

**From:** DGR Review / Examen DFGP [CEAA]

**Sent:** May 1, 2013 1:41 PM

**To:** John Mann

**Subject:** RE: URGENT: Citizens must make submissions by May 24, 2013.

Mr. Mann,

1. As you have been assured, all submissions to the DGR Joint Review Panel are provided to and considered by the Panel and they are posted on the public registry. We have confirmed that all of your submissions from the dates below are on the registry:

- July 6, 9, 10 and 16, 2012
- August 2, 5, 13, 15, 16, 21, 22, 23 and 31, 2012
- October 25 and 28, 2012
- November 1, 6, 11, 13, 19 and 27, 2012
- December 11 and 31, 2012
- January 15, 2013
- February 2, 5, 15, 16, 19, 21 and 23, 2013
- March 4, 15 and 28, 2013
- April 5, 9, 11, 19, and 21, 2013

The posting of your correspondence of April 28 (below) and April 29, 2013 is pending.

If you believe that you have made submissions that are not listed above, please send a message to the DGR mailbox as soon as possible so that we can investigate and correct the situation.

2. All correspondence addressed to [dgr.review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca](mailto:dgr.review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca) goes directly to that inbox. There is no redirection of incoming messages to a "SPAM FOLDER" or to any other folder. A DGR "SPAM FOLDER" does not exist.

The \*\*\*SPAM\*\*\* notation that you see in the subject line of some messages is a label added to those messages by a Government of Canada application. I understand that this label is added to messages that have certain characteristics to warn the recipient that the message may be SPAM. The addition of this label is for the protection of recipients and does not affect the delivery of the message in any way. This should be evident since the messages that you have sent to the DGR mailbox have been received and posted on the registry even when they are tagged with \*\*\*SPAM\*\*\*.

It is possible that the wide distribution list on your messages are responsible for those messages being labeled as SPAM. I again suggest that you send your messages directly to the Joint Review Panel and will add that copies can be provided to other addresses in a separate message.

3. Please revisit the Panel Secretariat's messages to you of February 11, 2013 ([Doc #880](#)) and April 22, 2013 ([Doc #972](#)) for further information and guidance.

*Debra Myles*

DGR Joint Review Panel Co-Manager

C/O Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency

160 Elgin Street, 22nd floor  
Ottawa, ON K1A 0H3  
Tel.: 613-957-0626 or 1-866-582-1884  
[DGR.Review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca](mailto:DGR.Review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca)

**From:** John Mann <email address removed>

**Sent:** April 28, 2013 11:44 PM

**To:** DGR Review / Examen DFGP [CEAA]; Myles,Debra [CEAA]; Kelly McGee

**Cc:** John Mann; Kathleen Wynne; Prime Minister Stephen Harper; Corey, Mark; Kelly McGee; Albert Sweetnam; Debbie Arnott; Ken Nash; Ben Lobb MP; Lisa Thompson, MPP; Auditor General of Ontario; Auditor General of Canada; Auditor General of Canada; DJ Wood; 'Wilson, Trish'; Trish Wilson; Wilson, Marie; Linda White; Linda White; wdcouture <email address removed>; Bill Walker, MPP; vic.fedeli <email address removed>; Rachel Thompson; Jill Taylor; josie tamez; Peter L. Storck; Keith Stelling; John Spears; Mayor Smith; Fred Shildroth; David Shemilt; Thead Seaman; Greg Schmalz; Jamie Robinson; Ken Robertson; Joanne Robbins; Chief Dan Rivett; Glenn Reist; psteep <email address removed>; Cam Prange; Ulrich Pieplow; Philosopher & Annie; paminglis; ombudsman; NWMO, LearnMore; WILSON Marie - NUCLEAR; Minister, MOE (ENE); Minister of Natural Resources Canada; Anne McNeilly; Anne McNeilly; Wayne McGrath; Marti McFadzean; David Martin; Larry Main; Brennain Lloyd; Mike Legget; Marcel Legault; A/Chief Scott Lee; John Kyles; Mayor Kraemer; Chief Randall Kahgee; Don Jones; Ann Marie Johnston; Ann Marie Johnston; Interventions; info @bruce power; Karen Hunter; Tim Hudak; Diane Huber; Andrea Horwath; Historic Saugeen Metis; Doug Harrison; 'kevin hall'; L Griffin; Cheryl Grace; Doug Gowanlock; Infor Government; Pat Gibbons; Pat Gibbons; Taun Frosst; Stephen Finch; Beverly Fernandez; Jacqueline Faubert; Joanne Facella; Tracey Edwards; Gray Eagle; Anne Eadie; Scott Dunn; Susan Dollar; DiCocco, Lynne; dgrinfo; Mark Davis; Ellen Dailey; Ron Coristine; Luke Charbonneau; Lynda Cain; Gary R Brown; Scott Berry; Fred Berlet; Patrick Bales; Paul Austin; Barb Ashbee; allisonl <email address removed>; Paul Alisouskas; Dale Goldhawk; Gregory Thomas

**Subject:** URGENT: Citizens must make submissions by May 24, 2013.

**Importance:** High

April 28, 2013

**HI EVERYONE COPIED WITH THIS EMAIL:**

**I need your urgent help!**

**URGENT REQUEST TO ALL THAT RECEIVE A COPY OF THIS EMAIL:** I am asking all of you to answer the following question and send it to the Joint Review Panel for posting and review and consideration in the DGR process:

**[Whether or not there should even be a DGR for nuclear waste is not relevant to the question I am posing. Even assuming you are for the DGR, against the DGR, or neutral or don't care or don't know, please answer this question:]**

**It is undisputed and everyone agrees that only 1 DGR is necessary to store all nuclear waste -- low, intermediate, and high-levels. Therefore, should our government stop the present 2 DGR process and replace it with a**

## **1 DGR process for all nuclear waste with commensurate Taxpayer savings in the BILLIONS of dollars?"**

The reason there is **urgency** to my request is because last Thursday, April 25, 2013, the Joint Review Panel announced that the public comment period will end in less than 30 days, on **May 24, 2013**, related to the low and intermediate nuclear waste DGR [consisting of 95 % clothes and rags] scheduled for Kincardine. In my view, the Joint Review Panel has to receive your answer to the question I have posed above [Joint Review Panel [DGR.Review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca](mailto:DGR.Review@ceaa-acee.gc.ca) ]. Why? Because the Joint Review Panel will not review and consider my submissions that challenge and criticize the 2 DGR process. I say that because some of my submissions to the Joint Review Panel have been related to replacing the 2 DGR process with a 1 DGR process for all nuclear waste. As a result, the Joint Review Panel inexplicably telephoned me directly and told me not to send any more submissions to the Joint Review Panel related to the 2 DGR v. 1 DGR process. When I did not comply with the Joint Review Panel request, my submissions were sent to the **SPAM** folder of the Joint Review Panel. The Joint Review Panel has arbitrarily determined my submissions in this process to be "**UNACCEPTABLE**" and sent them to the **SPAM** folder, thus not posted for review by my fellow Citizens, and not available for review or consideration by the Joint Review Panel in violation of my *Charter* and Democratic Rights and Freedoms. I caution each and every one of you and each and every Citizen to follow up on each individual submission to the Joint Review Panel because the process cannot be trusted to post and review and consider any of your submissions. I know that the submissions of at least one other Citizen that happened to agree with me related to the 2 DGR v. 1 DGR issue have not been posted on the Joint Review Panel registry. If your submissions reflect that you request the 2 DGR process be replaced by a 1 DGR process then your submissions will be considered "**UNACCEPTABLE**" and sent to the **SPAM** folder of the Joint Review Panel. This is a chilling and deeply troubling arbitrary and unconstitutional process that the Joint Review Panel is directing. In my view, a public inquiry and investigation must be held to find out why I was told not to send further submissions to the Joint Review Panel, followed by my submissions being sent to the **SPAM** folder of the Joint Review Panel and determined to be "**UNACCEPTABLE**". The violation of my *Charter* and Democratic Rights and Freedoms by this process strikes at the very foundation of our free and Democratic society. Our Democracy can only live and thrive when our Citizens can challenge and criticize our government without censor, without interference, and without intimidation. If our submissions in a gravely serious public DGR process involving the future health and well-being of our Community can be hijacked by merely diverting our participation into a **SPAM** folder by the very people that we employ to protect and assure our Due Process, then our entire free and Democratic society is in jeopardy. We cannot let this travesty go unanswered and without remedy. I am hoping you will voice your individual concerns and forward this email to every neighbour, to every friend, to every colleague, and to every Citizen through social media so that everyone can participate in this fundamental Democratic process. It is my hope that the hijacking of our Democratic Rights and Freedoms will result in a ground swell of support to regain our Democracy without the chilling effect of wondering if our voice

may be heard. Our Democracy has been gravely injured by this process. We want it fixed.

Most Respectfully,

John Mann

<personal information removed>