

From: Deep Geologic Repository Project/ Projet de stockage de déchets radioactifs[CEAA\ACEE]
Sent: May 4, 2017 11:44 AM
To: 'John Mann'
Cc: Deep Geologic Repository Project/ Projet de stockage de déchets radioactifs[CEAA\ACEE]
Subject: RE: Why are all of my DGR emails that I have sent to OPG officials since November 21, 2016, returned to me marked "Undelivered Mail Returned to Sender"?

Dear Mr. Mann,

Thank you for your correspondence of May 3, 2017 concerning the issue of undelivered mail.

With respect to the DGR Project Team signature, please note that I am the DGR Project Manager and I, with a small support team, manage the DGR Project mailbox. The DGR Project Team signature is typically used to reply to emails sent to the DGR Project mailbox.

Unfortunately, the issue of undelivered mail to servers other than our own is one we cannot address in a systematic matter. We contacted OPG in this case given that the example you sent us included the email addresses for OPG staff.

Please note that the reference we made previously to our own experience of undelivered mail for large distribution lists consists of one of many instances when this has occurred in the past with organizations not limited to OPG, and is not project-specific.

Sincerely,

Robyn-Lynne Virtue
Panel Manager, Review Panels Division
Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency / Government of Canada

From: John Mann <email address removed>
Sent: May 3, 2017 4:45 PM
To: Deep Geologic Repository Project/ Projet de stockage de déchets radioactifs[CEAA\ACEE]
Cc: Deep Geologic Repository Project/ Projet de stockage de déchets radioactifs[CEAA\ACEE]; Fred Kuntz; John Mann
Subject: Re: Why are all of my DGR emails that I have sent to OPG officials since November 21, 2016, returned to me marked "Undelivered Mail Returned to Sender"?
Importance: High

May 3, 2017

Hi DGR Project Team:

This is very concerning! Lack of Due Process and secrecy and closed meetings and spamming are at the heart of the doomed DGR process and the current reviews. And now this ex parte communication by Deep Geologic Repository Project with OPG related to OPG's blocking my DGR emails that require that OPG terminate its DGR Application and stop wasting our Taxpayer dollars. This absolute destruction of Due Process cannot survive the reviews that are currently stayed, and that have become a continuing violation highlighted in my Record now.

Why did the Deep Geologic Repository Project communicate ex parte with OPG, particularly as it relates to me? I need to know who signed the email on behalf of "DGR Project Team." The Joint Review Panel process has sometimes refused to identify who signed other emails. In my entire career I have never communicated with anyone that portrayed themselves as an entity only, rather than a person acting on behalf of the entity. In this closed and secretive process [7 years of unlawful Bruce County DGR meetings] it is inexplicable for you to do so without there being something terribly wrong. Please send to me all communication that you have had with OPG. What is going on here? Since the subject email here was sent, I have now recorded numerous other emails to OPG that continue to be undelivered. The spectacular thing is the size of the distribution list has nothing to do with the instant "undeliverables." After the solutions were attempted, the only reasonable conclusion is OPG targeted my emails, and without your ex parte intervention would have continued to do so. The bewildering thing is OPG never responds to my emails in any event, so one wonders what targeting me does other than confirm that meaningful participation in this process is impossible and any dissent or criticism will not even be considered and ultimately will require the OPG DGR application to fail. The OPG "undeliverable" designation is similar to the Joint Review Panel's SPAMming of my emails that will ultimately be at issue in the Review of this catastrophic doomed DGR process, presently stayed. As a Citizen in our Free and Democratic Society, this is very concerning destruction of Due Process for absolutely no reason other than adding to the overwhelming proof of destruction. What kind of management thinks this was a good idea? As though OPG did not have enough problems related to the substance of selling a meaningless clothes and rags DGR! Since receiving your email here, I have finally just received a communication from OPG indicating that it is now looking into the "undeliverables."

Most Respectfully,

John Mann
Citizen and Registered Participant
Saugeen Shores

From: Deep Geologic Repository Project/ Projet de stockage de déchets radioactifs[CEAA\ACEE]

Sent: Wednesday, May 3, 2017 1:21 PM

To: John Mann

Cc: Deep Geologic Repository Project/ Projet de stockage de déchets radioactifs[CEAA\ACEE]

Subject: RE: Why are all of my DGR emails that I have sent to OPG officials since November 21, 2016, returned to me marked "Undelivered Mail Returned to Sender"?

Dear Mr. Mann,

We would like to inform you that we have notified OPG regarding the issue of undelivered mail.

For your consideration, please note that we have experienced the same issue in the past when emails were sent to individuals or organizations whose email is managed through servers. The issue seems to be related to the size of the distribution lists. We usually re-send the email to only those who have not

received it. If the emails remain undelivered, other solutions are then considered, depending on the case.

Sincerely,

DGR Project Team
Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency
22nd Floor, 160 Elgin St. Ottawa ON K1A 0H3
CEAA.DGR.Project-Projet.DGR.ACEE@ceaa-acee.gc.ca

From: John Mann<email address removed>

Sent: April 7, 2017 3:52 PM

To: Hon. Catherine McKenna; Conditions (CEAA/ACEE); Trudeau, Justin: HOC; Jeffrey Lyash; Premier Wynne; Ken Nash; Binder, Michael: CNSC; Bonnie Lysyk; Minister Jim Carr; Michael Ferguson; Ministre / Minister (EC); Laurie Swami; Laurie Swami; Wayne Robbins; MPP Lisa Thompson; MP Ben Lobb; Deep Geologic Repository Project/ Projet de stockage de déchets radioactifs[CEAA\ACEE]

Cc: Aimee Puthon; Amanda Pfeffer; barb; Bettyanne Cobeau; Beverly Fernandez; Capitan my Capitan; Cheryl Grace; Dave Myette; David Akin; Demers,Manon [CEAA]; Don Matheson; Eugene Bourgeois; Frances Learment; fsteve finch; Smith,Heather [CEAA]; Jerry Keto; Jill Taylor; Jim Lynch; John Rich; Kristina Premachuk; Linda White; Luke Charbonneau; Mayor Buckle; Mayor Eadie; Mayor Eagleson; Mayor Inglis; Mayor Jackson; Mayor McIver; Mayor Mike Smith; Mayor Weaver; Binder, Michael: CNSC; Mike Myatt; Mike Strobel; Mitch Twolan (Warden); Neil Menage; Pat Gibbons; info@cnscccsn.gc.ca; Information (CNSC/CCSN); Rob Dobos; Santa Claus; Sarah Patterson-Snell; Sarah Roberts; Scott Berry; Senator Hopgood; Chapman,Steve [CEAA]; Kurt Saunders; Saunders,Kurt [CEAA]; Janice MacKay; Chris Adams; Lorrie Goldstein; Fred Kuntz; Jennifer Wells; Dale Palin; John Mann; W5; Mitchell Rivard

Subject: Why are all of my DGR emails that I have sent to OPG officials since November 21, 2016, returned to me marked "Undelivered Mail Returned to Sender"?

Importance: High

April 7, 2017

Hi Minister McKenna and CEAA Project Manager:

Why are my DGR emails that have been sent to OPG officials since November 21, 2016, returned to me marked "Undelivered Mail Returned to Sender," when all of my other DGR emails had been delivered prior to that date? [see email set forth below]. I have called OPG a couple of times to remedy this, and have been told that they would look into it, but the response [set forth below] continues to be the same every time I send a DGR email. It is inexcusable and reprehensible for OPG, a government corporation, to show such disrespect to a Citizen. It is important to note that it is the same response that I always get from Santa Claus. [also noted below]. -- John Mann, Citizen and Registered Participant, Saugeen Shores

-----Original Message-----

From: Mail Delivery System

Sent: Monday, November 21, 2016 1:32 PM

To: <email address removed>

Subject: Undelivered Mail Returned to Sender

This is the mail system at <contact information removed> .

I'm sorry to have to inform you that your message could not be delivered to one or more recipients. It's attached below.

For further assistance, please send mail to postmaster.

If you do so, please include this problem report. You can delete your own text from the attached returned message.

The mail system

<email address removed>: <contact information removed>[192.75.134.40] said: 554 5.7.1
User

unknown... (in reply to end of DATA command)

<email address removed>: <contact information removed>[192.75.134.40] said: 554 5.7.1
User unknown... (in reply to end of DATA command)

<email address removed>: <contact information removed>[192.75.134.40] said: 554 5.7.1
User

unknown... (in reply to end of DATA command)

<email address removed>: <contact information removed>[192.75.134.40] said: 554 5.7.1
User

unknown... (in reply to end of DATA command)

<email address removed>: <contact information removed>[192.75.134.40] said: 554 5.7.1 User
unknown... (in reply to end of DATA command)

<email address removed>: <contact information removed>[192.75.134.40] said:
554
5.7.1 User unknown... (in reply to end of DATA command)

<email address removed>: <contact information removed>[216.172.104.145] said: 550 No Such
User

Here (in reply to RCPT TO command)