balsam bark beetle infected stands. | Kispiox | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Study more fully the impacts on volumes resulting from bark beetle infestations. | Bulkley | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | Clarify root rot losses and its relationship to OAF2 and unsalvaged losses. | Merritt | 1 | No |
| Info Need | Monitor the occurrence of root disease and stem rusts with a view to further assess the accuracy of the OAF values. | Dawson Creek | 1 | Yes |
| Issue statement | Provincial committee is examining tools for quantifying the impacts due to root rot, etc. With understanding, losses due to these agents may be mitigated. | Sunshine Coast | 1 | - |
| High | OAF1: develop a more appropriate yield estimation procedure for balsam prior to next determination | TFL 25 | 1 | - |
| High | OAFs: re-examine for Douglas-fir plantations | TFL 26 | 1 | - |
| High | OAFs: re-evaluate reduction factors prior to next determination. | TFL 46 | 1 | - |
| Info. Need | OAFs: review procedures for defining OAFs and provide more detailed rationale for their selection | TFL 10 | 1 | - |
| Info Need | Attempt to better quantify timber supply impacts as a result of armillaria infestation, as well as those expected from leader weevils. | Arrow | 2 | - |
| Info. Need | OAFs: In consultation with Research Branch staff, refined OAFs for the TSA | Cranberry | 2 | - |
| Info. Need | OAF: Determine if larger OAFs are required to account for volume losses arising from root disease. | TFL 33 | 2 | - |
| Info. Need | OAFs: review and refine | TFL 18 | 2 | - |
| Info. Need | OAFs: review and refine | TFL 49 | 2 | - |

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| | Diales since regional summaries (post March 2001) | | 2 | |
|-----------|--|------------|---|---|
| Implement | Potential impacts of Armillaria root rot on regenerated | Arrow | 3 | - |
| | managed stands can be significant and estimated volume | | | |
| | losses need to be further refined | | | |
| Implement | Continue to assess and monitor losses from laminated root | Arrowsmith | 2 | - |
| | disease to confirm appropriate OAFs | | | |
| Implement | Monitor the impact of tomentosus root disease in managed | Kispiox | 2 | - |
| | stands and the extent to which volume losses are accounted | | | |
| | for within existing OAFs | | | |
| Implement | Monitor impacts of Dothistroma foliar disease, balsam bark | Kispiox | 2 | - |
| | beetle and tomentosus root disease on stand volumes | | | |
| Implement | Evaluate existing and projected impacts of various forest | Kootenay | 2 | - |
| | heath agents such as armillaria root disease | Lake | | |
| Implement | Improve local knowledge with respect to small stocking gaps | Merritt | 2 | - |
| | and other stand-level limits to productivity that are | | | |
| | represented by OAF1s in managed stand yield estimation. | | | |
| | This is a province-wide issue; however, local information is | | | |
| | required to improve information. | | | |
| Implement | Continue to review OAF adjustments using local data | 100 Mile | 2 | - |
| _ | | House | | |
| Implement | OAFs: that district and licensee staff investigate if the | Revelstoke | 3 | - |
| | available free growing data may be used to refine OAFs. I | | | |
| | also request that the OAF2 values continue to be refined so | | | |
| | that any changes in the assumptions can be incorporated into | | | |

| | future analyses | | | |
|-----------|--|--------|---|---|
| Implement | Provide justification for the use of increased OAF2 values for | TFL 10 | 2 | - |
| | confers other than Douglas-fir | | | |
| Implement | Monitor OAF 1 and 2 assumptions about forest health losses | TFL 15 | 3 | - |
| | and the effects of management practices (e.g. stocking survey | | | |
| | methods) and reflect these findings in the OAF assumptions | | | |
| | for the next TSR | | | |
| Implement | Review the OAFs used to generate yield estimates for | TFL 35 | 2 | - |
| | managed stands | | | |
| Implement | Licensee applied non-standard OAFs yet provided not explicit | TFL 49 | 3 | - |
| _ | documentation substantiating the lower OAF 1 reductions. | | | |
| | Request licensee further examine and refine OAF adjustments | | | |
| Implement | Licensee work to compile more explicit information on root | TFL 49 | 3 | - |
| _ | rots specific to the TFL before the next analysis | | | |
| Implement | Collect data to better estimate volume losses resulting from | TFL 56 | 2 | - |
| - | armillaria, and refine OAFs correspondingly | | | |

Issue 7: Stand dynamics outside timber harvesting land base

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|------------|--|------------|-----|--------|
| Info. Need | Park inventories: Obtain any relevant vegetation inventories from Parks Canada so that the best available information can be used to support future timber supply reviews. | Golden | 2 | - |
| Info. Need | Aging of stands in inoperable areas: provincial issue that requires an assessment of how to best model disturbance patterns and succession in inoperable areas | Revelstoke | 2 | - |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| Implement | A more appropriate method needs to be developed by | Arrow | 3 | - |
|-----------|---|-----------|---|---|
| 1 | licensees to account for natural disturbances such as fire in | | | |
| | stands outside the timber harvesting land based since these | | | |
| | stands contribute to achievement of forest cover requirements | | | |
| | for several non-timber values and thereby affecting timber | | | |
| | supply | | | |
| Implement | A more refined method for disturbing and regenerating the | Cranbrook | 2 | - |
| | non-timber harvesting land base is needed to assess if | | | |
| | landscape-level biodiversity objectives are being met. | | | |
| Implement | Work with Parks Canada to obtain relevant data to assess | Invermere | 2 | - |
| | potential contributions to landscape level biodiversity from | | | |
| | Kootenay National Park | | | |
| Implement | A more refined method for disturbing and regenerating the | Invermere | 3 | - |
| | non-THLB will assist in assessing if landscape-level | | | |
| | biodiversity objectives are being met. FAIB with assistance | | | |
| | from other Branches needs to take on this task | | | |
| Implement | Examine the contribution of forests within Wells Gray Park to | Kamloops | 2 | - |
| | landscape-level biodiversity requirements | | | |
| Implement | A more appropriate accounting of disturbance of forests | Merritt | 3 | - |
| | outside the land base is needed to support the next timber | | | |
| | supply analysis given the role of these forests in achieving | | | |
| | forest cover objectives for non-timber values and associated | | | |
| | timber supply implications | | | |

| Implement | Aging of stands in inoperable areas: this is a provincial issue that requires assessment of how to best model disturbance patterns and succession in areas outside the THLB | Revelstoke | 2 | - |
|-----------|---|------------|---|---|
| Implement | Collect data on the actual disturbance in the non-timber | TFL 53 | 3 | - |
| | harvesting land base, and the implications of this disturbance | | | |
| | on the contribution of the forest to old seral objectives | | | |

Issue 8: NSR

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|-----------|---|------------------|-----|--------|
| Implement | Assess the potential contribution of NSR stands to timber supply | Quesnel | 2 | - |
| Implement | Develop a strategy for identifying and managing backlog NSR areas and investigate FIA funding opportunities | Dawson Creek | 2 | - |
| Implement | NSR: prior to next determination, staff should reassess the areas classified as current and backlog NSR | Revelstoke | 2 | - |
| Implement | NSR: the BCFS and licensee staff review NSR records as time and resources permit, enlisting the assistance of former MSRM staff as required, so that better information is available for future determinations for the TSA | Revelstoke | 3 | - |
| Implement | NSR: I encourage BCFS staff to reconcile the area logged with regeneration delay, the area reported as NSR on the inventory file, and the NSR areas reported through ISIS | Williams Lake | 2 | - |
| Implement | NSR: provide a comprehensive accounting for all NSR areas on TFL 42 and ensure that the mgt objectives for these areas are clarified in time for the next determination | TFL 42 | 2 | - |
| Implement | NSR: confirm the area of NSR land | TFL 48 | 2 | - |
| Implement | Clarify expected classification of the remaining backlog NSR | TFL 55 | 2 | - |

Issue 9: Other forest inventory issues

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|------------|--|-----------|-----|----------|
| Info. Need | Low productivity sites: Undertake field assessments to determine which sites can realistically contribute to the timber harvesting land base. | Golden | 2 | - |
| High | Investigate the residual stand volumes left on site after harvesting and review the practice in respect to achieving specific objectives. | | 1 | Yes |
| High | Develop verification strategy to check the silvicultural labels that were assigned to the inventory | TFL 35 | 1 | Yes |
| High | Determine extent to which stands are being converted to different species | Quesnel | 1 | Yes |
| High | Update timber inventory for the area previously covered by TFL 13 and for insect- and disease- attacked areas. | Cranbrook | 1 | Complete |
| Info. Need | Monitor stand impacts from bark beetle infestations and the ramifications of the associated volume losses in terms of non- recoverable losses. | Arrow | 2 | - |
| High | Models: the degree to which mature volumes may be over- | North | 1 | - |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

| | estimated by the G&Y model used in the analysis | Coast | | |
|------------|--|------------------|---|-----|
| High | Check minimum rotation lengths for high elevation stands | Golden 1 | | - |
| Info Need | Green-up: Monitor progress of young (spruce) stands and provide information to next TSR | Williams Lake | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Fertilization: effects on timber supply harvest levels | Kamloops | 1 | No |
| Info. Need | Unmerchantable forest types: improve inventory for these types. A management strategy could then be developed to incorporate these stands into the timber harvesting land base. | Merritt | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Species conversion: assumption of species conversion (good site fir/spruce to good site pine) at harvest needs to be verified with MOF Research Branch if to be used in the next determination. | TFL 35 | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | Green-up: In conjunction with district, quantify green-up periods prior to next determination. | TFL 39 | 1 | - |
| High | Recompile the inventory, by addition operational cruises completed since 1987, recompiling the 1970's inventory to exclude logged samples and samples in operationally cruised areas, and using the latest Kozak 4.0 taper equations. | TFL 44 | 2 | - |

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| Run | onales since regional summaries (posi March 2001) | | | |
|-----------|--|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Implement | Verify stand age/seral stage classes in landscape units/BEC areas with identified concerns | Quesnel | 2 | - |
| Implement | Timber cruise volumes from fire maintained NDT4 areas of TSA are often only about half shown in inventory; NDT4 inventory needs refinement | Cranbrook | 2 | - |
| Implement | Classify areas with the TFL that do not currently have an inventory label | • | | - |
| Implement | Monitor availability of old growth forest relative to targeted objectives | TFL 53 | 3 | - |
| Implement | Work with licensees to bring inventory depletions up-to-date | Morice | 2 | Update current to Aug. 2001 |
| Implement | Track and quantify the area of forested land on the TFL that is denuded as a result of energy exploration and development activities | TFL 48 | 2 | - |
| Implement | Monitor harvesting activities and mortality due the MPB in the problem forest types (PFTs) | Quesnel | 3 | - |
| Implement | Regeneration and stocking on unsalvaged 2003 fire areas need to be monitored to assess areas are coming back in a repressed state due to overstocking and areas that have insufficient stocking | Cranbrook | 2 | - |
| Implement | Monitor MPB infestation levels and salvaging activities | Kamloops | 2 | - |
| Implement | Assess G&Y impacts of managing stands to minimum stocking standards and not reforesting smaller openings created to salvage damaged timber | Prince George | 2 | - |
| Implement | Continue to collect data and monitor advanced balsam growth | Bulkley | 2 | - |
| Implement | Determine the extent of the area to which managed stand yield tables should be applied | TFL 26 | 2 | |

Issue 10: Traditional use studies and related issues

| Туре | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|--|--|-------------------|-----|--------|
| Issue statement | Archaeological/cultural heritage: use new information when available | Soo | 1 | No |
| High | Culturally modified trees: continue efforts to map locations | QCI | 1 | No |
| Info. Need | Traditional use: incorporate information into future determination. | Kingcome | 1 | Yes |
| High | Cultural heritage resources: complete inventory and develop management prescriptions to assess impacts on the land base. | TFL 44 | 2 | - |
| High | Integrated Archaeological Overview Assessment into next AAC determination | Nass | 1 | - |
| Issue Statement | A Traditional Use Survey may be conducted prior to next determination. Revisit management plan once information is collected. | | 1 | - |
| High | Conduct impact assessment medium to high potential sites identified through AOAs. | Kamloops | 1 | Yes |
| Issue Statement | ue When the timber supply implications of traditional use | | 1 | Yes |
| Info. Need | | | 2 | - |
| Info Need | Under the TFL agreement, the licensee is required to include archaeological mapping as part of the development plan. This information will be incorporated once completed. | TFL 55 | 1 | - |
| Info Need Under the TFL agreement, the licensee is required to include archaeological mapping as part of the development plan. This information will be incorporated once completed. | | TFL 56 | 1 | - |
| Info Need | | | 1 | Yes |
| Info Need | Traditional use inventory underway in 1996; use information in future analyses. | Quesnel | 1 | Yes |
| Issue Statement | Require information on archaeological resources and traditional use | 100 Mile House | 1 | Yes |
| Info Need | Incorporate AOA into future determinations | Mackenzie | 1 | - |
| Issue statement | Issue As new traditional use information becomes available, it will | | | - |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| Implement | Undertake the work to collect information to address data | Lillooet | 2 | - |
|-----------|--|------------------|---|---|
| | gaps around cultural heritage resources | | | |
| Implement | Completion of TUS in the TSA is encouraged so that this information can be factored into future timber supply reviews, for example, through the identification of additional | Merritt | 3 | - |
| | archaeological sites | | | |
| Implement | Work to improve available data on the occurrence of and mgt practices for cultural heritage resources | North Coast | 2 | - |
| Implement | Promptly report any new information with respect to First Nation's rights and titles that might affect the timber supply | Williams Lake | 2 | - |

Issue 11: Recreation and landscape inventory

| Type by | Issue | TSA/TFL | TSR | Status |
|------------|---|---------------|-----|--------|
| priority | | | | |
| Info. need | Verify the recreation inventory for consistency of | Mid Coast | 2 | - |
| | information between the component parts and to ensure | | | |
| | all exclusions are valid and all overlaps accounted for. | | | |
| | It should be ensured that the interpretation of the | | | |
| | inventory for strategic planning purposes including | | | |
| | timber supply reviews is consistent and logical. | | | |
| Info. Need | Maintain and update recreation inventories and be | TFL 47 | 1 | - |
| | sensitive to public concerns in planning harvesting | | | |
| | operations. | | | |
| High | Complete recreation features mapping for Block 5 | TFL 25 | 1 | - |
| Info Need | Complete digitization so that recreation areas can be | Fort St. John | 1 | - |
| | accounted for in future determinations. | | | |
| Info Need | Complete digitization so that recreation areas can be | Mackenzie | 1 | - |
| | accounted for in future determinations. | | | |
| High | Review landscape inventories by mid-1977 | TFL 39 | 1 | - |
| High | Fulfill the commitment to review and update landscape | TFL 44 | 2 | - |
| | inventories and VQO recommendations prior to next | | | |
| | timber supply analysis | | | |
| Info. Need | Discuss with BCFS staff the need for a more | TFL 10 | 1 | - |
| | comprehensive assessment in Toba River area | | | |
| Info. Need | Complete mapping for the Derrick and Bonus Lakes | Cranberry | 2 | - |
| | scenic areas in order to ensure these are included as | | | |
| | visually sensitive areas in future timber supply analyses | | | |
| High | Complete visual resource mapping for Blocks 2 and 5 | TFL 25 | 1 | - |

Regional summaries (March 2001)

Rationales since regional summaries (post March 2001)

| | ies since regional summaries (post march 2001) | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|---|---|
| Implement | The recreation inventory should be verified for | Mid Coast | 2 | - |
| | consistency of information between the component | | | |
| | parts and to ensure all exclusions are valid and all | | | |
| | overlaps are accounted for. Errors identified in the | | | |
| | inventory as part of this process should be corrected. It | | | |
| | should be ensured that the interpretation of the | | | |
| | inventory for strategic planning purposes including | | | |
| | TSRs is consistent and logical | | | |
| Implement | Collect improved visual inventory information | Merritt | 2 | - |
| Implement | Include a visual landscape inventory of Tanizul and | TFL 42 | 2 | - |
| - | McKelvey Lakes as part of its commitment to update | | | |
| | the visual landscape inventory | | | |

Appendix 2:

AAC Rationale Reports used to identify Inventory Issues

Timber Supply Area (TSA) AAC rationales:

| TSA | TSR 1 | TSR 2 | TSR 3 |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Arrow | Summary | Summary | Available |
| Arrowsmith | Summary | Available | NA |
| Boundary | Summary | Available | NA |
| Bulkley | Summary | Available | NA |
| Cassiar | Summary | Available | NA |
| Cranberry | NA | Summary | NA |
| Cranbrook | Summary | Summary | Available |
| Dawson Creek | Summary | Available | NA |
| Fort Nelson | Summary | Available | NA |
| Fort St. John | Summary | Available | NA |
| Fraser | Summary | Summary | Available |
| Golden | Summary | Summary | Available |
| Invermere | Summary | Available | Available |
| Kalum | Summary | Summary | NA |
| Kamloops | Summary | Available | Available |
| Kingcome | Summary | Available | NA |
| Kispiox | Summary | Available | NA |
| Kootenay Lake | Summary | Available | NA |
| Lakes | Summary | Available | Available |
| Lillooet | Summary | Available | NA |
| Mackenzie | Summary | Available | NA |
| Merritt | Summary | Available | Available |
| Mid Coast | Summary | Available | NA |
| Morice | Summary | Available | NA |
| Nass | Summary | Available | NA |
| North Coast | Summary | Available | NA |
| Okanagan | Summary | Available | Available |
| 100 Mile House | Summary | Available | NA |
| Prince George | Summary | Available | Available |
| Queen Charlotte | Summary | Available | NA |
| Quesnel | Summary | Available | Available |
| Revelstoke | Summary | Available | Available |
| Robson Valley | Summary | Available | NA |
| Soo | Summary | Available | NA |
| Strathcona | Summary | Available | Available |
| Sunshine Coast | Summary | Available | NA |
| Williams Lake | Summary | Available | NA |

Summary = 2001 regional summaries

Available = rationales reviewed post-2001 regional summaries

NA = not available

| TFL | TSR 1 | TSR 2 | TSR 3 |
|-----|---------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | NA | Summary | NA |
| 3 | NA | Summary | NA |
| 5 | NA | Summary | Available |
| 6 | Summary | Summary | NA |
| 8 | NA | Summary | Available |
| 10 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 14 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 15 | NA | Summary | Available |
| 18 | Summary | Summary | NA |
| 19 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 23 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 24 | Summary | NA | NA |
| 25 | Summary | NA | NA |
| 26 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 30 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 33 | Summary | Summary | NA |
| 35 | Summary | Available | Available |
| 37 | NA | Summary | NA |
| 38 | NA | Summary | NA |
| 39 | Summary | Available | Available |
| 41 | Summary | Summary | NA |
| 42 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 43 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 44 | Summary | Summary | Available |
| 45 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 46 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 47 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 48 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 49 | NA | Summary | Available |
| 52 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 53 | Summary | Summary | Available |
| 54 | Summary | Summary | NA |
| 55 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 56 | Summary | Available | NA |
| 57 | NA | NA | Available |

Tree Farm License (TFL) AAC rationales:

Summary = 2001 regional summaries Available = rationales reviewed post-2001 regional summaries NA = not available

Summary of AAC rationales reviewed:

| Mgt Unit | TSR 1 | TSR 2 | TSR 3 | Total |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| TSAs | 36 | 37 | 13 | 86 |
| TFLs | 26 | 32 | 9 | 67 |
| Total | 62 | 69 | 22 | 153 |