

From: John Mann
To: <email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed><email address removed>
Cc: <email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed><email address removed><email address removed>
<email address removed>
Subject: In my view, every Citizen and Taxpayer, if asked, would oppose building a useless DGR for clothes and rags wasting Billions of Taxpayer Dollars while spent fuel remains on the surface and may remain there forever.
Date: March 15, 2017 10:03:40 AM
Importance: High

March 15, 2017

Hi Minister McKenna & Deep Geologic Repository Project Manager:

In my view, every Citizen and Taxpayer, if asked, would oppose building a useless DGR for clothes and rags wasting Billions of Taxpayer Dollars while spent fuel remains on the surface and may remain there forever.

1. I do not oppose or support a DGR for spent fuel for the simple reason that OPG has not found a safe DGR site for spent fuel yet, if ever.

2. That is why I oppose the proposed DGR for low and intermediate nuclear waste that consists of 80% clothes and rags worn and used by nuclear workers without any special handling and without any protection and without any need or urgency to ever be buried in a DGR wasting Billions of Taxpayer Dollars.

3. Therefore, in my view, every Citizen and Taxpayer, if asked, would oppose wasting Billions of Taxpayer Dollars on a useless and meaningless DGR for clothes and rags while spent fuel remains on the surface and may remain there forever.

4. And furthermore, in my view, every Citizen and Taxpayer, if asked, would require our government at all levels to meaningfully engage everyone with how to resolve the nuclear waste issue, starting with spent fuel and working down from there – and not merely resolving the clothes and rags issue that does no harm to anyone stored where it is.

5. As a result, Minister McKenna must dismiss the OPG DGR Application for low and intermediate nuclear waste. It is just common sense that even SNC-Lavalin will have to agree with.

[Please post this with my other materials sent to Minister McKenna for *meaningful* consideration, *meaningful* review, and *meaningful* inclusion in Minister McKenna's decision regarding the OPG DGR for low and intermediate nuclear waste.]

Most Respectfully,

John Mann
Citizen and Registered Participant
Saugeen Shores, Ontario